

Linde
international

Lang

INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW OF DOUBLE TAXATION CONVENTIONS

3rd Edition



Lang

Introduction to the Law of Double Taxation Conventions

Introduction to the Law of Double Taxation Conventions

Michael Lang

3rd edition



Linde
international

Zitervorschlag: *Lang*, Introduction to the Law of Double Taxation Conventions³ (2021) p.

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Hinweis: Aus Gründen der leichten Lesbarkeit wird auf eine geschlechtsspezifische Differenzierung verzichtet. Entsprechende Begriffe gelten im Sinne der Gleichbehandlung für alle Geschlechter.

Das Werk ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Alle Rechte, insbesondere die Rechte der Verbreitung, der Vervielfältigung, der Übersetzung, des Nachdrucks und der Wiedergabe auf fotomechanischem oder ähnlichem Wege, durch Fotokopie, Mikrofilm oder andere elektronische Verfahren sowie der Speicherung in Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, bleiben, auch bei nur auszugsweiser Verwertung, dem Verlag vorbehalten.


Es wird darauf verwiesen, dass alle Angaben in diesem Fachbuch trotz sorgfältiger Bearbeitung ohne Gewähr erfolgen und eine Haftung der Autoren oder des Verlages ausgeschlossen ist.

ISBN 978-3-7143-0367-4 (Print, Linde)
ISBN 978-90-8722-684-8 (Print, IBFD)
ISBN 978-3-7094-0862-9 (E-Book-PDF, Linde)
ISBN 978-90-8722-686-2 (E-Book-PDF, IBFD)
ISBN 978-3-7094-0863-6 (E-Book-ePub, Linde)
ISBN 978-90-8722-685-5 (E-Book-ePub, IBFD)

© Linde Verlag Ges.m.b.H., Wien 2021
1210 Wien, Scheydgasse 24, Tel.: 01/24 630
www.lindeverlag.at

Druck: Hans Jentsch & Co GmbH
1210 Wien, Scheydgasse 31

Dieses Buch wurde in Österreich hergestellt.



Gedruckt nach der Richtlinie des
Österreichischen Umweltzeichens
„Druckerzeugnisse“, Druckerei
Hans Jentsch & Co GmbH,
UW-Nr. 790



Preface

The first edition of this book was published in June 2010, the second in September 2013. Since then, legislation, case law and academic discussion have developed further.

Accordingly, since the last version, this book takes into account the developments and recommendations from the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project, changes of the new UN Model and OECD Model that have taken place in its more recent versions published in 2017, modifications on the application of some existing tax treaties according to Action 15 BEPS Project “The Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting” (MLI), and the most recent judgments delivered by courts all over the world.

I would like to thank the entire team of the Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law that has considerably supported me over several months in the course of this project. Especially, research and teaching associates Cristóbal Pérez Jarpa, Xiangdan Luo, Jean-Philippe van West, Christina Dimitropoulou, Ioana-Felicia Rosca, Gabriela Capristano Cardoso, Martin Klokar, Florian Fiala, Raphael Holzinger, Svitlana Buriak, Andreas Ullmann, Annika Streicher, Claire Peng, Shimeng Lan, Clement Migai, Angelina Papulova, and Karol Dzwinski have worked intensively towards the publication of this book. I would like to thank them with all my heart for their excellent commitment and efforts as well as for their numerous critical remarks that have substantially enriched the contents of this book. Moreover, I am grateful to the publishing houses Linde (Vienna) and IBFD (Amsterdam), who have taken over this publication project. I am happy about this cooperation, which will ensure that the book will be available globally.

Vienna, November 2020

Michael Lang

Preface to the first edition

For many years now I have been holding lectures on the law of double taxation conventions. In the course of my teaching activities I have also developed relevant course materials. In 1997, these materials were compiled in a small book, which I first published in German. In 2002, the second edition of this book was released. From its conception, the book was aimed to provide both students and practitioners with the basic issues of the system and the application of double taxation conventions.

Originally published in German, the book now serves as a basis for this volume. It has been fundamentally edited and modified. Not only were the developments in international tax law that have occurred during the past 8 years incorporated but the contents of the book were also globalized: The book will be useful for all students and practitioners who are dealing with questions of double taxation conventions – irrespective of their national background. The book therefore does not consider one jurisdiction in particular but rather takes examples from a wide range of different countries and their jurisdictions. I hope that in this way it can be of help and use for students and practitioners from all around the world.

I would like to thank the entire team of the Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law that has considerably supported me over several months in the course of this project. Especially, the research and teaching associates Veronika Daurer and Oliver-Christoph Günther and the research associates Francesco Avella and Shauna Pitman have worked intensively towards the publication of this book. I would like to thank them with all my heart for their excellent engagement and efforts as well as for their numerous critical remarks which have substantially enriched the contents of the book. Moreover, I am grateful to the publishing houses Linde (Vienna) and IBFD (Amsterdam), who have taken over this publication project. I am happy about this cooperation, which will ensure that the book will be globally available.

Vienna, June 2010

Michael Lang

Table of Contents

Preface	V
List of abbreviations	XIII
Literature cited in the text	XVII
List of court cases	XXI
1. The problem of double taxation	1
1.1. Basics of international law	1
1.2. Circumstances giving rise to double taxation	1
1.2.1. Taxation of worldwide income (full tax liability) in two states	1
1.2.2. Full tax liability and limited tax liability	2
1.2.3. Limited tax liability in two states	2
1.2.4. Economic double taxation	3
1.3. Elimination of double taxation	3
1.3.1. Double taxation conventions	3
1.3.2. Unilateral measures	4
2. State practice in the conclusion of DTCs	5
2.1. Conventions in international law	5
2.2. The importance of model conventions	5
2.3. The importance of the OECD Model	6
2.4. Bilateral peculiarities	7
2.5. Bringing the Tax Treaties in Line with the OECD Model	8
3. The effects of DTCs	9
3.1. The allocation of taxing rights	9
3.2. The limiting effects of DTCs	9
3.3. The relationship to domestic law	10
3.3.1. Implementation of DTCs into domestic law	10
3.3.2. Priority of DTC law	10
3.3.3. Priority of domestic law	11
3.3.4. What to consider first in practice: DTC or domestic law?	11
4. The interpretation of double taxation conventions	13
4.1. Principles of interpretation in international law	13
4.2. The use of principles of interpretation stemming from international law with respect to DTCs	16
4.2.1. Autonomy of DTC law	16
4.2.2. The importance of domestic law	17
4.2.3. The importance of the OECD Model and its Commentary	19

