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## TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

In this volume I have used, though sparingly, the terms suggested in the preface to volume II - re-formate (formate), ad-formate, transformate. These are applied to single words, as on p. 30, Rem. 1. When a word is modified by the analogy of another, it is said to be an ad-formate of it (p. 29, line 7 from the bottom, is an example). In its new shape it is transformed from the old, or a transformate of it (p. 44, footnote). Absolutely regarded, it is a re-formate (sometimes, where there can be no mistake, the simple word formate stands). Re-formation and transformation are used when not single words, but groups, come in question (as p. 90, line 6 from bottom); also when certain sound changes are exemplified by the words cited (as the $z$ in sibunzo ahtozo, p. 40). These terms may by ugly, but they are so very convenient that their ugliness will, it is hoped, be forgiven.

In such words as Pali, Präkrit, Gäthic the quantity has not always been marked. It seemed needless to do so when this had been indicated often enough to ensure its being remembered.

The word polysyllable is used to include dissyllables, unless otherwise implied.

I had hoped to get out this volume by Christmas last. The delay is due partly to the waste of time in sending proofs to and fro from Germany, and partly to the almost ceaseless pressure of other duties.

Mr. Conway's criticism and advice has been very useful all through, and I take the opportunity of thanking him for it.
W. H. D. Rouse.

Cheltenham, May 30, 1892.

## CORRIGENDA T0 VOLUME II.

```
page X line 1 for mascnline read masculine
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```
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    # 366 „ 5 # for 116 read 116 -
    # 395 „ 15 n n Fi\deltaovt-\taue read Fi\deltao'-vt-\alpha
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## THE NUMERALS. ${ }^{1}$ )

§ 164. In the original Indo-Germanic language, the numerals 1 to 999 were expressed in one of three ways. Some were simple words, as *tri- 'three' (Skr. tri-); some were compounds, as

1) Bopp, Vergl. Gr. II $^{3} 55 \mathrm{ff}$. Schleicher, Compend. ${ }^{4}$ p. 477 ff . Bopp, Über die Zahlwörter im Sanskr., Griech., Lat., Litth., Goth. und Altslaw., Abh. der Berliner Akad. 1833 p. 163 ff. Lepsius, Über den Ursprung und die Verwandtschaft der Zahlwörter in der Indogerm., Semit. und der Koptischen Sprache, in 'Zwei sprachvergleichende Abhandlungen', Berlin 1836, p. 81 ff. J. Grimm, Geschichte der deutsch. Sprache ${ }^{3}$ 167 ff . Pott, die quinare und vigesimale Zählmethode bei Völkern aller Welttheile, nebst ausführlichen Bemerkungen über die Zahlwörter Indogermanischen Stammes, Halle 1847. Id., Die Sprachverschiedenheit in Europa an den Zahlwörtern nachgewiesen, sowie die quinäre und vigesimale Zählmethode, Halle 1868. E. Schrader, Über den Ursprung und die Bedeutung der Zahlwörter in der indoeurop. Sprache, Stendal 1854. Zehetmayr, Verbalbedeutung der Zahlwörter, als Beitrag zur Beleuchtung des ursprüngl. Verhältnisses der indogerm. Sprachen zum semit. Sprachstamme, Leipz. 1854. W. Wackernagel, Über Zahl und Ziffern, Michaelis' Ztschr. für Stenogr. 1855. Bernloew, Recherches sur l'origine des noms de nombre japhétiques et sémitiques, Giessen 1861. Krause, Über den Ursprung und die Bedeutung der Zahlwörter, Ztschr. für österr. Gymn. 1865 p. 867 f. J. Schmidt, Über einige numeralia multiplicativa, Kuhn's Ztschr. XVI 430 ff. - Ed. Müller, Sprachvergleichendes über die Numeralia, Fleckeisen's Jahrbücher für class. Phil. 97, p. 535 f . Ascoli, Über eine Gruppe indogermanischer Endungen, Krit. Stud. 85 ff . Osthoff, Formassociation bei Zahlwörtern, Morph. Unt. I 92 ff. J. Baunack, Formassociation bei den indogerm. Numeralien mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der griechischen, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 225 ff . J. Wackernagel, Zum Zahlwort, ibid. 260 ff . TheAuthor, Die Bildung der Zehner und der Hunderter in den idg. Sprachen, MorphUnt. V 1 ff., 138 ff., 268.

[^0]*dū̃-dekm 'twelve' (Skr. $d v t \hat{a}-d a \dot{s} a)$; and some were expressed
 $v i \not s a t i ́ s ́ c a)$. Simple words existed only for the numbers 1 to 10 , and 100 .

We find in the second stage, when the various branches of the language had begun to develope on their own lines, simple words for 1000 , as Skr. sa-hásra-m, Gr. Lesb. $\chi^{\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda-\iota o t ; ~ b u t ~ i t ~}$ is uncertain whether a corresponding form *gheslo- existed in the proethnic period, or whether the phrase 'ten hundreds' (cp. Skr. daśa-śatiz f.) was the sole expression for this number. If the simple words for 1000 were not earlier than the second stage, the change was similar to one which took place in Greek,


The word *dekm. 'decem' played an important part in the IndoGermanic decimal system. It is in the highest degree probable that the Indo-Germanic elements *-knt- and *-komt- which appear in the expressions for multiples of ten (Gr. Dor. Fi-x<ut and

[^1] with *dêm, and came from *-dkmt- *-dkomt- and *dkyntó-m, syncope having taken place because the first syllable was unaccented (I § 310 p. 247); see Scherer Zur Gesch. der deutsch. Spr. ${ }^{2}$ 579, Bugge Bezz. Beitr. XIV 72.

We are in the dark as to the precise significance of the original Indo-Germanic words for 'two' and all the following numerals. Many conjectures have been put forward, some of them not at all amiss. It has been suggested, for example, that ${ }^{*}$ ter- ${ }^{*}$ tr-i- 'three' may have been a name for the middle finger, connected with Skr. tár-man- 'top of the sacrificial pillar' Gr. $\tau \varepsilon \varrho-\vartheta \rho \circ-\nu$ 'end, point, top'; *perzqe 'five' has been compared with Goth. figgr-s 'finger' (cp. O.H.G. füst O.C.Sl. pestī 'fist' for *proqsti-s, II § 101 p. 306), [and the slang phrase for a fist, bunch
 But many others are certainly far from the mark, as Zehetmayr's, in the work cited on the first page.

Our attention will be given first to Cardinal Numbers, to Abstract Numerals - so far only as they are used along with adjectival cardinal numbers in ordinary reckoning - and to the Ordinals. The Abstract Numerals are derived from the Cardinals by the suffixes $-t i$ - and $-t-(-d-)$, which serve as secondary suffixes in other abstract forms besides these (see II § 99 p. 293 , § 101 p. 306 f., § 123 p. 390). Some of them were used in the proethnic period along with ordinary numerals; instead of 'ten men', for instance, the expression 'a ten of men' served equally well. Sometimes they even drove the cardinals out of the field altogether; in Balto-Slavonic *dekm is not represented, but only *dekm-t $(i)-$, which appears in Lith. as deszimt(i)-, in Slav. as desęt(र)-. The Ordinal Numerals contain -to- and -mo-, suffixes used in comparison; a conjecture as to the origin of these has been given in II § 72 Rem. p. 167 and § 81 Rem. 1 p. 242.

[^2]
## CARDINALS, ABSTRACT NUMERALS, AND ORDINALS.

§ 165. One. In the original language, one or more derivatives from a pronominal stem oi- served to express 'one : cp. Gr. Ital. Kelt. Germ. Balto-Slav. *oi-no-s (Skr. has an enclitic ēna- with the meaning 'he'), Iran. Gr. *oi-uo-s, Skr. *oìqo-.

Aryan. Skr. ê-ka-s. Avest. aę-va- ōi-va-, O.Pers. ai-va-.
Greek. oi-vó-s oi-vn' 'ace, the number one on a die', oivn'
 $\gamma \lambda \omega \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha \nu$ and oiv$\tilde{\nu} \tau \tau \alpha^{*} \mu \circ \nu \dot{\eta} \rho \eta$ (Hesych.). Then there is the Homeric oiérins 'of the same age, contemporary', which Wackernagel (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 280) derives from *oifo-firins by syllabic dissimilation (I $\S 643 \mathrm{pp} .481 \mathrm{f}$.), whilst olo-s Cypr. ot-fo-s meant 'alone'.

Italic. Lat. oi-no-s oeno-s anu-s, Umbr. unu 'unum' Osc. úín[itú 'unita'.

Remark. Many scholars connect éeka-s with Lat. aequo-s and Gr.
 might be connected with the feminine stem $\bar{a}$ - (§ 409). But at the same time such forms as Lat. auri-s: Gr. oüãa suggest the possibility of a similar vowel variation here. - See Hübschmann, Das idg. Vocalsystem pp. 190 f.

Old Irish. oe-n.
Germanic. Goth. ái-n-s O.H.G. ei-n O.Icel. ei-nn.
Balto-Slavonic. Lith. v-ëna-s (cp. I § 666. 1 p. 526), Pruss. acc. ai-na-n. O.C.Sl. i-nй (cp. I § 84 p. 82, § 666. 3 p. 527), which in composition means 'one', as ino-rogŭ 'onehorned animal, unicorn'; elsewhere it has the meaning 'alter, alius'.
*sem- was another word for 'one' in the parent language. The idea originally conveyed by it was probably that of being together or united. This became the regular numeral in Armenian and Greek: Armen. $m i$ (gen. mioj) for ${ }^{*} s m-i$ (I § 560 p. 416); Gr. $\varepsilon_{\nu}$ - instead of ${ }^{*} \varepsilon \mu-$, nom. masc. in the dialect of Gortyn $\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon} \nu-\varsigma$ Att. $\varepsilon i c ̧$, fem. $\mu i \alpha$ for ${ }^{*} \sigma \mu-t \alpha, \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu v \xi \xi$ 'one-hoofed' for * $\sigma \mu-\omega v \nu \xi$ (II § 160 pp .479 f.). Compare Skr. sa-kýt 'once' Gr. $\ddot{\alpha}-\pi \alpha \xi$ 'once' - $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \lambda \frac{10}{}{ }^{-\varsigma}$ 'single, simple', Lat. sim-plex, sin-guli ,
semel (see § 182), sem-per 'in one unbroken sequence, always', Goth. simlē 'once, once upon a time'.

Isolated forms, of doubtful origin, are Hom. Gort. īw Lesb. Thess. ${ }^{\prime 2} \alpha$ (cp. Osthoff, Morph. Unt. IV 186 f.), and Slav. jedinŭ jed亢nŭ, the regular word for 'one' in that language.

