

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF
SEX

SECOND EDITION



MEG-JOHN BARKER

THE
PSYCHOLOGY
OF **EVERYTHING**

ROUTLEDGE


THE PSYCHOLOGY OF SEX

What can psychology teach us about sex? How do different bodies and minds respond sexually? How can we prevent people being stigmatised for their sexuality?

The Psychology of Sex takes you on a tour through the different ways that psychologists have researched and theorised, and created and sustained, certain understandings of sex and sexuality. The book explores cultural concerns, such as sex and technology, trauma and consent, as well as drawing on research from sexual communities and the applied area of sex therapy.

When so much of our relationship to sex happens in the mind, *The Psychology of Sex* shows us how important it is to understand where our ideas about sex, and our erotic desires, come from.

Dr. Meg-John Barker is a writer and illustrator with a background in academic psychology, sex and relationship therapy, and queer activism. They've published a number of graphic guides and self-help style books on the themes of sex, gender, relationships and mental health, as well as free books and zines on topics such as trauma, consent and plurality. Website: rewriting-the-rules.com.

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF EVERYTHING

People are fascinated by psychology, and what makes humans tick. Why do we think and behave the way we do? We've all met arm-chair psychologists claiming to have the answers, and people that ask if psychologists can tell what they're thinking. *The Psychology of Everything* is a series of books which debunk the popular myths and pseudo-science surrounding some of life's biggest questions.

The series explores the hidden psychological factors that drive us, from our subconscious desires and aversions, to our natural social instincts. Absorbing, informative, and always intriguing, each book is written by an expert in the field, examining how research-based knowledge compares with popular wisdom, and showing how psychology can truly enrich our understanding of modern life.

Applying a psychological lens to an array of topics and contemporary concerns – from sex, to fashion, to conspiracy theories – *The Psychology of Everything* will make you look at everything in a new way.

Titles in the series:

<i>The Psychology of Time</i> by Richard Gross	<i>The Psychology of Menopause</i> by Marie Percival
<i>The Psychology of Vaccination</i> by Olivier Klein and Vincent Yzerbyt	<i>The Psychology of Fashion</i> Second Edition by Carolyn Mair
<i>The Psychology of Memory</i> by Megan Sumeracki and Althea Need Kaminske	<i>The Psychology of the Extreme</i> by Arie W. Kruglanski and Sophia Moskalenko
<i>The Psychology of Artificial Intelligence</i> by Tony J. Prescott	<i>The Psychology of Stress</i> by Charlotte Mottram, Alison Woodward, and Shanti Farrington
<i>The Psychology of Trauma</i> by Shanti Farrington and Alison Woodward	<i>The Psychology of Sex</i> by Meg-John Barker

For more information about this series, please visit: www.routledgetextbooks.com/textbooks/thepsychologyofeverything/

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF SEX

SECOND EDITION

MEG-JOHN BARKER

 **Routledge**
Taylor & Francis Group
LONDON AND NEW YORK

Designed cover image: Routledge © Nigel Turner

Second edition published 2026

by Routledge

4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

and by Routledge

605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

© 2026 Meg-John Barker

The right of Meg-John Barker to be identified as author of this work has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

For Product Safety Concerns and Information please contact our EU representative GPSR@taylorandfrancis.com. Taylor & Francis Verlag GmbH, Kaufingerstraße 24, 80331 München, Germany.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

First edition published by Routledge 2018

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN: 9781041217855 (hbk)

ISBN: 9781041217824 (pbk)

ISBN: 9781003728979 (ebk)

DOI: 10.4324/9781003728979

Typeset in Jonna

by codeMantra

CONTENTS

Foreword to the new edition	vii
1 Psychology and sex	1
2 Sex and sexuality	15
3 'Proper' sex	49
4 'Normal' sex	75
5 Sex debates	109
6 Un/conscious sex	137
Further resources	175



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

FOREWORD TO THE NEW EDITION

So much has happened in the world – and in all our lives – since the first edition of *The Psychology of Sex* was published back in 2018. It would be impossible to reflect all of this in a new edition. Instead I've focused on creating one major new chapter: on un/conscious sex. The rest of this book remains largely as it was, with a few points updated, and more recent references added in places. After an introduction to what's meant by 'psychology' and 'sex' (Chapter 1), the first four chapters of this book still deal with the history of how Western psychology – and the wider culture that informs it and is informed by it – came to understand sex and sexuality in the ways that it does and how this influences how we understand and experience sex ourselves.