Table of Contents

4.2.4.	The importance of changes in the OECD Model and its Commentary	22
4.2.5.	The importance of OECD reports	25
4.2.6.	The importance of administrative practice	26
4.2.7.	The importance of other countries' court decisions	26
4.3.	The importance of interpretation rules set out in DTCs	27
4.3.1.	Art. 3(2) OECD Model	27
4.3.2.	The binding qualification of the source state according to Art. 23(1) OECD Model	30
4.3.3.	The relevance of later commentaries	33
5.	Treaty abuse	35
5.1.	Denial of treaty benefits	35
5.2.	The application of domestic anti-abuse principles?	35
5.3.	The application of abuse rules of international law?	36
5.4.	Art. 29(9) OECD Model – The Principal Purpose Test	37
6.	The structure and system of DTCs	39
6.1.	Applying the convention	39
6.2.	Persons covered	40
6.3.	Taxes covered	41
6.4.	Allocation rules	41
6.5.	Methods for elimination of double taxation	43
7.	Persons covered	45
7.1.	Full tax liability as a prerequisite for the application of the DTCs	45
7.1.1.	Full tax liability	45
7.1.2.	Effects of the DTC non-discrimination rules	46
7.1.3.	Effects of other DTCs	46
7.2.	Treaty entitlement of corporate entities that are subject to limited tax liability	47
7.2.1.	Public law corporations	47
7.2.2.	Corporate entities that are exempt from full tax liability	48
7.3.	Treaty entitlement of partnerships and/or partners	48
7.4.	Treaty entitlement and limitations	50
7.5.	Residence state in the case of dual residence	51
7.5.1.	Necessity of determining the residence state	51
7.5.1.1.	Allocation rules	51
7.5.1.2.	Method article	52
7.5.2.	Criteria	52
7.5.2.1.	Permanent home	52
7.5.2.2.	Centre of vital interests	53

7.5.2.3. Habitual abode	53
7.5.2.4. Nationality	54
7.5.2.5. Mutual agreement procedure	54
7.5.2.6. Place of effective management	54
8. Taxes covered	56
8.1. Taxes on income	56
8.2. Taxes on capital	56
8.3. Comparability	57
8.4. Inheritance and gift taxes	58
9. Allocation rules	59
9.1. Income from immovable property	59
9.1.1. Immovable property	59
9.1.2. Allocation of taxing rights	59
9.2. Business profits	60
9.2.1. Profits of an enterprise	60
9.2.2. Allocation of taxing rights	61
9.3. International shipping and air transport	67
9.3.1. International shipping and air transport	67
9.3.2. Allocation of taxing rights	67
9.4. Dividends	68
9.4.1. Dividends	68
9.4.2. Allocation of taxing rights	69
9.5. Interest	72
9.5.1. Interest	72
9.5.2. Allocation of taxing rights	72
9.6. Royalties	74
9.6.1. Royalties	74
9.6.2. Allocation of taxing rights	74
9.7. Capital gains	75
9.7.1. Alienation	75
9.7.2. Allocation of taxing rights	76
9.8. Independent personal services	78
9.8.1. Independent personal services	78
9.8.2. Allocation of taxing rights	79
9.9. Income from employment	81
9.9.1. Income from employment	81
9.9.2. Allocation of taxing rights	82
9.10. Directors' fees	84
9.10.1. Directors' fees	84
9.10.2. Allocation of taxing rights	84

Table of Contents

9.11. Entertainers and sportspersons	85
9.11.1. Entertainers and sportspersons	85
9.11.2. Allocation of taxing rights	85
9.12. Pensions	86
9.12.1. Pensions	86
9.12.2. Allocation of taxing rights	87
9.13. Government service	88
9.13.1. Government service	88
9.13.2. Allocation of taxing rights	89
9.14. Students	90
9.14.1. Payments to students and business apprentices for their maintenance, education or training	90
9.14.2. Allocation of taxing rights	90
9.15. Other Income	91
9.15.1. The concept of “other income”	91
9.15.2. Allocation of taxing rights	92
9.16. Taxation of capital	92
9.16.1. Capital	92
9.16.2. Allocation of taxing rights	93
10. Methods for elimination of double taxation	94
10.1. The importance of the method article	94
10.1.1. Relation to the allocation rules	94
10.1.2. Credit and exemption method	94
10.1.3. Switch-over clauses	95
10.1.4. No effect of the method articles	96
10.2. Exemption method	97
10.2.1. Effects	97
10.2.2. Exemption from tax base	98
10.2.3. Progression	99
10.3. Credit method	101
10.3.1. Effects	101
10.3.2. Amount of allowable tax	102
10.3.3. Maximum credit	104
11. The implementation of treaty benefits in both contracting states	107
11.1. Source state	107
11.2. Residence state	108
11.3. The importance of the evidence of taxation in the other contracting state	108
12. The arm’s length principle of Art. 9 OECD Model	110

