



# THE ROUTLEDGE ANTHOLOGY OF WOMEN'S THEATRE THEORY AND DRAMATIC CRITICISM

Edited by Catherine Burroughs  
and J. Ellen Gainor



# The Routledge Anthology of Women's Theatre Theory and Dramatic Criticism

*The Routledge Anthology of Women's Theatre Theory and Dramatic Criticism* is the first wide-ranging anthology of theatre theory and dramatic criticism by women writers.

Reproducing key primary documents contextualized by short essays, the collection situates women's writing within, and also reframes the field's male-defined and male-dominated traditions. Its collection of documents demonstrates women's consistent and wide-ranging engagement with writing about theatre and performance and offers a more expansive understanding of the forms and locations of such theoretical and critical writing, dealing with materials that often lie outside established production and publication venues. This alternative tradition of theatre writing that emerges allows contemporary readers to form new ways of conceptualizing the field, bringing to the fore a long-neglected, vibrant, intelligent, deeply informed, and expanded canon that generates a new era of scholarship, learning, and artistry.

*The Routledge Anthology of Women's Theatre Theory and Dramatic Criticism* is an important intervention into the fields of Theatre and Performance Studies, Literary Studies, and Cultural History, while adding new dimensions to Feminist, Gender and Sexuality Studies.

**Catherine Burroughs** is Professor Emerita of English at Wells College, Courtesy Professor of Performing and Media Arts at Cornell University, and a member of Actors' Equity Association.

**J. Ellen Gainor** is Professor of Performing and Media Arts at Cornell University.



**Taylor & Francis**

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

# **The Routledge Anthology of Women's Theatre Theory and Dramatic Criticism**

**Edited by Catherine Burroughs  
and J. Ellen Gainor**

Designed cover image: Photography by Rosaline Shahnavas.  
Art Direction by National Theatre Graphic Design Studio.

First published 2024  
by Routledge  
4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN

and by Routledge  
605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158

*Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business*

© 2024 selection and editorial matter, Catherine Burroughs and J. Ellen Gainor; individual chapters, the contributors

The right of Catherine Burroughs and J. Ellen Gainor to be identified as the authors of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

*Trademark notice:* Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

*British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data*

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

*Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data*

Names: Burroughs, Catherine B., 1958- editor. | Gainor, J. Ellen, editor.

Title: The Routledge anthology of women's theatre theory and dramatic criticism / edited by Catherine Burroughs and J. Ellen Gainor.

Description: Abingdon, Oxon ; New York, NY : Routledge, 2023. | Includes bibliographical references.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022031608 (print) | LCCN 2022031609 (ebook) | ISBN 9780367439866 (hardback) | ISBN 9780367439873 (paperback) | ISBN 9781003006923 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Dramatic criticism. | Women theater critics. | Feminist criticism

Classification: LCC PN1707 .R59 2023 (print) | LCC PN1707 (ebook) | DDC 792.01/5082-dc23/eng/20221207

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022031608>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022031609>

ISBN: 9780367439866 (hbk)

ISBN: 9780367439873 (pbk)

ISBN: 9781003006923 (ebk)

DOI: [10.4324/9781003006923](https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003006923)

Typeset in Baskerville  
by KnowledgeWorks Global Ltd.

**To our teachers: Nancy Cotton, James Engell,  
Sandra M. Gilbert, Michael Goldman,  
Stanley Kauffmann, Anne K. Mellor,  
Eugene Waith, Ed and Emily Wilson.**



