ELLEN WHITE

Yahweh's Council

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 2. Reihe 65

Mohr Siebeck

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 2. Reihe

Edited by

Konrad Schmid (Zürich) · Mark S. Smith (New York) Hermann Spieckermann (Göttingen)

65



Ellen White

Yahweh's Council

Its Structure and Membership

ELLEN WHITE, born 1978; PhD from the University of St. Michael's College in Toronto, Canada; has taught at 5 universities in both Canada and the United States and is involved in regular archaeological work in Israel; currently researching at the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

e-ISBN PDF 978-3-16-153294-8 ISBN 978-3-16-153293-1 ISSN 1611-4914 (Forschungen zum Alten Testament, 2. Reihe)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

© 2014 by Mohr Siebeck, Tübingen, Germany. www.mohr.de

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Laupp & Göbel in Nehren on non-aging paper and bound by Buchbinderei Nädele in Nehren.

Printed in Germany.

For my Father who always believed I could do it, but didn't live long enough to see it and my Mother who suffered through the process.

For James Calhoun King, who showed me what it means to live well in this world.

Acknowledgements

This book is the result of a long process that required the input and support of a large number of people to come to fruition. While the actual writing of the book began in the final stage of my Th.M. degree at Tyndale Seminary, I wish to thank those who opened the doors for me to get there. In particular, John Kessler, who made me get over my distaste for the Old Testament and fueled what will be a life long fascination, and Kevin Quast, who took the time to convince me that there was a place for women in this field. The material on *the satan* and the dating of those texts in this book largely represents the work I did while writing my Th.M. thesis on this intriguing biblical character. Thus, I wish to thank Rebecca Idestrom for the hours she put into to guiding me and helping me make my thesis a better study.

As this study is an expansion of my Ph.D. dissertation those who helped me through out the doctorate have contributed to the overall success of this project. The faculty of theology at the University of St. Michael's College encouraged me through financial support and academic training as they provided me with a community. Gratitude goes to my fellow students and friends. The Catholic Biblical Association has supported me both financially through grants and by inviting me to present an early version of this work in the Divinity in Ancient Israel seminar. The support of this community was invaluable and I am grateful to all those involved.

I wish to thank my doctoral committee for the time and effort they put into the process of evaluating my dissertation. Special thanks to Lowell Handy for his graciousness during the defense and support as I wrote on "his topic." Gordon Hamilton went above and beyond the call of duty in his written report and through the publishing process. I would also like to thank Michael Kolarcik and Glen Taylor for their contributions. It is hard to know the right words to use to publically thank the person who served as Doctor Vater officially for eight years and continues to support all my professional efforts. John L. McLaughlin has graciously given of his wisdom, guidance, rebukes, and encouragement at each stage of my professional journey. In words that are specially for him, "John, you are the Macallan 64 Year Old in Lalique of biblical scholars."

Thanks to Hermann Spieckermann, Konrad Schmid, Mark S. Smith, and Henning Ziebritzki for accepting this book for publication and the support they issued through the process. Sincere gratitude to Nadine Schwemm-reiter-Vetter for shepherding the process through publication. Special appreciation to Mark S. Smith for his high standards and his generosity when it comes to editing. Due to his excellence, this book is significantly better than it was before his feedback. He is an inspiration and a mentor.

I am particularly thankful to my whole family who has been actively involved in this process from beginning to end. My sister, Susan Riihimaki, who copy edited several versions of this book and stayed my sister even though she disputes my theology. My brother, Peter White, who was there for all my printing and publishing needs. Kate, Kristy, P.J. for making me laugh and reminding me there is more to life than the library.

It is important for me to say that these people only made this present work better. Any issues, problems, or errors in this work are mine and mine alone.