13. Non-discrimination	114
13.1. Scope of application of non-discrimination rules	114
13.2. Non-discrimination on the grounds of nationality	114
13.3. Non-discrimination on the grounds of the PE of an enterprise	115
13.4. Non-discrimination according to Art. 24(4) OECD Model	116
13.5. Non-discrimination in connection with the shareholders/ partners of a company	117
14. Mutual agreement procedure	118
14.1. Mutual agreement procedure	118
14.2. Consultation procedure	120
14.3. Arbitration procedure	120
15. Exchange of information	123
15.1. Scope of application of the exchange of information	123
15.2. Types of exchange of information	124
15.3. Limitations on the exchange of information	125
15.4. Competence and obligation of secrecy	125
16. Assistance in the collection of taxes	127
17. Inheritance tax treaties	129
17.1. Structure	129
17.2. Scope of the convention	129
17.3. General definitions	130
17.4. Allocation of taxing rights	130
17.5. Special provisions	131
Annex 1: OECD Model Convention with Respect to Taxes on Income and on Capital (2017 update)	133
Annex 2: United Nations Model Double Taxation Convention Between Developed and Developing Countries (2017 update)	155
Annex 3: OECD Model Double Taxation Convention on Estate and Inheritances and on Gifts (1982 update)	187
Annex 4: OECD/G20 (MLI) Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (2016)	195
Index	239

List of abbreviations

AB	<i>Aktiebolaget</i> (Swedish public limited company)
AG	<i>Aktiengesellschaft</i> (Austrian/German public limited company)
AOA	Authorized OECD approach
ASA	<i>Archiv für Schweizerisches Abgabenrecht</i> (Swiss periodical)
AStG	<i>Außensteuergesetz</i> (German International Transaction Tax Act, contains inter alia the German CFC legislation)
AWD	<i>Außenwirtschaftsdienst des Betriebsberaters</i> (German periodical)
BAO	<i>Bundesabgabenordnung</i> (Austrian Federal Tax Code)
BB	<i>Der Betriebsberater</i> (German periodical)
BEPS	Base Erosion and Profit Shifting
BFH	<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i> (German Federal Tax Court)
BFIT	Bulletin for International Taxation (IBFD journal)
BMF	<i>Bundesministerium für Finanzen</i> (German/Austrian Federal Ministry of Finance)
BNB	<i>Belissingen in Belastingzaken-Nederlandse Belastingsrechtspraak</i> (Netherlands Taxation Reports)
BStBl	<i>Bundessteuerblatt</i> (German Federal Tax law Gazette)
BTR	British Tax Review (British periodical)
BV	<i>Besloten Vennootschap</i> (Dutch limited liability company)
CGT	Capital gains tax
CP	Cost plus
CTC	Canadian Tax Cases
CUP	Comparable uncontrolled price
DB	<i>Der Betrieb</i> (German periodical)
DBA	<i>Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen</i> (German for double taxation convention)
DStZ	<i>Deutsche Steuerzeitung</i> (German periodical)
DTC(s)	Double taxation convention(s)
EC	European Community
EEC	European Economic Community
EFG	<i>Entscheidungen der Finanzgerichte</i> (collection of German court decisions)
ET	European Taxation (IBFD journal)

List of abbreviations

EU	European Union
FJ	<i>Finanzjournal</i> (Austrian periodical)
FS	Festschrift
G-20	Group of Twenty
GAAR	General anti-avoidance rule
IBFD	International Bureau of Fiscal Documentation
IEHC	Irish High Court decisions
SEK	Swedish krona
IFOWS	International Food and Wine Shows (Indian trade fair)
Introd.	Introduction (in Vogel, DTC)
InvFG	<i>Investmentfondsgesetz</i> (Austrian law applicable to investment funds)
IRS	Internal Revenue Service (United States)
IStR	<i>Internationales Steuerrecht</i> (German periodical)
IT	Information technology
ITA	Income Tax Act
ITLR	International Tax Law Reports (UK periodical)
ITR	Indian Tax Reports
Ltd.	Limited liability company
MAP	Mutual agreement procedure
MLI	Multilateral Instrument
m.no.	Marginal number
NC	Nordic Convention
NV	<i>Naamloze Vennootschap</i> (Dutch public limited company)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OECD Model	OECD Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital
OEEC	Organisation for European Economic Co-operation
OJ	Official Journal (European Community)
ÖStZ	<i>Österreichische Steuerzeitung</i> (Austrian periodical)
PE	Permanent establishment
RIW	<i>Recht der Internationalen Wirtschaft</i> (German periodical)
RP	Resale price
RStBl	<i>Reichssteuerblatt</i> (Tax gazette of the German Reich)
SA	<i>Société anonyme</i> (French public limited company)

STC	Simon's Tax Cases (UK)
StuW	<i>Steuer und Wirtschaft</i> (German periodical)
SWI	<i>Steuer und Wirtschaft International</i> (Austrian periodical)
TPGs	Transfer Pricing Guidelines (OECD)
TTCL	Tax Treaty Case Law (IBFD database)
UCITS	Undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities
UN Model	UN Model Tax Convention on Income and on Capital
USD	US dollar
VAT	Value added tax
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties

