

COLD WAR HISTORY

The Iran–Iraq War

New international perspectives

Edited by

Nigel Ashton and Bryan Gibson



The Iran–Iraq War

This volume offers a wide-ranging examination of the Iran–Iraq War (1980–88), featuring fresh regional and international perspectives derived from recently available new archival material.

Three decades ago Iran and Iraq became embroiled in a devastating eight-year war which served to re-define the international relations of the Gulf region. The Iran–Iraq War stands as an anomaly in the Cold War era; it was the only significant conflict in which the interests of the United States and Soviet Union unwittingly aligned, with both superpowers ultimately supporting the Iraqi regime.

The Iran–Iraq War re-assesses not only the superpower role in the conflict but also the war's regional and wider international dimensions by bringing to the fore fresh evidence and new perspectives from a variety of sources. It focuses on a number of themes including the economic dimensions of the war and the roles played by a variety of powers, including the Gulf States, Turkey, France, the Soviet Union and the United States. The contributions to the volume serve to underline that the Iran–Iraq war was a defining conflict, shaping the perspectives of the key protagonists for a generation to come.

This book will be of much interest to students of international and Cold War history, Middle Eastern politics, foreign policy, and International Relations in general.

Nigel Ashton is Professor in International History at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE). He is author/editor of six books, including, most recently, *King Hussein of Jordan: A Political Life* (2008).

Bryan Gibson is a PhD Candidate in International History at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE). He is the author of *Covert Relationship: American Foreign Policy, Intelligence, and the Iran–Iraq War, 1980–88* (2010).

Series: Cold War History

Series Editors: Odd Arne Westad and Michael Cox

In the new history of the Cold War that has been forming since 1989, many of the established truths about the international conflict that shaped the latter half of the twentieth century have come up for revision. The present series is an attempt to make available interpretations and materials that will help further the development of this new history, and it will concentrate in particular on publishing expositions of key historical issues and critical surveys of newly available sources.

Reviewing the Cold War

Approaches, interpretations, theory

Edited by Odd Arne Westad

Rethinking Theory and History in the Cold War

The State, military power and social revolution

Richard Saull

British and American Anticommunism before the Cold War

Marrku Ruotsila

Europe, Cold War and Coexistence, 1953–1965

Edited by Wilfried Loth

The Last Decade of the Cold War

From conflict escalation to conflict transformation

Edited by Olav Njølstad

Reinterpreting the End of the Cold War

Issues, interpretations, periodizations

Edited by Silvio Pons and Federico Romero

Across the Blocs

Cold War cultural and social history

Edited by Rana Mitter and Patrick Major

US Internal Security Assistance to South Vietnam

Insurgency, subversion and public order

William Rosenau

The European Community and the Crises of the 1960s

Negotiating the Gaullist challenge

N. Piers Ludlow

Soviet–Vietnam Relations and the Role of China, 1949–64

Changing alliances

Mari Olsen

The Third Indochina War

Conflict between China, Vietnam and Cambodia, 1972–79

Edited by Odd Arne Westad and Sophie Quinn-Judge

Greece and the Cold War

Frontline state, 1952–1967

Evanthis Hatzivassiliou

Economic Statecraft during the Cold War

European responses to the US trade embargo

Frank Cain

Macmillan, Khrushchev and the Berlin Crisis, 1958–1960

Kitty Newman

The Emergence of Détente in Europe

Brandt, Kennedy and the formation of Ostpolitik

Arne Hofmann

European Integration and the Cold War

Ostpolitik–Westpolitik, 1965–1973

Edited by N. Piers Ludlow

Britain, Germany and the Cold War

The search for a European Détente 1949–1967

R. Gerald Hughes

The Military Balance in the Cold War

US perceptions and policy, 1976–85

David M. Walsh

The Cold War in the Middle East

Regional conflict and the superpowers 1967–73

Edited by Nigel J. Ashton

The Making of Détente

Eastern and Western Europe in the Cold War, 1965–75

Edited by Wilfried Loth and Georges-Henri Soutou

Europe and the End of the Cold War

A reappraisal

Edited by Frédéric Bozo, Marie-Pierre Rey, N. Piers Ludlow, and Leopoldo Nuti

The Baltic Question during the Cold War

Edited by John Hiden, Vahur Made and David J. Smith

The Crisis of Détente in Europe

From Helsinki to Gorbachev, 1975–85

Edited by Leopoldo Nuti

Cold War in Southern Africa

White power, black liberation

Edited by Sue Onslow

The Globalisation of the Cold War

Diplomacy and local confrontation, 1975–85

Edited by Max Guderzo and Bruna Bagnato

Yugoslavia and the Soviet Union in the Early Cold War

Reconciliation, comradeship, confrontation, 1953–1957

Svetozar Rajak

The End of the Cold War in the Third World

New perspectives on regional conflict

Edited by Artemy Kalinovsky and Sergey Radchenko

Mao, Stalin and the Korean War

Trilateral communist relations in the 1950s

Shen Zhihua; translated by Neil Silver

The Iran–Iraq War

New international perspectives

Edited by Nigel Ashton and Bryan Gibson

The Iran–Iraq War

New international perspectives

**Edited by Nigel Ashton and
Bryan Gibson**

First published 2013
by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada
by Routledge
711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2013 selection and editorial material, Nigel Ashton and
Bryan Gibson; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of the editors to be identified as the authors of the
editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters,
has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the
Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or
reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical,
or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including
photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or
retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or
registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and
explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

The Iran–Iraq War: new international perspectives / edited by Nigel
Ashton and Bryan R. Gibson.

p. cm. – (Cold War history series)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

1. Iran–Iraq War, 1980–1988. 2. Iran–Iraq War,
1980–1988–Diplomatic history. 3. Iran–Iraq War,

1980–1988–Influence. I. Ashton, Nigel John. II. Gibson, Bryan

DS318.85.174 2013

955.05'42–dc23

2012025959

ISBN: 978-0-415-68524-5 (hbk)

ISBN: 978-0-203-07478-7 (ebk)

