

Emotive Interjections in British English

A corpus-based study on variation in acquisition, function and usage

Ulrike Stange

tudies in Corpus Linguistics

75

JOHN BENJAMINS PUBLISHING COMPANY

Emotive Interjections in British English

Studies in Corpus Linguistics (SCL)

ISSN 1388-0373

SCL focuses on the use of corpora throughout language study, the development of a quantitative approach to linguistics, the design and use of new tools for processing language texts, and the theoretical implications of a data-rich discipline.

For an overview of all books published in this series, please see http://benjamins.com/catalog/books/scl

General Editor

Elena Tognini-Bonelli The Tuscan Word Centre/ The University of Siena

Advisory Board

Michael Barlow University of Auckland

Douglas Biber Northern Arizona University

Marina Bondi

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia

Christopher S. Butler University of Wales, Swansea

Sylviane Granger University of Louvain M.A.K. Halliday

University of Sydney
Yang Huizhong

Jiao Tong University, Shanghai

Susan Hunston

University of Birmingham

Consulting Editor

Wolfgang Teubert University of Birmingham

Graeme Kennedy

Victoria University of Wellington

Michaela Mahlberg University of Birmingham

Anna Mauranen University of Helsinki

Ute Römer

Georgia State University

Jan Svartvik University of Lund John M. Swales University of Michigan

Martin Warren

The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Volume 75

Emotive Interjections in British English. A corpus-based study on variation in acquisition, function and usage by Ulrike Stange

Emotive Interjections in British English

A corpus-based study on variation in acquisition, function and usage

Ulrike Stange
Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz

John Benjamins Publishing Company Amsterdam/Philadelphia



The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences – Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI 239.48-1984.

Cover design: Françoise Berserik Cover illustration from original painting *Random Order* by Lorenzo Pezzatini, Florence, 1996.

DOI 10.1075/scl.75

Cataloging-in-Publication Data available from Library of Congress: LCCN 2015043359 (PRINT) / 2015048483 (E-BOOK)

ISBN 978 90 272 1072 2 (HB) ISBN 978 90 272 6740 5 (E-BOOK)

© 2016 - John Benjamins B.V.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm, or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

John Benjamins Publishing Company · https://benjamins.com



Table of contents

List	t of tables	XI
List	t of figures	XV
Abł	previations	XVII
Syn	nbols used in transcripts	XIX
Ack	cnowledgements	XXI
CHA	APTER 1	
Intı	roduction	1
1.1	Aims and scope 2	
1.2	Structure of the book 4	
CHA	APTER 2	
Inte	erjections: Preparing the ground	5
2.1	Definitions and approaches 5	
2.2	The categorisation of interjections 8	
	2.2.1 Primary and secondary interjections 8	
	2.2.2 Expressive, conative and phatic interjections 9	
	2.2.3 Expletive interjections 14	
	2.2.4 Onomatopoeia 16	
2.3	Parameters of interjectionality 17	
2.4	Re-defining and categorising interjections 18	
2.5	Interjections selected for analysis 21	
CHA	APTER 3	
Inte	erjections as a means of expressing emotions	25
3.1	Emotive interjections: At the interface of emotion research	
	and linguistics 25	
3.2	Emotions and feelings communicated via interjections 30	
3.3	Emotive interjections and (first) language acquisition 31	

