

KATHY GOOUCH & ANDREW LAMBIRTH

TEACHING EARLY READING & PHONICS

Creative Approaches to Early Literacy

2ND
EDITION



**TEACHING
EARLY READING
& PHONICS**

SAGE was founded in 1965 by Sara Miller McCune to support the dissemination of usable knowledge by publishing innovative and high-quality research and teaching content. Today, we publish over 900 journals, including those of more than 400 learned societies, more than 800 new books per year, and a growing range of library products including archives, data, case studies, reports, and video. SAGE remains majority-owned by our founder, and after Sara's lifetime will become owned by a charitable trust that secures our continued independence.

Los Angeles | London | New Delhi | Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne

KATHY GOOUCH & ANDREW LAMBIRTH

TEACHING EARLY READING & PHONICS

Creative Approaches to Early Literacy

2ND
EDITION

 SAGE

Los Angeles | London | New Delhi
Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne



Los Angeles | London | New Delhi
Singapore | Washington DC | Melbourne

SAGE Publications Ltd
1 Oliver's Yard
55 City Road
London EC1Y 1SP

SAGE Publications Inc.
2455 Teller Road
Thousand Oaks, California 91320

SAGE Publications India Pvt Ltd
B 1/I 1 Mohan Cooperative Industrial Area
Mathura Road
New Delhi 110 044

SAGE Publications Asia-Pacific Pte Ltd
3 Church Street
#10-04 Samsung Hub
Singapore 049483

Editor: Jude Bowen
Assistant editor: George Knowles
Production editor: Nicola Marshall
Indexer: Silvia Benvenuto
Marketing manager: Dilhara Attygalle
Cover design: Wendy Scott
Typeset by: C&M Digitals (P) Ltd, Chennai, India
Printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd,
Croydon, CR0 4YY

© Kathy Goouch and Andrew Lambirth, 2017

First Edition Published 2011
Reprinted 2011 & 2013

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act, 1988, this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form, or by any means, only with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction, in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016935502

British Library Cataloguing in Publication data

A catalogue record for this book is available from
the British Library

ISBN 978-1-4739-1889-4
ISBN 978-1-4739-1890-0 (pbk)

At SAGE we take sustainability seriously. Most of our products are printed in the UK using FSC papers and boards. When we print overseas we ensure sustainable papers are used as measured by the PREPS grading system. We undertake an annual audit to monitor our sustainability.

We would like to dedicate this book to the many children, teachers, academics
and authors from whom we have learned.

We would also like to acknowledge those teachers whose professionalism
continues to be guided and nourished by research, scholarship
and the pursuit of truth.

⋮
CONTENTS

<i>About the authors</i>	viii
1 Introduction: Sensible approaches to teaching and reading	1
2 Beginning with babies	11
3 Critical contexts	21
4 The role of the teacher	32
5 Knowledge for reading	48
6 Environments for reading	61
7 Resources for reading	75
8 Reading routines	89
9 Talk, reading and writing	101
10 Assessment of reading	112
11 Conclusions: Principles and practice	124
<i>References</i>	131
<i>Index</i>	140



ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Kathy Gooch is Professor of Early Education at the Research Centre for Children, Families and Communities at Canterbury Christ Church University, Kent. Kathy's career in education began in the 1970s as a teacher in London schools, later focusing on teaching very young children in school and kindergarten. She now enjoys teaching, researching and writing about babies and young children, their literacy and learning and the people who care for them and help them to learn. She has contributed to conferences across the world and is developing research collaborations to contribute to understandings of international discourses of care. Kathy's research and publications are particularly focused on very early communication and interactions between adults and infants and her current research projects are attempting to understand the nature of relationships in baby rooms in nurseries. Recent publications include *The Baby Room* (Open University Press, 2013) with Sacha Powell and *The Routledge International Handbook of Philosophies and Theories of Early Childhood Education and Care* (Routledge, 2016) edited with Tricia David and Sacha Powell.