First. In all periods, from the proethnic onwards, ordinals for this number were formed from the $\checkmark$ per-, which is seen in Gr. $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \bar{\alpha} v \pi^{\prime} \rho-v \sigma \iota \pi \rho \sigma^{\prime}$ etc. (Fick, Wörterb. $\mathrm{I}^{3} 140 \mathrm{ff}$.), by means of the suffixes -uo- (Ar., Gr., Slav.), -mo- (Ital., Germ., Balt.), -imino- (Ar.) and -isto- (West-Germ. and Norse).
 latter is seen in Skr. pürv-iyá-s púrv-iya-s (also púrva-s 'situated before'); Avest. pourviya- paoirya-; Gr. пן $\tilde{\omega} \tau 0-s$ Dor. пן $\tilde{\alpha} \tau 0-s$ for ${ }^{*} \pi \rho \omega F-\alpha \tau 0-\varsigma$, besides which we have forms without the ex-
 $*_{\pi} \pi \omega-\mathcal{\alpha}-v$, and (with the suffix -io-) $\pi \varrho \omega \hat{\imath} \eta \nu$ 'recently for * $\pi \rho \omega-$ $-f-1 \bar{\alpha}-v$. Cp. I § 306 p. 242, II § 63 p. 133, § 64 p. 134. Apparently we must assume a form *pro-uo- for Goth. fráuja 'lord', O.H.G. fro 'lord' frouwa ( $=$ Goth. ${ }^{*}$ fráujō) 'lady'; this ${ }^{*}$ pro-uo- will be related to ${ }^{*} p \delta-u_{0} 0$ - in the same way as *pro-moto ${ }^{*} p_{8}-m o$ -
*pr-mo-. Goth. fruma O.Sax. formo A.S. forma. Lith. pirma-s. Cp. O.Ir. rem- 'ante, prae' II § 72 p. 168 and Lat. prandiu- $m$, which Osthoff is probably right in explaining as *pram-(e)d-iijo-m 'early food’ (cp. Morph. Unt. V p. III). *pro-mo- is seen in Umbr. prumum promom 'primum': cp. Gr. $\pi \rho o ́ \mu о-s$ 'front man, front warrior, leader, prince' Goth. fram--aldrs 'advanced in age'. -mo- also occurs in Lat. prìmu-s Pelign. prismu 'primo' or 'primum' (I § 570 p. 427, II § 72 p. 168), which, like prīs-cu-s and prīs-tinu-s, is derived from a comparative form connected with prius (II § 135 pp .433 f. ).
-tymo-: Skr. pra-thamá- (for the th cp. II § 73 p. 178), Avest. fra-tema- O.Pers. fra-tama-. Cp. Avest. fra-tara- Gr. $\pi \varrho o ́-\tau \varepsilon \rho \circ-\varsigma$ 'former, earlier'.
-isto-: O.H.G. furist A.S. fyrst O.Icel. fyrst-r. Cp. O.H.G. furiro 'earlier, superior'.

Alban. i-pare 'first' belongs to the same root as these words.

Words derived from other roots:
Skr. ádya-s from $\bar{a}-d i-$-s 'beginning'; and later adi-má-s.
Armen. nax and arajin, the latter from araj 'foremost side, front, origin, beginning' (cp. verj-in 'last' from very 'end', ver-in 'highest' from ver 'above', and the like).
O.Ir. cett-ne, in composition cēt-, Mod.Cymr. kyntaf, Gall. Cintu- in proper names, such as Cintu-gnatu-s ('primigenitus'); perhaps, as Thurneysen conjectures, this is connected with Goth. hindumist-s 'extreme, outermost' A.S. hindema 'last', since the ideas of 'first' and 'last' are easily interchanged. In Irish there is a further form oen-mad, used where larger numbers follow; here the termination -mad has come from sechtm-ad 'seventh' dechm-ad 'tenth', where $m$ is part of the stem.
§ 186. Two. ${ }^{1}$ ) The stem is *duo- *duno- (I § 117 p .109 ); in composition and in some ordinary derivatives we have ${ }^{*} d u x i$-, a form which recals ${ }^{*} t r-i-$ 'three' (cp. II $\S 13$ p. 28) and ${ }^{*} u-i$ 'two' in $f i-\mathrm{x} \alpha \tau \iota$ etc. (§ 177). I find it impossible to agree with Bartholomae (Ar. Forsch. III 39), who conjecturally restores ${ }^{*} d u \overline{0} i^{-}$*duoi- ${ }^{*} d u i$ - as the primitive base of this numeral.

Skr. dvaúo dva (duvāú duvâ), fem. neut. dvê (duvê). Avest. $d v a$, fem. duyẹ (cp. Bartholomae, Handb. § 92 p. 40 ).

Gr. $\delta \dot{v} \dot{v}$ (used for both masc. and fem., like Lat. duo and Lith. dialectic $d \grave{u}$, cp. also $\tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}_{\lambda} \lambda \bar{\alpha} \S 426$ ), $\delta \dot{v} \dot{o}$ (which was perhaps originally the neuter, see § 293); $\delta \omega^{\prime}-\delta \varepsilon x \alpha$ for ${ }^{*} \delta f \omega$ The Dor. and Later Att. dvoi Lesb. dvígı or div $\varepsilon \sigma \sigma$ Thess. dóus are re-formates, apparently caused by $\delta v \omega \check{\nu}$ ( $\delta v o i ̃ v$ ); the relation of toiti: $\tau \rho \omega \tilde{\nu}$ made it seem natural to coin dvoi as dative to $\delta v \tilde{v} v$. dooi cannot be derived from *duoio- (cp. I $\S 130 \mathrm{pp} .117$ f.); possibly it came from *duoi-io-, cp. Skr. dvẽ--dhá 'double, twice' and §§ 297, 311.

[^3]Lat. duo, fem. duae (cp. $\S \S 285,286)$, acc. duo, duōs, duas, dat. dū̄-bus, duā-bus. In Umbrian the inflexion is plural throughout: nom. masc. dur, acc. masc. fem. tuf, nom. acc. neut. tuva (cp. Lat. dua beside duo).
O.Ir. dau dō, older $d \bar{a} u$, and also $d \bar{a}$ (§ 285), fem. $d \bar{\imath}$; O.Cymr. Mid.Bret. masc. dou.

Goth. tvái, neut. tva, fem. tvōs; dat. tváim, gen. tvaddje (see § 311). The Germanic dialects show various re-formates among the cases, as O.H.G. zwēne, which are not yet satisfactorily explained; the latest discussion of them is by Kluge in Paul's Grundriss I 403.

Lith. masc. dù for *dvư', fem. dvì for *dvé, see I § 184 p. 160 , § 664. 3 p. 523 . O.C.Sl. dva dŭva, fem. neut. dvě dŭvě.
*dui $i$. Examples of this base in composition are: Skr. dvi--pád- Gr. si-novs Lat. bi-pēs A.S. twi-fēte 'two-footed', O.H.G. zwi-valt 'two-fold'. In derivatives : *dui-qo- : Skr. dvi-ka- 'consist-
 zweho 'doubt' A.S. twiz 'twig, branch' (II § 86 p. 257). In Italic we find $d u$ - (as well as *dui-): Lat. $d u$-plu-s du-plex du-centī (cp. § 180), Umbr. du-pursus 'bipedibus'; this was a re-formation, developed possibly with the aid of quadru- (II § 34 p. 61).

Remark 1. Side by side with Lat. bi-, dui- is found twice in composition, dui-dēns and dui-cēnsus (Paul. Fest.). This, like O.Lat. duis = bis (§ 182), may have preserved an Idg. *duyi- (cp. Ved. duviş beside dviṣ́), or it may simply be a modification of $b i$ - on the analogy of $d u o$ (and of $d u$-) ; I leave the matter undecided. In either case we may reject the view of Skutsch (De nominum Latinorum compositione, Nissae 1888, p. 35), who holds that $b i$ - arose from the $d u i$ - which is preserved in these two compounds. On the other hand, I agree with this scholar in regarding $d i$ - in late compounds (as di-lōris) as borrowed from the Greek $\delta_{t-}$ (p. 36).

Armen. erku (gen. dat. erku-ç), of uncertain origin. Bugge (Beitr. zur etym. Erläuterung der arm. Spr., 41 f.) derives the word from $* k u$ - $=$ Idg. ${ }^{*} d u \bar{o}(u)$, with $e r$ - prefixed on the analogy of erek' 'three'; a most daring suggestion. Fr. Müller would connect it with Suanian jéru Georgian ori 'two'.

Sccond. For this numeral the different languages show very different forms.

Skr. dvi-ť̂ya-s, Avest. bi-tya- Gāthic dabi-tya- O.Pers. düvītiya- (I § 159 p. 143).

Armen. erkir and erkr-ord (for *erkir-ord).
Remark 2. Perhaps erkir, like çorir 'fourth', was formed on the analogy of eri-r 'third'. The termination ord, found in erkr-ord and all the numerals which follow, is very common in other words besides numerals: e. g. hanapaz-ord 'daily' from hanapaz 'always', parap-ord 'otiosus' from parap 'otium', ors-ord 'hunter' from ors 'hunt', lc-ord 'companion, $\sigma$ úlvyos' from luc 'yoke'. Petermann (Grammatica Linguae Armen. p. 162) and Bopp (Vergl. Gr. II ${ }^{3} 97$ f.) offer very questionable conjectures as to its origin.

Gr. dev́re@os properly means removed to a distance from something, at a distance from it, coming after it in time or position' ( $\delta \varepsilon v^{\prime} \tau \alpha \tau$ og is also found). It is etymologically connected with dsviouct and the Sanskrit adj. dū-rá- 'far off, afar', and did not belong to the numerals until Greek had become a separate language. Its similarity in sound with díw certainly had something to do with this new use. See the Author, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 298 ff.

Lat. secundu-s, connected with sequor; see II § 69 p. 161.
O.Ir. aile (Mid. Cymr. Mod. Bret. eil) = Lat. aliu-s, and tanise, which is connected with imthänad 'change', though nothing further is known of its etymology.

Goth. an-par O.H.G. andar. Lith. añtra-s O.C.Sl. vŭtorŭ. Cp. II § 75 p .198 . It possibly may be analysed vü-torŭ (cp. Skr. u-bhaú), see § 285.
§ 167. Three. Idg. masc. neut. *tr-i-. The $-i$ - was a suffix, as is proved by such forms as Skr. tr-tíya-s Gr. Lesb. $\tau \varepsilon \rho-\tau 0-\varsigma$.

Skr. tráy-as, loc. tri-ṣúu. Avest. brāay-ō, loc. pri-šva.
Armen. ere- $k$, gen. dat. eri-c, instr. eri-vた, see I § 263 p. 213.

 $\tau \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}-\pi \varepsilon \delta \delta \alpha$ (beside $\tau \varrho i-\pi o v \varsigma$ etc.), taken in conjunction with Lat. trĕ-cent $\bar{\imath}$, Lith. trẽ-czia-s O.C.Sl. tre-t $\check{\jmath} \mathfrak{j}$ 'third' Lith. tre-ji 'three by three', seems to point to an old stem ${ }^{*} \operatorname{tr}-e-\left({ }^{*} \operatorname{tr}-0-\right)$.

Lat. trēs, dat. abl. tri-bus, Umbr. trif tref acc. 'tres' triia 'tria'.