Typeset in Baskerville
by Wearset Ltd, Boldon, Tyne and Wear

Contents

<i>List of illustrations</i>	ix
<i>List of contributors</i>	x
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiv
1 Introduction	1
NIGEL ASHTON AND BRYAN GIBSON	
PART I	
Waging the war	13
2 Lessons learned: civil–military relations during the Iran–Iraq War and their influence on the 1991 Gulf War and 2003 Iraq War	15
IBRAHIM AL-MARASHI	
3 Saddam and the Iran–Iraq War: rule from the top	33
WILLIAMSON MURRAY AND KEVIN WOODS	
4 <i>Mustazafin</i> and <i>taghutti</i>: Iran and the war, 1980–1988	56
ROB JOHNSON	
PART II	
Economic dimensions of the war	75
5 The role of oil in the outcome of the Iran–Iraq War: some important lessons in historical context	77
FARZIN NADIMI	
6 The finances of war: Iraq, credit and conflict, September 1980 to August 1990	92
GLEN RANGWALA	

PART III

Regional perspectives on the war 107

- 7 The Gulf States and the Iran–Iraq War: cooperation and confusion** 109

KRISTIAN COATES ULRICHSEN

- 8 The ostensible ‘silent victor’? The long-term impact of the Iran–Iraq War on Turkey** 125

ELLIOT HENTOV

PART IV

American policy and the war 147

- 9 Reappraising the Carter Administration’s response to the Iran–Iraq War** 149

CHRIS EMERY

- 10 Changing American perspectives on the Iran–Iraq War** 178

JUDITH YAPHE

- 11 Critical Oral History: a new approach to examining the United States’ role in the war** 196

MALCOLM BYRNE

PART V

International perspectives on the war 211

- 12 France’s involvement in the Iran–Iraq War** 213

PIERRE RAZOUX

- 13 The Soviet Union and the Iran–Iraq War** 230

ARTEMY KALINOVSKY

- Index* 243

Illustrations

Figures

5.1 Breakdown of the Iraqi Oil Campaign	80
5.2 NITC crude and products haulage (mt)	87
5.3 Number of NITC sea voyages	87
5.4 Iran's crude oil export (1978–90)	88
8.1 Turkish exports to Iran and Iraq 1980–88	131
8.2 Turkey's combined trade balance with Iran and Iraq 1980–88	132

Map

5.1 The Gulf	81
--------------	----

Tables

6.1 Revenues from oil exports and total export revenues (1973–86)	95
6.2 Iraq's debts accumulated from September 1980 to August 1988	97
12.1 French military deliveries to Iraq 1970–80	214
12.2 French military deliveries to Iraq during the Iran–Iraq War	219
12.3 French military deliveries to Iraq 1970–88	219
12.4 French military deliveries to Iran 1982–86	223
12.5 Composition of the French Task Force 623 in Operation Prométhée (August 1987 to September 1988)	226

Contributors

Editors

Nigel Ashton is Professor in International History at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE). He is the author of *King Hussein of Jordan: A Political Life* (2008), *Kennedy, Macmillan and the Cold War: The Irony of Interdependence* (2002), and *Eisenhower, Macmillan and the Problem of Nasser: Anglo-American Relations and Arab Nationalism, 1955–59* (1996). He has also edited *The Cold War in the Middle East: Regional Conflict and the Superpowers, 1967–73* (2007).

Bryan Gibson is a Ph.D candidate in International History at the LSE and specializes in U.S.–Iraqi relations during the Cold War. His Ph.D. thesis is on the U.S. policy toward Iraq and the Kurdish Revolt, 1958–75. He received his B.A. and M.A. degrees in history and a Bachelor of Social Sciences in criminology from the University of Ottawa, Canada. He is the author of *Covert Relationship: American Foreign Policy, Intelligence and the Iran–Iraq War, 1980–1988* (Praeger, 2010), based on his M.A. thesis.

Contributors

Malcolm Byrne is Deputy Director and Research Director at the non-governmental National Security Archive, based at The George Washington University, Washington DC. Since 1998 he has also directed the Iran–U.S. Relations Project, which aims at documenting the history of that troubled relationship from both sides. Among his publications are *Mohammad Mossaddeq and the 1953 Coup in Iran* (with Mark Gasiorowski) (Syracuse University Press, 2004), and *Becoming Enemies: U.S.–Iran Relations and the Iran–Iraq War, 1979–88* (with James G. Blight *et al.*) (Rowman & Littlefield, 2012). The latter is based on the results of the Critical Oral History conference described by him in this volume.

Kristian Coates Ulrichsen is Deputy Director of the Kuwait Programme on Development, Governance and Globalisation in the Gulf States and a

Research Fellow at LSE Global Governance. His research focuses on the history of Iraq and political and security trends in the Gulf. He is the author of three recent books: *The Logistics and Politics of the British Campaigns in the Middle East, 1914–1922* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2010), *Insecure Gulf: The End of Certainty and the Transition to the Post-Oil Era* (Hurst and Co., 2011), and (co-edited with David Held) *The Transformation of the Gulf: Politics, Economics and the Global Order* (Routledge, 2011).

Chris Emery is a Fellow in the Department of International Relations at the London School of Economics. He is currently writing a book examining U.S. policy in Iran during the Carter Administration, with an emphasis on the post-revolutionary period. His most recent publication is ‘The Transatlantic and Cold War Dynamics of Iran Sanctions, 1979–1980’, which appeared in *Cold War History* in 2010.

Elliot Hentov received his Ph.D. in contemporary Turkish and Iranian affairs at Princeton University’s Department of Near Eastern Studies. His publications have appeared in the *New York Times*, *Financial Times*, the *Washington Times*, *Foreign Policy*, *The Washington Quarterly* and the *Middle Eastern Review of International Affairs* and he has also been a featured speaker on Middle Eastern affairs at events sponsored by the Stimson Center, the U.S. Institute of Peace, the Council on Foreign Relations, and the U.S. Departments of State and Defense, as well as New York University’s Center for Global Affairs.