Göttingen, January 2014

Ellen White

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	VII
Abbreviations	XIII
Chapter One: Defining the Divine Council and	
the Parameters of the Study	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. History of Scholarship	
1.3. Defining the Problem	
1.4. Methodology	17
1.5. Outline	20
Charter Trees Determining Coursell of Walnut Trees	22
Chapter Two: Determining Council of Yahweh Texts	22
2.1. Introduction	22
2.2. בני האלהים	23
2.2.1. Job 1–2	23
2.2.2. Psalm 82	
2.2.2.1. Translation	
2.2.2.2. Analysis	
2.2.3. Deuteronomy 32	
2.2.3.1. Translation	
2.2.3.2. Analysis	
2.2.4. Problems with בני האלהים	
2.2.4.1. Genesis 6:1–4	
2.2.4.2. Daniel 3	
2.2.4.3. Summary	
2.3. צבא השמים	
2.3.1. 1 Kings 22	48
2.3.2. Isaiah 6	49

2.4. Additional Plural Deities Passage	s 50
2.4.1. Genesis 18:16–33	
2.4.2. Numbers 22:22–35	51
2.4.3. 2 Kings 19:15–19	51
2.4.4. Psalm 103:19–22	52
2.4.5. Isaiah 40	52
2.4.6. Zechariah 3	54
	55
2.5. סוד	
2.6. דור	
2.7. קהל	
2.8. מועד	58
Chapter Three: Exegetical Issues in	n Council
of Yahweh Texts	
of full woll foxes	
3.1. Introduction	59
3.2. 1 Kings 22:1–28	59
3.2.1. Translation	
	61
3.3. Job 1:6–12; 2:1–7	
	65
3.3.2. Exegesis	
	(Job 1:6–12)
	be (Job 2:1–7) 76
3.4. Isaiah 6	
	81
3.5. Zechariah 3	
	87
3.6. Daniel 7	
	97
3.6.2. Exegesis	99
Chapter Four: Council Members an	nd Their Functions 105
4.1. Introduction	105
4.2. Yahweh/The Ancient of Days	
4.3. 1007	

4.4. הרוח	119
4.5. השרפים	
4.6. מלאך יהוה	
4.7. Those Standing Here	
4.8. The Thousands upon Thousands	
4.9. The Interpreting Persona	
4.10. One like a Son of Man	
4.11. Human Beings	134
4.11.1. Micaiah ben Imlah/Zechariah	135
4.11.2. Daniel	135
4.11.3. Joshua	135
4.11.4. Isaiah	136
4.12. Conclusions	136
	100
Chapter Five: Synchronic Analysis	138
5.1. Introduction	138
5.2. Challenges to Proposing a New Structure	
5.2.1. Tier One	
5.3. The Structure of the Council of Yahweh	
5.3.1. Tier Two	
5.3.1.1. Judicial Officials	
5.3.1.2. Advisors	
5.3.2. Tier Three	
5.3.2.1. Court Officers	
5.3.2.2. Commissioned	
5.3.3. Non-member Categories	
5.3.3.1. Observers	
5.3.3.2. Vehicles and Defendants	
5.4. Conclusions	144
Chapter Six: Diachronic Analysis	145
6.1. Introduction	145
6.2. Monotheism.	
6.3. Dating	
6.3.1. Isaiah 6	
6.3.2. 1 Kings 22	
6.3.3. Job 1–2	

6.3.4. Zechariah 3	162
6.3.5. Daniel 7	165
6.4. Human Beings and the Council of Yahweh	168
Chapter Seven: Conclusions	173
7.1. Summary	173
7.2. Implications	174
7.3. Areas for Further Research	
Bibliography	181
Index of Sources	203
Index of Modern Authors	213
Subject Index	218

Abbreviations

AASF Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae

AB Anchor Bible

ABD The Anchor Bible Dictionary. Edited by D. N. Freedman (6 vols.;

New York: Doubleday, 1992)

AOTC Abingdon Old Testament Commentaries

ARM Archives royales de Mari

ATANT Abhandlungen zur Theologie des Alten und Neuen Testaments

AzTh Arbeiten zur Theologie
BA Biblical Archaeologist
BAR Biblical Archaeology Review

BASORSup Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research

Supplementary Studies

BAT Die Botschaft des Alten Testaments
BBR Bulletin for Biblical Research

BDB F. Brown, S. R. Driver, and C. A. Briggs, A Hebrew and English

Lexicon of the Old Testament (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1907)

BEATAJ Beiträge zur Erforschung des Alten Testaments und des antiken

Judentums

BETL Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium

BEvT Beiträge zur evangelischen Theologie

BHS Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia

Bib Biblica

Biblint
BibLeb
Bibel und Leben
BibOr
Biblia et orientalia
BN
Biblische Notizen
BSac
Bibliotheca Sacra
BST
The Bible Speaks Today