O.Ir. $\operatorname{tr} \bar{\imath}$ dat. $\operatorname{tri} \mathrm{b}$.

Goth. preis dat. pri-m, O.H.G. $d r i=d a t . ~ d r i-m$.
Lith. trỹs loc. tri-sè. O.C.Sl. trŭj-e trij-e loc. trī-chŭ.
For the feminine there was a special form in the parent language, preserved only in Aryan and Keltic, *tiser- *tisr-: nom. Skr. tisr-ás (for the weak form of the stem see § 320) Avest. tišar-ō, O.Ir. teoir O.Cymr. teir (cp. I § 576 p. 431). It is conjectured that *tisr- came from *tri-sr- by dissimilation, and that its second part is identical with the second part of *sue-sor- 'sister'; see Bugge, Bezzenb. Beitr. XIV 75 f. Skr. cátasr-as is a similar formation (see § 168).

Third. The Indo-Germanic languages have forms with $-t o-$; those without the $-i$ - of ${ }^{*} t r-i$ - may be considered the oldest: Skr. tr-tí̀ya-s, Gr. Lesb. ré $\varrho-\tau o \varsigma, ~ L a t . ~ t e r-t i u-s ~ U m b r . ~$ tertim 'tertium', Pruss. tir-ti-s acc. tir-tie-n (tir- $={ }^{*} t_{\delta}-$ ).

The following have ${ }^{*} t r-i$. Avest. pri-tya- O.Pers. si-tiya-. Gr. Att. etc. rei-to-s, and the Homeric reit-avo-s on the analogy of $\varepsilon i \nu \alpha \tau 0-\varsigma \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} x \alpha \tau o-\varsigma, ~ с р . ~ \pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau 0-\varsigma$ for ${ }^{*} \pi \varrho \omega \mathcal{F}-\alpha \tau 0-\varsigma ~ § ~ 165 ~ p . ~ 5$ and $\varepsilon \beta \delta_{o}^{\prime} \mu-\alpha \tau 0-s \S 171$. Lat. trit-avo-s, unless the true form of the word be strit-avo-s, see II § 81 p. 246. Mod.Cymr. trydydd for *tri-tiio- or for *tr-tiio-, we cannot tell which. With different suffixes: Armen. eri-r (and err-ord for ${ }^{*}$ erir-ord, cp. § 166 Rem. 2) and O.Ir. tri-s, in composition tress- (see II § 81 p. 247).

For tr-e- in Lith. trẽczia-s for ${ }^{*}$ tretials and in O.C.SI. tret $\grave{\imath} \check{\imath}$, see last page.

The last-named forms make it doubtful whether Goth. pridja O.H.G. dritto are derived from *tri-tio-, or from *tre-tio- (according to $\mathrm{I} \S 67.3 \mathrm{p} .57$ ).
$\S$ 168. Four. The Idg. stem masc. neut. *qetuer- *qetuorhad a variety of ablaut-forms; this was because there were several distinct weak-grade forms of the second syllable: *q(e)tur${ }^{*} q(e) t \bar{u} r-{ }^{*} q(e) t r u-{ }^{*} q(e) t u r^{-}{ }^{*} q(e) t u \bar{\gamma} \overline{-}$. Cp. I § 155 p. 140, and J. Schmidt, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 43 ff . and 138, Pluralbild. 191 f.; Wackernagel, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV 283 ff., XXVIII 136;
G. Meyer, Gr. Gr. ${ }^{2} 376$ f.; Osthoff, Phil. Rundsch. I 1592, Morph. Unt. IV 333; Kluge, Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 517 ff., Paul's Grundr. I 403 f .

The nom. plur. masc. in the original language would be *qetuor-es: Dor. 七étooes Lat. quattuor Skr. catvăr-as; neut. ${ }^{*} q e t u \bar{o} r{ }^{*}$ qetuōr-a: Skr. catvări, Lat. quattuor, Goth. fidvōr, whose $\bar{\sigma}$ passed over into the masculine.

Skr. catvâr-as acc. catúr-as; in composition catur- instead of pr. Ar. *catru-. Avest. cabwar-ō; catur-a- occurring four times'; in composition capru-, as cabru-karana- 'four-cornered', and capwaré-, as cabwar-zargra- 'four-footed'; the latter was probably suggested by caßwares-sat- '40' (see $\S \S 176,178$, and the Author, Morph. Unt. V 30). For the ablaut in the first syllable cp. Avest. a-xtuirya- 'occurring four times' and the ordinals Skr. túr-ya- tur-ı̂ya- Avest. tüirya- for *ktur- (I § 646 p. 491).

Armen. çơ-k,k, gen. çor-i-c, from *qetuŏr- or *qetur-, see I § 455 p. 336. Also $k{ }^{\prime} \dot{r} \dot{r}$-, in $k{ }^{\prime} \dot{r}$ - $a$-sun ' 40 ', probably from *(q)tū్̄-, see $\S \S 176,178$.

 $\pi \dot{\imath} \tau \tau \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$, dat. Hom. $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha-\sigma \iota$. Cp. I § 166 p. 147. $\pi$ - in $\pi \varepsilon \sigma v \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$ пíवv@єs $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \rho \varepsilon \varsigma$ can hardly have been taken over from * $\pi \tau \rho \alpha-$ ( $\tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$ ) and ${ }^{*} \pi \tau \rho v$ - ( $\tau \rho v-\varphi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ), since these had dropped their $\pi$ - in the proethnic Greek period. More probably it came from ríver. Two other forms are retoc- and * $(\pi) \tau \rho \alpha-$. The former is seen in $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha-\sigma t, \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \alpha^{-x \iota \varsigma}$ 'four times', $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha-\tau 0-\varsigma$ (beside $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \rho \tau \sigma-\varsigma)$ and in most compounds, as $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha$ -$-x v x \lambda o \varsigma$ 'four-wheeled; the latter in $\tau \rho \alpha$ ' $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \zeta_{\alpha}$ 'table' for ${ }^{*}(\pi) \tau \rho \alpha$ ' $-\pi \varepsilon \zeta_{\alpha}$ (beside $\tau \alpha \rho$ - in $\tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu=\tau \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho \omega \nu$, a word used by the comedian Amphis, and in $\tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \dot{\rho} \iota o \nu=\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \circ \rho \iota \nu$, preserved by Hesychius ${ }^{1}$ ). $\quad \tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha-$ stands for ${ }^{*} \tau \varepsilon \tau F \rho \alpha-\left({ }^{*}\right.$ qetur-) and ${ }^{*}(\pi) \tau \rho \alpha-$

1) Hesychius' explanation, tò reız $\boldsymbol{\text { róporor, seems to be corrupt; read }}$ тò reтарт $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\rho}$ iov. It is not at all probable that this word has preserved a form rueqo- belonging to Skr. trtífya-s Pruss. tirti-s 'third'.
for ${ }^{*}(\pi) \tau F \rho \alpha-\left(^{*}{ }^{*} t u r-\right), \quad \mathcal{F}$ having been lost in proethnic Greek
 $\S \S 176,178,341$. Tveq $\alpha \tilde{\alpha} 0-s$, if Pott is right in adding this to the list (cp. Toıraĩo-s), should be compared with Skr. tur-ya-; $\tau v \rho$ - instead of *$\pi \tau v \rho-$ on the analogy of tov-. With Homer's $\tau \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha$ - $\beta$ ooo-s 'worth four oxen' compare another Homeric form,


Lat. quattuor, quadru- (in composition), quadra-ginta instead of *quettuor, *quedru-, *quedra-, being assimilated to quartu-s (see next page). quattuor, nom. pi. masc. and nom. acc. neul. (see last page), dropped its inflexions because the following numerals (quinque etc.) were not inflected. quadrā- stands for *qetuȳ-, see $\S \S 176,178 .-d$ - has taken the place of $-t-$, reminding us of a similar change of the breathed to the voiced sound in angulu-s for *arklo-, septin-gent̄̃ septuā-gintā (I § 499 p. 366, III § 177), Gr. $\S \beta \delta o \mu 0-s$ O.C.Sl. sedmi (§ 171). Umbr. petur--pursus 'quadrupedibus', Osc. petora 'quattuor' (Fest.) and petiro--pert 'quater'.
O.Ir. cethir (dat. cethrib), O.Cymr. petguar. Gall. Petru--coriu-s and petor-ritum 'four-wheeled vehicle'.

Goth. fidvōr for *fidvör-(i)z like stiur 'steer, ox' for *stiur(a)-z (I § 660.6 p. 516, III § 194), dat. fidvōri-m, see § 169 ; fidur--dōgs 'lasting four days' (fidūr-? or fidür- instead of *fidaúrbecause the second syllable was unaccented?). The $t$-sound which appears in Gothic is not found elsewhere, except in A.S. and O.Swed. compounds; e. g. A.S. fyđer-fēte 'four-footed' for pr. Germ. ${ }^{*} f i ́ p u r-$ (II § 19 p. 36). O.H.G. fior O.Sax. fiwar A.S. feówer O.Icel. masc. fjörer neut. fjogor fjugur point to a form *kuekuor- *kuekur- before the great Sound-shifting (Lautverschiebung) in proethnic Germanic; for the change of - $\boldsymbol{z}$ to -u- see I § 444 c p. 330. I assume that in *kuetuor-, -tulwas assimilated to the initial guttural (cp. *pempe Goth. fimf for *peraqe, § 169 p .14 ); then *kuetur- followed suit, and became *kuekur-. In Gothic, on the other hand, fidur- held its ground, and $f i d v \bar{o} r$ (instead of $\left.{ }^{*} f(\delta) v o \bar{r}\right)$ has been assimilated to it.

Remark 1. I have discussed this -tu- in Morph. Unt. V 53 f . It has been differently explained by Kluge in Paul-Braune's Beitr. VIII 517 ff ., and in Paul's Grundriss I 403; but I do not feel convinced by his arguments.

Remark 2. Even in pr. Germ. this numeral was declined as an $i$-stem; e. g. O.H.G. fiorin like Goth. fidvōri-m. The same $i$-inflexion is seen in the numerals 5 to 12 , as Goth. fimfi-m O.H.G. finfin. The origin of this inflexion is doubtful; perhaps the $i$-forms are to be traced to more than one source. See on this subject the Author, Morph. Unt. V 53 ff .

Lith. keturi, stem ketur-ja-, but acc. kẽtur-is, declined in the same way as the following numbers penkì szeszi etc. Side by side with this is found ketveri (stem ketver-ja-), the distributive - used as a cardinal numeral with plural substantives - , whose termination eri spread to the numerals which followed (penk-eri szesz-eri etc.). The same suffix -io- occurs in tre-ji, Avest. a-xtūirya- 'occurring four times', Gr. joooi סionó-s, and in many other numerals (cp. § 183). From ketver-ì, -io- passed over to the proper cardinal numeral, but the acc. kêturis $=$ Skr. catúras Gr. niбv@as (common ground-form *qetur-ņs, § 333) was preserved by the aid of tris, and then the numerals which followed were declined precisely like keturi (cp. the Author, Morph. Unt. V 55 f.).