Rob Johnson is the Director of the Oxford Changing Character of War Programme at Oxford University. The programme brings together academic, political, professional and armed services personnel in the study of contemporary conflicts, encouraging new avenues of research across all disciplines. He is the author of a number of publications including *The Iran–Iraq War* (Palgrave, 2011) and *The Afghan Way of War* (OUP, 2011) with other work on the Gulf, Iran and Iraq. His current research is on specific conflicts in the Middle East and Central Asia, particularly insurgencies in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Artemy Kalinovsky is Assistant Professor of East European Studies at the University of Amsterdam. He is the author of *A Long Goodbye: The Soviet Withdrawal from Afghanistan* (Harvard University Press, 2011) and co-editor, with Sergey Radchenko, of *The End of the Cold War and the Third World* (Routledge, 2011).

Ibrahim al-Marashi is Assistant Professor of Middle East History at California State University San Marcos. His research deals with the security issues in the Middle East, particularly in Iraq. He is the co-author of *Iraq’s Armed Forces: An Analytical History* (Routledge, 2009). He obtained his D.Phil. at the University of Oxford, completing a thesis on the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait. He is an Iraqi-American who has lived at various times in Saudi

Arabia, Yemen, Egypt, Morocco, and Turkey, and has travelled extensively through the Middle East.

Williamson Murray is Professor Emeritus at Ohio State University. He is also a Senior Fellow at the Institute of Defense Analyses in Alexandria, Virginia. He studies military and diplomatic history and is currently working on a project that investigates the ability of military institutions to adapt to the challenging conditions of combat. In the past, he has served as the Harold Johnson Professor of Military History at the United States Army War College and has published widely on topics dealing with military and strategic history, including *The Iraq War: A Military History*, published by Harvard University Press.

Farzin Nadimi has a Ph.D. in Middle Eastern Studies from the University of Manchester, and an M.A. in War Studies from King's College London. He is currently a Visiting Fellow at the York Centre for International and Security Studies at York University in Toronto. His research has covered Persian Gulf security and Iran–Iraq War military history, energy security and geopolitics, airpower, economic warfare, crisis management in war-torn civilian organisations with emphasis on oil and gas industries, and organizational behaviour in contingency situations. He has worked extensively with the Iranian oil industry, and travelled to the oil regions, to document its wartime performance.

Glen Rangwala is a Lecturer in Politics at Cambridge University and a Fellow of Trinity College Cambridge. His main research interests are in the contemporary politics of the Levant and the northern Gulf. He is the co-author (with Eric Herring) of *Iraq in Fragments: The Occupation and its Legacy* (Hurst and Cornell University Press, 2006).

Pierre Razoux is a French Senior Civil Servant authorized to work as a full Professor and Research Director. A specialist on the Middle East, he is currently Senior Adviser at the Research Division of the NATO Defense College in Rome. He served previously in the Delegation for Strategic Affairs of the French Ministry of Defence and in the Policy Division of the British Ministry of Defence as an exchange officer. He has published several books on the Arab–Israeli Wars, has long experience in the field of international affairs, and is in the process of publishing a new book on the Iran–Iraq War (Perrin, Paris).

Kevin Woods is on the research staff of the Institute for Defense Analyses. He is the lead author of numerous military histories of Saddam Hussein's Iraq including: *The Iraqi Perspectives Report: Saddam's Senior Leadership on Operation Iraqi Freedom* (2006); *The Mother of All Battles: Saddam Hussein's Strategic Plan for the Persian Gulf War* (2008); *Saddam's War: An Iraqi Military Perspective of the Iran–Iraq War* (2009); and *The Saddam Tapes: The Inner Workings of a Tyrant's Regime 1978–2001* (2011). He

holds degrees from Auburn University and the Naval War College, and a Ph.D. in History from the University of Leeds.

Judith Yaphe is Distinguished Research Fellow for the Middle East in the Institute for National Strategic Studies (INSS) at National Defense University in Washington DC and Adjunct Professor in the Institute for Middle East Studies at George Washington University. Before joining INSS in 1995, she served for twenty years as a senior analyst on Near East–Persian Gulf issues in the Directorate of Intelligence at the CIA. She received the Intelligence Medal of Commendation and other awards for her work on Iraq and the Gulf. Her publications include studies on *Reassessing the Implications of a Nuclear-Armed Iran* (2005), *The Middle East in 2015: The Impact of Regional Trends on U.S. Strategic Planning* (2002) and articles on Iraq, Iran and the strategic environment in the Gulf region. She received her Ph.D. in Middle Eastern History from the University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana.

Acknowledgements

This book is the happy product of a conference held on the ‘International Impact of the Iran–Iraq War’ at the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) in September 2010. The conference coincided with the thirtieth anniversary of the outbreak of the Iran–Iraq War and we were fortunate in being able to assemble an outstanding group of international specialists in various aspects of the international history of the Gulf region and the Middle East as a whole. The conference could not have taken place without the generous sponsorship of the British Institute for the Study of Iraq, the HEIF4 Bid Fund, the LSE’s Centre for Global Governance, and BP. In addition, the IDEAS Centre at LSE provided an outstanding venue for the event and the organisers are very grateful to the Centre Directors Arne Westad and Mick Cox, and the Centre Manager Emilia Knight for their generous support for the event. An important role in the organisation of the event was also played by Ranj Alaaldin to whom we would like to express our gratitude.

In assembling this volume the editors have incurred a number of further debts. We are grateful to Andrew Humphrys, the Senior Editor for Strategic Studies at Routledge, for scrutinising our proposal so carefully and for his support in the publication of this volume. We are also particularly grateful to the contributors to this collection for their cooperation in turning their original conference papers into polished chapters. We appreciate their attention to points of detail and their tolerance of our dogged pursuit of stylistic homogeneity. Most importantly, all of the contributors proved willing to work with us in pursuing the central theme of this volume: the international impact of the Iran–Iraq War. Drawing this defining conflict out from under the shadow of subsequent events, especially the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait in 1990 and the Western invasion of Iraq in 2003, is a large part of the goal of this volume. We also seek to further certain existing historiographical debates about the war as discussed in our introduction. While the reader will be the final judge of how far these goals are successfully achieved here, we believe this volume advances scholarly understanding and debate about this pivotal conflict. Any shortcomings or errors which may remain here are of course the sole responsibility of the editors.