Literature cited in the text

- Avery Jones et al., The interpretation of tax treaties with particular reference to Article 3(2) of the OECD-Model, *BTR* 1984, 14 et seq.
- Avery Jones et al., Treaty Conflicts in Categorizing Income as Business Profits Caused by Differences in Approach between Common Law and Civil Law, *BFIT* 2003, 237 et seq.
- Avery Jones, Credit and Exemption under Tax Treaties in Cases of Differing Income Characterization, *ET* 1996, 118 et seq.
- Avery Jones, Tax Treaties: The perspective of common law countries, in Maisto (ed.), *Courts and Tax Treaty Law*, 31 et seq. (cited as: Avery Jones, in Maisto (ed.), *Courts*).
- Avery Jones, The Effect of Changes in the OECD Commentaries after a treaty is Concluded, *BFIT* 2002, 102 et seq (cited as: Avery Jones, *BFIT* 2002).
- Avi-Yonah/Tittle, The New United States Model Income Tax Convention, *BFIT* 2007, 224 et seq.
- Baker, *Double taxation conventions* (loose-leaf status: Oct. 2008), London: Sweet & Maxwell (cited as: Baker, *DTC*).
- Baker/Bobbett, *Tax Polymath: A Life in International Taxation – Essays in Honour of John F. Avery Jones*, Amsterdam: IBFD Jan. 2011 (cited as: Lang, in Baker/Bobbett, *Tax Polymath*).
- Bauer/Schuch, Die Überlegungen des OECD-Steuer Ausschusses zur Lösung von Qualifikationskonflikten, in Gassner/Lang/Lechner (eds.), *Personengesellschaften im Recht der Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen* (2000) Vienna: Linde, 27 et seq. (cited as: Bauer/Schuch, in Gassner/Lang/Lechner (eds.), *Personengesellschaften*).
- Coulombe, Besteuerung von Zahlungen an Nichtansässige für selbständige Arbeit, Generalbericht, CDFI LXVIIb 1982, 64.
- Csoklich/Günther, Visiting Academics in Double Tax Treaties, in *Intertax* 2011, 578 et seq.
- De Bont, Tax Treaty Interpretation in the Netherlands, in: Lang (ed.), *Tax Treaty Interpretation* (2001) Vienna: Linde, 246 et seq. (cited as: De Bont, in Lang (ed.), *Interpretation*).
- De Broe, Belgium's tax treaty policy and the Draft Belgian Model Convention, in *BFIT* 2008, 322 et seq (cited as: De Broe, *BFIT* 2008).
- Debatin, Replik auf Vogel, *DB* 1986, 510 et seq.
- Debatin, System und Auslegung der Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen, *DB* 1985 Beilage 23.
- Déry/Ward, National Report Canada, in IFA (ed.), *Interpretation of Double Taxation Conventions*, CDFI LXXVIII a (1993) Rotterdam: IFA, 259 et seq. (cited as: Déry/Ward, in IFA (ed.), *Interpretation*).
- Djanani/Hartmann, Der Progressionsvorbehalt im Nichtansässigkeitsstaat und bei subjektiven Qualifikationskonflikten, *IStR* 2000, 321 et seq.

- Dunahoo/Sprague, 2008 OECD Model: Changes to the Commentary on Article 5 Regarding the Treatment of Services: More Choices, Less Clarity, *BFIT* 2009, 191 et seq.
- Engelen, *Interpretation of Tax Treaties under International Law* (2004) Amsterdam: IBFD (cited as: Engelen, *Interpretation*).
- Gassner/Lang, Double Non-Taxation of a Belgian Tax Law Professor Lecturing in Vienna, in: Vanistendael (ed.), *Liber amicorum honouring Luc Hinnekens* (2002) Brussels: Bruylant Bruxelles, 219 et seq. (cited as: Gassner/Lang, in Vanistendael (ed.), *Liber amicorum Hinnekens*).
- Gassner/Lang, Treaty Shopping, in: Gassner /Lang /Lechner (eds.), *Aktuelle Entwicklungen im internationalen Steuerrecht* (1994) Vienna: Linde, 43 et seq. (cited as: Gassner/Lang, in Gassner/Lang/Lechner (eds.), *Entwicklungen*).
- Hofbauer, Tax Treaty Interpretation in Austria, in Lang (ed.), *Tax Treaty Interpretation* (2001) Vienna: Linde, 13 et seq. (cited as: Hofbauer, in Lang (ed.), *Interpretation*).
- Huemer, *Die unbeschränkte Steuerpflicht natürlicher Personen* (1996) Vienna: Linde (cited as: Huemer, *Steuerpflicht*).
- Jirousek, Der Fall Saint-Gobain im Lichte der österreichischen DBA-Anwendungspraxis, *ÖStZ* 1999, 604 et seq.
- Kippenberg, Anmerkung zu BFH-Urteil, 19.12.2001, I R 63/00, *IStR* 2002, 243 et seq.
- Kolb, Überblick über die Teilrevision 2000 des OECD-Musterabkommens, *ASA* 2001, 860 et seq.
- Kosters, The United Nations Model Tax Convention and Its Recent Developments, *Asia-Pacific Tax Bulletin* 2004, 4 et seq.
- Lang, Auslegung von Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen und authentische Vertrags-sprachen, *IStR* 2011, 403 et seq. (cited as: Lang, *IStR* 2011).
- Lang, Die Anwendung des Multilateralen Instruments (MLI) “Alongside Existing Tax Treaties”, *SWI* 2017, 624 et seq. (cited as: Lang, *SWI* 2017).
- Lang, *The Application of the OECD Model Tax Convention to Partnerships* (2000) Vienna: Linde (cited as: Lang, *Partnerships*).
- Lang, The procedural conditions for the implementation of tax treaty obligations under domestic law, *Intertax* 2007, 146 et seq (cited as: Lang, *Intertax* 2007).
- Lang/Zieseritsch, Der Begriff der unselbständigen Arbeit nach Art 15 OECD-MA, in Gassner/Lang/Lechner/Schuch/Staringer (eds.), *Arbeitnehmer im Recht der Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen* (2003), 31 et seq.
- Lang, Die Bedeutung von Verständigungsvereinbarungen nach Art. 3 Abs 2 OECD-Musterabkommen 2017: in Ismer et al, *Territorialität und Personalität Festschrift für Moris Lehner Zum 70 Geburtstag*, Otto Schmidt 2019, 209 ff. (cited as: Lang, *Otto Schmidt* 2019).
- Loukota, Die Bedeutung der Änderungen des OECD-Musterabkommens für Österreich, *SWI* 1995, 450 et seq.