O.C.Sl. četyr-e, gen. četyr- $\breve{u}$ acc. četyr- $i$, fem. nom. acc. četyr-i. Distributive četver-o.

We trace an original fem. of *qetuer-, answering to *tiser'three' (§ 167 p .8 ), in Skr. cátasr-as Avest. cataər-ō (I §558 p. 415) and Mod.Cymr. pedeir O.Ir. cetheoira cetheora. These justify the conjecture that -uer- in "qetuer- was a suffix of some kind.

Fourth. Skr. catur-thá-s and túr-ya-s tur-îya-s, Avest. tüirya- (see p. 9).

Armen. c̣or-ir čorr-ord for *ẹorir-ord and Kar-ord (cp. § 166 Rem. 2 p. 7).

Gr. $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha \rho-\tau 0-\varsigma$ Hom. $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha-\tau 0-\varsigma$ Boeot. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha-\tau 0-\varsigma$ ( $\pi-$ as in пย̇гтаре૬), ground-form *qetur-to-. $\tau \alpha \rho \tau 0-$ ground-form *qtur-toin $\tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu$ ó $\iota \sigma$. Cp. p. 10.

Lat. quartu-s for *qtury-to- (I § 306 p. 242), which no doubt became first *tuar-to-, and then quarto- through association with
quattuor. Prenest. Quorta (Schneider, Dial. Ital. I no. 217) is so isolated that I cannot venture on the strength of it to assume ${ }^{*} q t u r-t o-$ as well as *qtury-to- for Italic; cp. Stolz, Lat. Gr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 385. Osc. trutum 'quartum' trutas gen. 'quartae' (Bugge, Altital. Stud. 1878 p. 53 ff .) are formed from ${ }^{*}$ qtrŭ-.
O.Ir. cethramad formed after the analogy of sechtmad 'seventh' dechmad 'tenth'.
O.H.G. fior-do A.S. feór- $\overline{d a}$ beside O.H.G. fior, see p. 11.

Lith. ketviř-ta-s O.C.Sl. četvrǐ-tŭ ground-form *qetur-to-.
§ 169. Five. Idg. *peraqe. This number, along with the numbers 6 to 10 , was indeclinable in the original language, and also more or less in Aryan, Armenian, Greek, Italic, Keltic, and Germanic during the historical period. We may conjecture that it is a survival from the time when the attributive adjective needed no case-endings. For example, Ved. pán̂ca krş̧tiş̧̦u, Gr. $\pi \varepsilon ่ v \tau \varepsilon ~ \delta \alpha x \tau v ́ \lambda \omega v, ~ L a t . ~ q u i n q u e ~ v i r o ̄ r u m, ~ G o t h . ~$ fimf hláibans. But it came to be declined more or less frequently in all the different branches of Indo-Germanic except Italic: Skr. gen. pañcãnám, Armen. gen. hngi-c, Gr. Lesb. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega v$, Mid.Ir. cōic $m$ - $b \bar{o}$ 'quinque vaccarum', O.H.G. dat. finfin (inflected only where it followed the substantive). In Lithuanian alone penkì is invariably inflected from the earliest period at which we know the language (cp. last page). In Slavonic the adjectival numeral, along with those immediately following up to 10 , had died out before the beginning of our record.

Skr. páñca, Avest. panca.
Armen. hing, see I § 330 p. 265, § 455 p. 336.
Gr. $\pi \varepsilon ́ v \tau \varepsilon . \quad-\pi$ - is regular (I § 427 p. 312) in Lesb. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu$ (see above), and in $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi-\omega^{\prime} \rho_{0} \lambda о-\nu, \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha ́ \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$; whilst in Lesb. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi \varepsilon$ the $-\pi$-, and in $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau a^{\prime} \beta o \lambda \rho-\nu \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ etc. the $-\tau$ - was due to form-association. In compounds, besides $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon-$ (e. g. $\left.\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \varepsilon-\tau \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \nu \tau 0-\varsigma\right)$ we find $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha-(\mathrm{e}$. g. $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha-$ xó $\sigma \iota \iota, \pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha-\pi \eta \gamma v-\varsigma)$, which is a re-formation following the model of $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha-$, $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha-$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \alpha-$, $̇ \nu \nu \varepsilon \alpha-$, $\delta^{\prime} \varepsilon \kappa \alpha-$.

Remark 1. Two stems are found; refлás like Skr. pañcit-, and a ti-stem with the same meaning, Skr. parkti-ş O.Icel. fimt O.C.Sl. petti. The
first two words are ad-formates of $\delta \in x \alpha_{s} s$ and das̆́át- respectively; and considering how widely the suffix - $\alpha \delta$ - was used in Greek - uovis, êvćs,

 doubtful whether there is any immediate historical connexion between $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \dot{\alpha} s$ and pañcdt-. For the $-\delta$ - of -a $\delta$ - see II § 123 p. 392 and III § 178.

Lat. quinque quīnque (for $\bar{\imath}$, see Thurneysen in Kuhn's Ztschr. XXX 501 f.), Umbr. pumpeřias Osc. pumperias, equivalent to 'quintiliae' or 'quincuriae', Osc. Púmpaiians 'Pompeianus'. Pr.Ital. *kuerakue, see I § 336 p. 267. quincu-, in quincu-plex etc., through association with quadru-.
O.Ir. cöic, O.Cymr. pimp, Gall. $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \varepsilon \delta o v \lambda \alpha ~ ' \pi \varepsilon v \tau \alpha ́ q v \nu \lambda \lambda \nu$ ' (Dioscor.), see I § 436 p. 324. Pr.Kelt. *kuerakue, see I § 339 p. 269.

Goth. fimf O.H.G. fimf finf (the $u$ of O.H.G. funf is discussed below under the ordinal). Probably the second $f$ is to be explained by supposing that *perokue became *pempe (cp. I § 444 Rem. 1 pp. 329 f.) as *kuetuor- became *kuekuor(III § 168 p .11 ). The $i$-inflexion, which we see in Goth. fimfim O.H.G. finfin, is discussed in $\S 168$ Rem. 2 p. 12.

Lith. penki and penk-eri, see § 168 p. 12.
In Slavonic, the cardinals 5 to 10 inclusive were represented by the abstract formation: petti 'fivefold character, the number five' (= Skr. parktí-ş O.Icel. fimt) governing the gen. pl. of the thing. The old numerals were indeclinable, and this may have had something to do with their being dropped.

Remark 2. Be it observed in passing that the Albanian numerals 5 to 10 are based upon these same $t i$-abstracts: pese 'five', gašte 'six', štate 'seven', tete 'eight', nend $\varepsilon$ 'nine', dete dieite 'ten'. See G. Meyer, Albanes. Stud. II 50 ff .

Fifth. Idg. *proq-to- (which can be traced with certainty in Germanic, but nowhere else); and perhaps *persq-to- too is proethnic (cp. *peraqe).

Skr. pan̂camá-s (following saptamá-s etc.) and pan̂ca-tha-s (cp. O.Ir. cōiced). Avest. puxđa- (for - $\vec{d}$-, cp. $u x \neq \vec{a} a$ - I § 475 p. 351), according to von Fierlinger (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVII 194), comes from *pagto-; but why should it have $u$ and not $a$ ? The $u$ reminds us of Gr. $\pi v \gamma \mu \eta^{\prime}$ Lat. pūgnu-s. Besides $p u x \not \ddot{a}^{a} a-$ we find the further form Avest. partarhe-m acc. 'one-fifth'.

Armen. hing-er-ord.
Remark 3. For -ord, see $\S 166$ Rem. 2 p. 8. The -er- which precedes -ord in this and the succeeding numerals is still unexplained.

Gr. $\pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \pi-\tau o-\varsigma, G o r t y n . \pi \varepsilon ́ v \tau o-s$ (I § 427 a p. 312).
Lat. quīntu-s Quinctiu-s, Osc. Púntiis Iourntıs 'Quinctius'. The ground-form may be either *peraqto- or *proqto-. Bartholomae (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXIX 503) conjectures that Lat. quint- Osc. pont- were the regular forms, while the cardinals were responsible for $-c$ - in quinct- and $-p$ - in pompt-.
O.Ir. cöiced O.Cymr. pimphet, see II § 81 p. 247.

Goth. fimfta in fimfta-taíhunda 'fifteenth', O.H.G. fimfto finfto. A form *furұta $={ }^{*}$ ppoqto- must be assumed for pr. Germ. to explain Mod.H.G. Swab. fuchzē '15' fuft 'fifth', O.H.G. funfto funf, Mod.H.G. Rhine-Frank. fufzēn fufzich etc.; see Kauffmann, Paul-Braune's Beitr. XII 512. Compare too O.H.G. füst (pr. Germ. *furұsti-z) O.C.Sl. pestř 'fist' common groundform *ppqsti-s, II § 101 p. 306 f.

Lith. peñkta-s. O.C.Sl. pętŭ may stand for *peraq-to- or ${ }^{*} p_{\partial} q-t o$ -
§ 170. Six. Three forms may be restored with more or less probability. Iranian, Greek, and Keltic point to *sueks; Armenian and Baltic to *ueks; Sanskrit, Latin, Germanic, Baltic, and Albanian to *seks (Alban. gašte, see G. Meyer, Alban. Stud. II 56 ff .). *sueks and *ueks would be parallel forms like $\checkmark$ suelq- and uelq- 'draw' (Gr. $\varepsilon \uparrow \times \omega$ Lat. sulcu-s: Lith. velkù) and other pairs of the same kind; see I § 589.3 pp .445 f . *sueks and seks, again, recal such pairs as *sue- (Skr. sva'suus' etc.) and ${ }^{*}$ se- (Avest. hẹ $h \bar{o} i$, Lat. sē, Goth. si-k), *suesor(Skr. svásar- 'sister' etc.) and *sesor- (Lith. sesǔ O.C.Sl. sestra) and so forth; see I § 170 p. 150 , § 184 p. 160 (and see II p. 441 footnote 2), § 187 p. 162.

Both in the prehistoric parent language, and in the historic period of Aryan, Armenian, Greek, Italic, Keltic and Germanic, this word was indeclinable. For example: Avest. xšvaš satađiš 'with six hundred’, Gr. $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} \mu \varepsilon^{\prime} \varrho \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$, Lat. sex mēnsibus, Goth. afar dagans saíhs 'after six days'. But it was sometimes inflected,
as Skr. ș̣aḍbhiṣ́, Armen. gen. veç-i-c, Gr. $\begin{gathered}\xi \\ \xi\end{gathered} a \sigma \iota$ or $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ (in an inscr. of the fourth century A. D., C.I.G. no. 5128. 27 тois $\mathfrak{\varepsilon \xi} \xi \alpha \sigma$ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \% o \iota s)$ like t'́є $\rho \alpha \sigma \iota$, Mid.Ir. gen. se $m-b \bar{o}$ 'sex vaccarum', O.H.G. dat. sehsin (only used when the subst. precedes). The Latin word, sex, was never declined; the Lithuanian, szeszi, always.