Andrew Lambirth is Professor of Education at the Faculty of Education and Health at the University of Greenwich, London. Before joining higher education, he was a primary school teacher in Peckham and Bermondsey in South-East London. Andrew has published widely in the field of teaching literacy and English, including: *Primary English* (Learning Matters, 2005); with Teresa Grainger and Kathy Gooch, *Creativity and Writing* (Routledge, 2005); with Kathy Gooch, *Understanding Reading and the Teaching of Phonics* (Open University, 2007); *Literacy on the Left* (Bloomsbury, 2007); and co-edited with Sue Dymoke and Anthony Wilson, *Making Poetry Matter* (Bloomsbury, 2013). Andrew is currently President of the United Kingdom Literacy Association (UKLA).

1

INTRODUCTION

Sensible approaches to teaching and reading

In this chapter, we aim to:

- provide the context for the development of teaching early reading and phonics in the UK
- draw together research and practice in the teaching of reading
- introduce reading as a complex, social and cultural activity
- claim that a broad and diverse range of children's early experiences must be acknowledged, celebrated and used as a foundation for learning and reading

In today's debates about teaching children to read can 'wisdom prevail over nonsense, truth over falsehood'? (Goodman, 2014: 35)

In England, and for at least half a century, politics has wielded an inordinately heavy influence on the details of the policy and practice of the teaching of English in all its forms (Hall, 2004). More, politicians have consistently colonised the teaching of reading, infusing the discourse with reference to 'common sense' (Chew, 2006: 119), succumbing to powerful lobby groups (Wray, 2006; Barrs and Meek Spencer, 2007; Clark, 2014b) and excluding reference to rigorous research evidence (Wyse and Styles, 2007; Ellis and Moss, 2014). This has resulted in a very detailed statutory requirement that children's attention should be focused on phonics instruction in the early years, and that fidelity to a systematic, synthetic phonics approach, 'first, fast and only', should persist (Rose, 2006; Ofsted, 2010, 2015). To determine that practice does not sway from this single focus, synthetic phonics is now embedded in statutory documentation (DfE, 2014) and is a major focus of the regulatory body, the Office for Standards in Education (Ofsted), in inspections. Additionally, a new phonics screening check, based on this approach and including 'non-words', was introduced in 2012 for all children at the end of Year 1, their first year in the primary sector. In 2015, Nick Gibb, the Minister for Schools, made a substantial claim in relation to the impact of this phonics screening check:

In 2012, 58% of pupils taking the check met the national standard. In 2013, it was 69% and by 2014, the proportion of pupils meeting the standard had risen to 74%: equivalent to 102,000 more 6-year-old children on track to read more effectively.

One hundred and twenty thousand more children are now on track to become excellent readers as a result of the government's focus on phonics, vindicating reforms to transform the way young people learn to read.

For too long, thousands of young people have been allowed to fall behind in reading. This government's drive to eliminate illiteracy is putting a stop to that. (Gibb, 2015)

Various critical responses have been made to the very many claims of this kind from the DfE, including, for example, that: the early test results were characterised by children attempting to make words out of 'non-words' and therefore failing; teachers are now able to train children not to attempt to make sense of individual words; it is possible (for parents and teachers) to train children to pass a test requiring the recitation of a list of words; reciting words is not reading; there is no evidence of children sustaining this and translating it into reading connected text as claimed (see, for example: NCB, 2011; Ellis and Moss, 2014; Goodman, 2014).

In public statements, media outputs and conference presentations, government ministers' statements are prone either to conflate reading with decoding using synthetic phonics or to assume, without citing reliable evidence, that if children are trained to become proficient decoders of print, then other skills involved in learning to read will automatically follow, claiming for example that: 'with success in the basics of decoding words, pupils will be able to move on to reading with increased fluency and speed, which will enable them to develop a love of reading for pleasure and the habit of reading for pleasure' (Gibb, 2015).

In order to further ensure that the government's phonics agenda is put into practice, no-notice Ofsted inspections for Faculties of Education in Universities have also been introduced, with inspectors required to evaluate the extent to which teacher trainees are able to: 'teach early reading and demonstrate a clear understanding of systematic synthetic phonics, communication and language development' (Ofsted 2014:32).