CHA	PTER 4	
Pro	perties of emotive interjections	33
4.1	Formal properties 34	
	4.1.1 Phonetics and phonology 34	
	4.1.2 Morphology and word-formation processes 36	
	4.1.3 Semantics 38	
	4.1.4 Pragmatic features 42	
	4.1.5 Interjections and gestures 45	
	4.1.6 Syntax 47	
	4.1.7 Etymology 48	
4.2	Functions and usage 50	
	4.2.1 Interjections of pain 52	
	4.2.2 Interjections of disgust 56	
	4.2.3 Interjections of surprise I 61	
	4.2.4 Interjections of surprise II 66	
СНА	PTER 5	
Rese	earch design	71
5.1	Purpose of the present case study 71	
5.2	Research questions 72	
5.3	Data sets 75	
5.4	Method 77	
5.5	A brief note on register 80	
5.6	Methodological issues 81	
СНА	PTER 6	
Emo	otive interjections in British English: A case study	85
6.1	Interjections of pain 85	
	6.1.1 Ow! and Ouch! in child speech 85	
	6.1.2 Ow! and Ouch! in adult speech 102	
	6.1.3 Ow! and Ouch! in child vs. adult speech 111	
6.2	Interjections of disgust 114	
	6.2.1 Ugh! and Yuck! in child speech 114	
	6.2.2 Ugh! and Yuck! in adult speech 123	
	6.2.3 Ugh! and Yuck! in child vs. adult speech 132	
6.3	Interjections of surprise I 136	
	6.3.1 Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in child speech 136	
	6.3.2 Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in adult speech 152	
	6.3.3 Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in child vs. adult speech 160	

6.4	Inter	jections of surprise II 165	
	6.4.1	Wow! in child speech 165	
	6.4.2	Wow! in adult speech 170	
	6.4.3	Wow! in child vs. adult speech 175	
СНА	PTER 7	7	
Emo	otive in	nterjections in British English: Summary and implications	177
7.1	Emot	tive interjections in child speech 177	
	7.1.1	Asano 1997 – Stange 2009 – present case study 183	
	7.1.2	Interjections: The relevance of input in early childhood 185	
	7.1.3	Cognitive and social-emotional development:	
		Effects on the use of interjections in child speech 186	
7.2	Emot	tive interjections in adult speech 191	
7.3	Emot	tive interjections in child vs. adult speech 195	
7.4	Re-de	efining and categorising interjections – revisited 199	
СНА	PTER 8	3	
Con	clusio	n and outlook	203
Refe	erence	s	207
App	endix		215
Inde	ex		219

List of tables

Table 2.1	Frequency of selected primary emotive and phatic interjections	
	in spoken language	7
Table 5.1	Postulated order of acquisition for single segments	
	in syllable structure (Crystal 1987a: 36)	73
Table 5.2	Postulated order of acquisition of emotive interjections	
	based on phonological criteria	74
Table 5.3	Overview: Data Sets I–III	77
Table 5.4	Data Set I subsets	78
Table 6.1	Distribution of Ow! and Ouch! in Data Set I	87
Table 6.2	Frequency of Ow! and Ouch! in child speech	88
Table 6.3	Functions of <i>Ow!</i> and <i>Ouch!</i> in child speech – age group II	93
Table 6.4	Functions of <i>Ow!</i> and <i>Ouch!</i> in child speech – age group III	9
Table 6.5	Functions of <i>Ow!</i> and <i>Ouch!</i> in child speech – age group IV	96
Table 6.6	Children using Ow! and Ouch! in Data Set I	97
Table 6.7	Functions of <i>Ow!</i> in child speech	98
Table 6.8	Functions of <i>Ouch!</i> in child speech	99
Table 6.9	Functions of Ow! and Ouch! in child speech – age groups II–IV	10
Table 6.10	Ow! or Ouch!: variation in child speech	10
Table 6.11	Frequency of Ow! and Ouch! in adult speech	102
Table 6.12	Functions of Ow! and Ouch! in adult speech I	103
Table 6.13	Ow! and Ouch! in child-directed speech	108
Table 6.14	Functions of Ow! and Ouch! in adult speech II	110
Table 6.15	Ow! or Ouch!: variation in child-directed speech	110
Table 6.16	Frequency of Ow! and Ouch!: child speech vs. adult speech	112
Table 6.17	Functions of Ow! and Ouch!: child speech vs. adult speech	112
Table 6.18	Ow! and Ouch!: distribution of functions across registers	113
Table 6.19	Distribution of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in Data Set I	115
Table 6.20	Frequency of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in child speech	116
Table 6.21	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in child speech – age group III	119
Table 6.22	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in child speech: age group IV	120
Table 6.23	Children using <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in Data Set I	12
Table 6.24	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in child speech I	122
Table 6.25	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in child speech II	122