Skr. ṣáaṣ (ṣát, see I § 401 Rem. 2 p. 297); cp. şoḍaśa '16'
 and ṣ̆așthé-s 'sixth'. Avest. xšvaš, also xštva-, which latter is regarded as standing for *xvšta-. Apparently it should be assumed that there were two forms in proethnic Aryan, *suaš and *saš, which became *šưaš and šaš by assimilation of the sibilant. These would become quite regularly Avest. xšvaš (see Bartholomae, Ar. Forsch. III 20) and Skr. ş̦áş respectively.

Armen. vec doubtless represents *uês; see I § 560 Rem. p. $417, \S 589.3$ p. 446.

 and other compounds follows the type of $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha-\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha-$ etc.

Lat. sex.
O.Ir. sē (cp. mōr-feser 'magnus seviratus') Mod.Cymr. chwech for *sueks, see I § 175 p. 154 , § 517 p. 377 , § 576 p. 432 , $\S 657.10 \mathrm{p} .510$. The second $s$ has been preserved in the Irish ses-ca 'sixty' and sess-ed 'sixth'.

Goth. saihs O.H.G. sehs, ground-form ${ }^{*}$ seks. Cp. p. 18 footnote 1.

Lith. szesz-i (cp. ketur-i § 168 p. 12) doubtless represents ${ }^{*}$ seszi, as szeszura-s represents ${ }^{*}$ seszura-s (I § 587.2 p. 442). Pruss. wuscht-s uscht-s 'sixth'; probably we have a borrowed word in Lith. üszés beside szẽszios pl. 'childbed'. Slavonic has the abstract, šestǐ: cp. Skr. şasṣtí-š ('group of six tens, sixty') O.Icel. sētt. šesť̆ brings us to *chest冗̆ at the first step backwards, and is doubtless one of the instances of ch- $=s$ - (see I § 588 Rem. 3 p. 444); this change has not yet been satisfactorily explained.

Sixth. The parent language may have had the word *suek̂-to-s (*se-, *ue-) : cp. Skr. ṣ̌aṣthár-s Avest. xštva- (see above),

Gr. $\varepsilon \% \tau 0-\varsigma$, O.H.G. sehto O.Icel. sētte sētti, Lith. szẽszta-s Pruss. wuscht-s O.C.Sl. šestŭ. And the -s- of Lat. sextu-s Umbr. sestentasiaru 'sextantariarum' Osc. $\Sigma_{\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon}$ ' 'Sextius', Goth. saihsta O.H.G. sehsto (beside sehto) may have come from the cardinal. But it is uncertain whether or not pr. Idg. *suekto-s grew out of *sueks-to-s by a purely phonetic change. Who can tell whether the $-s$ of *sueks was not an inflexional suffix? If so, it would not at first be found in the ordinal any more than (say) the $-e$ of *perzqe 'five' in *perato-s. $\mathbf{C p}$. I § 589 Rem. 2 p. 446.

Armen. vec-er-ord.
O.Ir. sessed Mod.Cymr. chweched. As to the supposed origin of this re-formation see Zimmer, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXX 214.
$\S$ 171. Seven. Pr.Idg. ${ }^{*}$ septýn; the accentuation is inferred from Skr. saptá, Gr. $̇ \pi \tau \alpha ́ a n d$ Alban. šta-te (G. Meyer, Alban. Stud. II 65). Another form of the same stem, *septom-, is perhaps
 $\operatorname{mog} a$ (cp. *-dkom-t- beside ${ }^{*}-d \hat{k} \eta-t-\S 164 \mathrm{pp} .2$ f.), see § 178.

The word was originally indeclinable: examples are Ved. saptá sindhuṣ̌u 'in septem fluminibus' Avest. hapta sataiš 'with seven hundred', Goth. sibun hláibans; and Gr. è $\pi \tau \alpha$, Lat. septem, O.Ir. secht $n$ - were never declined. Inflected forms are: Skr. dat. abl. saptá-bhyas, Armen. gen. evtan-c, O.H.G. sibin-in (only used where the subst. precedes). In Lith. only the word is always inflected, septyni.

Skr. saptá, later sápta, Avest. hapta; we may conjecture that the Skr. word was originally ${ }^{*}$ saptám, but followed the lead of náva and dáśa; see I § 226 p. 193, § 230 p. 196.

Armen. evth, see I § 330 p. 265 , § 560 p. 416. The final -n must be explained in the same way as that of tasn (§174).

Gr. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha$, which we may conjecture should have been ${ }_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu$, but took its present shape under the influence of $\dot{\varepsilon \nu \nu \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ a n d ~} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \times \alpha$; see I § 226 p. $193, \S 235$ p. 198.

Lat. septem. In composition we find beside septem- the re-formation septu- septi-, like octu- octi-.
O.Ir. secht $n$ - Mid.Cymr. seith; see I § 339 p. 269.

Brugmann, Elements. III.

Goth. O.H.G. sibun. For the retention of $-n$ (on the analogy of the ordinal Goth. *sibunda O.H.G. sibunto, unless indeed it came from an older form *sibun-i), see the Author, Morph. Unt. V $55^{1}$ ). As to the loss of $t$ t- - we assume *septrn to be the Idg. ground-form - we must certainly not ignore Ascoli's theory that the parent language possessed two forms, one with $-t$ - (*septmp), and one without (cp. Skr. așitít-ṣ 'eighty' beside Idg. *oktōu) which was kept in Germanic (see Ascoli's Krit. Stud. 101). But it is more natural to assume that there were two forms in proethnic Germanic, *septmo- 'seventh' which became *sepmó- and then *sebmó-, and *septm, which became *seftum (this seems to be the form represented in the Salic Law by septun $=$ seftun) and was then assimilated to *sebmó- and became *sebum; cp. Pruss. sepma-s beside septma-s ‘seventh’ and pr. Balto-Slav. *ošmo- 'eighth' for *oštmo- (§ 172). Sievers (Paul-Braune's Beitr. V 119) and Osthoff (Morph. Unt. II 51 f .) think that the $m$-form *septm could become *sepm in pr. Germ. by a direct phonetic change; cp. also Noreen, Urgermansk judl. p. 108.

Lith. septyn-i, like devyn-i 'nine' in its ending, and similar to asztion-i 'eight'. It may be conjectured that these three forms once were ${ }^{*} \operatorname{septin}(-i){ }^{*}$ devin( $-i$ ) - cp. the ordinals septin-ta-s deviñ-ta-s - and *asztư(n-i), and that their present shape is due to mutual assimilation. The long $\dot{u}$ caused the lengthening of $i$ to $y$; similarly the long vowel of trylika etc. caused the lengthening of the antepenult in vënúlika (p. 28), and that of Idg. ${ }^{*}$ trī- caused the lengthening in ${ }^{*}$ qetury $^{-}{ }^{*}$ perrqē- (§ 178); many other examples might be found. ${ }^{*}$ septin-i septin-ta-s instead of ${ }^{*}$ septim- $i{ }^{*}$ septiñ-ta-s owe their $n$ to ${ }^{*}$ devin- ${ }^{*}$ devin-ta-s.
O.C.Sl. sedmŭ, an abstract noun, beside sedmŭ 'seventh', was shaped on the analogy of šestŭ: šestŭ etc. (II § 97 p .290 ). The

1) If it is assumed that there were proethnic forms, ${ }^{*}$ sibun- $i{ }^{*}$ niun- $i$ *tehun-i, ad-formates of ${ }^{*}$ fimfi=Idg. ${ }^{*}$ peroqe, it follows that O.H.G. sehs, which should have been $*_{\text {sihs }}$, must be regarded as modelled upon the analogy of sehsto sehto. For on this assumption there must have been a pr. Germ. ${ }^{*}$ sex $^{s-i}$, which would then have become ${ }^{\text {six }}$ si.
pr. Idg. abstract would doubtless be *septmp-ti-s : Skr. saptatí-ş ('seventy'), O.Icel. sjaund.

Seventh. Idg. *septmó- (perhaps *sepdmó- *sebdmó- may be inferred from Gr. $\S \beta \delta \rho \mu 0-\varsigma ~ O . C . S l . ~ s e d m \breve{u}$; see I § 469 p .345 ) and *septņmó-. Possibly ${ }^{*}$ septni-tó- may also be regarded as proethnic.

Skr. saptamá-s. Also saptátha-s Avest. haptapa-.
Armen. evtn-er-ord.

 and $\tau \rho i \tau-\alpha \tau 0-\varsigma$, see § 167 p. 9 . The history of $£ \beta \delta о \mu 0-$ is obscure. There seem to have once been two parallel forms, ${ }^{*}{ }_{\varepsilon} \beta \delta_{\mu}-=$ O.C.Sl. sedmo- and ${ }^{*} \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \mu 0-=$ Skr. saptamá-; more we cannot say with certainty. Cp. § 178 for $\varepsilon \beta \delta o \mu \eta^{\prime} \times o \nu \tau \alpha$, and the Author, Morph. Unt. V 36 ff .

Lat. septimu-s.
O.Ir. sechtmad Mid.Cymr. seithuet for *septymm-eto-s, see II § 72 p. 168.
O.H.G. sibunto.

Pruss. septma-s sepma-s. Lith. sẽkma-s (sèkma-s), see I § 345 p. $271, \S 377$ p. 286 ; the ordinary word now is septiñta-s (Lett. septítáis) instead of *septin-ta-s through assimilation to deviñ-ta-s. O.C.Sl. sedmŭ.
§ 172. Eight. Idg. *októ *ok̂tôu. -t- must have been something of the nature of a suffix, as aśzi-ti-ṣ 'eighty' seems to shew; this word is unintelligible if regarded as a derivative from *oktour (așțtú).

Remark. It can hardly be a mere coincidence that the ending agrees with that of the nom. acc. du. masc. of o-stems (§ 285). *okī too, which we see in $a s^{*} \bar{i}-t i-\frac{s}{-}$, may have been a dual, like $*_{o q} \bar{\imath}$ 'the two eyes' (§ 295). Perhaps the meaning may have been 'two sets of four' (cp. Mid.Cymr. deu-naw 'eighteen', properly 'two nines', etc.) It must be admitted that in that case the numeral 'two' might have been expected before ${ }^{*}$ oktōus, as in Lat. vīgintī 'two tens', du-centī, and so forth. Still this might have been dropped in course of time.

Uninflected in pr. Idg.: e. g. Avest. assta satais 'with eight hundred'; and Gr. òx $\tau \omega$, Lat. octō, O.Ir. ocht $n$ - are always
indeclinable. Inflected forms are: Skr. instr. aṣ̣t̄̄-bhiṣ̆, Armen. $u t-i-c$, O.H.G. dat. ahtow-en (only used when the substantive precedes). Inflexion is regular only in Lithuanian, asztioni.

Skr. aṣ̌t át aș̣táu, Avest. ašta. In Skr. we find also aș̣tá, loc. așṭtá-su etc., an ad-formate of saptá. Compounds with așṭta(cp. Lat. octi-) had not a little to do with giving currency to this form.