Chapter 2 focuses on our sexualities, sexual orientations, or sexual identities and how they're frequently defined by who we're attracted to. Chapter 3 turns to sex acts and how notions of 'proper' sex, and functional and dysfunctional bodies, impact our erotic experiences. Chapter 4 explores sexual desires and how these have been categorised into 'normal' and 'abnormal' kinds, influencing how we feel about – and navigate – our erotic lives. Chapter 5 considers the kinds of debates that happen around sex, often as part of moral panics

or culture wars. It explores how we might engage with these in a different way to polarising into two positions where one must be proved right and the other wrong, along with all the people who believe in it. For the second edition I've added some thoughts to this chapter about how we might think about sex and technology, given this is such a frequent focus of concern.

The new – final – chapter of this book deals with how our understandings of – and approaches to – sex, sexuality, and intimate relationships are often largely unconscious. It explores how becoming more conscious is vital, including increasing awareness of how we've been harmed – and can act in harmful ways – ourselves, both sexually and otherwise. Much of what plays out unconsciously are forms of cultural and personal trauma. Chapter 6 addresses how engaging consciously with the erotic might help us to become more trauma-aware, shame-sensitive, and connected in our relating (with ourselves, with others, within and between communities, and with the wider world): something that's urgently needed right now, in the arena of sex and in general.

Of course, a short book like this can only offer a very broad overview, and one which invariably oversimplifies things, telling one story when so many others could also have been told. Hopefully I've signposted enough places through the footnotes where you might go if you want to find out more, as well as giving you a sense of the kinds of questions we might helpfully ask about sex, and why continuing to ask them is so important.

If you'd like to explore your own sex and sexuality in more depth, I've written another book – with Alex Iantaffi – which provides tools about how to go about this.¹ That book includes a lot of material about how important it is to go slowly, and carefully, with ourselves when reading and reflecting on these topics. This is vital when so many of us have experienced sexual violence and non-consensual sexual experiences, and most of us have been harmed or constrained by prevailing views about sex and by sexual stigma and shame.

I'd invite you to go slowly and carefully with this book too, perhaps pausing to tune into yourself at the end of each section you

read and stepping away if you feel at all overwhelmed or confused. There's more about how we might become more conscious and careful in our approach to sex at the end of Chapter 6, and all of that applies just as much to reading and talking about sex as it does to erotic activities. Feel free to read that part of the book first, or check out other resources about self-consent² before going on, especially if this is unfamiliar territory, or you have reasons for thinking this book will be particularly challenging for you.³

NOTES

- 1 Iantaffi, A., & Barker, M-J. (2021). *How to understand your sexuality*. Jessica Kingsley. See Further Resources for other suggested reading.
- 2 See artofconsent.co.uk/self-consent, loveuncommon.com/self-consent-courses
- 3 There are zines and other resources about practices for going slowly, tuning into our embodied feelings, and being consensual with ourselves on my website rewriting-the-rules.com, as well as at: loveuncommon.com, artofconsent.co.uk, schoolofconsent.org, and trueselftv.com.



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

1

PSYCHOLOGY AND SEX

Welcome to the psychology of sex. Like all of the books in *The Psychology of Everything* series, a short book like this can't give you a comprehensive overview of the whole of sex and sexuality from a psychological perspective. What it can do, though, is to give you a flavour of this area with the aim of whetting your appetite for more.

Also I hope that, above all else, you'll find this book useful. Like it or not we're all living in a world where we're constantly bombarded by sexual information, imagery, and ideologies. Psychology isn't just about finding things out with research, it's also about *evaluating* things psychologically and *applying* psychology to people's lives. So, in addition to giving you a lot of information about sex from various theories and studies, this book provides you with the tools to think *critically* about the messages you receive about sex and the debates you see playing out on sexual topics. It also includes a lot about how the psychological research and ideas can be *applied* to people's lives in general and also to your own life, relationships, and experiences.

Before we get started on specific topics in the psychology of sex, let's think a bit more broadly about these words we're using: 'psychology' and 'sex'. You might think it's obvious what they mean but actually they're both quite contested terms. In fact I hope that, throughout every chapter of this book, you'll continue to ask yourself

‘what is psychology?’ and ‘what is sex?’ and that the answers you give will change as you go along. As with many of the best questions there are no right answers to these ones, but rather it’s important and useful to continually ask them and to notice how your answers shift as you reflect on them more.

WHAT IS PSYCHOLOGY?

The British Psychological Society, American Psychological Association, and other similar organisations tend to define psychology as something like ‘the scientific study of mind and behaviour’.¹ From this we might understand psychology to be one amongst many scientific disciplines, in this case devoted to researching people’s mental processes (mind) and how they act in the world (behaviour). The word ‘scientific’ might make us think of lab coats, experiments, and measuring these things in *objective* ways using numbers.

The narrow view

Certainly for much of its history psychology has been strongly invested in proving itself to be a science alongside other natural sciences like biology and physics. Students frequently choose a psychology degree because they’re interested in people, would like to understand themselves better, or want to help people. So they’re often surprised – and not always pleasantly so – by how much time they spend learning about mathematical statistics and brain processes!

