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## Publisher's Note

The publisher has gone to great lengths to ensure the quality of this reprint but points out that some imperfections in the original may be apparent

## PREFACE

"Unfortunate Doctor Dodd" (1729-1777), adulated preacher of comfort, mountebank and hack, is certainly best known by the hard fact of his hanging. $D N B$, indeed, characterizes him only as "forger," on account of the $£ 4200$ bond to which he affixed the endorsement of the Fifth Earl of Chesterfield. Efforts of Dr. Johnson (among others), who composed many general petitions and letters to the King on Dodd's behalf, to say nothing of a popular plea signed by 23,000 citizens of London and the trial jury's "recommendation" (Johnson), did not stay his execution, performed 27 June 1777. John Hawkins, perhaps cynically, remarks that the public "by various artifices, and particularly, the insertion of his name in the public papers, with such palliatives as himself and his friends could invent, never without the epithet of unfortunate . . . were betrayed into such an enthusiastic commiseration of his case, as would have led a stranger to believe, that himself had been no accessory to his distresses, but that they were the inflictions of Providence" (Life of Fohnson, p. 520).

Dodd's published works number at least fiftyfive, but of these The Beauties of Shakespeare certainly commands pride of popularity. First issued in 1752 , in two volumes, the selection with commentary was re-edited in 1757, and published posthumously in three volumes (1780). Jaggard and $B M C$ list no fewer than thirty-nine editions before 1893 , to say nothing of partial inclusion in early compendia preceding collected editions of

## PREFACE

Shakespeare (e.g., Blair's, Edinburgh, of I753).
The width of Dodd's readership is extraordinary: Goethe's first experience of Shakespeare, we know, was through The Beauties, and most probably the selection and format can be credited both with the remarkable succession of such extract-anthologies of other works in the late eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, and with at least some part of the enduring, often pernicious, attention to individual passages of Shakespeare whose sense or sentence obtrudes, seemingly, from the general context of the plays. But doubtless among eighteenth century contributions to the Shakespearean vogue, Dodd's Beauties must be reckoned in the very foremost, if not indisputably first.

We reprint the first edition of 1752 , a rare book now, rather than the expanded versions which follow it. We think the initial impetus toward the sentimentalization of Shakespeare's text of more importance than the latter thoughts of the anthologizer. ${ }_{1} 752$ collates $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}^{12}$; $[\mathrm{A}]^{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{L}^{12} \mathrm{M}^{10}$; our text is photographically reproduced from the Birmingham copy, collated with BM II766. aaa. 20 [lacking Mio of volume II] and two copies in America.
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## $S H A K E S P E A R$ :

Regularly felected from each Play.

# WITHA <br> GENERAL INDEX, 

 Digefting them under Proper Heads.Illultrated with
Explanatory Notes, and Similar Paffages from Ancient and Modern Authors.

> By $W I L L I A M D O D D, B . A$. Late of Clare-Hall, Cambridge.

The poet's eye, in a fine frenzy rowling,
Doth glance from beav'n to earth, from eartb to beav'n, And, as imagination bodies forth
The forms of things unknoswn, the poet's pen
Turns them to ßlsape, and gives to airy nothing
A local babitation and a name.
See Midfummer Nigbt's Dream, p. 87.

| IN TWO VOL UMES. |
| :---: |
| VOL. I. |

$L O N D O N$ :
Printed for T. Waller, at the Mitre and Crown, oppofite Fetter-Lane, Fleet-Streft. M.DCC.LII.

## To the Honourable

## Sir George Lyttleton,

One of the Lords-Commiffioners of the Treafury,

As to a Patron, on whom
The Inimitable Shakespear wou'd moft probably have fixed his Choice,

The following
Collection of His Beauties, I S,

With all due Respect,
A N D

The Higheft Estexm,
INSCRIBED and DEDICATED

$$
\text { B } \mathbf{Y}
$$

His MostObedient
AND

Devoted Servant,

## William Dodd.



## THE

PREFACE.


SHALL not attempt any labour'd encomiums on Sbakefpear, or endeavour to fet forth his perfections, at a time when fuch univerfal and jult applaufe is paid him, and, when every tongue is big with his boundlefs fame. He himfelf telis us*,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { To gild refined gold, to paint the lilly, } \\
& \text { To tbrow a perfume on the violet, } \\
& \text { To mooth the ice, or add another bue } \\
& \text { Unto the rainbow, or with taper-light } \\
& \qquad \text { To See p. } 84 . \text { Vol. II. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## vi

 PREFACE.To jeek the beauteous eye of beav'n to garnifh, Is wafteful and ridiculous excess.