Armen. $u \bar{t}$, for ${ }^{*} u v t$, and that for ${ }^{*} o p t o ̄(u)$, whose $p$ came from the numeral seven (cp. El. ont $\tau\left(v^{\prime}\right)$; see Bugge, Beitr. zur etym. Erl. der arm. Spr. 43.

Gr. oxxć. The numeral seven gave its rough breathing to

 Boeot. óxtó is like dío, see $\S \S 166,293$.

Lat. octo. In composition octo- and octi- octu-, cp. Skr. aṣ̌ta- Avest. ašta-. Osc. Úhtavis 'Octavius'.
O.Ir. ocht $n$ - (see I § 517 p. 377) follows secht $n$-; for forms without the nasal see Stokes, Bezzenb. Beitr. XI 170. Mod.Cymr. wyth Mod.Bret. eiz for *oktī, older *oktū *oktō.

Goth. ahtáu; O.H.G. ahto, inflected dative ahtowen. See I § 659.3 p. $512, \S 660.3$ p. 515 , § 661.3 p. 519.

Lith. asztui-n-i, cp. § 171 p. 18.
O.C.Sl. osm $\breve{\imath}$ (ordinal osm $\breve{u}$ ) follows sedm $\breve{\imath}$, see $\S 171$ p. 18. The original Idg. abstract numeral is represented by Skr. asti--tí-ṣ ('eighty'), cp. p. 19.

Eighth. Idg. *oktōu-ó- or some such form. The moforms follow the example of the numeral seven, as do Skr. navamá-s Umbr. nuvime (§ 173 p. 22).

Skr. aṣ̌tamá-s, Avest. aštema-.
Armen. $u t$-er-ord.

 $-\beta \delta-$ of 'seven'. In all other points the history of ${ }_{o}^{\prime \prime} \gamma \delta o(F) o-s$ is obscure; see the Author, Morph. Unt. V 36 ff ., and below § 311.

Lat. octav-o-s, Osc. Úhtavis 'Octavius'; the $a$ is strange nor has it been satisfactorily explained even by the attempts
of Thurneysen and Meringer (Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 154, 232). Cp. the vulgar Latin octu $\bar{a}$-gint $\bar{a}$, for ${ }^{*}$ octov- $\bar{a}-$, which is like Gr. ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \delta(f)-\eta$-. See the Author, loc. cit.
O.Ir. ocht-mad, Mid. Cymr. wyth-uet.

Goth. ahtu-da, O.H.G. ahto-do; O.Fris. ahtunda following sigunda niugunda.

Lith. ãszma-s (now growing obsolete), Pruss. acc. asma-n, O.C.Sl. osmŭ, pr. Balto-Slav. *oš(t)-mo-s. The Lith. has another word asztuñta-s, an ad-formate of septiñta-s deviñta-s.
§ 173. Nine. Idg. *néun and *énuй, the latter in Armenian and Greek. Also ${ }^{*}$ enuen-, which is preserved in Gr. $̇ \nu \varepsilon \nu-\eta^{\prime} \times o \nu \tau \alpha$ (§ 178). The final was $-n-n$, not $-\eta-m$, as we see from Gr. $\bar{\nu} \varepsilon \nu-\eta{ }^{\prime}-\times 0 \nu \tau \alpha$, Lat. nōn-a-ginta $n \bar{n} n-u-s$ and Lith. deviñ-ta-s (contrast desziñ-ta-s 'tenth').

In Indo-Germanic, it was not inflected; e. g. Avest. nava sutaiš 'with nine hundred'; and in Greek, Latin, and Old Irish it is always indeclinable. Inflected forms: Skr. gen. navānám, Goth. gen. niun- $\bar{e}, ~ O . H . G . ~ d a t . ~ n i u n-i n ~(o n l y ~ w h e n ~ t h e ~ s u b-~$ stantive precedes). It is always declined in Lithuanian, devyn-i.

Skr. náva, Avest. nuva.
Armen. inn, pl. inun-k or innun- $k^{5}$ (cp. Osthoff, Morph. Unt. I 122), see I § 232 p. 197.

 Also $̇ v \nu \varepsilon ́ a$, which should probably be explained with Wackernagel (Kulın's Ztschr. XXVIII 132 ff .) as ${ }^{*} \varepsilon v \nu \varepsilon \mathcal{L} \alpha$ 'nine in all, a good nine', this original meaning having been subsequently
 'ninety' preserves an original *enuen-, cp. § 178.

Lat. novem instead of *noven follows septem decem. -n is kept in nōn-ä-ginta nōn-u-s. noun-dinu-m nōn-dinu-m, usually $n \bar{u} n-d i n u-m$. Umbr. nuvis 'novies'.
O.Ir. nöi $n$-, Mod.Cymr. Corn. naw. But whence came this $a$ ?

Goth. O.H.G. niun for *niuun, I § 179 p. 156. O.Sax. nigun A.S. nizon, where 3 is a transition-sound or glide (cp. Jellinek, Paul-Braune's Beitr. XIV 582). The ending -un is
to be explained in the same way as that of sibun, for which see § 171 p .18.

Lith. devyn-i O.C.Sl. devęť instead of *navyni *novęť̆ on the analogy of the initial de- of 'ten'; Pruss. newīnts ('ninth') has been influenced by the Germanic form. For the termination of devyn-i see $\S 171$ p. 18. devęť is the Idg. abstract *neun--ti-s : cp. Skr. navatí-ş. ('ninety'), Avest. navaiti-š ('nine' and 'ninety'), O.Icel. nīund.

Ninth. Idg. *neuñn-ó- or *neuñ-tó- (*enuñn- $\delta$ - or *enuñ-tó-), perhaps both.

Skr. navamá-s Avest. naoma- $={ }^{*}$ navema- (as $\operatorname{ker}^{e} n a o m$ $={ }^{*} k e r^{e}$ navem, I § 158 p. 141) O.Pers. navama- instead of *navaná-, following (Skr.) saptamá- daśamá-, cp. Umbr. nuvime.

Armen. inn-er-ord.

Lat. nōn-u-s for *noven-o-. If the dzenoine of the Duenos inscription means 'die noni', its oi makes some difficulty, although not for the reasons which Pauli suggests (Altital. Stud. I 32 ff .). Umbr. nuvime 'nonum', where $m$ is not original, but is like that of Lat. novem and Skr. navamá-s.
O.Ir. nō-mad, Mid.Cymr. naw-uet, re-formates like ocht--mad wyth-uet etc.

Goth. niun-da O.H.G. niun-to-, pr. Germ. *niuun-dá-n-.
Lith. deviñ-ta-s (Pruss. newint-s, see above), O.C.Sl. devę-tŭ.
§ 174. Ten. Idg. *dêkm. Originally indeclinable, and still so in Ved. dáśa kakṣíyabbhiṣ 'with ten girdles', Gr. déxa vavoi, Lat. decem nãvium, Goth. taíhun skattans, O.H.G. stat zehen burgo 'Decapolis', and similar phrases. Inflected: Skr. instr. daśáá -bhiṣ, Armen. instr. tasam-bk tasam-b, Gr. gen. déx(ou in a Chian inscription (a trace of Lesbian influence), dat. Goth. taíhun-im O.H.G. zehin-in (in O.H.G. only found where the substantive precedes). *dêm has died out not only in Slavonic, but in Baltic too.

Skr. dáśa, Avest. dasa.
Armen. tasn. If the acc. mard 'hominem' is a regular developement from * $m$ rto- $m$, in which case original final $-m$ was
dropped, tasn like evtin must be an ad-formate of in-n 'nine', cp. I § 202 p. $169, \S 651.2$ Rem. p. 497. But it is preferable to regard the ending of tasn as coming quite regularly from *dekn, and mard as being a nominative used for the accusative (see § 212).

Gr. $\delta_{z ̇ z \alpha . ~ A r c a d . ~ \delta v o ́-~}^{\delta \varepsilon x o ~(B u l l e t . ~ d e ~ c o r r e s p . ~ h e l l e ́ n ., ~ I V ~}$ 1889 p. 281) like $\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \not \approx 0 \tau n-s$ (see p. 24).

Lat. decem. -decim in $\bar{u} n$-decim etc. is due to the accentuation, see I § 65 p. 53. Re-formates are decu-plu-s dec-enni-s dec--unx etc. beside decem-plex etc. Umbr. desen-duf 'duodecim' tekuries dequrier 'decuriis', Osc. dekmanniúís 'decumanis'.
O.Ir. deich $n$ - (indeclinable, since deich and dech are meaningless variations in the mode of writing the same sounds), O.Cymr. dec.

Goth. taihun O.H.G. zehan. The final $n$ must be explained in the same way as that of sibun, see § 171 p. 18 . We should not have expected the $-a$ - which is found in O.H.G. zehan O.Sax. tehan; cp. O.H.G. zehanzo beside Goth. taíhuntē(-hund) § 179. Possibly in words like drí-zehan, *-tehun became *-tehn and then -tehan, and the a passed thence into *téhun etc. (cp. O.H.G. Sígi-frid as contrasted with fridu). A different explanation is given by Noreen, Arkiv III 26.

In Balto-Slavonic the only forms left are the two Idg. abstracts: Lith. deszim-t- O.C.Sl. desę-t- and Lith. deszim-ti- O.C.Sl. desę-ť̌-: cp. Skr. daśát- Gr. $\delta \varepsilon x \alpha_{s}$ Goth. gen. pl. taíhuntē (in taîhuntē-hund ' 100 ', see § 179) and Skr. daśatí-ṣ ('tenfold character, group of ten', specialised to mean 'group of ten tens, hundred') O.Icel. tīund. In early Lithuanian deszimtiis still an inflected singular substantive and is followed by the genitive; but now the inflexion is gone, and we have dẽszimt (doubtless both acc. sing. $=$ desętť and loc. sing. $=$ desęte) and dẽszimts deszim̈ts (doubtless nom. pl. = desette) ${ }^{1}$ ), although still

[^4]governing the genitive plural. O.C.Sl. desętr is declined throughout as an $i$-stem; there is a parallel stem desęt-, e. g. in jedinu $n a$ desęte (loc. sing.) 'eleven' $=$ 'one upon ten'.

Tenth. Idg. *dekn-to- (*dekny-t-o-? see II § 81 Rem. 1 p. 242) and *dekmm-o-.

Skr. daśamá-s, Avest. dasema-.
Armen. tasn-er-ord.
Gr. $\delta$ '́x $x \tau 0-\varsigma . ~ L e s b . ~ A r c a d . ~ \delta e ́ x o \tau o-s ~(c p . ~ A r c a d . ~ \delta v o ́-\delta \varepsilon x o), ~$ whose $o$ follows -xоขга -хобго-ร, cp. $\S \S 176,177$.

Lat. decimu-s, Osc. dekmanniúís 'decumanis'.
O.Ir. dechm-ad, Mid.Cymr. decu-et.