Table 6.26	<i>Ugh!</i> or <i>Yuck!</i> : variation in child speech	123
Table 6.27	Frequency of Ugh! and Yuck! in adult speech	124
Table 6.28	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in adult speech I	125
Table 6.29	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> in adult speech II	131
Table 6.30	Ugh! or Yuck!: variation in adult speech	131
Table 6.31	Frequency of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> : child speech vs. adult speech	132
Table 6.32	Functions of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> : child speech vs. adult speech	133
Table 6.33	Ugh! and Yuck!: distribution of functions across registers	134
Table 6.34	Contextualisation of <i>Ugh!</i> and <i>Yuck!</i> : Position of explanation	
	relative to the interjection	135
Table 6.35	Distribution of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in Data Set I	137
Table 6.36	Frequency of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in child speech	138
Table 6.37	Functions of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in child speech –	
	age group II	143
Table 6.38	Functions of <i>Whoops!</i> and <i>Whoopsadaisy!</i> in child speech –	
	age group III	145
Table 6.39	Functions of <i>Whoops!</i> and <i>Whoopsadaisy!</i> in child speech –	
	age group IV	146
Table 6.40	Children using Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in Data Set I	147
Table 6.41	Functions of Whoopsadaisy! in child speech	147
Table 6.42	Functions of <i>Whoops!</i> in child speech	148
Table 6.43	Results for first occurrences of spill cries:	
	Asano (1997) – present study	150
Table 6.44	Functions of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in child speech I	150
Table 6.45	Functions of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in child speech II	151
Table 6.46	Whoops! or Whoopsadaisy!: variation in child speech	151
Table 6.47	Frequency of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in adult speech	153
Table 6.48	Functions of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in adult speech I	154
Table 6.49	Functions of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy! in adult speech II	159
Table 6.50	Frequency of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy!: child speech	_
m 11 < =1	vs. adult speech	161
Table 6.51	Functions of Whoops! and Whoopsadaisy!: child speech	
m 11 .c.50	vs. adult speech	162
	Co-occurrences of spill cries and <i>Oh dear!</i>	163
Table 6.53	Co-occurrences of spill cries and <i>Uhoh!</i>	163
Table 6.54	Causes for the production of spill cries when co-occurring	-7:
T.11. 4 55	with Oh dear! or Uhoh!	164
Table 6.55	Distribution of Wow! in Data Set I	165
Table 6.56	Frequency of <i>Wow!</i> in child speech	166
1able 6.57	Functions of <i>Wow!</i> in child speech – age group II	167

Table 6.58	Functions of Wow! in child speech - age group III	168
Table 6.59	Functions of Wow! in child speech – age group IV	168
Table 6.60	Functions of <i>Wow!</i> in child speech I	169
Table 6.61	Functions of Wow! in child speech II	169
Table 6.62	Frequency of Wow! in adult speech	170
Table 6.63	Functions of Wow! in adult speech	171
Table 6.64	Frequency of Wow!: child speech vs. adult speech	175
Table 6.65	Functions of Wow!: child speech vs. adult speech	176
Table 7.1	Frequency of emotive interjections in child speech	179
Table 7.2	Comparison of studies on the acquisition of emotive interjections	
	in early childhood	184
Table 7.3	Acquiring emotive interjections – correlation between child out-	
	put and caregiver input	185
Table 7.4	Milestones in early cognitive and social-emotional development	
	(based on Berk 2005: 274f., 374f.)	186
Table 7.5	Cognitive processing load involved in production of spill cries	190
Table 7.6	Frequency of emotive interjections in child-directed speech	191
Table 7.7	Frequency of emotive interjections in adult-directed speech	192
Table 7.8	Frequency of emotive interjections in adult speech	193
Table 7.9	Frequency of emotive interjections across registers	195
Table 7.10	Frequency of emotive interjections in child and adult speech	197
Table 1.	Data Set I: overview	215
Table 2.	Data Set I: number of tokens in subsets for each subject	215
Table 3.	Data Set I: details part I	216
Table 4.	Data Set I: details part II	216
Table 5.	Data Set I: details part III	217
Table 6.	Stages of grammatical acquisition	218