- Matsubara, Japan: International Tax Aspects of the Tokumei Kumiai, *Asia Pacific Tax Bulletin*, Jan/Feb 2004, 76 et seq (cited as: Matsubara, *Asia Pacific Tax Bulletin* 2004).
- Michelsen, Tax Treaty Interpretation in Denmark, in: Lang (ed.), *Tax Treaty Interpretation* (2001), Vienna: Linde, 63 et seq. (cited as: Michelsen, in: Lang (ed.), *Interpretation*).
- Mössner, Anmerkung zu BFH, 19.12.2001, I R 63/00, *IStr*2002, 242.
- Ritter, Steuerbeziehungen mit der Dritten Welt, *DStZ/A* 1979, 419 et seq.
- Rust, Other Income (Article 21 OECD Model Convention), in Lang/Pistone/Schuch/Staringer (eds.), *Source versus Residence* (2008), New Delhi: Taxmann Publications, 359 et seq. (cited as: Rust, in Lang/Pistone/Schuch/Staringer (eds.), *Source versus Residence*).
- Sasseville, Court Decisions and the Commentary to the OECD Model Convention, in Maisto (ed.), *Courts and Tax Treaty Law* (2007) Amsterdam: IBFD, 189 et seq. (cited as: Sasseville, in Maisto (ed.), *Courts*).
- Smit, Classification of Income Under a Tax Treaty – Application of the Vienna Convention on Treaty Law, *ET* 1992, 57 et seq.
- Szczepański, The Dual System of OECD Model Tax Conventions from the Evolutionary Perspective, *Intertax* 2018, 766 et seq. (cited as: Szczepański, *Intertax* 2018).
- Vann, Liable to tax and company residence, in Maisto (ed.), *Residence of Companies under Tax Treaties and EC Law* (2009) Amsterdam: IBFD, 197 et seq. (cited as: Vann, in Maisto (ed.), *Residence of Companies*).
- Vogel, Das oberste österreichische Steuergericht erklärt Verluste bei DBA Freistellung für abzugsfähig, *IStr* 2002, 91 et seq (cited as: Vogel, *IStr* 2002).
- Vogel/Lehner, *Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen*⁶ (2015) Munich: C.H. Beck (cited as: Vogel, *DBA*).
- Vogel, *Klaus Vogel on Double Taxation Conventions*⁴ (2015) Kluwer Law International (cited as: Vogel, *DTC*).
- Vogel, Probleme der Auslegung von Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen, *SWI* 2000, 103 et seq (cited as: Vogel, *SWI* 2000).
- Vogel, Steuerumgehung nach innerstaatlichem Recht und nach Abkommensrecht, *StuW* 1985, 369 et seq.
- Vogel, The Influence of the OECD Commentaries on Treaty Interpretation, *BFIT* 2000, 612 et seq (cited as: Vogel, *BFIT* 2000).
- Vogel, Zu einigen Fragen des Internationalen Steuerrechts, *DB* 1986, 507 et seq.
- Vogel/Prokisch, General Report, in IFA (ed.), *Interpretation of Double Taxation Conventions*, CDFI LXXVIII a (1993) Rotterdam: IFA, 55 et seq. (cited as: Vogel/Prokisch, in IFA (ed.), *Interpretation*).
- Ward, Is there an obligation in international law of OECD Member Countries to Follow the Commentaries on the Model?, in Douma/Engelen (eds.), *The legal status of the OECD Commentaries* (2008) Amsterdam: IBFD, 73 et seq. (cited as: Ward, in Douma/Engelen (eds.), *Legal status*).

- Ward, Use of Foreign Court Decisions in Interpreting Tax Treaties, in Maisto (ed.), *Courts and Tax Treaty Law* (2007), Amsterdam: IBFD, 161 et seq. (cited as: Ward, in Maisto (ed.), *Courts*).
- Wassermeyer, Der BFH und der Progressionsvorbehalt, *IStR* 2002, 289 et seq.
- Wassermeyer, in: Debatin/Wassermeyer (eds.), *Doppelbesteuerung: Kommentar zu allen deutschen Doppelbesteuerungsabkommen*, Band I: Kommentierung des OECD-MA (looseleaf status: Dec. 2002) Munich: C.H. Beck (cited as: Wassermeyer, in Debatin/Wassermeyer, *Musterabkommen*).
- Wattel/Marres, The Legal Status of the OECD Commentary and Static or Ambulatory Interpretation of Tax Treaties, *ET* 2003, 222 et seq (cited as: Wattel/Marres, *ET* 2003).
- Wurm, Treaty Shopping in the 1992 OECD Model Convention, *Intertax* 1992, 658 et seq.
- Zimmer, Tax Treaty Interpretation in Norway, in: Lang (ed.), *Tax Treaty Interpretation* (2001) Vienna: Linde, 261 et seq. (cited as: Zimmer, in Lang (ed.), *Interpretation*).
- Zorn, Verwertung von Auslandsverlusten bei DBA mit Befreiungsmethode, *SWI* 2001, 456 et seq (cited as: Zorn, *SWI* 2001).