And wafteful and ridiculous indeed it would be, to fay any thing in his praife, when prefenting the world with fuch a collection of Beauties, as perhaps is no where to be met with, and, I may very fafely affirm, cannot be parallell'd from the productions of any other fingle author, ancient or modern. There is fcarcely a topic, common with other writers, on which he has not excelled them al!; there are many, nobly peculiar to himfelf, where he fhines unrivall'd, and, like the eagle, propereft emblem of his daring genius, foars beyond the common reach, and gazes undazled on the fun. His flights are fometimes fo bold, frigid criticifm almoft dares to difapprove them ; and thofe narrow minds which are incapable of elevating their ideas to the fublimity of their author's, are willing to bring them down to a level with their own. Hence many fine paffages have been condemned in Sbakefpear, as Rant and Fuftian, intolerable Bombaft, and turgid Nonfenfe, which, if read with the leaft glow of the fame imagination that warm'd the writer's bofom, wou'd blaze in the robes of fublimity, and obtain the commendations of a Longinus. And, unlefs fome litele of the fame firit that elevated the poet, elevate the reader

## PREFACE.

too, he mult not prefume to talk of tafte and elegance ; he will prove but a languid reader, an indifferent judge, but a far more indifferent critic and commentator. I would not prefume to fay, this is the cafe with Sbake/pear's commentators; fince many ingenious men, whofe names are high in the learned world, are found in that lift: yet thus much, in juftice to the author, muft be avow'd, that many a critic, when he has met with a paffage not clear to his conception, and perhaps above the level of his own ideas, fo far from attempting to explain his author, has immediately condemned the expreflion as foolifh and abfurd, and foifted in fome footy emendation of his own: a proceeding by no means juftifiable; for the text of an author is a facred thing ; 'tis dangerous to meddle with it, nor fhould it ever be done, bat in the moft defperate cafes. The beft of cricics will acknowledge, how frequently they have found their moft plaufible conjectures erroneous; and readings, which once appeared to them in the darkeft and moft unintelligible light, afterwards clear, juft, and genuine ; which fhould be a fufficient warning to all dealers in fuch guefswork, to abftain from prefumption and felf-fufficiency. Falfe glory prevails no lefs in the critical, than in the great world: for it is imagined, by many, a mighty deed
to find fault with an author's word, that they may introduce an emendation (as they call it) of their own : whereas there is nothing fo eafy as to find fault, and alter one word for another ; this the very dablers in learning can do; and after all, it may be faid, that a lucky hit is frequently fuperior to the moft elaborate and braindrawn conjecture : there is no true fame in work of this kind: but it is real honour to elucidate the difficulties in an author's text, to fet forth his meaning, and difcover the fenfe of thofe places which are obfcure to vulgar readers, and fumblingblocks to the tribe of emending critics; a commentator may by this fhew his judgment and tafte, and better difplay his knowledge of his author, than by a motley fardel of miferable and blind conjectures. Nay, indeed, this is the principal bufinefs of every one who prefumes to enter upon the work of commenting : it is but a modern device to explain by altering, and to exchange every word in the text, improper in our infallible judgment, for a fophifticated reading of our own.

But the editors, critics, and commentators on Sbakefpear, have a deal to fay in behalf of alteration, and the abfolute neceffity of it ; they tell you much of their author's
author's inattention to, and difregard of his copies ; how little care he took of their publication; how mangled, maimed, and incorrect his works are handed down to us. This they urge as a reafon, why they fhould ftrike out every word they cannot comprehend ; and thus would they juftify their barbarous inhumanity of cutting into pieces an author already fufficiently dilaniated ; when one would have imagined, they fhould have ufed all their endeavours to heal his night wounds, and to pour balm into his fores, to have amended the vifible typographical miftakes, and numberlefs plain errors of the prefs: for thefe very plentifully abound in the firft editions, but they are in general fo obvious, very little fagacity is required to difcern and amend them : nay, indeed, much of the rubbinh hath been clear'd away by Mr . Tbeobald, who approv'd himfelf the beft editor of Sbakefpear that has yet appeared, by a clofe attention to, and diligent furvey of the old editions, and by a careful amendment of thofe flight faults, which evidently proceeded from the prefs, and corrupted the text. As to the many other imaginary fountains of error and confufion, they may very jultly be look'd upon, (moft of them) in the fame light, with Dr. Bentley's fantaftic editor of Milton ; the doughty critic, if he thinks
$\mathbf{x}$ PREFACE.
proper, may fupport his combat, and fight manfully, with his dagger of lath, againft thefe fhadowy exiftencies; but the judicious reader will eafily difcover he fights only with fhadows, and will allow him a triumph over norhing but air, unlefs he fhould chance to baffe and conquer himfelf. The whole difpute then feems to reft here: Sbakefpear's inimitable compofitions are delivered to pofterity, full of typographical errors, and mangled by the blundering hands of printers, (which none, who confiders the imperfection of printing amongft us at that time, and the great diligence that even at the prelent is required to print with tolerable accuracy) will at all be furprized at ; fo that the bulinefs of an editor feems to be a clofe attention to the text, and careful emendation of thofe errors: but he fhould not prefume to alter, (and to place thefe alterations in the text as his author's) any paffages, which are not really flat nonfenfe and contradiction, but only fuch to his apprehenfion, and unintelligible folely to his unenliven'd imagination. Mr. Tbeobald, as I before obferved, has been fuccefsful enough in this, fo far as he has gone, but he has left many paffages untouch'd and umregarded, which were truly difficult, and calied for the editor's affiftance ; and feems to have

