

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF ASIA AND THE PACIFIC 2019

Ambitions beyond growth





*The shaded areas of the map indicate ESCAP members and associate members.**

The Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) serves as the United Nations regional hub promoting cooperation among countries to achieve inclusive and sustainable development. The largest regional intergovernmental platform with 53 Member States and 9 Associate Members, ESCAP has emerged as a strong regional think-tank offering countries sound analytical products that shed insight into the evolving economic, social and environmental dynamics of the region. The Commission's strategic focus is to deliver on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which it does by reinforcing and deepening regional cooperation and integration to advance connectivity, financial cooperation and market integration. ESCAP research and analysis coupled with its policy advisory services, capacity building and technical assistance to governments aims to support countries' sustainable and inclusive development ambitions.

*The designations employed and the presentation of material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF ASIA AND THE PACIFIC 2019

Ambitions beyond growth

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF ASIA AND THE PACIFIC 2019

Ambitions beyond growth

Armida Salsiah Alisjahbana
Executive Secretary

Hongjoo Hahm
Deputy Executive Secretary

Hamza Ali Malik
Director, Macroeconomic Policy and Financing for Development Division

United Nations publication
Sales No. E.19.II.F.6
Copyright © United Nations 2019
All rights reserved
Printed in Bangkok
ISBN: 978-92-1-120786-6
e-ISBN: 978-92-1-047938-7
ISSN: 0252-5704
eISSN: 2412-0979
ST/ESCAP/2853

Photo credits: Cover: Shutterstock (1299694894); Chapter 1: Shutterstock (758510677); Chapter 2: Shutterstock (102141010); Chapter 3: Shutterstock (211760089); Chapter 4: Shutterstock (423542260).

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided that the source is acknowledged. The ESCAP Publications Office would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use may be made of this publication for resale or any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission. Applications for such permission, with a statement of the purpose and extent of reproduction, should be addressed to the Secretary of the Publications Board, United Nations, New York.

FOREWORD



In Asia and the Pacific and around the world, our times demand stronger multilateral partnerships in the face of profound challenges from globalization, technology and climate change.

Countries in the region have made great strides in reducing extreme poverty thanks to high economic growth from vibrant trade and investment. However, rapid growth has also generated rising inequalities and a looming environmental disaster. If present trends continue, the region is unlikely to reach most Sustainable Development Goals by the 2030 target date.

We urgently need a shift in mindset and policy direction. This means looking beyond economic growth to pursue, holistically, human well-being and planetary health. Given current economic stability and the fiscal space available to countries in the region, now is the time for a decisive push to accelerate progress towards achieving the Goals.

This year's *Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific* provides a practical guide to policymakers on a critical aspect of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: how much does it cost to achieve the Goals? The report shows that the investments required to build an equitable and green future for the region are largely affordable, especially considering the synergies among the Goals.

While the Goals are generally within reach for most countries, the least developed countries in the region face large financing gaps. To mobilize additional resources, the *Survey* stresses that – in addition to making public spending more efficient – the international community and the private sector need to step up.

The *Survey's* multidimensional policy recommendations and country examples outline a walkable path towards a prosperous and inclusive future. They serve as a basis for dialogue, not only among policymakers, but also academia and civil society. As we strive to raise ambition on climate change and make globalization and technology work for all, I recommend this timely analysis and policy advice to a wide global audience.

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to be 'António Guterres'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

António Guterres
Secretary-General of the United Nations

PREFACE

As Asia and the Pacific enters the fourth year of implementing the transformative *2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*, the news from this area of the world is mixed. The region has emerged as an economic powerhouse, but progress towards achieving socially inclusive and environmentally sustainable growth is insufficient. Despite recording rapid increases in wealth, too many people are being left behind and are poorly protected. To ensure that socioeconomic progress does not damage the environment, a giant leap is needed towards a more sustainable model, based on resource-efficient consumption and production.

What will it take to realize the “*future we want*” as set out by the 2030 Agenda? The 2019 edition of the *Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific* highlights three priorities in working towards that future.