BWM Bibelwissenschaftliche Monographien

BZAW Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft

CAT Commentaire de l'Ancien Testament CBC Cambridge Bible Commentary

CBET Contributions to Biblical Exegesis and Theology CBQMS Catholic Biblical Quarterly Monograph Series

CC Continental Commentary

ConBOT Coniectanea Biblica: Old Testament Series

CTU The Cuneiform Alphabetic Texts from Ugarit, Ras Ibn Hani, and

Other Places. Edited by Manfried Dietrich, Oswald Loretz and Joaquín Sanmartín (2nd enlarged ed.; Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 1995)

XIV Abbreviations

DDD Dictionary of Deities and Demons. Edited by K. van der Toorn, P. W.

van der Horst, and B. Becking (2nd ed.; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999)

EBHEarly Biblical HebrewEBibEtudes bibliquesEgTÉglise et théologieEstBibEstudios Biblicos

FAT Forschungen zum Alten Testament FOTL Forms of the Old Testament Literature

FRLANT Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen

Testaments

GBS Guides to Biblical Scholarship
HAR Harvard Annual Review

HAT Handbuch zum Alten Testament
HBS Herders biblische Studien
HTR Harvard Theological Review
HUCA Hebrew Union College Annual

IB Interpreter's Bible. Edited by G. A. Buttrick et al. (12 vols.; New

York: Abingdon, 1951–1957)

ITC International Theological Commentary

JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature JBQ Jewish Bible Quarterly

JETS Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society

JJS Journal of Jewish Studies

JNES Journal of Near Eastern Studies

JQR Jewish Quarterly Review

JSOT Journal for the Study of the Old Testament

JSOTSup Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplementary Series

JSS Journal of Semitic Studies

JTSA Journal of Theology for Southern Africa

KAI Kanaanäische und aramäische Inschriften. Edited by H. Donner and

W. Röllig (3 vols.; 2nd ed.; Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1964–1968)

LBH Late Biblical Hebrew

NBD New Bible Dictionary. Edited by I. H. Marshall, A. R. Millard, J. I.

Packer, and D. J. Wiseman (3rd ed.; Downers Grove: InterVarsity, 1996)

NCBC New Century Bible Commentary

NIB The New Interpreter's Bible. Edited by Leander E. Keck. Nashville:

Abingdon, 1996

NIBC New International Biblical Commentary

NICOT New International Commentary on the Old Testament

NIDOTE New International Dictionary of Old Testament Theology & Exegesis.

Edited by W. A. van Gemeren (5 vols.; Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1997)

OBO Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis

Or Orientalia

OTE Old Testament Essays
OTL Old Testament Library
OTM Old Testament Message
OTSt Old Testament Studies

PEQ Palestine Exploration Quarterly PRS Perspectives in Religious Studies Abbreviations XV

RB Revue biblique RE Review and Expositor

RHPR Revue d'histoire et de philosophie religieuses

RHR Revue de l'histoire des religions

SBLMS Society of Biblical Literature Monograph Series SBLSP Society of Biblical Literature Seminar Papers

SBLWAW Society of Biblical Literature Writings from the Ancient World

ScEs Science et Esprit

SJOT Scottish Journal of Theology SSN Studia Semitica Neerlandica

SSS Semitic Study Series

SWBA Social World of Biblical Antiquity

TBC Torch Bible Commentaries

TDNT Theological Dictionary of the New Testament. Edited by G. Kittel and

G. Friedrich. Translated by G. W. Bromiley (10 vols.; Grand Rapids:

Eerdmans, 1964-1976)