List of court cases

	m.no.
AT (Austria)	
VwGH (<i>Verwaltungsgerichtshof</i>) 25 Feb. 1970, 1001/69; ÖStZB 1970, 122	211
VwGH (<i>Verwaltungsgerichtshof</i>) 22 Mar. 1991, 90/13/0073; ÖStZB 1991, 531; also available at www.ris.bka.gv.at	211
VwGH (<i>Verwaltungsgerichtshof</i>) 31 July 1996, 92/13/0172; available at www.ris.bka.gv.at ; AT, VwGH 31 July 1996, TTCL IBFD	91
VwGH (<i>Verwaltungsgerichtshof</i>) 21 May 1997, 96/14/0084; available at www.ris.bka.gv.at ; AT, VwGH 21 May 1997, TTCL IBFD	91
VwGH (<i>Verwaltungsgerichtshof</i>) 20 Sept. 2001, 2000/15/0116; available at www.ris.bka.gv.at ; AT, VwGH 20 Sept. 2001, TTCL IBFD	91
AU (Australia)	
FCA (Federal Court) 10 Oct. 2008, <i>Virgin Holdings SA v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation</i> ; AU, FCA 10 Oct. 2008, TTCL IBFD	225
FCA (Federal Court) 3 Feb. 2009, <i>Undershaft (No. 1) Ltd. v. Federal Commissioner of Taxation</i> ; 11 ITLR 4 (2009); AU, FCA 3 Feb. 2009, TTCL IBFD	225
AAT (Administrative Appeals Tribunal Perth) 21 Dec. 2016, <i>Tan v. Commissioner of Taxation</i> , 2016 ATC 10-443; AU, AAT 21 Dec. 2016, TTCL IBFD	210
BE (Belgium)	
HB (Hof van Beroep (Court of Appeal) Brussels) 29 Nov. 2018, 2012/AR/906; BE, HB 29 Nov. 2018, TTCL IBFD	223
TBI (Tribunal de Première Instance (Court of First Instance) Bruxelles) 23 Nov. 2011, 2008/16347/A; BE, TBI 23 Nov. 2011, TTCL IBFD	223
TPIN (Tribunal de Première Instance (Court of First Instance) de Namur) 7 Jan. 2016, 14/931/A; BE, TPIN 7 Jan. 2016, TTCL IBFD	113
CA (Canada)	
FCA (Federal Court of Appeal) 19 Oct. 1998, <i>Cudd Pressure Control Inc. v. Her Majesty the Queen</i> ; CA, FCA 19 Oct. 1998, TTCL IBFD	106
FCA (Federal Court of Appeal) 15 Mar. 2002, <i>Wolf v. Her Majesty the Queen</i> ; [2002] 3 CTC 3; CA, FCA 15 Mar. 2002, TTCL IBFD	327
TCA (Tax Court of Canada) 18 Aug. 2006, <i>MIL Investments SA v. Her Majesty the Queen</i> ; TCC 460; CA, TCA 18 Aug. 2006, TTCL IBFD	101
FCA (Federal Court of Appeal) 18 Aug. 2006, <i>Hertel v. MNR</i> ; 93 DTC	212

	m.no.
FCA (Federal Court of Appeal) 26 Feb. 2009, <i>Prévost Car Inc. v. Her Majesty the Queen</i> CA; FCA 26 Feb. 2009, TTCL IBFD	277
CZ (Czech Republic)	
NSS (<i>Nejvyšší Správní Soud</i>) 10 Feb. 2005, 2Afs 108/2004–106; 8 ITLR 2 (2006) 178 et seq.; CZ, NSS 10 Feb. 2005, TTCL IBFD	290
NSS (<i>Nejvyšší Správní Soud</i>) 17 April 2008, 2 Afs 77/2007–62; CZ, NSS 17 Apr. 2008, TTCL IBFD	481
ES (Spain)	
TEAC (<i>Tribunal Económico-Administrativo Central</i>) 17 Apr. 2008, 3604/2006; ES, TEAC 17 Apr. 2008, TTCL IBFD	124
FI (Finland)	
KHO (<i>Korkein hallinto-oikeus</i>) 14 June 1999; 14.06.1999/1600; FI, KHO 14 June 1999, TTCL IBFD	273
FR (France)	
CAM (<i>Court of Appeal of Marseille</i>) 11 Mar. 2003, No. 99-232, 4th ch CE (<i>Conseil d'État</i>) 15 Dec. 2004, No. 257337 CE (<i>Conseil d'État</i>) 26 Jan. 1990, 69.853; FR, CE 26 Jan. 1990, TTCL IBFD	216
CAA (<i>Cour Administrative d'Appel</i>) Paris, 28 Dec. 1995, 94PA01491; FR, CAA 28 Dec. 1995, TTCL IBFD	214
CAA (<i>Cour Administrative d'Appel</i>) Paris, 30 Nov. 2017, 16PA03777; FR CAA 30 Nov. 2017, TTCL IBFD	485
TA (Tribunal Administratif) Paris, 12 July 2017, Société Google Ireland Limited, n° 1505178/1-1	254
GE (Germany)	
FG (<i>Finanzgericht</i>) München, 31 May 2017, 9K 3041/15, IstR 2017, 749	249
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 20 July 1988, I R 49/84; BStBl II 1989, 140	261
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 13 Aug. 1997, I R 65/95; BStBl II 1998, 21; GE, BFH 13 Aug. 1997, TTCL IBFD	89
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 10 Dec. 2001, I B 94/01; BFH/NV 2002, 479; GE, BFH 10 Dec. 2001, TTCL IBFD	68
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 19 Dec. 2001, I R 63/00; BStBl II 2003, 302; GE, BFH 19 Dec. 2001, TTCL IBFD	423
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 15 May 2002, I R 40/01; BStBl II 2002, 660	423, 424
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 17 Dec. 2003, I R 14/02; BStBl II 2004, 260	423
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 23 Feb. 2005, I R 46/03; IStR 2005, 458; GE, BFH 13 Feb. 2005, I R 46/03, TTCL IBFD	351