1 Introduction

Nigel Ashton and Bryan Gibson

Three decades ago Iraq and Iran became embroiled in a devastating eight-year war. Much more than a bilateral struggle, the Iran–Iraq War served to re-define the international relations of the Gulf region. It drew in a particularly wide array of outside powers including the United States, France, Turkey, Israel and the Soviet Union, all of which played controversial roles. The Iran–Iraq War stands as the only significant Cold War era conflict in which the interests of the United States and Soviet Union unwittingly aligned, with both superpowers supporting the Iraqi regime. The significance of the war has proven enduring in terms of the re-shaping of Iraqi and Iranian society, with the former embarking upon a genocidal campaign against the Kurds and the latter solidifying its revolutionary status, as well as in terms of the geopolitics of the Gulf region, with Iraq subsequently launching the 1990 invasion of Kuwait which led to the introduction of sanctions and the US invasion of Iraq in 2003.

Our knowledge of the Iran–Iraq War has advanced considerably in recent years, with much new documentary evidence becoming available thanks in particular to mandatory reviews of documents in Western archives and the release of captured Iraqi materials. In the light of this wealth of new evidence, this volume re-assesses our current understanding of the Iran–Iraq conflict in an international context. It addresses a number of its central features, including Iraq’s regime politics, the economic dimensions of the war, the formulation of American policy, the war’s impact on the Gulf, and the role of other players including the Gulf Cooperation Council, the Soviet Union, France, and Turkey.

It is particularly important to re-examine the conflict at this juncture for a number of reasons. Firstly, with the withdrawal of American troops at the end of 2011, Iraq’s potential to exert influence throughout the Gulf as an independent actor has increased significantly. Meanwhile, Iran’s continuing clash with Western interests in the region, which also manifests itself in Iraq itself, might well lead to further conflict in this economically and strategically vital region. Since the Iran–Iraq War was a defining historical moment for both countries, and continues to shape the outlook of the political elite, particularly in Tehran, it is essential to grasp the impact

of the war in order to understand Iran and Iraq's subsequent and current foreign policies.

The historiography of the Iran–Iraq War has gone through four distinct phases, precipitated in large measure by events in the region. The first phase of scholarship coincided with the waging of the war itself and its immediate aftermath. Scholars and analysts attempted to judge the dynamics of the conflict from inside the events themselves. The majority of works consisted of journalistic accounts and think-tank analyses, although a few serious academic studies did appear, mainly towards the end of the war. But with the conclusion of hostilities on 20 August 1988, the war ceased to provide cover stories depicting the gruesome realities of modern warfare, and interest in its study outside specialist academic circles slowly waned. Indeed, one commentator lamented in early 1990 that ‘in the relatively short time since Iran accepted a ceasefire with Iraq, the Gulf war has faded from public view and concern with remarkable speed.’¹

This first phase of scholarship was subject to all of the familiar pitfalls of the writing of very contemporary history including a relative dearth of primary sources, particularly pertaining to decision-making and strategy, the distorting effects of propaganda, and straightforward uncertainty as to the war's outcome. Initially, serious scholars were reluctant to engage in analysis of the war. Scholarly caution was prudent to the extent that Iran had just undergone a revolution and uncertainty prevailed over how it would frame its foreign policy. Would Ayatollah Khomeini behave like a ‘turbaned Shah’ or would he pursue a novel, ideologically driven foreign policy?² Saddam Hussein's calculation in launching the war in September 1980 was by contrast relatively straightforward: Khomeini constituted an implacable ideological foe, and the opportunity presented by perceived Iranian weakness in the wake of the revolution and the purging of the armed forces should be seized. While initially apparently successful, the Iraqi invasion was characterised by gross strategic errors and before long Iranian resistance began to turn the tide of battle. This was not what Saddam – or anyone – had expected. Because of the dramatic shift in the regional balance of power, it took experts some time to adjust to the situation and begin to draw conclusions. But as the war progressed, and Iran evicted Iraqi forces from its territory, a small number of scholarly works began to appear, offering a first glimpse of how the war was viewed in the West.

The first detailed study of the Iran–Iraq War by Stephen R. Grummon appeared in 1982, entitled *The Iran–Iraq War: Islam Embattled*. This provided a valuable initial analysis of the conflict, examining its root causes, the first two years of hostilities, the role of third-party mediators, and the superpowers' responses to the war. It was, however, limited by its brevity and the dearth of primary sources.³ Later that same year Shahram Chubin, an Iranian expert, published *Security in the Persian Gulf 4: The Role of Outside Powers*, which analysed the military-supply relationships between the three

regional powers – Iran, Iraq, and Saudi Arabia – and the United States and the Soviet Union. Though not dealing specifically with the Iran–Iraq War, Chubin’s work presented detailed background information on the military-supply relationships in the region that existed prior to the conflict.⁴

As the war moved to Iraqi territory from 1982 onwards, uncertainty continued to prevail over its outcome, with Iraq fighting a series of desperate defensive battles to blunt successive Iranian offensives. Scholarly caution continued to prevail and commentary on the war still remained confined to the domain of journalists and think-tank analysts. In 1987, President Reagan’s controversial decision to re-flag Kuwaiti tankers and the revelations regarding America’s complicity in the Iran–Contra scandal, which had broken in November 1986, catalysed a heightened interest in the war. Although the Middle Research and Information Project (MERIP)’s reports had already focused a great deal of attention on the war, the increased American presence in the Gulf in 1987 prompted other scholarly journals to follow suit. Thus, in the spring of 1987, an important issue of *Foreign Affairs* appeared, featuring a number of seminal articles on the war. In particular, Nita M. Renfrew’s ‘Who Started the War?’ and Shireen T. Hunter’s ‘After the Ayatollah’ offered penetrating analyses of the causes and likely effects of the war. Renfrew challenged the established notion of Iraqi culpability for the outbreak of the war, arguing that Iran had initiated the conflict by aggressively seeking to subvert Iraq’s secular order. At the same time, Hunter focused on the precarious political situation in Iran, including the declining health of Ayatollah Khomeini and the domestic impact of the seemingly endless war. She speculated about the future course of Iranian policy in the light of these developments. Later that year, in its winter 1987 issue, *Foreign Affairs* published a piece by Barry Rubin titled ‘Drowning in the Gulf’, which provided a thought-provoking analysis of the reasons behind Reagan’s decision to re-flag Kuwaiti tankers. Rubin argued that the Kuwaitis had skilfully manipulated the Reagan Administration by playing off American and Soviet antagonism through the tactic of presenting the same request to both powers, knowing that each would try to outbid the other. In doing so, the Kuwaitis ensured that their interests were safeguarded.⁵ The themes of culpability for the outbreak of the war, the role of ideology in Iranian politics and the relationship between local actors and the superpowers raised by Renfrew, Hunter and Rubin became key, enduring areas of subsequent historiographical debate about the war. They are reflected in particular in the contributions to this volume by Chris Emery, Rob Johnson, Artemy Kalinovsky, and Kristian Coates Ulrichsen.