List of figures

Figure 2.1	Jakobson's communication model (1960)	10
Figure 2.2	Continuum of interjectionality	17
Figure 2.3	Stange's classification of interjections	19
Figure 3.1	Overlapping speech and affect expression	26
Figure 3.2	Venn diagram of three hypothetical types of central representation	
	of component processes (Scherer 2004)	28
Figure 3.3	Types of communication in speech (Arndt and Janney 1991)	29
Figure 7.1	Frequency of emotive interjections in natural speech	196
Figure 7.2	The emotion-cognition continuum of interjectionality	200

Abbreviations

ages year;month.day; e.g. $2;3.17 \rightarrow 2$ years, 3 months and 17 days

year;month; e.g. $2;3 \rightarrow 2$ years and 3 months

ADS adult-directed speech

AG age group AS adult speech

BNC British National Corpus

CHI child

CHILDES Child Language Data Exchange System

CDS child-directed speech

CS child speech

df degree(s) of freedom

EFL English as a foreign language

INV investigator

IO interjectional occurrences

LPD Longman Pronunciation Dictionary

MOT mother N/A not available

OED Oxford English Dictionary

OED online digital version of the OED; http://www.oed.com

pmw per million words sd standard deviation UnkSp unknown speaker

Symbols used in transcripts

[*] ungrammatical form [?] best guess at a word @o onomatopoeia

#3 pause; figure indicates length of pause in seconds

actions without speechinterruption by interlocutor

+//. self-interruption
: lengthening of word
%par para-linguistic information
%com extra-linguistic information

[/] restart

[=!text] para-linguistic material, e.g.[=!cries]

[%text] comment

& phonological fragment of word

xxx unintelligible speech

yyy phonological coding for items deviant from 'normal' words

www untranscribed material

[...] omitted speech material irrelevant to analysis

See MacWhinney (2000) for full details.

Acknowledgements

The present book is the revised version of my PhD thesis that I submitted and defended in 2014 at Mainz University. My thanks go first and foremost to my supervisor Günther Lampert for interesting me in this topic, for providing continued guidance, support and encouragement, and for offering helpful feedback on my work throughout the years. I also wish to epxress my gratitude to Britta Mondorf, the Stipendienstiftung Rheinland-Pfalz, the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), GlaxoSmithKline, and a number of institutions at Mainz University (Department of English and Linguistics, Allgemeines Promotionskolleg, Frauenförderung, Friedrich Schubel-Stiftung, inneruniversitäre Forschungsförderung) for financial support of various kinds.

Many heartfelt thanks go to my colleague Matthias Eitelmann for always offering words of encouragment when needed, for his contagious optimism, for sharing the interest in bringing together pleasure and academia, and for providing valuable feedback on parts of my PhD thesis. I have also received helpful comments on my work from Damaris Nübling, Petr Rösel, Svenja Kranich and an anonymous reviewer from Benjamins, and profited from presenting and discussing my work at various conferences. I appreciate the constructive comments and suggestions made. Of course, despite the help I received, any errors or shortcomings of this work are entirely my own.

Not to forget, I am particularly grateful for having been able to freely access the data I needed for my research project. Not having to collect, transcribe and code the data myself saved me a lot of time, and I sincerely thank the people involved in maintaining the *CHILDES* database and the *BNC*.

Lastly, and most importantly, I wish to thank my family and friends for bearing with me throughout this difficult project, for offering love, encouragement and understanding, and for keeping me sane. Thank you!