	m.no.
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 25 Oct. 2006, I R 81/04; BFH/NV 2007, 593; GE, BFH 25 Oct. 2006, TTCL IBFD	70
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 4 Apr. 2007, I R 110/05; BStBl II 2007, 521	423
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 17 Oct. 2007, I R 96/06; BStBl II 2008, 953; GE, BFH 17 Oct. 2007, TTCL IBFD	417
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 2 Sept. 2009, I R 111/08; BStBl II 2010, 387	94
BFH (<i>Bundesfinanzhof</i>) 11 Nov. 2009, I R 84/08; BStBl II 2010, 390	68
IN (India)	
AAR (Authority for Advance Rulings) 3 Jul. 2006, <i>Rajiv Malhotra</i> , AAR/671/2005; 284 ITR 564	3
HCAP (High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad) 15 Feb. 2013, Groupe Industrial Marcel Dassault and Sanofi Pasteur Holdings SA v. The Department of Revenue; IN, HCAP 15 Feb. 2013, TTCL IBFD	119
HC (High Court) 26 Aug. 2015, <i>Serco BPO Pvt. v. Authority for Advance Rulings</i> , No. 11037/2014; IN, HC 26 Aug. 2015, TTCL IBFD	191
ITAT (Income Tax Appellate Tribunal) 30 Jun. 2008, <i>Epcos AG v. Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax</i> , No. 398/PN/2007; 11 ITLR 4 (2009) 599 et seq.; IN, ITAT 30 Jun. 2008, TTCL IBFD	61
ITAT (Income Tax Appellate Tribunal) 13 Aug. 2008, <i>DDIT v Balaji Shipping</i> ; 11 ITLR 1 (2009) 103 et seq.	87
ITAT (Income Tax Appellate Tribunal) 29 Oct. 2009, No. 1876/Mum/ 2006; <i>Meera Bhatia v. ITO</i> ; [2010] 1 taxmann.com	75
ITAT (Income Tax Appellate Tribunal) 18 May 2012, No. 1595/Mum./ 2009; <i>Peoplesoft India Pvt. Ltd. V DCIT</i> ; IN, ITAT 18 May 2012, TTCL IBFD	490
IT (Italy)	
AE (<i>Agenzia delle Entrate</i>) 3 July 2008, Risoluzione N.277/E; available at www.agenziaentrate.it	439
CSC (La Corte Suprema di Cassazione) 28 Dec., n. 27113	279
LU (Luxembourg)	
CA (<i>Luxembourg Cour Administrative</i>) 23 Apr. 2002, case 14442 C; LU, CA 23 Apr. 2002, TTCL IBFD	128
NL (The Netherlands)	
HR (<i>Hoge Raad</i>) 3 July 1991, Case 25.308; BNB 1991/248	307
HR (<i>Hoge Raad</i>) 6 Dec. 2002, Case 36.773; NL, HR 6 Dec. 2002, TTCL IBFD	141

	m.no.
HR (<i>Hoge Raad</i>) 5 Sept. 2003, Case No. 37.657; NL, HR 5 Sept. 2003, TTCL IBFD	362
RU (Russia)	
SAC (Supreme Arbitration Court), 15 Nov. 2011, 8654/11, Ugolnaya kompania Severniy Kuzbass v Tax Inspectorate; RU, SAC 15 Nov. 2011, TTCL IBFD	492
SE (Sweden)	
RR (<i>Regeringsrätten</i>) 24 Apr. 2008, RÅ 2008 ref 30 (6639-06); SE, RR 24 Apr. 2008, TTCL IBFD	219
KR (<i>Kammarrätten</i>) I Göteborg 24 Oct. 2016, 2276-15	247
SCITD (Special Commissioners of Income Taxes Division) 19 Nov. 2008, <i>Bayfine UK Products v. Revenue and Customs Commissioners</i> ; 11 ITLR 3 (2009) 440 et seq.; UK, SCITD 19 Nov. 2008, TTCL IBFD	12, 409
EWCA (England & Wales Court of Appeal – Civil Division) 2 Mar. 2006, <i>Indofood International Finance Ltd. v. J.P. Morgan Chase Bank N.A.</i> ; EWCA 2 Mar. 2006, TTCL IBFD	278
ECJ (European Court of Justice)	
6 Dec. 2007, Case C-298/05, <i>Columbus Container Services BVBA & Co v. Finanzamt Bielefeld-Innenstadt</i>	58

1. The problem of double taxation

1.1. Basics of international law

States can levy taxes by virtue of their sovereignty. Tax sovereignty, however, is not unlimited. Not all situations can be taxed. There must either be a **personal or an objective nexus**, or connection, **between the taxpayer and the state**. With respect to a personal connecting factor, it is sufficient that this exists with respect to the person concerned. Connecting factors for individuals frequently include domicile, residence or citizenship. For legal entities, the factors usually include the place of incorporation and the place of effective management. With regard to an objective connecting factor, it is sufficient that parts of the transaction or activity involve the taxing state or that the object of the action is somehow connected to the taxing state. 1

In international law practice, there are no significant limits on the tax sovereignty of states. In designing the domestic personal tax law, the national legislator can even tax situations when, for example, only a **“genuine link”** exists. It is only when neither the person nor the transaction has any connection with the taxing state that tax cannot be levied. 2

Example 3

According to the Indian legal tax system, tax is levied when a “genuine link” exists. Pursuant to Sec. 9(1)(i) of the Income Tax Act, tax is levied on all income earned outside India which accrues, whether directly or indirectly, through or from any business connection in India. This principle formed the basis for the opinion of the Indian Authority for Advance Rulings (AAR) that a commission paid to a non-resident agent may be taxable in India even if the services are rendered outside India. Those services consisted of pursuing and soliciting the participation of foreign concerns, undertakings and government departments in the International Food and Wine Show (IFOWS) in India. Although the activity of the agent was carried on abroad, the AAR observed that the agent’s right to receive commissions arose in India when the foreign concerns, undertakings and government departments participated in the IFOWS. Therefore, the AAR considered that the agent’s income accrued from a business connection in India (cf. IN, AAR 3 Jul. 2006, Rajiv Malhotra, AAR/671/2005).

1.2. Circumstances giving rise to double taxation

1.2.1. Taxation of worldwide income (full tax liability) in two states

Since international law places few limits on the tax sovereignty of states, the same event may be taxed in two or more states. Under many domestic tax law systems, a person’s worldwide income is taxed if a close personal connection exists between the taxable person and that state (**universality principle**). This is called full tax liability. However, if the connection is weak or consists only of objective 4

1. The problem of double taxation

factors, only the income earned in that state is taxed (**principle of territoriality**). This is called limited tax liability.