The events of 1987 also prompted the appearance of a number of broader studies of the war, whose authors could not be aware that the conflict would soon end. For instance, in 1987 Edgar O’Ballance, a prolific writer on modern warfare, published *The Gulf War*. O’Ballance worked as a

freelance journalist throughout much of the 1980s, and was able to obtain first-hand details of the conflict. While his work was for the most part one of narrative, O'Ballance did provide a useful technical account of the military operations of the war. Unfortunately, due to the timing of its publication, O'Ballance's narrative stops in April 1987, just short of the significant events of late 1987 and 1988.⁶ Similarly, Anthony H. Cordesman's painstaking analysis of Iran and Iraq's military action between 1984 and 1987, *The Iran–Iraq War and Western Security, 1984–1987*, stops short of the war's dramatic conclusion. Nevertheless, Cordesman's work is valuable because it was the first major politico-military analysis of the war's impact on US security.⁷ The impact of the war on the US role in the Gulf has loomed large in its subsequent historiography and is reflected in the contributions to this volume by Judith Yaphe, Malcolm Byrne, and Chris Emery.

Unlike O'Ballance, who never returned to complete his work on the war, in 1990 Cordesman, with the help of Abraham R. Wagner, published a more comprehensive study, entitled *The Lessons of Modern War, II, The Iran–Iraq War*. Like Cordesman's earlier work, this book made a significant contribution to the historiography of the war, this time more specifically in the field of military history, offering a detailed analysis of the forces, operations, and weaponry used.⁸ A final addition to this group of scholars writing originally just before the war's conclusion is Majid Khadduri, whose book *The Gulf War: The Origins and Implications of the Iraq–Iran Conflict* appeared in 1988. Khadduri developed a new approach to the historiography of the outbreak of the war, arguing that its root cause was the confessional divide between Sunni-dominated Iraq and Shi'a Iran. Building to some extent on the work of Renfrew, Khadduri argued that revolutionary Iran had goaded Iraq into attacking it pre-emptively. Saddam Hussein's invasion of Iran in 1980 was effectively an act of national self-preservation.⁹

While interest in the war declined somewhat in its immediate aftermath, in 1989 John Bulloch and Harvey Morris published *The Gulf War*, which was effectively a popular history of the conflict which cut little new historiographical ground.¹⁰ More significant in terms of trends in the war's historiography was the publication in 1989 of Behrouz Souresafil's *The Iran–Iraq War*. Though Souresafil is a journalist by trade, his book was a well-researched and detailed historical account of Iran–Iraq relations and the factors which led the two countries to go to war. Significantly, Souresafil argued that the main cause of the war and its prolongation was Khomeini's need to secure his position and his revolution.¹¹ To this extent Souresafil furthered the debate about the Iranian role in the origins and perpetuation of the conflict sparked by Hunter's work.

A more general work of some significance which appeared in this immediate post-bellum period was Dilip Hiro's *The Longest War: the Iran–Iraq Military Conflict*. While this work is marred to some extent by Hiro's uncritical acceptance of various conspiracy theories about the war and his limited

analysis of the political struggles which took place inside Iran between 1985 and 1987, it did offer a detailed analysis of the war informed in part by Hiro's first-hand experience as a journalist behind the front line.¹²

During the same period, scholars also turned their attention to a more comprehensive analysis of the international context of the war. Shahram Chubin and Charles Tripp's *Iran and Iraq at War*, published in 1988, challenged the notion that the war had persisted because it served the purposes of the superpowers, Israel, and the Arab states. They argued instead that Saddam Hussein and Ayatollah Khomeini both saw the war as an opportunity to consolidate their power and to crush opposition, while rallying the majority of their citizens to the flag.¹³

The end of the war also sparked a number of studies resulting from academic conferences. The first major contribution was a book edited by Efraim Karsh, *The Iran–Iraq War: Impact and Implications*, published in 1989 by the Jaffee Center for Strategic Studies at Tel Aviv University, which was the product of a conference on the war held in September 1988. The scope of this work was wide-ranging. It offered a detailed analysis of the belligerents, the regional implications, including the war's impact on the Gulf States, Turkey, and Israel, the international implications, including chapters on American, Soviet, and European policies toward the war, the war's economic consequences, and finally its strategic and military implications. To date, this work remains the most comprehensive single-volume analysis of the Iran–Iraq War.¹⁴

At the same juncture, Christopher C. Joyner edited a volume of papers from the International Studies Association's annual conferences in 1988 and 1989. This wide-ranging collection, *The Persian Gulf War: Lessons for Strategy, Law, and Diplomacy*, published in 1990, also opened up new avenues in the historiography of the war. Its particular value lay in its analysis of the war's diplomatic and legal dimensions, including articles on the re-flagging of Kuwaiti tankers, the role of the United Nations, the war's implications for maritime warfare and neutrality, and a penetrating analysis of the legal issues facing Iranian and Iraqi negotiators.¹⁵