First, we must raise our ambitions beyond just economic growth. Our current development path is neither sustainable nor desirable. The 2030 Agenda and its 17 Sustainable Development Goals provide a clear blueprint for raising our ambitions. It calls for a change in mindset and an economic philosophy which puts *people and the planet first*.

Second, we must invest more strategically to achieve the Goals. The *Survey* for 2019 looks at the investments required to accelerate progress. It concludes that an additional annual investment of \$1.5 trillion is required to attain the Goals by 2030. At \$1 per person per day, such an investment would enable 400 million people to escape extreme poverty and malnutrition. It could deliver a quality education for every child and youth; basic universal health care; improved access to transport, clean water and sanitation, information and communications technology; universal access to electricity and clean cooking fuels; increased use of renewables; more energy-efficient transport, buildings and industry; climate and disaster-resilient infrastructure; fundamental changes to the way we produce and consume; and stronger environmental protection.

Third, we must *develop strong partnerships and regional cooperation* to ensure that no country is left behind. Closing this investment gap is within reach for many countries, but the gap is widest in countries which can least afford to narrow it. This includes least developed countries and small island developing States, which are the most heavily affected by climate change. Guided by the *Regional Road Map*, North-South, South-South and triangular cooperation as well as strengthened multilateral financing mechanisms will be essential to accelerating the pace of sustainable development.

The *Survey's* analysis of the investment gaps which need to be closed to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals complements ESCAP's forthcoming *SDG Progress Report*. It provides tools for policymakers to translate ambitions into actions and has benefited from the contributions of regional and national think-tanks, academia and the broader United Nations development system. My hope is that its recommendations will support all ESCAP stakeholders in their efforts to promote sustainable development in Asia and the Pacific.



Armida Salsiah Alisjahbana
Under-Secretary-General
of the United Nations and
Executive Secretary of
ESCAP

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The 2019 Survey calls upon the Asia-Pacific region to prioritize ambitions beyond economic growth and invest in people and the planet

Although the region has emerged as an economic powerhouse, increases in wealth have not been shared widely, and intensive use of natural resources has come at steep financial and environmental costs. To move towards a more harmonious path of development, characterized by synergies rather than trade-offs, the region needs to urgently address investment shortfalls in people and the planet. This would require a reallocation of capital of about 4-5 per cent of GDP, on average, in the region. Doing so would put the region on track to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030. It would also support productivity growth and improve the long-term health of the regional economy.

The *2019 Survey* consists of four chapters. Chapter 1 lays out the rationale for going beyond economic growth. Chapter 2 takes stock of economic conditions and policy challenges that the region is facing. Chapter 3 estimates the investment needed in people, prosperity and the planet to achieve the 2030 Agenda, and suggests how to fulfil those ambitions through integrated planning and financing. Chapter 4 concludes, with emphasis on partnership and regional cooperation.

Short-term ambitions cannot override long-term sustainability

The Asia-Pacific region has seen tremendous economic and social progress over the last 50 years, as average income levels more than tripled and life expectancy at birth increased from 46 to 75 years. However, in the light of heightened inequality and environmental degradation, keeping the old paradigm of prioritizing GDP growth at all costs is neither feasible nor desirable. The region is now at a crossroad, and it must go beyond growth to pursue, holistically, human well-being and planetary health, through a change in mindset and policymaking.

Prudent macroeconomic management is needed to address near-term risks to the economic outlook and create an enabling environment for sustainable development

Economic health is of course the foundation for sustainable development, as without growth there is no basis for social well-being. Therefore, prudent economic management is necessary for creating an enabling environment for sustainable development, as recognized in Sustainable Development Goals 8 and 17.

The *2019 Survey* finds that overall economic conditions in the Asia-Pacific region are stable, with an estimated average GDP growth of 5.3 per cent in 2018 and projections of 5 and 5.1 per cent growth in 2019 and 2020, respectively, for developing countries in the region. However, export-oriented sectors face headwinds from weaker demand in Europe and possibly the United States, as well as uncertainty over United States-China trade tensions. It was estimated in the *ESCAP Asia-Pacific Trade and Investment Report 2018* that threatened tariffs could cause a net loss of at least 2.7 million jobs in the region.