- 5 A taxable person can have close personal connections with two or more states. Under the tax laws of various states, for example, the person's domicile is a connecting factor. In others, residence and citizenship are connecting factors. Depending on the applicable laws, each of these criteria can lead to full tax liability. Therefore, it is not rare in practice, for the same person to be **subject to full tax liability in two or more states**. This can lead to the levying of taxes on worldwide income in two or more states.

6 Example

An individual who lives in Spain and whose centre of economic interests is in France is subject to full tax liability in both states. If there were no DTC between France and Spain, both countries would tax the person's entire worldwide income.

1.2.2. Full tax liability and limited tax liability

- 7 More frequently, persons are subject to full tax liability on the basis of their residence, citizenship, or any other criterion of a similar nature, in just one state and receive income from another state. In that other state, they are subject to limited tax liability. This limited tax liability applies only to the income earned in that other state. When the state of residence levies tax on worldwide income, the **income from the other state is taxed twice**. Thus, full tax liability in a state and limited tax liability in another can lead to double taxation.

8 Example

A person resident and domiciled in the United Kingdom and subject to full tax liability therein holds shares in a Swiss corporation. The person does not have a home or domicile in Switzerland. The person receives dividends from the Swiss shares. These dividends are taxed in the United Kingdom since the person is subject to tax there on his worldwide income. In Switzerland, limited tax liability exists. Consequently, the dividends are also taxed in Switzerland.

1.2.3. Limited tax liability in two states

- 9 Double taxation will usually not arise when a person is subject to limited tax liability in two states. Limited tax liability is based on the principle of territoriality. The two states will levy tax only on income arising in their respective territories. However, since the scope of the limited tax liability may not be the same in both states, double taxation may even arise **on the basis of limited tax liability**.
- 10 Example
- A person lives in Italy and is subject to full tax liability therein. The person receives income from shares of a corporation that has its legal seat in Germany and its place of effective management in Belgium. The dividends received from these shares are subject to limited tax liability in Germany and in Belgium. The income would be taxed a third time in Italy on the basis of the person's full tax liability if the DTCs did not provide a remedy.

1.2.4. Economic double taxation

Thus far, the discussion has focused on double taxation arising from the taxation of the same person with respect to the same income in two or more states (juridical double taxation). However, it is also possible for the same income to be **taxed in the hands of different persons**. This situation is known as economic double taxation. 11

Example

The parent company of an unlimited company incorporated in the United Kingdom was a US corporation. The income of the UK unlimited company was taxable in the United Kingdom in the hands of the UK unlimited company itself. For US federal income tax purposes, the UK unlimited company was classified as a disregarded entity because it had a single shareholder, unlimited liability and had not made a “check-the-box” election. The income earned by the UK unlimited company was therefore considered to belong to the US parent corporation even if this income had not been distributed by the UK unlimited company. Thus, the income of the UK unlimited company was taxable in the United Kingdom and in the United States in the hands of the US parent corporation (cf. UK, SCITD 19 Nov. 2008, *Bayfine UK Products v. Revenue and Customs Commissioners*). 12

The problem of economic double taxation frequently arises in cases in which **affiliated or associated corporations**, with their legal seats in different states, enter into transactions with each other. Each residence state determines the taxable base for corporate income tax under its domestic corporate tax law. If the two companies enter into transactions with each other, the tax authorities of the two states could assign different values to those transactions (for a detailed description of transfer pricing issues, cf. m.no. 464 et seq.). Economic double taxation may then arise. 13

Example

A multinational group of companies has subsidiaries in China and Brazil. The Chinese company sells products to the Brazilian company for CNY 100,000. The Chinese tax authorities consider that the CNY 100,000 price is appropriate, whereas the Brazilian tax authorities are of the opinion that the appropriate price would be CNY 80,000. This may lead to economic double taxation. 14

1.3. Elimination of double taxation

1.3.1. Double taxation conventions

Cross-border economic relations would be considerably threatened if two or more states subjected the same income to taxation. Many states therefore enter into **bilateral international tax conventions** in order to eliminate double taxation. These agreements are called double taxation conventions (DTCs). They determine the extent to which each state may levy tax. 15

The number of DTCs is constantly growing. At present, **more than 3,000 DTCs** exist. For example, the Netherlands is party to over 90 DTCs, while Switzerland is party to over 80 DTCs and the United Kingdom has concluded more than 125 DTCs. 16

1.3.2. Unilateral measures

- 17** Notwithstanding the extensive DTC network, not all cross-border relations are covered by DTCs. However, many states enact **unilateral measures** to prevent international double taxation in cases that are not covered by DTCs. Unilateral measures to prevent international double taxation differ from country to country. Essentially, three types can be distinguished: the exemption of foreign-sourced income, the tax credit for foreign taxes paid on foreign-sourced income and the deduction from the taxable base of foreign taxes paid on foreign-sourced income. The United States, for example, unilaterally grants a tax credit for foreign taxes paid on foreign-source income.
- 18** The unilateral measures mentioned above are granted under approaches that also **vary from country to country**. Generally speaking, two approaches can be distinguished: in some countries (e.g. Germany), precise rules are set out in the law; in other countries (e.g. Austria), much leeway is left to the tax authorities. Unilateral relief from international double taxation is sometimes granted subject to reciprocity (e.g. Brazil).
- 19** In some countries, the unilateral measures' provisions only apply when a **DTC is not applicable**, either because no DTC is in place with the country where the income is derived from or because the personal (cf. m.no. 177 et seq.) or the substantive scope (cf. m.no. 220 et seq.) of the DTC is not fulfilled. In others, the unilateral measures' provisions also establish the details for the concrete **application of the methods** to relieve international double taxation provided for by DTCs. In the latter countries, therefore, the criteria set forth by the unilateral measures' provisions apply to determine the relief to be granted to a taxpayer under the applicable DTC.