Failure to meet these requirements can have draconian consequences and, as Ellis and Moss have discovered, 'may ultimately result in course funding being withdrawn' (2014: 242). They claim that all universities now have to provide: 'a minimum of 90 hours teaching the government-mandated approach to phonics and faculties who introduce student teachers to other approaches have received letters from the Department of Education reminding them of government policy' (ibid.). It seems that, in England, Initial Teacher Training (ITT) in university faculties, local authorities, schools and individual teachers are all being assessed and held accountable for the ability of children who are six years old to decode a particular set of words and non-words when tested. The single-minded commitment to this approach has been further evidenced by the spending

incurred by the government in support of the implementation of synthetic phonics programmes in schools, with apparently over £22 million spent by the government on materials and £1.3 million on training – funding which was supplied to schools as match funding and so doubling the amount spent specifically on establishing this model of phonics – between 2011 and 2013 (Clark, 2014b).

This privileging of the development of phonic skills, and specifically training in synthetic phonics, above other decoding and reading skills, is troubling in view of the range of research which challenges this approach (see, for example: Goswami and Bryant 1990; Hall, 2003, 2006; Ziegler and Goswami, 2006; Ellis, 2007; Shannon, 2007; Strauss and Altwerger, 2007; Wyse and Styles, 2007; Dombey, 2010; Goodman, 2014; Teale et al., 2014). Also troubling is the amount of money and resources that recent governments seem to have been prepared to invest, while consulting only with an elite, domain-specific group of academics (Hall et al, 2014) and without the support of rigorous and trustworthy research. Perhaps most worrying of all is that discussion, debate and dissent appears to be forbidden in this new politics of early education, within universities and schools, as successive governments in England, and elsewhere, are putting ‘all our literacy eggs into the phonics basket’ (Rosen, 2010: 2).

A sensible review of how children become literate

It is, of course, teachers in their everyday practice who are confronted with the real dilemmas created by political statements and Ofsted inspections and reports. One classic example of this is the following statement in the DfE’s argument for systematic, synthetic phonics as they make their additional supporting case for the use of simple decodable texts and resources:

When children are at the initial stage of mastering decoding, it is vital that they practise their decoding through the use of reading books which are consistent with their phonic knowledge. A child who has yet to study the digraph ‘ph’ should not be asked to read a book about an ‘elephant’ – they are likely to find this confusing and frustrating. (DfE, 2015: 19)

In the above example, there is an authoritative statement that mastery of decoding is an essential prerequisite for a child to recognise and make meaning from a complex word such as ‘elephant’, a confident assertion frequently made and so quickly assumed into policy, and public, discourse. At first glance, this seems to be incontestable – common sense. However, the term ‘decoding’ is often mistakenly used synonymously with phonemic deconstruction of text, where individual words are broken down into single phonemic units of sound. But ‘decoding’ may be associated with a range of interpretative skills and children frequently ‘decode’ a text through the use of many strategies – including semantic and syntactic cues, as well as by using other bibliographic prompts. Such strategies, though, are ‘forbidden’ in Ofsted criteria as ‘children should not be expected to use strategies such as whole-word recognition and/or cues from context, grammar or pictures’ (Ofsted, 2010: 43), and

so the use of the term ‘decoding’ is somewhat misleading. It is commonly accepted that the English language is complex and irregular (for clear and rigorous explanations, see the detailed work of: Goswami 2002, 2005, 2007; and Dombey, 2011) and over-dependence on phonemic recognition alone will confuse children who are used to learning in an eclectic way, using all of their senses and the resources available to them. Of course, anecdotally, we could all cite examples of very young children who not only can recognise the print word ‘elephant’ in a recognisable context but can also distinguish and articulate the complex and phonically highly irregular names of dinosaurs – because they are interesting.

However, in order to further question this claim by Ofsted that children should not be given a book about elephants before mastering the digraph ‘ph’, this introduction and book moves away from the well-trodden path of critiquing the Clackmannanshire study, which the government has wholeheartedly endorsed, frequently quoted and used without question to support their agenda, and which has been rigorously analysed and robustly challenged by many (see, for example: Goswami, 2007; Wyse and Goswami, 2008; Ellis and Moss, 2014). Instead, the importance of children’s early literacy induction, pre-school learning and early literacy learning needs to be robustly acknowledged and offered in support of how children can best be taught in their early years at school and how they can be motivated to become volitional readers, rather than functional decoders of print. Specifically, significant focus needs to be on the importance of naturally occurring, affective, sometimes informal conversational opportunities in order to develop language, increase vocabulary and support meaning-making; the importance of developing relationships with significant ‘others’ as they develop semiotic knowledge and symbolic understanding; and the part that environment and resources, including books, plays in early reading development. In this book, we stress the importance of teachers’ knowledge about children, research and literacy development; the importance of talk and conversation; and the importance of places, environments and resources.