A lot of the classic kinds of studies psychologists conduct do seem to support this fairly narrow definition of psychology as the science of mind and behaviour. For example, you might be familiar with the memory tests psychologists perform by flashing words up on a computer screen and measuring how many people can remember, examining whether the kind of word, or its place in the list, has an influence on how well it’s remembered.² Or you may know about Stanley Milgram’s classic studies on obedience, where he got people

in a lab to think they were giving somebody gradually increasing electric shocks to help them learn, finding many people would give somebody a fatal electric shock if a person in a lab coat told them to do so.³ Those are two examples of the scientific study of mind (memory) and behaviour (obedience to authority).

I worked in academic psychology for over twenty years. During that time I was part of several different psychology departments and had many different psychologist friends and colleagues. I learned from them that psychology is actually a good deal broader than what we might at first understand from a definition like ‘the scientific study of mind and behaviour’.

The broad view

At its best I think psychology is the place where all the work which is relevant to our human experience comes together. It’s a broad, encompassing discipline which draws together all of the knowledge we have which is relevant to people and which also looks outwards to address how we can improve people’s lives. I have psychologist friends whose work is between psychology and history, psychology and geography, psychology and endocrinology, psychology and sociology, psychology and philosophy, psychology and neuroscience, psychology and criminology, psychology and drama, and many, many more. In fact I know of relatively few ‘pure’ psychologists. Most study psychology as it touches the edge of at least one other discipline (whether a natural science, a social science, or an arts or humanities subject).

Relatively few of these psychologists conduct lab experiments. Some are entirely engaged with developing theories, others study human behaviour in real-world settings, or interview people in-depth about their experiences, or study the history of psychological thinking, or use creative methods to help people produce something which is somewhere between research data and art. Most of them also apply psychological research and theories in some way, informing, for example, the worlds of law, medicine, social

justice, counselling, media, or the environment. Some of them work entirely in an applied context, providing therapy, advising organisations, reducing crime, or helping kids in school, for example.

THE PSYCHOLOGY OF SEX

Turning to the subject of this book – or any of the other books in this series – you can see why this broad understanding of psychology is important.

Sex is a fascinating, far-reaching, and fraught area of human experience. We could limit ourselves to a narrow view of the psychology of sex and just focus on what we can learn about how people think and behave sexually, from experiments and questionnaires, for example. However, to really reach an understanding of how sex works, how people experience it, and what they think and feel about it, it's important to draw on knowledge from across a wide range of disciplines in conjunction with psychology.

We need to know the history of how people (including psychologists) have understood sex and sexuality and how that affects how we understand it today. We need to know about the physiology of sex and how different bodies and brains respond sexually. We need to look at sexual identities and practices across cultures and contexts and at the development of sexual communities and social movements. We need to draw on the wealth of theories that have developed in various branches of philosophy to understand sexuality and sexual relationships. We certainly need to bring the discipline of psychology together with biology and sociology, given that humans are embodied beings, entangled in relationships with others, and embedded in a cultural context,⁴ all of which shapes how they think and behave sexually. And we absolutely need to study the work of the sexologists – people who have studied sex and sexuality specifically over the years – some but not all of whom are psychologists.

If the psychology of sex is going to be useful at all it also needs to speak to the urgent applied questions we have about sex: How can we stop people from being marginalised, stigmatised, or even

tortured and killed for their sexuality? How can we help people who are struggling with sex? How can we reduce the frighteningly high rates of abusive and coercive sex? How can we help people who have survived sexual violence to heal and support those who have perpetrated such violence not to do it again? What would a healthy understanding of sex look like and how might we encourage the promotion of such an understanding in mainstream, social, and sexual media? How should we educate kids about sex? I'm sure you can think of many more.

Psychology is political

One debate which hasn't stopped raging in psychology since the 1970s is whether psychology can be neutral and objective or whether it's inevitably political: in other words, whether psychologists will have personal and cultural biases which influence what they study, how they study it, and what they find.

This is a vital issue for our purposes here because it influences how I write the rest of this book – and how you read it. Can I present you with a range of research findings and theories from psychology – in its broadest sense – so you can go away with the facts about the psychology of sex? Or will we both need to keep reminding ourselves about how all the studies and theories we're covering were produced by certain individuals in a certain time and place – perhaps seeing these findings and ideas as *one* way of understanding the psychology of sex but not as any kind of absolute fact?

Psychologists have often divided into two factions around these kinds of issues. The first faction we might call 'mainstream' psychologists (for want of a better word): those who believe it's possible for psychology to conduct objective value-free research to determine facts about human minds and behaviour. The second faction are often called 'critical' psychologists: those who believe psychological knowledge always develops in a certain specific situation which will affect what psychologists find and what they do with it. Critical psychologists are interested in how psychologists themselves

construct knowledge in particular cultural contexts, rather than seeing psychological knowledge as a set of truths that can be uncovered. Knowledge could always have been built and shaped in alternative ways.