Compared with 2018, countries in the region may now have greater monetary policy space to support the economy, given the pause in monetary policy normalization in the developed world and relatively stable global oil prices. However, this should be accompanied by macroprudential measures, especially in countries with relatively high household and corporate debt, such as China, Malaysia, the Republic of Korea and Thailand, or distressed bank assets, such as India.

In general, fiscal policy should play a more proactive role in supporting near- and long-term development needs, from social expenditures to infrastructure outlays and climate action. Average fiscal balances have improved since 2016, and average public debt as a share of GDP among developing countries in the region is projected to remain at a moderate level over the next five years. Moreover, what matters most is where and what the deficit and debt are being used for, as discussed further in chapter 3 in the context of achieving the Sustainable Development Goals.

Economic policies should support structural transformation towards sustainable development

The region's medium- to long-term prospects depend on structural transformation and broad-based productivity growth. The *2019 Survey* cautions against countries shifting from an agriculture-based economy to one in which services play a dominant role, bypassing the manufacturing sector. New frontier technologies may reduce the scope for industrialization in "late entrant" developing countries, while high-value-added services require skilled workers. This is all the more reason to invest in people and enabling infrastructure. At the same time, boosting agricultural productivity and rural industries would be important for ending poverty, as discussed in the forthcoming ESCAP *Countries with Special Needs Development Report 2019*.

The next phase of structural transformation in the region must be environment-friendly. The *2019 Survey* illustrates that investments to speed up the transition to more resource-efficient systems of production and consumption would not only reduce carbon emissions by a tenth compared with the historical trend scenario, but also deliver high economic returns and over time reduce the net financial cost to zero. It would certainly be less costly compared with the cost of inaction on climate change and resource depletion.

To achieve the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030, Asia-Pacific developing countries need to invest an additional \$1.5 trillion per year

The *2019 Survey* reveals that achieving the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030 would require an annual additional investment of \$1.5 trillion for Asia-Pacific developing countries – equivalent to 5 per cent of their combined GDP in 2018, or about 4 per cent in terms of the annual average GDP for the period 2016-2030. This is based on a broad definition of investment, which includes expenditures if they deliver clear social returns. At less than a dollar per person per day, such an investment is worthwhile as it would deliver the following:

- An escape for more than 400 million people from extreme poverty and malnutrition (Goals 1 and 2)
- Basic health care for all (Goal 3)
- A quality education for every child and youth (Goal 4)
- Improved access to transport, information and communications technology, and water and sanitation (Goals 6, 9, 11 and 17)

- Universal access to electricity and clean cooking (Goal 7)
- Increased use of renewables (Goals 7 and 13)
- Energy-efficient transport, buildings and industry (Goals 7 and 13)
- Climate/disaster-resilient infrastructure (Goals 9 and 13)
- Fundamental changes in the manner of producing and consuming (Goals 8 and 12)
- Protection of nature's wealth (Goals 14 and 15).

People- and planet-related interventions would account for most of the additional investment, with \$669 billion needed to support basic human rights and develop human capacities, and \$590 billion to secure humanity's future and live in harmony with nature. The remaining \$196 billion would be for enabling infrastructure.

For a region as large and diverse as Asia and the Pacific, the composition of the investment gap would vary considerably across subregions and country groups. *Least developed countries* and *South and South-West Asia* would need to scale up investments to end poverty and hunger and reach health and education targets, whereas *East and North-East Asia* would need to step up on clean energy and climate action. Given their high vulnerability to climate change, the *Pacific island developing States* would need additional investments in disaster-resilient infrastructure.

Investing in people is about realizing basic human rights and human capacities

Ending poverty and hunger is a matter of basic human rights. The *2019 Survey* proposes and costs four major interventions to reach these Goals: (a) *targeted cash transfers to eliminate poverty*, based on international poverty thresholds in accordance with target 1.1; (b) *a social protection floor for all ages*, based on national poverty thresholds and covering benefits for child, maternity, unemployment, disability and old-age pensions in accordance with targets 1.2 and 1.3; (c) *nutrition-specific interventions* to address wasting, breastfeeding, anaemia and stunting in accordance with target 2.2; and (d) *rural investments* to double agricultural productivity and small farmers' incomes, consisting of interventions ranging from primary agriculture and agroprocessing to R&D and extension in accordance with target 2.3. Taking these four areas together, the *2019 Survey* estimates an investment gap of \$373 billion per year, based on costing models and studies referenced in chapter 3.