**Taylor & Francis**

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

# Contents

<i>Contributors</i>	xxiii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxxvi
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
CATHERINE BURROUGHS AND J. ELLEN GAINOR	
<b>1 Five Medieval Women: St. Perpetua (c. 185–203), Hildegard of Bingen (1098–1179), Hadewijch (c. 1200–c. 1250), Katherine Sutton (d. 1376), Margery Kempe (c. 1373–1438)</b>	<b>6</b>
ANDREW GALLOWAY	
<i>Introduction</i>	6
<i>St. Perpetua</i>	12
<i>Excerpt from Passio Sanctarum Perpetuae et Felicitatis,     Chaps. 6, 10 (c. 203)</i>	12
<i>Hildegard of Bingen</i>	13
<i>Excerpt from Letters to and from Mistress Tengswich (c. 1148–1150)</i>	13
<i>Excerpt from Scivias, “Symphony of the Blessed” (1141–1151)</i>	15
<i>Hadewijch</i>	17
<i>Excerpt from Visions (c. 1250)</i>	17
<i>Katherine Sutton, Abbess of Barking Abbey</i>	18
<i>Excerpt from the Barking Ordinal and Customary (c.1375)</i>	18
<i>Margery Kempe</i>	21
<i>Excerpt from The Book of Margery Kempe (c.1430)</i>	21
<b>2 Hrotsvit of Gandersheim (c. 935–c. 1002)</b>	<b>24</b>
ANDREW GALLOWAY	
<i>Introduction</i>	24
<i>Hrotsvit of Gandersheim</i>	26
<i>“Preface to the Dramas” (c. 1000)</i>	26

- 3 Margaret Lucas Cavendish (c.1623–1673)** 28  
SUJATA IYENGAR AND MIKAELA WARNER  
*Introduction* 28  
*Margaret Lucas Cavendish* 29  
    *Excerpt from “An Oration Concerning Plays and Players,” Orations of  
    Diverse Sorts, Accommodated to Diverse Places (1662)* 29  
    *Excerpt from Preface 3, Plays (1662)* 30  
    *Excerpt from Preface 5, Plays (1662)* 31  
    *Excerpt from “A General Prologue to all my Plays,” Plays (1662)* 32  
    *Excerpt from Sociable Letters, no. 29 (1664)* 32  
    *Excerpt from Sociable Letters, no. 123 (1664)* 33  
    *Excerpt from Sociable Letters, no. 143 (1664)* 33  
    *Excerpt from The Blazing World, Part 2: The purpose of plays (1668)* 33
- 4 Aphra Behn (1640–1689)** 35  
JOSEPH ROACH  
*Introduction* 35  
*Aphra Behn* 36  
    *“To Mrs. Ellen Guin,” Dedicatory Epistle to The Feign’d Curtezans;  
    Or, A Night’s Intrigue (1679)* 36
- 5 Anne Finch (1661–1720)** 39  
CHRISTINA BLACK  
*Introduction* 39  
*Anne Finch, Countess of Winchilsea* 41  
    *Excerpt from The Poems of Anne Countess of Winchilsea (1701, 1709)* 41  
    *Excerpts from The Anne Finch Wellesley Manuscript Poems,  
    (1714–1717)* 44
- 6 Susanna Centlivre (c. 1669–1723)** 46  
GILLIAN SKINNER  
*Introduction* 46  
*Susanna Centlivre* 48  
    *“Preface” to The Perplex’d Lovers (1712)* 48  
    *“Preface” to The Gotham Election (1715)* 48
- 7 Charlotte Lennox (1729–1804)** 51  
ELIZABETH KRAFT  
*Introduction* 51  
*Charlotte Lennox* 53  
    *Excerpt from Shakespear Illustrated (1753–1754)* 53

- 8 Charlotte Charke (1713–1760)** 58  
 MOLLY MAROTTA  
*Introduction* 58  
*Charlotte Charke* 60  
*Excerpt from A Narrative of the Life of Mrs. Charlotte Charke (1755)* 60
- 9 Frances Burney (1752–1840)** 62  
 SERENA BAIESI  
*Introduction* 62  
*Frances Burney* 63  
*Excerpts from The Early Journals and Letters of Fanny Burney, Volume II—1774–1777* 63  
*Excerpts from The Early Journals and Letters of Fanny Burney, Volume III—The Streatham Years, Part I: 1778–1779* 64  
*Excerpt from The Early Journals and Letters of Fanny Burney, Volume IV—The Streatham Years, Part II: 1780–1781* 66  
*Excerpt from Diary and Letters of Madame D’Arblay, Vol III—1788 to 1796* 67
- 10 Hannah Cowley (1743–1809)** 69  
 MELINDA C. FINBERG AND ANGELA ESCOTT  
*Introduction* 69  
*Hannah Cowley* 71  
*“Address” from School for Greybeards (1786)* 71  
*“Advertisement” for A Day in Turkey (1792)* 73  
*“Preface” to The Town Before You (1794)* 73
- 11 Lady Eglantine Wallace (c. 1754–1803)** 76  
 LILLA MARIA CRISAFULLI  
*Introduction* 76  
*Lady Eglantine Wallace* 78  
*Excerpt from The Whim, a Comedy in three Acts. [...] With an Address to the Public upon the Arbitrary and Unjust Aspersion of the Licenser Against Its Political Sentiments. Offered to Be Acted for the Benefit of the Hospital and Poor of The Isle of Thanet, but Refused the Royal Licence (1795)* 78
- 12 Sally Siddons (1775–1803)** 83  
 LAURA ENGEL  
*Introduction* 83  
*Sally Siddons* 84