To overgeneralise quite a lot, mainstream psychologists have also tended to use *quantitative* research to *measure* human minds and behaviours in the form of numbers and to generalise their findings about the causes and effects of human behaviour to everybody. Critical psychologists have tended to use *qualitative* research to study how people talk about their *experience*, and they're often cautious not to generalise beyond the people they've studied directly. They're often more interested in *describing* experience than *explaining* it because they assume people's experience will vary according to their situation, cultural background, and so on.

In reality this binary mainstream/critical distinction is a false one, and thankfully it's breaking down over the years. Just as I struggled to find examples of colleagues who were entirely 'pure' or 'applied' psychologists, most of the more mainstream psychologists I know tend to be pretty critical in their thinking and recognise that personal and cultural biases always creep in when human beings are studying other human beings. And I also know a bunch of critical psychologists who use quantitative questions, lab experiments, and brain studies in their work. In this book I'll draw on work across this spectrum.

How psychology is shaped by individuals and their cultural context

A quick tour of the history of psychology shows us how impossible it is to study psychology in a completely objective way. Over and over again studies have found that even when they're trying to be completely unbiased, researchers will tend to find the results they expect to find. For example, if you give psychology trainees two groups of rats – or children – to study and tell them one group is more intelligent than the other, then that is exactly what they'll

find, even when in actuality there's no difference between the two groups.⁵ David Rosenhan's classic studies found that psychologists and psychiatrists would diagnose and treat somebody as mentally ill if they were in a mental health institution, even if they showed no signs of mental illness.⁶ Clearly our expectations shape what we find in research and in professional psychological work.

The history of psychology also throws up some frankly terrifying examples of cultural biases influencing the work of psychologists. In his book *The Mismeasure of Man*,⁷ Stephen J. Gould describes the project of intelligence testing to assess US army recruits in the First World War. Using the tests – which were regarded as highly scientifically rigorous – psychologists found the average intelligence of recruits decreased with the darkness of their skin, with Black people and immigrants to the US, including Jewish immigrants, obtaining the lowest scores. At the time, psychologists believed intelligence was entirely inherited, so the researchers concluded that different racial groups had different levels of 'natural' intelligence. These results were used as a basis for limiting immigration, due to fears of immigrants bringing down national intelligence. This prevented around six million Europeans from entering America between First World War and Second World War, condemning them to the Holocaust. The research also determined the ways army recruits were allocated, effectively condemning many Black soldiers to death.

When we look back on this intelligence research now, we recognise many biases which were not seen at the time because the research tallied so well with the prevailing cultural assumptions – in which the researchers were embedded. First, there were a lot of problems with the ways the research was conducted, meaning illiterate and foreign-born recruits were often given tests that required English literacy. Even when this didn't happen, they had to use a pencil, write numbers, and engage in other unfamiliar procedures. Also, many of the questions clearly did not test 'innate intellectual ability'. For example, there were pictures asking recruits to fill in the missing part of a lightbulb, gun, or playing card. And try answering these questions if you're

not familiar with US culture: ‘Crisco is a: patent medicine, disinfectant, toothpaste, food product?’ ‘Washington is to Adams as first is to . . .?’ Indeed, the research found that foreign-born recruits did better depending on how many years they had been in the US, which should’ve given the researchers a clue that intelligence was not all down to ‘nature’. We’ll consider the nature/nurture debate in more depth in the next chapter.

In this example you can see how easy it is for psychologists to perpetuate and reinforce the prevailing views of the time: to divide people into categories on the basis of taken-for-granted knowledge without questioning it and then to find differences between those categories which they assume are down to innate differences between them, because that is widely held opinion, without looking hard enough for other explanations or examining the inbuilt biases in the materials they’re using.

As Carol Tavris points out in her book *The Mismeasure of Women*,⁸ there are many similar examples in the history of the psychology of gender. For example, early research on conformity found women were more likely to conform than men were. This was used to support the theory that women were naturally intellectually inferior to men. Later research found women and men are actually much the same when it comes to conformity, and whether we conform or not has far more to do with how much familiarity we have with the task we’re given.⁹ Early research had given people tasks that were more familiar to men than women because of the way they were brought up – for example, tasks about machinery. The psychologists then looked no further because the findings confirmed their misogynist assumptions.

You could conclude from these examples that psychologists in the past were biased but now we know so much more we could never make these kinds of mistakes. That would be a dangerous view as it would leave us much more open to making the same kinds of mistakes again. As psychologist Rosalind Gill so succinctly puts it, ‘You can’t step outside of culture’, and we need to shine just as much a critical light on what we’re doing now as we do on the past.¹⁰