TLZ Theologische Literaturzeitung

TOTC Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries

TP Theologie und Philosophie
TS Theological Studies

TSAJ Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism

TynBul Tyndale Bulletin

UBL Ugaritisch-biblische Literatur

UF Ugarit-Forschungen VT Vetus Testamentum

VTSup Vetus Testamentum Supplements WBC Word Biblical Commentary

WMANT Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen Testament

WTJ Westminster Theological Journal ZAH Zeitschrift für Althebraistik

ZAW Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft

Chapter One

Defining the Divine Council and the Parameters of the Study

1.1. Introduction

This study explores the membership and structure of the Council of Yahweh, as represented in biblical examples of this type-scene. The phrase "divine council" is used to describe the government or royal court of the supreme deity. In order to justify a new study on this topic, one must question the underlying assumptions of divine council studies, including the way in which comparative study is usually conducted. In their books, most scholars develop a model based on the texts found at Ugarit or Mesopotamia, and then explore the material in the Hebrew Bible in order to determine how it fits with the other religions. This approach has led to certain assumptions and even results that cannot be confirmed through the Hebrew Bible. Therefore, one purpose of this study is to question those assumptions. In doing so, I will develop the following points: firstly, there are multiple councils in the Hebrew Bible and not all of them belong to Yahweh; secondly, the Council of Yahweh negates a claim for radical monotheism but can reveal insight into the development from polytheism towards monotheism; and finally, there are textual strands that experiment with human membership on various levels within the council.

1.2. History of Scholarship

Research on the divine council is not new. Early studies by Frank Moore Cross, Edwin C. Kingsbury, Norbert Lohfink, Johannes Pedersen, H. Wheeler

י I first became interested in the divine council while researching my Th.M. thesis on אָדשׁסו, as it was the setting for this character in Job 1–2 and Zechariah 3. E. White, "The Purpose and Portrayal of the \dot{satan} in the Old Testament" (Th.M. Thesis, Tyndale Seminary, 2004). This earlier study has significantly influenced this project in relationship to Job 1–2, Zechariah 3, Joshua, and the character of אָדְּשׁטָּדְּם. Portions of that original study have been used throughout this current work. The pieces from the earlier thesis included in this project contain mostly factual information or interpretations that have not changed with further study.

Robinson, and Matitiahu Tsevat are helpful but most of them are neither detailed nor extensive.² Moreover, most studies have either focused on one passage³ or one member⁴ of the council.⁵

While these studies are helpful in gaining insight into the details regarding aspects of the council, they are not extensive enough to provide a picture of the Council of Yahweh type-scene. Other full-length studies focus on the deity in general or the characteristics/attributes of God.⁶ While these

² G. Couturier, "La vision du Conseil divin: Étude d'une forme commune au prophétisme et à l'apocalyptique," *ScEs* XXXVI (1984): 5–43; F. M. Cross, "The Council of Yahweh in Second Isaiah," *JNES* 22 (1953): 274–77; L. Dequeker, "La cour céleste de Yahvé," *Collectanea Mechliniensia* 52 (1967): 131–40; E. C. Kingsbury, "The Prophets and the Council of Yahweh," *JBL* 83 (1964): 279–86; N. Lohfink, "Gott und die Götter im Alten Testament," *Theologische Akademie* 6 (1969): 50–71; J. Pedersen, "Canaanite and Israelite Cultus," *AcOr* 18 (1939): 1–14; H. W. Robinson, "The Council of Yahweh," *JTS* 45 (1944): 151–57; M. Tsevat, "God and the Gods in Assembly: An Interpretation of Psalm 82," *HUCA* XL–XLI (1969–1970): 123–37.

³ For literature on Gen 6:1–4, see below, p. 42, n. 94; on Psalm 82, see below, p. 24, n. 3.

⁴ For literature on השטן, see below, p. 109, n. 7; on השרפים, p. 121, n. 54; on המלאכים, p. 124, n. 78.

⁵ On the divine council in prophetic books see D. E. Bokovoy, "שמעו והעידו בבית יעקב: Invoking the Council as Witnesses in Amos 3:13," JBL 127 (2008): 37-51; Cross, "The Council of Yahweh in Second Isaiah"; R. P. Gordon, "Standing in the Council: When Prophets Encounter God," in The God of Israel (R. P. Gordon, ed.; University of Cambridge Oriental Press Publications 64; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 190-204; F. Hartenstein, Die Unzugänglichkeit Gottes im Heiligtum: Jesaja 6 und der Wohnort Jahwes in der Jerusalemer Kulttradition (WMANT 75; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1997); Kingsbury, "The Prophets and the Council of Yahweh"; P. D. Miller, "The Divine Council and the Prophetic Call to War," VT 18 (1968): 100–107; M. Nissinen, "Prophets and the Divine Council," in Kein Land für sich allein: Studien zum Kulturkontakt in Kanaan, Israel/Palästina und Ebirnâri für Manfred Weippert zum 65. Geburtstag (U. Hübner and E. A. Knauf, eds.; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2002), 4-19; M. E. Polley, "The Call and Commission of the Hebrew Prophets in the Council of Yahweh, Examined in Its Ancient Near Eastern Setting," in Scripture in Context: Essays on the Comparative Method (C. D. Evans, W. W. Hallo, and J. B. White, eds.; PTMS 34; Pittsburgh: Pickwick, 1980), 141-56; C. R. Seitz, "The Divine Council: Temporal Transition and New Prophecy in the Book of Isaiah," JBL 109 (1990): 229-47.