It would be misleading to suggest that only Western academics were interested in analysing the implications of the Iran–Iraq War at this juncture. In 1988, a coalition of Iranian universities and research centres convened the International Conference on Aggression and Defense to discuss the war. The result was a volume of papers edited by Farhang Rajaei, many of which had been translated into English from Farsi, which analysed the genesis and persistence of the war, the role of superpowers, and the international legal implications, including debates over the right of self-defence and the need to reform and modernise the laws of war.¹⁶ This volume enriched the historiography of the war in English by providing a wide range of Iranian perspectives.¹⁷

Interest in the lessons of the Iran–Iraq War was dramatically revived by the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait on 2 August 1990. This ushered in the second

phase in the war's historiography during the 1990s, as scholars sought to re-assess the conflict through the prism of subsequent Iraqi and Western actions. Familiar Western historical paradigms were mined to explain Iraqi actions, and Saddam Hussein was recast in the role of a 1930s dictator, bent on ruthless territorial expansion. This period also saw revisionist efforts aimed at casting American support for Iraq during the Iran–Iraq War in a new light, which were the by-product of the volte-face in America's policy towards Iraq, and especially towards its leader, Saddam Hussein. A number of specialised analyses of the war, dealing with specific issues such as its social origins,¹⁸ the implications of the attacks on maritime shipping,¹⁹ and its economic implications,²⁰ were also published.

In 1990, an important study on the military implications of the Iran–Iraq War, *Iraqi Power and U.S. Security in the Middle East*, was published by the United States Army War College. Authored by Stephen Pelletiere, Douglas V. Johnson II, and Leif R. Rosenberger, it examined the implications of the war for American security following Iraq's victory over Iran. The authors came to two important conclusions. First, that despite Iran's decision to settle for the *status quo ante*, by the time of its victory at Fao in 1986, Iraq had implemented a process of creating a flexible army which was capable of dominating the region after the war. This conclusion was significant because it helped explain Saddam Hussein's actions toward Kuwait in late 1990. The second conclusion of this work was controversial: the authors suggested that Iran had used chemical weapons during the war and that the gassing of the Kurds at Halabja might not have been perpetrated by Iraq but by Iran as well.²¹ While the first conclusion has been supported by significant evidence, the second conclusion remains in dispute. For instance, in 2007 Joost Hiltermann published *A Poisonous Affair: America, Iraq, and the Gassing of Halabja*, which examined this question in detail. He concluded that there was no evidence to support the conclusion that Iran had used chemical weapons either during the Iran–Iraq War or at Halabja.²² In any event, the Army War College study spurred an important strand of historiographical debate surrounding the development of Iraq's weapons of mass destruction (WMD) programme and the use of chemical weapons against civilians and on the battlefield during the Iran–Iraq War. Hiltermann's detailed and authoritative study is the most important additional contribution in this field to date.

A further work which excited new controversy about the war appeared in 1991, not long after the end of the Gulf crisis. Entitled *My Turn to Speak*, the memoirs of former Iranian premier Abolhassan Bani-Sadr, provided new material which fuelled the existing debate about the United States' role in instigating the conflict. Bani-Sadr alleged that the United States, Israel, and Iranian royalists, had plotted the destruction of the Iranian regime 'by means of an external war'.²³ He went on to claim that President Jimmy Carter's National Security Advisor, Zbigniew Brzezinski, had met with Saddam Hussein during a visit to Amman in July 1980, where he gave

Saddam the approval to attack.²⁴ This was the first instance in which the United States was accused directly of providing Iraq with a so-called ‘green light’ to attack Iran. This allegation has continued to provoke historiographical debate. Chris Emery examines this question in detail based on the most recently available sources in this volume.

In 1992, Stephen Pelletiere published another important contribution to the study of the war titled *The Iran–Iraq War: Chaos in a Vacuum*. While his earlier study had proven to be quite controversial, this work was a detailed politico-military analysis of the war. Significantly, Pelletiere argued that Iraq’s victory in the war was not the result of a combination of its attrition strategy and good fortune, but that the Iraqis had spent two years preparing for their final offensive. This work was an important contribution to the understanding of why Iraq managed swiftly to defeat Iran at the end of the war, an outcome which surprised most observers at the time.²⁵

Few further major contributions to the historiography of the conflict were made during the remainder of the 1990s. The only significant exception was Adam Tarock’s *The Superpowers’ Involvement in the Iran–Iraq War*, which appeared in 1998. This work tied the Iran–Iraq War to the Gulf crisis, pointing to the increased American involvement in the initial conflict on Iraq’s side and contrasting it with the post-Gulf crisis relations between the two states. It identified Iraq’s use of chemical weapons during the Iran–Iraq War as the primary catalyst for America’s hard line against the Iraqi regime throughout the 1990s. Tarock examined the roots of the war, the Soviet response to the war’s outbreak, the developing American ‘tilt’ towards Iraq, and the unintended alignment of American and Soviet interests. He argued that the superpowers’ involvement in the conflict fuelled the fire of war and encouraged, at times, Saddam’s belligerency and aggression.²⁶

From 2002 onwards, a third phase in the historiography of the war began. With the prospect, followed by the reality, of an American invasion and occupation of Iraq, the Iran–Iraq War was revisited, and selectively mined for vindication by commentators seeking to justify or condemn American actions. This phase of the debate about the war was characterised by a preponderance of polemics over dispassionate scholarship. Numerous works on Iraq flooded the market, with journalists, scholars, and analysts either trumpeting or disputing the George W. Bush Administration’s claims that Iraq possessed WMD and posed a threat to the West.