*Excerpts from: An Artist's Love Story: Told in the Letters of Sir Thomas  
Lawrence, Mrs. Siddons, and her Daughters (1798–1801, pub. 1905) 84*

- 13 Elizabeth Inchbald (1753–1821)** 88  
LISA A. FREEMAN  
*Introduction 88*  
*Elizabeth Inchbald 89*  
*Remarks on King Lear (1806) 89*
- 14 Joanna Baillie (1762–1851)** 93  
THOMAS C. CROCHUNIS  
*Introduction 93*  
*Joanna Baillie 94*  
*Excerpt from “To the Reader,” prefatory to the Third Volume of A Series of  
Plays [... on] the Stronger Passions of the Mind (1812) 94*
- 15 Felicia Hemans (1793–1835)** 99  
DIEGO SAGLIA  
*Introduction 99*  
*Felicia Hemans 100*  
*Excerpt from “Italian Literature No. III.” (1821) 100*
- 16 Adelaide O’Keeffe (1776–1865)** 107  
DONELLE RUWE  
*Introduction 107*  
*Adelaide O’Keeffe 109*  
*Excerpt from “Memoir” in O’Keeffe’s Legacy to his Daughter,  
Being the Poetic Works of the Late John O’Keeffe, Esq.,  
the Dramatic Author (1834) 109*
- 17 Sarah Siddons (1755–1831)** 113  
DANIEL O’QUINN  
*Introduction 113*  
*Sarah Siddons 114*  
*Excerpt from “Memoranda: Remarks on the Character of Lady Macbeth”  
in Thomas Campbell, Life of Mrs. Siddons (1834) 114*
- 18 “Anonymous”** 121  
MARJEAN D. PURINTON  
*Introduction 121*  
*“Anonymous” 123*  
*Excerpt from “Nance Oldfield: The Tragedy Queen: A Comic Drama in  
One Act” (c. 1848) 123*

- 19 Mary Russell Mitford (1787–1855)** 125  
 SERENA BAIESI  
*Introduction* 125  
*Mary Russell Mitford* 126  
*Excerpt from “Introduction” to Dramatic Works (1854)* 126
- 20 Amelia Chesson (1833–1902)** 131  
 TRACY C. DAVIS  
*Introduction* 131  
*Amelia Chesson* 133  
*The Handel Festival, Second Day’s Performance (1857)* 133
- 21 Frances A. Kemble (1809–1893)** 137  
 CATHERINE BURROUGHS  
*Introduction* 137  
*Frances Anne Kemble* 138  
*Excerpt from “On the Stage” (1882)* 138
- 22 Olive Logan (1839–1909)** 141  
 MERRITT DENMAN POPP  
*Introduction* 141  
*Olive Logan* 142  
*Excerpt from Apropos of Women and Theatres With a Paper or  
 Two on Parisian Topics (1869)* 142
- 23 Michael Field [Katharine Harris Bradley (1846–1914)  
 and Edith Emma Cooper (1861–1913)]** 147  
 JILL R. EHNENN  
*Introduction* 147  
*Michael Field* 148  
*Preface to Callirrhoë (1884)* 148  
*Preface to William Rufus (1885)* 149  
*Excerpt from Letter, Katharine Bradley to Edith Cooper (28 August,  
 1885)* 150  
*Excerpt from Preface to Canute the Great (1887)* 150  
*Excerpt from Preface to The Tragic Mary (1890)* 151
- 24 Charlotte Perkins Gilman (1860–1935)** 154  
 ANDREW TOLLE  
*Introduction* 154  
*Charlotte Perkins Stetson [Gilman]* 156  
*“A Dramatic View” [“The Quarrel”] (1890)* 156