⁶ S. Y. Cho, Lesser Deities in the Ugaritic Texts and the Hebrew Bible: A Comparative Study in Their Nature and Roles (Deities and Angels of the Ancient World 2; Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2008); E. J. Hamori, "When Gods Were Men": The Embodied God in Biblical and Near Eastern Literature (BZAW 384; Berlin/New York: Walter de Gruyter, 2008); A. R. Johnson, The One and the Many in the Israelite Conception of God (Cardiff: University of Wales Press, 1961); O. Keel and C. Uehlinger, Gods, Goddesses, and Images of God (trans. T. H. Trapp; Minneapolis: Fortress, 1998); K. Koch, Der Gott Israels und die Götter des Orients: Religionsgeschichtliche Studien II. Zum 80. Geburtstag von Klaus Koch (F. Hartenstein and M. Rösel, eds.; FRLANT 216; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2007); idem, "Ugaritic Polytheism and Hebrew Monotheism in Isaiah 40–55," in The God of Israel (R. P. Gordon, ed.; University of Cambridge

studies provide insight into the nature and identity of the deity, they do not focus on the council or the deity's role in the council as it is depicted in the council type-scenes. In addition, Marjo Christina Annette Korpel makes this statement regarding methodology: "Because the size of the Ugaritic corpus is much smaller than that of the Old Testament we made the Ugaritic usage the standard to establish the degree of correspondence." Taking the Ugaritic material as backdrop has been the typical approach to understanding the council. This, in and of itself, is not problematic, as it does provide insight and a means of comparison. However, if one's main interest lies in Israelite concepts, then the primary focus needs to be on Israelite material. John J. Collins says, "When a Canaanite myth is used in the Hebrew Bible, it is inevitably torn from its original context and given a new meaning." Thus, only after an exploration of biblical understandings is undertaken can true comparative work be done. Yet, as can be seen

Oriental Publications 64; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 205–28; M. C. A. Korpel, A Rift in the Cloud: Ugaritic and Hebrew Descriptions of the Divine (UBL 8; Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 1990); Lohfink, "Gott und die Götter im Alten Testament"; O. Loretz, "Die Einzigkeit eines Gottes im Polytheismus von Ugarit: Zur Levante als Ursprungsort des biblischen Monotheismus," in Polytheismus und Monotheismus in den Religionen des Vorderen Orients (M. Krebernik and J. van Oorschot, eds.; Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2002), 71–89; B. N. Porter, ed., One God or Many? Concepts of Divinity in the Ancient World (Chebeague Island: Casco Bay Assyriological Institute, 2000).

⁷ Korpel, A Rift in the Cloud, 619.

⁸ Cho, Lesser Deities, 4–7; E. T. Mullen, The Assembly of the Gods: The Divine Council in Canaanite and Early Hebrew Literature (HSM 24; Chico: Scholars Press, 1980), 115. This idea is reflected in the methodology of Handy and Smith: L. K. Handy, Among the Host of Heaven: The Syro-Palestinian Pantheon as Bureaucracy (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1994); M. S. Smith, The Origins of Biblical Monotheism: Israel's Polytheistic Background and the Ugaritic Texts (New York: Oxford University Press, 2001).

⁹ J. J. Collins, *Daniel: A Commentary on the Book of Daniel* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis: Fortress, 1993), 286.