The 2030 Agenda is also about giving everyone the chance to realize their full potential in life. This entails, among other things, making substantial advances in health-care services and quality education for all. Based on the *SDG Health Price Tag* of the World Health Organization, the *2019 Survey* estimates that an additional investment of \$158 billion, or \$38 per person per year, would be needed to scale up health systems ambitiously towards achieving Goal 3 targets. The package includes clinics and hospitals, doctors and nurses, supply chain and information systems, and commodities and supplies. On education, in extending the model of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization to increase country coverage, the *2019 Survey* estimates that an additional investment of \$138 billion per year would be needed for providing universal pre-primary to upper-secondary schooling of a certain quality, as measured by teachers' salaries and the pupil-teacher ratio. The cost also includes an additional budget for reaching the marginalized.

Investing in the planet is about securing humanity's future and living in harmony with nature

Climate change presents the single greatest threat to sustainable development. The *2019 Survey* estimates the additional investment required for climate change mitigation and adaptation. Based on the World Energy Model of the International Energy Agency, the *2019 Survey* estimates the cost of shifting from fossil fuel to renewable energy and enhancing energy efficiency in the transport, building and industry sectors, as well as achieving universal access to electricity and clean cooking. Such investment would deliver co-benefits in the form of reduced air pollution and associated premature death. Additionally, for building climate resilience into the transport, information and communications technology, and water and sanitation sectors, the *2019 Survey* applies a markup on the total capital and maintenance costs for new and existing infrastructure in those sectors. Taken together, an additional investment of \$434 billion per year would be needed for clean energy and climate-resilient infrastructure. The *2019 Survey* finds that, in the Pacific island developing States, the average annual loss associated with natural disasters is about 18 per cent of total infrastructure investment, or 9 times higher than the regional average.

The 2030 Agenda is also about environmental conservation. The Asia-Pacific region is home to the highest marine biodiversity in the world, with the longest and most diverse coral reef systems and more than half of the world's remaining mangrove areas. Based on the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020* and associated targets, the *2019 Survey* estimates that an additional investment of \$156 billion per year would be needed to conserve and restore ecosystems and biodiversity in the region, based on an underlying assumption of the business-as-usual approach in other segments of society. If progress is made on other Goals, including climate action, the financial needs can be reduced substantially.

To maximize impact, countries could harness synergies and prioritize Goals based on progress made and the investment required

How can various investments be translated effectively into desired outcomes? The answer will depend on countries' ability to harness synergies and address trade-offs through integrated planning. Health outcomes, for instance, depend not only on health-care services but also on nutrition, water, sanitation and air quality; thus, investments in these other areas could deliver health co-benefits. With good governance, such positive interactions are likely to intensify, resulting in a reduction in the long-term investment needed for achieving the Goals. At the same time, unless countries ensure that progress in one area does not come at the expense of another, long-term investment needs may increase.

Establishing priorities would require an understanding of where the region is on track, lagging or regressing vis-à-vis the Goals, and how much in the way of additional investments would be required in those respective areas. Based on the forthcoming ESCAP *SDG Progress Report*, Goals 1 to 4 are achievable but require sustained effort and targeted investment in certain aspects. Goals 7 and 13-15 are largely off-track and would require significant scaling up of investment – which is also likely to be the case for Goals 6 and 11, although precise requirements are less clear for urbanization. In comparison, progress in such Goals as reducing economic, social and gender inequality (Goals 5 and 10) and safeguarding peace and justice (Goal 16) hinges more on changes in vision, culture and other non-financial interventions.

Financing the investment gap requires a concerted effort driven by the assessment of fiscal space and leveraging the private sector

Some Goals are by their nature reliant on public funding, such as education, health, climate change adaptation and conservation, while others offer greater potential for private financing - infrastructure sectors, such as information and communications technology, power and renewable energy.