Introduction

After centuries of neglect due to their disputed status as linguistic items, interjections like *Ouch!* or *Whoops!* have started to attract considerable interest over the last couple of decades. Slowly but steadily, they are gaining more ground as subjects of linguistic research. The controversy surrounding interjections stems from the fact that they exhibit a number of particularities that go counter to what is perceived as linguistic. These characteristics include, among other things, their virtually being restricted to the spoken domain, their potentially containing extralinguistic sounds (for instance the sequence of post-alveolar clicks found in English *Tut-tut!*), their occasionally violating phonotactic constraints (*Psst!* has no vocalic nucleus), their predominantly serving as reflexlike expressions of emotion, their not being primarily designed to be communicative, as well as their systematically lacking syntactic integration into utterances. They are generally seen as spontaneous verbal expressions in reaction to a certain event or stimulus, and as having little in common with 'normal' spoken language.¹

Despite the increasing interest in this peculiar linguistic phenomenon, interjections still require a consensual definition. Up to the present, there is not even agreement on which items should fall into the category of interjections in the first place. In addition to that, there still is no unanimity as regards their being a part of language or not. This discussion is fuelled by the fact that interjections exhibit heterogeneity in form: they may be closely linked to gestures and body reflexes (*Ugh!*), but also to 'proper language' in that they look and sound like ordinary words (*Yuck!*), and there are also interjections found between these two extremes (*Ups!*) (cf. Wilkins 1992: 122).

To date, there is still a distinct lack of exhaustive descriptions regarding the specifics of interjections in language use based on empirical data (cf. Kowal & O'Connell 2004: 5; cf. also Norrick 2015: 249). As a result, a wide chasm exists between the meanings of interjections as represented in theoretical accounts based on introspection and the actual range of functions in which speakers use them. To say that *Ow!* is an expression of pain, for instance, is very vague. I will show that this interjection can refer to pains of various nature (namely experienced pain,

^{1.} *Spontaneous* is used here in the sense of: 'said in a natural and often sudden way and without a lot of thought or planning' (Webster 2014 – *spontaneous*).

imaginary pain and anticipated pain), and it can also be used to refer to someone else's pain (no matter whether it is real, imaginary or anticipated). Additionally, it is also perfectly possible to produce *Ow!* or *Ouch!* to express sympathy on hearing unpleasant stories (e.g. the use of an interjection of pain as a response to a friend telling you she complained bitterly about her boss on the phone, unaware that he was standing right behind her, listening in). As can be seen, interjections are multifaceted in their range of functions, and it will prove beneficial to study this variation in use in more detail.

1.1 Aims and scope

This book focuses on so-called emotive interjections; i.e. those interjections that spontaneously reveal how the speaker feels (e.g. *Ow!*, *Ugh!*, *Wow!*, cf. Nübling 2004:13). As a result, the discussion of other types of interjectional expressions (like *Ah!*, *Psst!* or *hm*) is limited to the outline of how interjections are categorised (Chapter 2.2). The present case study then explores the use of selected emotive interjections (expressing pain, disgust and surprise) from three different perspectives, viz. child speech, child-directed speech and adult-directed speech.² More specifically, it aims at detecting and identifying differences in the use of these interjections both as regards their frequency of use and in which contexts speakers produce them. Moreover, this study will address the questions of how children acquire these interjections and how they use them throughout early childhood (between the ages of 1;8 and 3;0), because existing studies on the acquisition of interjections have merely scratched the surface (Stange 2009, Asano 1997, Meng & Schrabback 1994). Accordingly, the present case study investigates the following range of phenomena:

- a. the acquisition of interjections in early childhood (in particular their age of acquisition and the contexts in which they first occur in child speech)
- b. the use of interjections in child speech (with a focus on any changes pertaining to their use in terms of frequency and range of functions during early childhood)

^{2.} Child-directed speech is a "simplified register" (Ferguson 1977) that typically displays a number of prominent features. These include, for instance, the use of simple syntax and redundancy of utterances (cf. Snow 1972:561), as well as the use of diminutives, the substitution of difficult sounds with easier ones, exaggerated intonation and accentuation, higher pitch and the use of proper names instead of personal pronouns (cf. Ferguson 1977: 222f.). See the seminal work of Snow (1972) and Snow & Ferguson (1977) for details on motherese.