¹⁰ The Ugaritic material naturally is an important comparison for biblical material and this study should not be seen as an argument against utilizing material from other ancient Near Eastern cultures. This is especially true since the other material is older and likely provides insight into the foundations of Israelite religion, based on the theories of origins stemming from scholars such as Mendenhall (G. E. Mendenhall, "The Hebrew Conquest of Palestine," BA 17 [1962]: 50–76) and Gottwald (N. K. Gottwald, The Tribe of Yahweh: A Sociology of the Religion of Liberated Israel, 1250–1050 B.C.E. [Maryknoll: Orbis, 1979].) These theories diverge on many levels, but agree on the theory that the majority of Israelites were originally Canaanites and therefore, Yahwism evolves out of Canaanite polytheism. For recent scholarship on this theory see W. G. Dever, B. Halpern, P. K. McCarter, and H. Shanks, eds., The Rise of Ancient Israel: Symposium of the Smithsonian Institute, Sponsored by the Resident Associate Program (Washington: Biblical Archaeology Society, 1993); W. G. Dever, Who Were the Early Israelites and Where Did They Come From? (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2003); I. Finkelstein, "Searching for Israelite Origins," BAR 14 (1988): 34–45; idem, "A Great United Monarchy? Archaeological and

from the creation and flood parallels, Israel would often operate within traditional categories, but do so in such a way that they express their unique theologies. So to begin with a structure from outside Israel and then search for it within the Hebrew Bible can cause one to over-emphasize the similarities and to minimize the differences. Thus, it is important to begin with the Israelite material and then use the external data to help refine the theory and enter into a proper dialogue. This study is an attempt to provide the first piece in this process.

A few studies have attempted a detailed overview of the divine council concept. The first full-length book on the subject was E. Theodore Mullen's revision of his Harvard doctoral dissertation. Mullen begins with an exploration of the Ugaritic myths and pays close attention to the relationship among the members of the divine council in these texts, particularly El and Baal. The critique given to his work on the Hebrew Bible is that he reads through a Ugaritic lens. His major contribution for the purposes of this study of the Council of Yahweh is in exposing the unique role the prophets played in this council. However, he omits the scenes in the prologue of Job, and he does not attempt to establish the structure of either the Ugaritic or Hebrew Bible council.

Mark S. Smith advanced the discussion and is the first to introduce the discussion of structure with a very short article outlining four tiers within the divine council;¹⁴ this was followed by Lowell K. Handy's mono-

Historical Perspectives," in One God - One Cult - One Nation: Archaeological and Biblical Perspectives (R. G. Kratz and H. Spieckermann, eds.; BZAW 405; Berlin/New York: Walter de Gruyter, 2010), 3-28; idem and A. Mazar, The Quest for the Historical Israel: Debating Archaeology and the History of Early Israel (B. B. Schmidt, ed.; Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature, 2007); V. Fritz and P. Davies, eds., The Origins of the Ancient Israelite States (JSOTSup 228; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1996); N. P. Lemche, "The Origin of the Israelite State: A Copenhagen Perspective on the Emergence of Critical Historical Studies of Ancient Israel in Recent Times," SJOT 12 (1998): 44-63; A. Mazar, "Archaeology and the Biblical Narrative: The Case of the United Monarchy," in One God - One Cult - One Nation: Archaeological and Biblical Perspectives (R. G. Kratz and H. Spieckermann, eds.; BZAW 405; Berlin/New York: De Gruyter, 2010), 29-58; H. R. Page, "Myth, Meta-narrative, and Historical Reconstruction: Rethinking the Nature of Scholarship on Israelite Origins," in Studies in the Hebrew Bible, Qumran, and the Septuagint Presented to Eugene Ulrich (P. Flint, E. Tov, and J. VanderKam, eds.; VTSup 101; Boston: Brill, 2006), 1-20; Z. Safrai and A. Faust, "Salvage Excavations as a Source for Reconstructing Settlement History in Ancient History," PEQ 137 (2005): 139-58; M. Weinfeld, "Historic Facts Behind the Israelite Settlement Pattern," VT 38 (1988): 324-33.

¹¹ Mullen, Assembly of the Gods, 111–243.

¹² J. J. M. Roberts, "Review of *The Assembly of the Gods: The Divine Council in Canaanite and Early Hebrew Literature*," *JBL* 102 (1983): 623.

¹³ Mullen, Assembly of the Gods, 209-25.