In the first two years of language acquisition, children progress rapidly from learning about one new word every week, to one a day and then to one word every one to two waking hours (Tomasello, 2003: 50). Tomasello also points out that there are frequently accelerations of word acquisition and these may occur when children are involved in a kind of oral close procedure – as they become familiar with more vocabulary, they are able to identify more new words in the flow of speech that they hear, which combines with their developing knowledge of the functions of language in communicative events. Thus, a social induction to language occurs, in the company of familiar contexts, resources and, importantly, significant adults. However, over-simplistic political reference to the number of words known by children as they begin school denies the potential richness of vocabulary and experience that children may have experienced and on which effective school literacy practices may effectively be constructed.

And elephants?

What can be learned from these early literacy learning experiences that will support and motivate children to learn to read and to read for pleasure? Who are the wise

‘experts’ in relation to how children learn to read? What counts as knowledge and whose knowledge counts?

Early learning (from at least birth) really does matter and it seems more than ever important to acknowledge the huge amount of learning already undertaken by children in the first 48 months of their life, much of which is relevant to beginning reading. This includes: meaning construction; hearing, identifying, remembering and repeating patterned language; participating in the semiotic world; knowledge of symbolic referencing and an interest and enjoyment in books and stories, images and print text; and developing knowledge of the alphabetic symbols and some associated sounds. However, in order to give significance to this early learning, teachers, managers, policy-makers and politicians need to understand the complexity that is reading and the early development, learning and behaviours that contribute to children becoming readers – as:

an excessive zealotry for one version of phonics ignores a fundamental truth about reading: that it is essentially to do with the construction of meaning in the reader’s mind, on the basis of the evidence provided by marks on a page or a screen. (Richmond et al., 2015:7)

All of us who are involved directly or indirectly in children’s experiences of learning to become readers need to acknowledge ‘the hypothesis-forming, rule-testing, rule-adapting, memory-employing, meaning-making complex activity which is reading’ (ibid.) and these skills are developing in children, and are learned in homes and families, from birth.

The importance of affect in relation to all learning and reading needs to be loudly acknowledged. This includes developing relationships with other readers (adults and peers); relationships with texts that matter; and the importance of positive interactions between companionable ‘others’, texts, the lived world and young developing readers. There is a mistaken belief that reading is an activity that must be undertaken individually, and tested individually. However, activities that involve ‘communities of readers’ (see, for example, Cremin et al., 2014) are engaging, affecting and frequently joyful, rather than silent and solitary, and for young developing readers this kind of ethos will be rewarding and will perpetuate reading as an enjoyable event, rather than as a school task to be overcome. Enjoyment of books, stories, texts of all kinds, needs to be a central part of the reading day for children from the beginning of their school lives, not a reward for overcoming phonic challenges in the early years.

Young children are already reading the word and the world before starting school. Young developing readers are encoding and decoding words and experiencing their effect (see for example, Grainger and Goouch, 1999; Price, 2000) as they hear how words sound and how they feel on their lips as they first learn to speak and then to read print texts. Acknowledgment that in the first four years of life babies and young children are absorbing and actively employing a number of complex messages about the world and about words – including how they are spoken and interpreted – seems essential in order to build on early literacy learning.

Children are also learning about their place in the world through each event, either as active and responsive meaning-makers or as passive recipients of fragmentary learning opportunities. Supporting very young children in drawing together words and worlds is embedded in the role of parents, caring adults and then their teachers, who need to know the children in their care. Without doubt, ‘children are more likely to want to read material which connects to their personal interests, and may as a result discover what reading can offer them as individuals’ (Cremin et al., 2014). They might be interested in elephants.