## PREFACE. xi

no notion of the further bufinefs of an editor, than that of explaining obfcure paffages: 'tis true, he has fometimes, tho' rarely, done it.

It is plain then, much work remained for fubfequent commentators ; and fhall we add, ftill remains? for tho' fucceeded by two eminent rivals, we muft with no fmall concern, behold this imperfect editor ftill maintaining his ground; and with no little forrow, obferve the beft judges of Sbakefpear, preferring Tbeobald's to any modern edition. The reafon is obvious : Sir Tbomas Hanmer, proceeds in the moft unjuftifiable method, foifting into his text a thoufand idle alterations, without ever advertifing his readers which are, and which are not Sbakejpear's genuine words: fo that a multitude of idle phrafes and ridiculous expreffions, infinitely beneath the fublimity of this prince of poets, are thrown to his account, and his imperfections, fo far from being diminifh'd, number'd ten-fold upon his head. Mr. Warburton hath been fomewhat more generous to us ; for, tho' he has for the moft part preferred his own criticifms to the author's words, yet he hath always too given us the author's words, and his own reafons for thofe criticifms: yet his conduct can never be jultified for inferting
xii $\quad$ PREFACE.
ing every fancy of his own, in the text, when I dare venture to fay, his better and cooler judgment muft condemn the greateft part of them : what the ingenious Mr. Edrourds fays of him feems exactly juft and true: "That there are good "notes in his edition of Sbakefpear, I " never did deny; but as he has had " the plundering of two dead men, it " will be difficult to know which are his " own; fome of them I fuppofe may " be; and hard indeed would be his " luck, if among fo many bold throws " he fhould have never a winning caft: " but I do infift that there are great " numbers of fuch fhameful blunders as " difparage the reft, if they do not dif© credit his title to them, and make them " look rather like lucky hits, than the " refult of judgment*."

For endeavouring perhaps to avoid all reflections on Mr. Warburton in this work, the reader will fometimes condemn me: however, I had rather be blam'd on that head, than for morofenefs, and fnarling feverity :

[^1]verity : and the good-natur'd will confider, that impartiality is the firft ftep to true judgment, and candor an effential in the dark work of criticifm. For my own part, I cannot but read with regret the conftant jarring and triumphant infults, one over another, found amidif the commentators on Sbakefpear: this is one of the reafons that has impeded our arrival at a thorough knowledge in his works : for fome of the editors have not fo much labour'd to elucidate their author, as to expofe the follies of their brethren. How much better would it have been for Sbakespear, for us, and for literature in general, how much more honour would it have reflected on themfelves, had thefe brangling critics fociably united; and inftead of putting themfelves in a pofture of defence one againft another, jointly taken the field, and united all their efforts to refcue fo inimitable an author from the Gotbic outrage of dull players, duller printers, and ftill duller editors?