Public investment can be supported by increased tax collection or prudent sovereign borrowing. Given that the Asia-Pacific region has one of the world's lowest tax-to-GDP levels, better tax administration could increase those levels by 5-8 per cent in countries such as Cambodia, Myanmar and Tajikistan, for example. Wealth-based taxes and environmental taxes could contribute not only to revenues but also directly to the achievement of the Goals. While public debt levels are generally manageable, the *2019 Survey* reveals that countries with relatively wider investment gaps have limited access to international capital markets and face higher borrowing costs.

Aside from raising more fiscal resources, funds for the Goals can be increased by improving investment efficiency. Based on peer benchmarking, the *2019 Survey* estimates that Asia-Pacific developing countries can achieve similar levels of output and outcome in the health and education sectors using 30 per cent fewer resources than currently. Such inefficiencies arise, for instance, from the disconnect between schooling years and acquisition of basic skills. Potential savings are even higher in infrastructure sectors, at more than 50 per cent, where project appraisal, selection and management, coordination among government branches and a steady flow of resources for maintenance are important.

In terms of private financing, given the large amount of assets managed by the private financial sector – some \$51 trillion in the developing Asia-Pacific region – the challenge would be to redirect funds to sustainable development projects through innovative financial instruments, such as green bonds, and promoting new investor classes, such as in impact investment. Countries could also arrange risk-sharing through public-private partnerships. To maximize impact and mitigate drawbacks of private investment in the Goals, a strong regulatory framework and standards would be important, as would effective stakeholder engagement.

The journey towards sustainable development is affordable, if countries work together through development partnership and regional cooperation

While the financial requirement for sustainable development is within reach for many countries, others face daunting challenges. The *2019 Survey* reveals that the funding gap is as high as 16 per cent of GDP for *least developed countries* and 10 per cent for countries in *South and South-West Asia*. Similarly, the *Pacific island developing States* face additional challenges given their high vulnerability to climate change, for which they are not responsible. Strong development partnerships can ensure that these countries are not left behind. Guided by the ESCAP *Regional Road Map* for implementing the 2030 Agenda, North-South, South-South and triangular cooperation, as well as strengthened multilateral financing mechanisms, will be essential to accelerating progress towards sustainable development in all of Asia and the Pacific.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The *Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific* is a flagship publication of the United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP). Published annually since 1947, the *Survey* has been a valuable companion to policymakers, civil society, academia and other stakeholders in the Asia-Pacific region for decades, providing forward-looking analyses and recommendations on economic conditions and key sustainable development challenges.

The *Survey* is produced under the direction of the Executive Secretary and the Editorial Board of ESCAP, with contributions of staff from its substantive divisions and subregional offices. It draws on expertise available from across the United Nations system.

This 2019 edition of the *Survey* was prepared by a core team led by Sweta C. Saxena, including Nixie Abarquez, Shuvojit Banerjee, Zhenqian Huang (chapter 2 lead), Achara Jantarsaengaram, Zheng Jian, Daniel Jeongdae Lee (chapter 3 lead), Kiatkanid Pongpanich, and Vatcharin Sirimaneetham of the Macroeconomic Policy and Financing for Development Division.

Hongjoo Hahm, Deputy Executive Secretary of ESCAP, and Hamza Ali Malik, Director of the Macroeconomic Policy and Financing for Development Division, provided overall guidance and management.

ESCAP staff who provided valuable inputs and feedback include: Kohji Iwakami, Michael Williamson and Anis Zaman (Energy Division); Stefanos Fotiou, Arun Jacob, Solene Le Doze and Katinka Weinberger (Environment and Development Division); Tiziana Bonapace, Siopé Vakataki Ofa, Atsuko Okuda, Matthew Perkins and Sanjay Srivastava (Information and Communications Technology and Disaster Risk Reduction Division); Jyoti Bisbey, Andrzej Bolesta and Yusuke Tateno (Macroeconomic Policy and Financing for Development Division); Predrag Savic, Vanessa Steinmayer and Paul Tacon (Social Development Division); Arman Bidarbakht Nia and Gemma Van Halderen (Statistics Division); Witada Anukoonwattaka, Yann Duval, Alexey Kravchenko and Mia Mikic (Trade, Investment and Innovation Division); Weimin Ren and Azhar Jaimurzina Ducrest (Transport Division); Laura Altinger and Naylin Oo (Regional Advisors); Ma. Fideles Sadicon (ESCAP Subregional Office for East and North-East Asia); Ulukbek Usubaliev (ESCAP Subregional Office for North and Central Asia); Sanjesh Naidu (ESCAP Subregional Office for the Pacific); and Goksu Aslan and Nagesh Kumar (ESCAP Subregional Office for South and South-West Asia).