The importance of quality texts simply cannot be overestimated. The word ‘elephant’ may matter to a developing reader more than more functional and easy decodable printed words and may have a meaning beyond the image of the word in a book. We know that ‘[children] learn when it’s inconvenient not to’ (‘Janette’ in Lambirth, 2007a: 80). Although the government has been informed through its commissioned review that the ‘language of written texts is accessed via the eyes rather than the ears’ (Rose, 2006: appendix, n62), the work of neuroscience offers different explanations and offers clear information that is relevant to reading experiences, at all ages. For example, ‘it is now well established that visual signals are not just relayed passively into the deep recesses of the brain and up into the cortex’ (Greenfield, 2000:65). This seems to challenge any idea that there is a simple route from the printed word to a memory bank of words. It seems that our brains work in rather more complex ways and:

instead there are also other connections that intercept this incoming stream of information, projecting it back down in the opposite direction to modify the way the incoming signal is relayed and thus how the world is perceived. We see the world in terms of what we have seen already. (ibid.: 65)

Thus, verbal and physical experience of the world – and words – matter in children’s development as readers and semantic information, time for affective responses, evaluative opportunities and space for personal review have more impact on a child’s reading than is acknowledged in contemporary policy (see, for example, Teale et al., 2014).

Reliance on a simplistic approach to reading phonically regular words, rather than creating opportunities for affective engagement with the processes of learning to read, may create disaffection with the whole process of reading. Teachers know that children learn at different paces, at different stages and through the use of different resources. One such colleague whooped with joy as she came into the staffroom at lunchtime, full of joy at the fact that one child had ‘cracked it’ – not with phonic programmes, nor with phonic games, nor with phonically regular texts but with *Bringing the Rain to Kapiti Plain* (Aardema, 1986). This is a story based on an African legend with strong rhyme and a strong pattern to help developing readers. Listen to just one part of this engaging text:

These are the cows, all hungry and dry,
Who mooed for the rain to fall from the sky;

To green-up the grass, all brown and dead,
 That needed the rain from the cloud overhead –
 The big black cloud, all heavy with rain,
 That shadowed the ground on Kapiti Plain. (ibid.)

In this, there are few phonically regular words (e.g., ‘big’) but having heard the story, enjoyed it, shared it with others, drawn pictures about it, enjoyed role play from it, played with it – on this day, this five-year-old boy read it with accuracy, fluency, understanding, enjoyment and pride. What must he be learning from his persistence with this text in relation to the content, the richness of the vocabulary, the tune on the page, as well as the absolute sense of achievement in orchestrating the decoding of print and clear comprehension of the content? This text, like all good books, opened another world to him and motivated him towards new reading experiences and other worlds. This child’s teacher was able to open a world to him, whether or not he had met the requisite phonemic instruction. Compare this with:

The sheep is shut in the pen.
 The grass is green. The sun shines.
 We will let the sheep out now.
 We will grow if the sun shines.
 The sun is hot today. (SND, c. 1920)

It is on this kind of antiquated meaningless text that new ‘decodable’ books are being modelled (see, for example, *Floppy’s Phonics* from the Oxford Reading Tree (Hunt, 2011) and *Bob Bug* from the Songbirds phonics titles from ORT (Donaldson, 2012)). Such texts are a far cry from the meaning-packed *Bringing the Rain to Kapiti Plain*, with all its subdued references and the possibility of connections to be made and considered. The tune of its text, of course, will be familiar to young children from timeless nursery rhymes and the memorable, ‘This is the House that Jack Built’. The teaching world is packed full of such anecdotes about the texts that seemed to provide just the hook that children needed. Margaret Meek, of course, constructed the seminal work *How Texts Teach what Readers Learn* (1988) decades ago in the knowledge that it is not teachers alone or programmes that make a difference but the human connection between reader and text and teacher that consistently provides the reading lesson.

The relationship between early reading and phonics

What seems to be important to remember is that phonics is not an end in itself, whether synthetic or any other kind of phonics. Further, reading is also not always an end in itself but often a beginning, a pathway to other worlds, other learning and affirmation or challenge to our own worlds. Neither can phonics be allowed to