For my own part, in this little attempt to prefent the world with as correct a collection of the fineft paffages of the fineft poet, as I could, it has been my principal endeavour to keep myfelf clear as poffible from the dangerous fhelves of prejudice : and I have labour'd to the utmoft
utmoft to maintain an exact and becoming candor all thro' the work, not only becaufe I am well convinc'd, how much my own many imperfections and deficiences will claim the pardon of the reader, but becaufe it appears to me highly unbecoming a man and a fcholar, to blacken another merely for a miftake in judgment; and becaufe, it is in my opinion no fmall affront to the world to pefter it with our private and infignificant animofities, and to fuff a book with querrelous jargon, where information is paid for, and juftly expected. Indeed, it has fometimes been impoffible for me not to take notice, and that with a little feverity, of fome particular remarks, in juftice to truth and my author: however, for the moft part, I have omitted any thing that might give offence, and where it would have been eafy for me, according to the cuftom of modern editors, to have triumph'd and infulted, have taken no notice of the faults of others, but endeavoured, to the beft of my judgment, to explain the paffage. After all, there perhaps remain fome difficulties, and I think we may venture to pronounce, no fingle man will ever be able to give the world a compleat and correct edition of Sbakefpear: the way is now well pay'd, and we may reafonably, from the joint endeavours of fome
fome underftanding lovers of the author, expect what we are greatly in need of: thus much, I muft declare for my own part, that in feveral obfcure paffages in this work, I have received great light by the converfation and conjectures of fome very ingenious and learned men, whofe names, were I permitted to mention them, would do high honour to the work, and to whom I thus beg leave to return my moft hearty and fincere thanks.

It was long fince that I firft propofed publifhing this collection; for Sbakefpear was ever, of all modern authors, my firt and greateft favourite: and during my relaxations, from my more fevere and neceffary ftudies at college, I never omitted to read and indulge myfelf in the rapturous flights of this delightful and fweeteft child of fancy: and when my imagination has been heated by the glowing ardor of his uncommon fire, have never failed to lament, that his Beauties fhould be fo obfcur'd, and that he himfelf fhould be made a kind of ftage for bungling critics to fhew their clumfy aftivity upon.

It was my firft intention to have confider'd each play critically and regularly thro' all its parts ; but as this would have
have fwell'd the work beyond proper bounds, I was cbliged to confine myfelf folely to a collection of his poetical Beauties: and I doubt not, every reader will find fo large a fund for obfervation, fo much excellent and refin'd morality, and I may venture to fay, fo much good divinity, that he will prize the work as it deferves, and pay, with me, All due adoration to the Manes of Sbakefpear.

Longinus* tells us, that the moft in. fallible telt of the true Sublime, is the impreffion a performance makes upon our minds, when read or recited. "If, fays " he, a perion finds, that a performance " tranfports not his foul, nor exalts his " thoughts; that it calls not up into his " mind ideas more enlarged than the mere " founds of the words convey, but on " attentive examination its dignity leffens " and declines, he may conclude, that " whatever pierces no deeper than the " ears, can never be the true Sublime. " That, on the contrary, is grand and " lofty, which the more we confider, " the greater ideas we conceive of it ; " whofe force we cannot poffibly with"s ftand; which immediately finks deep, '" and

[^2]
## PREFACE. xvii

" and makes fuch impreffion on the mind " as cannot eafily be worn out or ef" faced: in a word, you may pronounce " that fublime, beautiful, and genuine, "' which always pleafes and takes e" qually with all forts of men. For " when perfons of different humours, " ages, profeffions, and inclinations, a" gree in the fame joint approbation of " any performance, then this union of " affent, this combination of fo many " different judgments, ftamps an high, " and indifputable value on that per" formance, which meets with fuch ge" neral applaufe." 'I his fine obfervation of Longinus is moft remarkably verified in Shakefpear; for all bumours, ages, and inclinations, jointly proclaim their approbation and efteem of him ; and will, I hope, be found true, in moft of the panfages, which are here collected from him : I fay, mof, becaufe there are fome, which I am convinc'd will not ftand this teft : the old, the grave, and the fevere will difapprove, perhaps, the more foft (and as they may call them) trifing lovetales, fo elegantly breath'd forth, and fo emphatically extolled by the young, the gay, and the paffionate: while thefe will efteem as dull, and languid, the fober fares of morality, and the home-felt obfervations of experience. However, as it
was my bufinefs to collect for readers of all taftes, and all complexions, let me defire none to difapprove, what hits not with their own humour, but to turn over the page, and they will furely find fomething acceptable and engaging. But I have yet another apology to make, for fome paffages introduced merely on account of their peculiarity, which to fome, poffibly, will appear neither fublime nor beautiful, and yet deferve attention, as indicating the vaft ftretch, and fometimes particular turn of the poet's imagination. Others are inferted on account of the quotation in the note from fome other author, to fhew, how fine reflections have been built on a trifling hint of our poet's, and of how much weight is even one of his bullion lines. It would have been no hard tank for me to have multiplied quotations from Greek, Latin, and Englifh writers, and to have made no fmall difplay of what is commonly called, learning; but that I have induftrioully avoided; and never perplex'd the reader (or at leaft as little as poffible) with the learned languages, always preferring the mott plain and literal tranllations, much to his eafe, tho' (according to the manner in which fome judge) lefs to my own reputation. In the notes many extracts will be found from Beaumont and Fletcber, fome, and indeed,