Goth. taíhunda, O.H.G. zehanto (cp. p. 23).
Lith. deszim̃ta-s, O.C.Sl. desętŭ.
§ 175. Eleven to Nineteen. When the units were added to multiples of ten in the parent language, both units and tens of the resulting number were independent in the sentence. The copula 'and' may have been generally used with them, as in the phrases Ved. êkqq ca viş́atế ca acc. '21', tráyaś ca trişác ca ' 33 ', Gr. $\delta \dot{v} \omega$ каi $\pi \varepsilon v \tau \eta \dot{\gamma} \times v \tau u$ ' 52 ', Lat. quattuor et vīgintī; but not always, as we infer from Ved. trịśátq trîn acc. '33', Gr. $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta^{\prime} \times 0 \nu \tau<\delta^{\prime}{ }_{0}$, Lat. vīgintī quattuor etc. But in the cardinal numbers 11 to 19 there was a closer combination between the unit and the numeral 'ten' which followed it (see II § 16 pp. 31 f .). In the numbers 11 to 14 the unit was inflected, in 15 to 19 it was not; hence 15 to 19 readily became true compounds, whilst 11 to 14 may not have become compounds so soon, since their ending had first to become stereotyped.

Remark. There can be no doubt as to the reasons for this difference between the expressions for 11 to 19 and those for 21-29, 31-39 etc. The former group was more often used, for one thing; but the chief reason was that the words for 20 and the other multiples of ten were themselves compounds, and therefore it was less convenient to compound them again with other words.

[^5]But the numbers 11 to 19 were not expressed in the parent language only by pairs of words in juxta-position, like Skr. dváa--daśa Gr. $\delta \omega^{\prime}-\delta \varepsilon x a$. We are justified in regarding as original
 trēs. We may also believe that phrases of subtraction were used for the numbers immediately preceding twenty as well as for those immediately preceding thirty, forty and so forth; such, for example, as we find when the languages had begun to follow their own separate lines - Skr. ēkōnavį̧́ati-ṣ้, ūnavişati-ṣ้

 Lat. $\bar{u} n$-dē-vīgint $\bar{\imath}$ duo-dē-trīgint $\bar{a}$, A.S. tw $\bar{a}$ l $\bar{x} S ~ t w e n t i z ~ f o r ~ 18, ~$ an lōes twentiz for 19, H.G. dial. ains-min-zwainzich zuai-mindreisich (Goth. 2 Cor. 11. 24 fidvör tiguns áinamma vanans to


Of the different modes of expressing the ordinals which we find, the Latin for 13th to 19th, tertius decimus etc., occurs in Armenian, Greek, and Germanic besides. We may therefore fairly regard this as original.

Aryan. Cardinals. 11 Skr . ékā-daśa; the first part of which crystallises the form of the instr. sing. masc. (Ved.) and nom. sing. fem.; the form thus chosen was suggested by dva--daśa, cp. Avest. aȩvan-dasa- etc. ' $11^{\text {th' }}$ below. 12 Skr . dvat--daśa duvá-daśa Avest. dva-dasa. 13 Skr. tráyō-daśa. 14 Skr. cátur-daśa, showing now the stem without inflexion, cp. Avest. capru-dasa- '14 $4^{\text {th }}$. 15 Skr. páñca-daśa, Avest. panca--dasa. 16 Skr. ṣot-ḍaśa. 17 Skr. saptá-daśa. 18 Skr. aṣṭtá--daśa. 19 Skr. náva-daśa, also ēkōnavi̧śati-ṣ้ (ēka-ūna-vi̧şati'a score too little by one, a score less one'), or simply unna--vişati-ş, and ékan (i. e. êkadd) ná vǐśatí-ṣ (cp. Delb., Altind. Synt. pp. 112, 543).

Ordinals. In Sanskrit all the numbers have both -daśá-s and -daśama-s, cp. Lat. -decimu-s. $11^{\text {th }}$ Skr. ēkảdaśá-s, Avest. aẹvan-dasa-, aẹvı-dasa-, aẹvō-dasa-; aẹva-dasa- may be like dva-dasa- = Skr.dva-daśá-, or is it the bare stem instead of a case, as in pri-dasa- capru-dasa-? cp. II § 25 p. 41.
$12^{\text {th }}$ Skr. dvadacśáa-s (dvădaśama-s like duodecimu-s), Avest. dvadasa-. $13^{\text {th }}$ Skr. trayōduśáa-s, Avest. pridasa- with the bare stem instead of a case. $14^{\text {th }}$ Skr. caturdašá-s, Avest. caprudasa-, cp. the cardinal. $15^{\text {th }}$ Skr. pañcadaśá-s, Avest. pancadasaand pancadasya-, the latter like tuirya- 'fourth' bitya- 'second'. $16^{\text {th }}$ Skr. ş̇odaš̉́ás, Avest. xšvašdasa-, etc. Side by side with Skr. navadašá-s (Avest. navadasa-) '19 th' is found ēkönaviş́sa-s, unavisiśa-s and eekannaviśsí-s, cp. the ordinal.

Armenian. Ordinals. 11 me-tasan. 12 erko-tasan. 13 erek-tasan. 14 ç̣oreki-tasan. 15 hnge-tasan. 16 veš-tasan. These are all inflected as $i$-stems; e.g. gen. dat. metasanic, instr. metasanivk' (cp. ksan '20', gen. dat. K'sanic). The numbers from 17 onwards have ev 'and', and inflect sometimes both parts, sometimes only tasn (cp. air-ev-ji II § 28 p. 46). 17 evtn-ev--tasn. 18 ut-ev-tasn. 19 inn-ev-tasn.

Ordinals. Two modes are used. tasn-erord ('tenth') may be followed by the ordinal of the unit, as tasnerord corrord 'decimus quartus'; or -er-ord may be simply added to the cardinal, as metasan-erord ' $11^{\text {th }}$ ' c̣orek̂ttasan-erord ' $14^{\text {th }}$ '.

Greek. Cardinals. $11 \xi^{\varepsilon} v-\delta \varepsilon \times \alpha \kappa$ ( $\varepsilon v$ - is nom. acc. neut.), Delph. $\delta_{\varepsilon<\alpha \alpha}^{\prime} \varepsilon i \zeta$. $12 \delta \omega^{\prime}-\delta \varepsilon x<u$ (Hom. $\delta v \omega^{\prime}-\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ ), Hom. $\delta v o-x \alpha i-\delta \varepsilon \times \alpha$,


 $\tau \varepsilon ́ \tau \tau<\rho \varepsilon \varsigma$, and so forth. As to the form of $\varepsilon \xi \xi$ in $\varepsilon x-x \alpha i-\delta \varepsilon x \alpha$ beside Boeot. $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma\end{gathered}-x \eta-\delta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} x \alpha \tau 0 g$ see the Author's Greek Grammar ${ }^{2}$ § 59 p. 71.

 (cp. Wackernagel, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXV pp. 284 f. and Philol. Anzeiger 1886 pp. 78 f.; Meisterhans, Gr. der att. Inschr. ${ }^{2}$ pp. 126 f.).


1) If the by-form $\tau \rho i \sigma x a i \delta_{\varepsilon x \alpha}$ is to be admitted (cp. Meisterhans, Gr. der att. Inschr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 126), it contains the acc. reis $={ }^{*} \tau \rho \iota \nu_{\varsigma}$ (Wheeler, Der gr. Nominalaccent 42) in a crystallised shape, or $\tau \varrho \check{\iota}$, the form it assumed in proethnic Greek before consonants (I § 204 p. 171).

Ion. jvodéxato-s. From $13^{\text {th }}$ onwards the usual mode of expression in classical Attic, followed consistently in the in-


 in Boeotian.

Italic. Cardinals. The following is the ordinary Latin mode of expressing these. 11 un-decim, the first part of which we may conjecture to have come from more than one case-form (cp. $\bar{u} n-d \bar{e}-v \bar{v} g i n t \bar{\imath})$, cp. I § 633 p. 474 , II § 36 p .62. 12 duo-decim. 13 trēdecim for *trēz-decim (I § 594 p. 4500); also trědecim, perhaps following trècentī. 14 quattuor-decim. 15 quindecim for *quinqu(e)-decim, see I § 633 p. 474. 16 sēdecim for *segz-decim, see I § 594 p. 450.17 septen-decim, see I § 207 p. 174. 18 duo-dè-vīgintī. 19 un-dē-vīgint̄̄, ep. ūn--decim. Other expressions are interchanged with these, such as trēs et decem, octō et decem; decem duo, decem novem; decem et ūnus, decem et duo.

Umbr. desen-duf acc. 'decem duo', see I § 207 p. 174.
Lat. Ordinals. $11^{\text {th }}$ undecimu-s. $12^{\text {th }}$ duodecimu-s. $13^{\text {th }}$ tertius decimus, $14^{\text {th }}$ quartus decimus etc., rarely decimus tertius etc. $18^{\text {th }}$ duodēvīcēsimu-s, rarely octavos decimus. $19^{\text {th. }} \bar{u} n d e \bar{e} \bar{\imath}$ cēsimus, rarely nōnus decimus.

Old Irish. In the cardinals we have the form deac, dissyllabic in the older language, in the later contracted into dēc (Mod.Ir. déag). 11 oen - deac, 12 da - deac, 13 trī-deac etc., with the noun in between, as da cath deac ' 12 battles'. deac dee has nothing to do with deich $n$-; it was possibly a word meaning much the same as Skr. adhika- Goth. -lif Lith. -lika.

Ordinals. $11^{\text {th }}$ oenmad - deac, $12^{\text {th }}$ aile - deac etc.
Germanic. Cardinals. 11 and 12 contain -libi-. This is a noun stem connected with Goth. bi-leiban 'to remain' ( $\Upsilon$ leip-, Skr. limpấmi 'I cleave, stick'), and it originally meant 'excess' or 'being inexcess' - elf would then be 'one in addition', i. e. to ten; cp. below Lith. -lika from $\checkmark$ leiq-, and Skr. adhika- 'being
in excess' as used where 10 and its multiples are coupled with units, e. g. aș̣ṭadhikanavati-ṣ้ 'a ninety increased by eight' $=$ ' 98 '. Goth. áin-lif O.H.G. ein-lif, Goth. tva-lif O.H.G. zwe-lif appear inflected under the same conditions and in the same way as the numbers 4 to 10, e. g. tvalibi-m, zwelifin (O.Sax. elleђan '11' nom., following tehan '12'). 13 O.H.G. dri-zehan, but also fone dien anderen drin zēnin (Graff, Ahd. Spr. V 628). 14 Goth. fidvōr--taihun, O.H.G. fior-zehan. 15 Goth. fimf-taihun O.H.G. finf--zehan. 16 O.H.G. sehs-zehan. 18 O.H.G. ahto-zehan. 19 O.H.G. niun-zehan.