The United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) provided technical and financial support. Great appreciation is extended to the UNICEF Headquarters, East Asia and Pacific Regional Office, and the Europe and Central Asia Regional Office for technical inputs, review and feedback. The contributions of Joanne Bosworth, Jun Fan, Akihiro Fushimi, Anu Paudyal Gautam, Kunihiko Chris Hirabayashi, Guy Hutton, Evariste Kouassi-Komlan, Shirley Mark Prabhu and Christiane Rudert are acknowledged.

Special thanks are due to Dawn Holland (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs), Tae-Yoon Kim (International Energy Agency) and Karin Stenberg (World Health Organization), who contributed their modelling work and long-term projections to the analyses in the report. Appreciation is extended to Guido Schmidt-Traub (United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network) for sharing his knowledge and experience on the costing of the Sustainable Development Goals.

The following consultants provided substantive inputs: Steven Arquitt; Candice Lea Marie Branchoux; Yiliang Li; Heinz Schandl; Annababette Wils; and Yong Yoon.

The report benefited from extensive debates and suggestions from a group of policymakers, scholars and development practitioners who acted as external peer reviewers and/or provided inputs at the Expert Group Meeting held in Bangkok on 15 and 16 November 2018 and on other occasions. *From national think-tanks, regional organizations and academia*, they include: Liangchun Deng (Wuhan University); Alexandros Gasparatos (University of Tokyo); Neelesh Gounder (University of the South Pacific); Fahmida Khatun (Centre for Policy Dialogue, Bangladesh); Sunil Motiwal (SAARC Development Fund); Prajal Pradhan (Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research); Raymond Prasad (Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat); Celia Reyes (Philippine Institute for Development Studies); Rathin Roy (National Institute for Public Finance and Policy, India); Chuluun Togtokh (National University of Mongolia); Dushni Weerakoon (Institute of Policy Studies, Sri Lanka); and Fauziah Zen (Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia). *From the United Nations and other international organizations*, they include: Edimon Ginting (Asian Development Bank); Steven Hong (Global Infrastructure Hub); Olivia Chen (International Energy Agency); Andres Acuna-Ulate, Taneem Muzaffar and Akiko Sakamoto (International Labour Organization); Holger Van Eden (International Monetary Fund); Aikaterini Kavallari (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations); Wivina Belmonte (United Nations Children's Fund); Michal Podolski and Mathieu Verougstraete (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs); Tom Beloe, Glenn Hodes, Suren Poghosyan, Scott Standley and Tim Strawson (United Nations Development Programme); Manos Antoninis, Bilal Barakat and Nyi Nyi Thaung (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization); Richard Bolwijn and Daniel Munevar Sastre (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development); and Fernanda Ruiz-Nunez (World Bank).

Chawarin Klongdee provided excellent research assistance. Sutinee Yeamkitpibul proofread the manuscript and provided valuable administrative assistance, including support for the publication's launch (both from the Macroeconomic Policy and Financing for Development Division).

ESCAP Interns – Lena Kaiser, Julie Naegelen, Yu Chong Nam, Dyah Savitri Pritadrajati, Jittapat Sirison and Sonam Choden Wangdi – provided inputs to specific parts of the report and excellent research assistance.

The manuscript was edited by John Loftus. The graphic design and layout were created by Prang Priyatrak. The printing was provided by Thammada Press Co., Ltd.

Ricardo Dunn, Katie Elles and Kavita Sukanandan, from the ESCAP Strategic Communications and Advocacy Section, coordinated the media launch and dissemination of the report. Mahesh Uniyal supported the dissemination of the report.