## P R E F A C E. xix

indeed, the chief beauties of thefe celebrated authors: I have taken the liberty now and then to diffent from the ingenious gentlemen, who have lately publifh'd their works: and cannot but highly commend that good-nature and modefty, with which they have conducted their remarks. One of them, Mr. Seward, hath given us an agreeable preface, wherein he fets forth the merits of his authors, and feems very defirous to place them in the fame rank with Sbakefpear: but alas! all his generous efforts in their caufe, are but fruitlefs, and all his friendly labours unavailing. For we have but to read a play of each, and we thall not a moment hefitate in our judgment. However, fo kind a partiality to his authors, is by no means blameable, but on the contrary highly commendable.

As to the other paffages in the notes, they are in general fuch as are not commonly known and read, which fort it would have been eafy to have multiplied: indeed, there appears fo little judgment in thofe who have made general collections from the poets, that they merit very fimall notice, as they are already too low for cenfure.

There are many paffages in Sbakefpear, fo clofely connected with the plot and characters, and on which their beauties fo wholly depend, that it would have been abfurd and idle to have produced them here : hence the reader will find little of the inimitable Falfaff in this work, and not one line extracted from the Merry Wives of Windjor, one of Shakefpear's beft, and moft juftly-admired comedies: whoever reads that play, will immediately fee, there was nothing either proper or poffible for this work: which, fuch as it is, I moft fincerely and cordially recommend to the candor and benevolence of the world: and wih every one that perufes it, may feel the farisfaction I have frequently felt in compofing it, and receive fuch inftructions and advantages from it, as it is well calculated, and well able to beftow. For my own part, better and more important things henceforth demand my attention, and I here, with no fmall pleafure, take leave of Sbakefpear and the critics ; as this work was begun and finilh'd, before I enter'd upon the facred function, in which I am now happily employ'd, let me truft, this juvenile performance will prove no objection, fince graver, and fome very eminent members of the church, have thought it no improper employ, to comment, explain and publifh

## PREFACE. xxi

publifh the works of their own country poets.

I mult beg the reader's patience one moment longer, while I return my beft thanks to all thofe gentlemen, who have been fo kind as to favour my fubfcription for $a$ Tranfation of the works of Callimachus: I hope they will pardon my delay; for having been very much engrofs'd by various avocations, it was not poffible for me to print that work to their and my own fatisfaction : however, I now affure them, as I have met with a happy and defirable retreat, no farther delay fhall on my account be made ; the plates are already done, and the work fhall be printed with all convenient and poflible expedition.

William Dodd.
Weft-Ham, March 17, 1752,
P. S.
xxii PREFACE.
P.S. I have not time to read over the whole work accurately, in which, fpite of the utmoft care, numberlefs errors of the prefs have intruded: I mult defire the reader to correct groweth into growing, p. 143, of the firft volume; and alfo to ftrike out Cleo. in the 162 d page. For the reft, I muft leave them to his candor, and plead for the faults of my printer.
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All's well that ends well.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ACTI. SCENEI. } \\
A D V I C E .
\end{gathered}
$$



E(1) thou bleft, Bertram, and fucceed thy father
In manners as in hape; thy blood and virtue
Contend for empire in thee, and thy goodnefs
Share with thy birth-right. Love all; truft a few; Do wrong to none; be able for thine enemy Rather
(1) Be tbou, \&c.] See the advice of Potonius to his fon in Homict, A\& $1 . S c$ 5. HeEtor's prayer for Afjanax is not unlike this.

Grant him like me to purchafe juft renown, To guard the Trojams, to defend the crown, Againft his country's foes the war to wage, And rife the Hetor of the future age.

Pofe's Iliad, 1,6, v. 606.


[^0]:    November, 1970
    A. F.

[^1]:    * See the Cinons of Critici/n, the third edition, (that always referred to in this work) the ith and 12 th pages of the Preface.

    The reader is defired likewife to obferve, that the 2d edition of Mr. Upton's Critical Obfervations on Sbake. fpear, is that ufed always by the editor.

[^2]:    * See Longinus on the Subline, Sect. 7. The tranflation in the text is from the learned Mr . Smith.