Ordinals. $11^{\text {th }}$ (fem.) O.H.G. einlif-to O.Icel. ellifte ellifti. $12^{\text {th }}$ (fem.) O.H.G. zwelif-to O.Icel. tolfte tolfti. The following ordinals began by being phrases of the same type as Lat. tertius decimus; but their first member crystallised, it would seem, in proethnic Germanic, and they then conformed to the rules of stem-compounds. Goth. Luke 3.1 in jēra fimfta-
 -zehanto etc., and also with $-a$ - (later $-e$-) as the final of the first member. Another series, derived from the cardinal, was used in later O.H.G., as fierzēn-do sehszēn-do. Icelandic has a corresponding series, fim(m)tan-de sextan-de etc.

Balto-Slavonic. Lithuanian. 11 vënư-lika, 12 dvý--lika, 13 trý-lika, 14 keturió-lika, 15 penkió-lika, 16 szeszió-lika, 17 septynió-lika, 18 asztůnió-lika, 19 devynió-lika; $11^{\text {th }}$ O.Lith. lëka-s, $12^{\text {th }}$ O.Lith. antras lëkas, but the words now used have -likta-s, as $11^{\text {th }}$ vënu'likta-s, $12^{\text {th }}$ dvýlikta-s. trý-lika, keturió--lika etc. contain forms of the neut. pl. in both parts (§ 338), and accordingly O.Lith. has the dat. -likams and instr. -likais. When the neuter dropped out of use in Lithuanian (§ 403), -lika was treated as a nom. sing. fem.; and then it was declined gen. -likos etc. This inflexion is seen in Old Lithuanian, and is still found in dialects of the language. -lika came from an adjective *lika-s 'remaining over, being in excess', a by-form of the O.Lith. lëka-s just mentioned; and to this day lëka-s is in regular use in the sense of 'remaining over singly, odd'. The root is leiq- (Lat. linquo Gr. дعín $\omega$ ). Cp. Goth. áin-lif above. In
vëní-likc and dvý-lika the final of the first member has assimilated itself to the numerals immediately following, and become long; ep. Skr. êkā-daśa p. 25 and §326. Cp. Bezzenberger, Beitr. zur Gesch. der lit. Spr. 179 ff.; Kurschat, Gram. p. 269; the Author, Lit. Volkslieder p. 309, and in T'echmer's Internat. Ztschr. I 251 f.; Mahlow, Die langen Vocale 49 ; Joh. Schmidt, Pluralbild. 39, 42. - Slavonic. 11 jedinŭ na desęte (loc. sing. of stem desęt-) $=$ 'one upon ten', 12 dŭva na desęte 'two upon ten' etc. Cp. Lett. win-pa-dsmit ' 11 ' $=$ 'one over ten' diw-pa-dsmit ' 12 ' etc., and Gr. Thess. tä $\xi_{x \tau \alpha} \ell \pi$ ' ixádı (Collitz, Samml. der Gr. Dialektinschr., no. 345. 10). There are two types of ordinals. Sometimes only the unit takes the ordinal form, as osmyjr na desęte 'the eighth upon ten' $=18^{\text {th }}$; sometimes - rnŭ was used to make a derivative from the expression for the cardinal number. In the latter case the unit might either show the form of the nom. acc., as pęť-na-desęťnй ' $15^{\text {th }}$ '; or be treated like the first member of a stem-compound, as pęto-na-desętinnu, cp. II § 47 p .86.
§ 176. Twenty to Ninety-nine.
The Indo-Germanic expressions for multiples of ten from 20 to 90 at first meant two, three, or the proper number of tens. Originally both parts of the phrase were inflected; both the unit (except the uninflected units 5 to 9 , see $\S \S 169 \mathrm{ff}$.) and the word for a ten - a neuter *komt- *kint- (for *dkomt- *dknt-, see $\S 164 \mathrm{pp} .2 \mathrm{f}$.$) . ' 20$ ' was a dual, ${ }^{*} u \bar{\imath}(?) \hat{k} t-i$; the others plural, as ' 30 ' *trī komt-д. But these expressions for multiples of ten are not inflected in any language; in all of them the nom. acc. has become stereotyped. Some at least of the units in these phrases were stereotyped in the parent language itself. This
 Avest. capwar-sat- Armen. ǩair-a-sun) and *perzqē-komta (Gr. $\pi \varepsilon \nu \tau \eta$-xovta Skr. pañcā-śát-), which were ad-formates of *trī--komtz. It is doubtful whether *א̂ybti and *komta had also become crystallised so soon.

These forms of the nom. acc. pl. (du.) neut. became in the Indo-Germanic period the foundation upon which were built singular abstract nouns (collectives) of the feminine gender, and
ordinals whose first member was the nom.-acc. form. The abstract nouns were ad-formates of the feminine *deknt- 'group of ten'1): examples are Skr. triş́sát- 'group of 30', Gr. Boeot. fıxós' Att. $\varepsilon i x \alpha ́ s, ~ O . I r$. fiche 'group of 20 ' gen. fichet. The ordinals were derived from these by the suffixes -to- and -t-mpmo-. When these two groups of abstract nouns and ordinals sprang up, the expressions for the cardinal numerals, from which they were derived, had not yet fully become compounds. Thus *trikomt- *trīkmt-
 stood related to ${ }^{*}$ trī $\hat{k} o m t z ~ ' t h r e e ~ t e n s ' ~ m u c h ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ w a y ~$ as O.C.Sl. düvadesęť̆nŭ ' $20^{\text {th }}$ ' to düva desęti ' 20 ', and as Lat. quartadecumañ̄ to quarta decuma, Sacraviēnsēs to sacra via etc. (II § 3 p. 5).

Remark 1. Perhaps the re-formates *qet $\overline{\mathcal{\gamma}} \overline{-}$ and *perzqē- first appeared only in collectives and ordinals, in which there was a closer connexion between the word for the unit and the word for the ten. This
 Greek, panc $\bar{a}$-sat- and panca-sat- in Avestic; and the difference between
 an ad-formate of тєтешхобто'-¢; while тєтta@axootós, on the contrary, would have followed $\tau \varepsilon$ rra@áxovтa.

The dual *k̂nt-i once had the weak stem in all its cases; hence come Avest. vī-saiti Armen. ki-san Gr. Fi-xatı Lat. vī--gint $\bar{\imath}$, hence also the collective with -knt- : Gr. $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-x ${ }_{s}$ si-x $\alpha_{c}$ O.Ir. fi-che (O.Cymr. u-ceint Corn. $u$-gans). But the nom. acc. pl. was *komt-д, whence Armen. -sun Gr. -xovta and the collectives Avest. pri-sqs O.Ir. -cha -ga. In cardinals and collectives of the tens from 30 upwards *kint- is also found (Lat. -gintā and Skr. tri-şát- Avest. pri-sat- etc., Gr. tol $\bar{\alpha}-x \alpha ́ s)$ ). Two possible causes may be assigned for this. (1) Beside *komt-a there may have once been weak cases with *kngt-, as loc. *kmt-su, or (2) the corresponding forms for the number 20 may have set the type. The ordinals had all of them doubtless *kint- to begin with, as Gr. Boeot. $F_{1-\chi \alpha \sigma t o ́-s ~ S k r . ~ t r i-s ́ a t t a m a ́-s ~ L a t . ~ t r i-c e ̄ s i m u-s . ~}^{\text {St }}$
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[^0]:    Brugmann, Elements. III.

[^1]:    Whitney, Sanskrit Grammar, p. 160 ff. Spiegel, Gramm. d. altbaktr. Spr. p. 176 ff. - G. Meyer, Griech. Gr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 372 ff . The Author, Gr. Gr. (Iwan Müller's Handb. II ${ }^{2}$ ) p. 135 ff . Ahrens, Ein Beitrag zur Etymologie der griech. Zahlwörter, Kuhn's Ztschr. VIII 329 ff . H. Ebert, Quaestionum de vocabulorum cum numeralibus Graecis compositorum formis ac significationibus specimen, Spandau 1858. - Stolz, Lat. Gr. (Iwan Mäller's Handb. $\mathrm{II}^{2}$ ) p. 349 ff. Neue, Formenlehre der lat. Spr. II ${ }^{2}$ p. 144 ff. Merguet, Die Entwickelung der lat. Formenbildung p. 132 ff. Aufrecht, Die lat. Zahladverbien auf iens, Kuhn's Ztschr. I 121 ff. - Zeuss-Ebel, Gramm. Celt. ${ }^{2}$ p. 300 sqq. Stokes, Bezzenb. Beitr. XI 166 ff. - J. Grimm, Deutsche Gramm. III 226 ff., 634 ff . Id., Über die zusammengesetzten Zahlen, Germania I 18 ff. Holtzmann, Über das deutsche Duodecimalsystem, Germania I 217 ff . $I d .$, Das Grosshundert bei den Gothen, ibid. II 424 f. Rumpelt, Die deutsch. Zahlwörter sprachvergleichend dargestellt, Bresl. 1864. I d., Die deutschen Pronomina und Zahlwörter, 1870. Scherer, Zur Gesch. der deutsch. Spr. ${ }^{2} 576 \mathrm{ff}$. Kluge, Zu den german. Numeralien, Paul-Braune's Beitr. VI 393 ff . Id., Paul's Grundriss I 402 ff . - Schleicher, Temy imenŭ čislitelínychŭ vŭ litvo-slavjanskomŭ i německomŭ jazykachŭ (Priloženie kŭ X. tomu zapisokŭ Imp. Ak. Naukŭ), St. Petersburg 1866. Id., Lit. Gr. p. 149 ff. Kurschat, Gr. der litt. Spr. p. 259 ff. Miklosich, Vergl. Gr. IV 51 ff. Leskien, Handb. der altbulg. Spr. ${ }^{2}$ p. 78 ff. Reference may also be made to the discussion of the Lycian numerals by Deecke, Bezzenberger's Beitr. XIV 181 ff . (see especially p. 240 ff .).

[^2]:    1) Scherer, op. cit. p. 578: "It therefore seems most natural to regard the word as an ancient expression for both hands held out to receive something".
[^3]:    1) Benfey, Das indogerm. Thema des \Zahlworts 'zwei' ist $D U$, Götting. 1876. - Zander, De vocabuli dio usu Homerico Hesiodeoque et Attico, I, II, Königsberg 1834, 1845. - Meringer, Die Flexion der Zweizahl, Kuhn's Ztschr. XXVIII 234 ff.
[^4]:    1) The history of the plural form deszimts needs further investigation. Has it been influenced by dezdeszimts 'twenty' trisdeszimts 'thirty' etc.? Or is it merely due to an idiom of the language which we find in the old books, whereby the abstract noun is used like an adjective with the
[^5]:    name of the thing whose number is stated, and takes the case of it ; as loc. deszimtisa mëstosu 'in decem urbibus' (cp. Bezzenberger, Beitr. zur Gesch. der lit. Spr. 178 f.)?

[^6]:    1) Words were formed later on the same principle in Old Icelandic, in Lithuanian, and in Greek. Examples: O.Icel. tvīteg-t 'eixa's' prīteg-t 'reıaxás' etc.; Lith. dvideszimti-s 'eixás' (e.g. po dvideszimtès metu, in
    