One of the best examples of this polemical turn is Stephen Hughes’ *The Iraqi Threat and Saddam Hussein’s Weapons of Mass Destruction*. This work even went so far as to attempt to tie Osama bin Laden to Saddam Hussein, in an effort to make the case for war.²⁷ This work, and another by Kenneth M. Pollack,²⁸ slanted the historical discourse of the Iran–Iraq War by emphasising Iraq’s use of WMD in the 1980s as a basis for the American invasion of Iraq. Unfortunately for the authors who developed this

argument, it has subsequently become clear that the case for war in terms of the threat presented by Saddam's WMD capabilities was grossly exaggerated. At the same time, other well-known writers, such as Dilip Hiro in his work *Iraq: In the Eye of the Storm*, entered the fray to counter exaggerations and debunk a number of myths about Iraq's capabilities.²⁹

In among the various polemics, some important new scholarship about the Iran–Iraq War did appear.³⁰ Efraim Karsh's survey of the war, published in 2002, examined a number of unexplored issues, including the role of child soldiers.³¹ Kenneth M. Pollack's *Arabs at War: Military Effectiveness 1948–1991* discussed the Iran–Iraq War at length and provided a detailed assessment of Iraq's military capabilities, strengths, and weaknesses. Pollack's book was particularly helpful in developing understanding of the dramatic transformation of the Iraqi Army as the war progressed, building upon Pelletiere's earlier works.³² Finally, Lawrence Potter and Gary Sick's edited volume, *Iran, Iraq, and the Legacies of War*, presented an important collection of papers originally delivered to an international conference held in October 2001. Many of the contributors, including Richard Schofield, M. R. Izady, Joost R. Hiltermann, and Gerd Nonneman, were leading authorities in their respective fields. Significantly, the volume examined a number of relatively unexplored issues, such as how tensions surrounding the Shatt al-Arab waterway contributed to the outbreak of the war, the role of the Kurds in the conflict (beyond Halabja), how Iraq's Shi'a population experienced the war, the impact of the war on Iran, the perspective of the Gulf States towards the conflict, and the use of chemical weapons. To date this volume remains the most innovative collection of papers on the war.³³ In addition to these works, several authoritative histories of Iraq were published or appeared in revised editions during the early 2000s.³⁴

The 2003 invasion of Iraq, while calamitous from almost every point of view, did at least eventually produce an unexpected boon for researchers, in the shape of the vast trove of Iraqi documents captured by American forces. These are now lodged at the National Defense University at Fort McNair, Washington DC and the Hoover Institution attached to Stanford University.³⁵ They provide researchers with the potential to gain unprecedented insights into the decision-making process of Saddam Hussein's regime and include audio recordings of Saddam's meetings with his inner circle and generals going back to the beginning of the 1980s. As a result, new perspectives on the way the Iraqi political system operated during the Iran–Iraq War are now beginning to surface. The first major contribution to draw on these sources was Kevin M. Wood's 2009 book, *Saddam's War*, which has since been followed up with two other books, *The Saddam Tapes* and *Saddam's Generals*, both in 2011.³⁶ Other researchers, including one of this volume's editors, Bryan R. Gibson, have also used declassified documents obtained in American archives to develop new perspectives on the war.³⁷

At the beginning of the second decade of the twenty-first century, then, as the American invasion and occupation begin to take on the guise of historical events rather than contemporary politics, we appear to be entering a new, fourth phase of scholarship on the Iran–Iraq War. In the light of the newly available sources, particularly those from inside Iraq, the Iran–Iraq War can be reconsidered as an event in its own right, rather than as a curtain-raiser for the invasions of Kuwait in 1990 and Iraq in 2003.

The contributors to this volume have drawn considerably on these newly available sources in preparing their chapters. For example, the chapter by Kevin M. Woods and Williamson Murray considers the Iraqi conduct of the war, using the captured high-level Iraqi sources. Ibrahim al-Marashi's chapter likewise draws heavily on these sources to consider civil–military relations under the Ba'athist regime and the techniques employed to control and mobilise the Iraqi population. Pierre Razoux's contribution on French policy utilises previously unavailable classified French documents to chart the changing course of France's relations with both belligerents, particularly Paris's arms-supply relationship with Iraq and the tortured course of the Franco-Iranian antagonism. Elliot Hentov considers the Turkish role as a neighbouring regional power which was able to profit economically from the war while maintaining a delicate political balancing act. He also shows how the war played an important role in affecting Turkey's handling of the Kurdish question. Meanwhile Artemy Kalinovsky uses newly available Soviet sources to reconsider Moscow's own balancing act during the war, attempting to maintain its client relationship with Iraq at the same time as it sought to cultivate Iran as the key regional power.

The volume is organised around a series of sections addressing the following themes. Part I considers the waging of the war from both the Iraqi and Iranian perspectives and includes the chapters by Kevin Woods and Williamson Murray, Ibrahim al-Marashi, and Rob Johnson. Part II looks at the economic dimensions of the war, with contributions from Farzin Nadimi on Iranian oil policy and Glen Rangwala on the financing of the war. Part III covers regional perspectives, including a chapter on the role of the Gulf States by Kristian Coates Ulrichsen and the chapter on Turkey by Elliot Hentov. Part IV looks at American policy towards the war with contributions from Judith Yaphe, Malcolm Byrne, and Chris Emery. Finally, Part V considers international perspectives and includes the chapters by Pierre Razoux on French policy and Artemy Kalinovsky on the Soviet role.

In sum, this volume seeks to advance the ongoing historiographical debate over the international impact of the Iran–Iraq War through the application of new sources and fresh approaches. The discussion of the evolving historiography of the war above serves to underline that the salient features of any event will change depending on the vanishing point from which light is shed upon it. Nevertheless, this volume aims to move beyond the monotone shades cast by the invasions of Kuwait and Iraq and

to recognise the Iran–Iraq War as a complex, defining event in itself, worthy of discrete study irrespective of the teleological pull exerted by the events of 1990 and 2003.