¹⁴ Mark S. Smith, "Divine Travel as a Token of Divine Rank," UF 16 (1984): 359.

graph, 15 with Smith's book about monotheism following a little over five years later. 16 Handy uses the metaphor of bureaucracy to explain the structure observed in the various pantheons. Smith on the other hand uses the terminology of family, which derives from language used in the texts themselves. Despite this linguistic difference, Smith and Handy have concluded that there is a four-tier divine council throughout the ancient Near East. It is important to note, Handy's focus is on the pantheon and that frames his comments regarding the council and Smith's writing sometimes focuses on the pantheon in general rather than the council exclusively. This means that not everything in their books can be applied to the council specifically. For example, Handy discusses the cherubim in his chapter on messenger deities, but these divine beings are not found in any council passages. ¹⁷ Regardless, these tiers do not correlate with the evidence from divine council texts in the Hebrew Bible, which will be explored below. Therefore, new understandings of the council's structure from the perspective of the Hebrew Bible are needed to form a basis for further comparative studies.

Smith and Handy may to have very different positions on the structure of the divine council. However, their different terminology is a result of their different purposes more than the actual results. ¹⁸ In fact, since their conclusions are so similar and no alternatives have been put forward to date, one might conclude that this should be the definitive structural understanding of divine councils. ¹⁹

¹⁵ Handy, Among the Host of Heaven.

¹⁶ M. S. Smith, Origins of Biblical Monotheism, esp. 41–66.

¹⁷ Handy, Among the Host of Heaven, 156.

¹⁸ Handy's terminology and purpose come out of his desire to use an existing model (bureaucracy) as a metaphor through which he explains the structure observed in the various pantheons. Smith on the other hand bases his terminology and purpose on the language used in the texts themselves. Despite this linguistic difference, the structure is remarkably similar.

¹⁹ This structure will be sketched briefly using Smith's model, but when Handy differs that will be noted. The assertion that this has become definitive refers to the modern theories. Prior to the publications of Handy and Smith in particular there was a tri-level hypothesis at work based on *CTU* 1.15 II 2–7 and its use of *tlth* specifically. The debate did not question the *tlth* of the pantheon but rather the language used to express this "reality" of three (i.e., trinity of gods, threefold, three categories, etc.). One form of that hypothesis is proposed by J. C. de Moor and K. Spronk, *A Cuneiform Anthology of Religious Texts from Ugarit: Autographed Texts and Glossaries* (SSS N.S. 6; Leiden: Brill, 1987), 205; T. H. Gaster, "The Canaanite Epic of Keret," *JQR* 37 (1947): 285–93; H. L. Ginsberg, *The Legend of King Keret: A Canaanite Epic of the Bronze Age* (BASORSup 2/3; New Haven: American Schools of Oriental Research, 1946), 22; J. Gray, *The Canaanites* (Ancient Peoples and Places 36; New York: Praeger, 1964), 58; C. Virolleaud, *Légendes de Babylone et de Canaan* (Paris: Maisonneuve, 1949), 143. The more recent interpretations of this passage view it as describing the way that the retinues arrived (i.e.,

On the top tier is the chief god of the land. At Ugarit this tier was populated by El, who has the authority to issue commands and rule over the assembly, and Athirat (Asherah) because she is his spouse and has influence over him.²⁰ On tier two, one finds the great gods, which at Ugarit would be the seventy children of El and Athirat. This is the level where most of the "combat and conflict" arise and for that matter are solved. 21 The third tier is more complex. It comprises deities who are more powerful or more important than the lesser deities, and yet they are not part of El's actual family.²² An example of a god at this level is Kothar-wa-Hasis, a foreign god, as is evident from his homes in Egypt and Crete (CTU 1.3 VI 12–20). However, the greater gods need him, and at times he is depicted as knowing more than they (e.g., CTU 1.1 III; 1.2 III; 1.2 IV; 1.4 V-VII). Smith, therefore, defines this tier as the "middle" ground between the greater gods and the lesser gods.²³ The lesser deities comprise the final tier.²⁴ Here one finds the messenger gods, the major deities' military retinue, and the divine workers or staff members. This is contrary to what Mullen wrote as he did not include these divine figures as part of the council.²⁵ However, the latter theories of both Handy and Smith include this tier within the divine council.²⁶