Notes

- 1 S. Heydemann, review of Shahram Chubin and Charles Tripp, 'Iran and Iraq at War', *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, Vol. 508, March 1990, p. 193.
- 2 S. Chubin and C. Tripp, *Iran and Iraq at War*, London: I.B. Tauris & Co. Ltd., 1988, p. 26.
- 3 S. R. Grummon, *The Iran–Iraq War, Islam Embattled*, Washington DC: Praeger Publishers, 1982.
- 4 S. Chubin, *Security in the Persian Gulf 4: The Role of Outside Powers*, Totowa, NJ: Allanheld, Osmun & Co. Publishers, Inc., 1982.
- 5 S. T. Hunter, 'After the Ayatollah,' *Foreign Policy*, No. 66, Spring 1987; N. M. Renfrew, 'Who Started the War?' *Foreign Policy*, No. 66, Spring 1987; and Barry Rubin, 'Drowning in the Gulf,' *Foreign Policy*, No. 69, Winter 1987–88.
- 6 E. O'Ballance, *The Gulf War*, New York: Brassey's Defence Publishers, 1988.
- 7 A. H. Cordesman, *The Iran–Iraq War and Western Security, 1984–1987*, London: The Royal United Services Institute, 1987.
- 8 A. H. Cordesman and A. R. Wagner, *The Lessons of Modern War, II, The Iran–Iraq War*, Boulder, CO and San Francisco, CA: Westview Press, 1990.
- 9 M. Khadduri, *The Gulf War: The Origins and Implications of the Iraq-Iran Conflict*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1988.
- 10 J. Bulloch and H. Morris, *The Gulf War: Its Origins, History and Consequences*, London: Methuen London Ltd., 1989.
- 11 B. Souresafil, *The Iran–Iraq War*, Plainview, NY: Guinan Co. Inc., 1989.
- 12 D. Hiro, *The Longest War, The Iran–Iraq Military Conflict*, New York: Routledge Chapman & Hall, Inc., 1991.
- 13 S. Chubin and C. Tripp, *Iran and Iraq at War*, London: I.B. Tauris & Co. Ltd., 1988.
- 14 E. Karsh (ed.), *The Iran–Iraq War: Impact and Implications*, Tel Aviv: Jaffee Center for Strategic Studies, 1989.
- 15 Christopher C. Joyner (ed.), *The Persian Gulf War, Lessons for Strategy, Law, and Diplomacy*, New York: Greenwood Press, 1990.
- 16 F. Rajaei, *The Iran–Iraq War: The Politics of Aggression*, Gainesville, FL: University of Florida Press, 1993.
- 17 After the war, the Iranian government set up the Specialized Library of War, which is housed at Hozeh Honari, to keep track of research on the war. This houses nearly 4,000 works on the war in Arabic, English, and Farsi.
- 18 W. T. Workman, *The Social Origins of the Iran–Iraq War*, London: Lynne Rienner Publishers, Inc., 1994.
- 19 See M. S. Navias and E. R. Hooton, *Tanker Wars: Assault on Merchant Shipping During the Iran–Iraq Crisis*, London: I.B. Tauris, 1996; and N. el-Sayed el-Shazly, *The Gulf Tanker War: Iran and Iraq's Maritime Swordplay*, New York: Macmillan Press Ltd., 1998.
- 20 See K. Mofid, *The Economic Consequences of the Gulf War*, New York: Routledge, 1990; and A. Alnasrawi, *The Economy of Iraq: Oil, Wars, Destruction of Development and Prospects*, Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group, 1994.
- 21 S. C. Pelletiere, D. V. Johnson II, and L. R. Rosenberger, *Iraqi Power and U.S. Security in the Middle East*, Carlisle Barracks, PA: Strategic Studies Institute, US Army War College, 1990, p. 51.

- 22 J. Hiltermann, *A Poisonous Affair: America, Iraq, and the Gassing of Halabja*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- 23 A. H. Bani-Sadr, *My Turn to Speak*, Washington DC: Brassey's, 1991, p. 13.
- 24 *Ibid.*, p. 70.
- 25 S. Pelletiere, *The Iran–Iraq War: Chaos in a Vacuum*, New York: Praeger, 1992.
- 26 A. Tarock, *The Superpowers' Involvement in the Iran–Iraq War*, Commack, NY: Nova Science Publishers, 1998.
- 27 S. R. Hughes, *The Iraqi Threat and Saddam Hussein's Weapons of Mass Destruction*, Victoria, Canada: Trafford Publishing, 2002.
- 28 K. M. Pollack, *The Threatening Storm: The Case for Invading Iraq*, New York: Random House, 2002.
- 29 D. Hiro, *Iraq: In the Eye of the Storm*, New York: Thunder's Mouth Press/Nation Books, 2002.
- 30 See E. Willett, *War and Conflict in the Middle East: The Iran–Iraq War*, New York: Rosen Publishing Group, Inc., 2004.
- 31 E. Karsh, *Essential Histories: The Iran–Iraq War, 1980–1988*, London: Osprey Publishing Ltd., 2002.
- 32 K. M. Pollack, *Arabs at War, Military Effectiveness, 1948–1991*, Lincoln, NB: University of Nebraska Press, 2002.
- 33 L. Potter and G. Sick (eds), *Iran, Iraq, and the Legacies of War*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004.
- 34 General histories of Iraq which appeared or went through new editions during this period include: P. Marr, *A Modern History of Iraq*, London: Westview Press, Inc., 1985, 2003, and 2011; C. Tripp, *A History of Iraq*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000; and M. Farouk-Sluglett and P. Sluglett, *Iraq Since 1958: From Revolution to Dictatorship*, London: I.B. Tauris, 2001.
- 35 For the records stored at the National Defense University see: www.ndu.edu/inss/index.cfm?secID=138&pageID=4&type=section; for those at the Hoover Institution see: www.hoover.org/library-and-archives/collections/middle-east/featured-collections/iraq-memory-foundation (both accessed 9 August 2012).
- 36 K. M. Woods, W. Murray, and T. Holaday (with M. Elkhamri), *Saddam's War: An Iraqi Military Perspective of the Iran–Iraq War*, Washington DC: National Defense University, Institute for National Strategic Studies, 2009; K. M. Woods, D. D. Palkki, and M. E. Stout, *The Saddam Tapes: The Inner Workings of a Tyrant's Regime, 1978–2001*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011; and K. M. Woods, W. Murray, E. A. Nathan, L. Sabara, and A. M. Venegas, *Saddam's Generals: Perspectives on the Iran–Iraq War*, Washington DC: Bernan Association, 2011.
- 37 B. R. Gibson, *Covert Relationship: American Foreign Policy, Intelligence, and the Iran–Iraq War, 1980–88*, Oxford: Praeger Securities International, 2010. Another valuable recent work on the war is R. Johnson, *The Iran–Iraq War*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.