The main difference between Smith and Handy arises with respect to the Israelite material. When examining the Hebrew Bible, both begin with the four tiers and then examine the biblical text in line with them. This is precisely the methodological issue with their interpretations and the reason for a new study. Smith concludes that tier one is present in the person of

that each group arrived in threes). Svi Rin and Nicholas Wyatt suggest a military connection rather than a "three" understanding; see S. Rin, *Acts of the Gods: The Ugaritic Epic Poetry* (Jerusalem: Israel Society for Biblical Research, 1968), xli; N. Wyatt, *Religious Texts from Ugarit* (The Biblical Seminar 53; Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2002), 212. For a good discussion of these theories see Cho, *Lesser Deities*, 22–31.

²⁰ M. S. Smith, Origins of Biblical Monotheism, 45.

²¹ M. S. Smith, *Origins of Biblical Monotheism*, 45.

²² This is the most complicated level of the theory to understand. Handy himself even says that the lines between these deities and those above are "not clearly defined"; Handy, *Among the Host of Heaven*, 131. It is helpful to think of this as the tradeworkers of construction and the higher level as the general contractor. The tradeworkers take orders from the general contractor, but the general contractor cannot complete the project without the specialized work of the tradeworkers.

²³ M. S. Smith, Origins of Biblical Monotheism, 26.

²⁴ This distinction is challenged by Cho who claims that there is very little difference between tier three and tier four and thus considers the deities in both tiers in his analysis of lesser deities: Cho, *Lesser Deities*, 32. Despite this critique, Cho continues to refer to four tiers and adopts Handy's structure and terminology.

²⁵ Mullen, Assembly of the Gods, 282.

²⁶ Handy, Among the Host of Heaven, 149; M. S. Smith, Origins of Biblical Monotheism, 46.

Yahweh, which can certainly be supported by the texts. His didactic approach argues that tier four exists in the form of the "angels," which he sees as the other gods who through a long historical process get transformed into lesser divine beings. Similarly, tiers two and three have been omitted due to the transformation within Israelite religion from polytheism to monotheism, which also accounts for the change in status of the beings in tier four. Michael S. Heiser presents this understanding of the issue when he states, "The data apparently informs us that Israelite religion evolved from polytheism to henotheistic monolatry to monotheism." While it seems certain that there is development within Israelite religion, the linear progression ending in radical monotheism described by Heiser should be questioned, and the council itself should be considered in this larger discussion.

Handy takes a slightly different approach. In his version, Yahweh occupies tier one, but tier two has not been edited out by the development towards monotheism. He places characters such as the Lying Spirit in 1 Kings 22 and השטן from Job and Zechariah on this tier as gods. On tier three he suggests that Nehushtan (2 Kgs 18:4) and Ba al-Zebub (2 Kgs 1:1–8) might have functioned at this level in Israelite religion. He the larger ancient Near Eastern context. On tier four, Handy takes the term והמלאכים literally as messengers, even though that is not how these characters function within biblical council type-scenes; in fact in these scenes, the role of messenger is usually filled by prophets, not המלאכים. Thus he bases most of his argumentation regarding this tier on terminology and names. This is mixed methodology, since his four tiers were initially developed on the basis of function. Therefore, this study will examine the way in which the members of the Council of Yahweh function, in order to develop a structural understanding purely on the basis of function.

While both theorists propose plausible explanations for understanding the Council of Yahweh, more could be said regarding its presentation in the Hebrew Bible. For example, Smith is almost certainly correct in stating that the development towards monotheism is an important factor for theologies regarding Israelite divine councils. However, he points out that divine

²⁷ M. S. Smith, Origins of Biblical Monotheism, 49.

²⁸ M. S. Smith, Origins of Biblical Monotheism, 47-50.

²⁹ M. S. Heiser, "Monotheism, Polytheism, Monolatry, or Henotheism? Towards an Assessment of Divine Plurality in the Hebrew Bible," *BBR* 18 (2008): 2. It is important to note that Heiser is merely describing the standard pattern in scholarship. He, himself, questions this understanding.

³⁰ Handy, Among the Host of Heaven, 119–22.

³¹ Handy, Among the Host of Heaven, 140–42.

³² Handy, Among the Host of Heaven, 152-54.