*“Dame Nature Interviewed On The Woman Question as It  
Looks to Her” (1890) 157*

**25 Phyllis Robbins (1883–1972) 161**

KIM MARRA

*Introduction 161*

*Excerpts from “Remembrances of Miss Maude Adams: Scrapbooks Compiled  
by Phyllis Robbins” (1898–1953) 161*

**26 Lady Augusta Gregory (1852–1932) 172**

MARJORIE HOWES

*Introduction 172*

*Augusta Gregory 174*

*Excerpt from “The Felons of Our Land” (1900) 174*

**27 Edith Craig (1869–1947) 178**

KATHARINE COCKIN

*Introduction 178*

*Edith Craig 179*

*“Producing a Play” (1907) 179*

**28 Christopher St. John (1871–1960) 185**

LESLEY FERRIS

*Introduction 185*

*Christopher St. John 187*

*Excerpt from “The First Actress” (1911) 187*

**29 Binodini Dasi (1862–1941) 195**

APARNA DHARWADKER

*Introduction 195*

*Binodini Dasi 197*

*Excerpt from Amar katha ([My Story] 1912) 197*

**30 Florence Kiper (1886–1976) 202**

SHARON FRIEDMAN

*Introduction 202*

*Florence Kiper 204*

*Excerpt from “Some American Plays: From the  
Feminist Viewpoint” (1914) 204*

- 31 May Isabel Fisk (1872–1955)** 209  
 JENNIFER SCHMIDT  
*Introduction* 209  
*May Isabel Fisk* 211  
*“The Art of Giving a Monologue” (1914)* 211
- 32 Djuna Barnes (1892–1982)** 214  
 NICK SALVATO  
*Introduction* 214  
*Djuna Barnes* 216  
*“When the Puppets Come to Town” (1917)* 216
- 33 Sophie Treadwell (1885–1970)** 222  
 JERRY DICKEY  
*Introduction* 222  
*Sophie Treadwell* 224  
*“Writing a Play” (1925)* 224
- 34 Virginia Woolf (1882–1941)** 229  
 SHILO MCGIFF  
*Introduction* 229  
*Virginia Woolf* 231  
*“Notes on an Elizabethan Play” (1925)* 231
- 35 Elizabeth Robins (1862–1952)** 238  
 JOANNE E. GATES  
*Introduction* 238  
*Elizabeth Robins* 239  
*Excerpt from Ibsen and the Actress (1928)* 239
- 36 Lena Ashwell (1872–1957)** 245  
 MAGGIE B. GALE  
*Introduction* 245  
*Lena Ashwell* 247  
*Excerpt from “Beauty in Strange Places” (1929)* 247
- 37 Constance Rourke (1885–1941)** 252  
 CHARLOTTE M. CANNING  
*Introduction* 252  
*Constance Rourke* 254  
*Excerpt from American Humor: A Study of the National Character (1931)* 254

<b>38</b>	<b>Cornelia Otis Skinner (1899–1979)</b>	259
	JENNIFER SCHMIDT	
	<i>Introduction</i>	259
	<i>Cornelia Otis Skinner</i>	260
	“ <i>Monologue to Theatre: An Exponent of a Solo Art Discusses Its Rise from the Ranks of the Amateurs</i> ” (1931)	260
<b>39</b>	<b>Gertrude Stein (1874–1946)</b>	263
	SCOTT W. KLEIN	
	<i>Introduction</i>	263
	<i>Gertrude Stein</i>	265
	<i>Excerpt from “Plays”</i> (1935)	265
<b>40</b>	<b>Susan Glaspell (1876–1948)</b>	268
	J. ELLEN GAINOR AND ELIZABETH A. OSBORNE	
	<i>Introduction</i>	268
	<i>Susan Glaspell</i>	270
	“ <i>Speech on the Federal Theatre Project</i> ” (c.1937)	270
<b>41</b>	<b>Hallie Flanagan (1889–1969)</b>	274
	ELIZABETH A. OSBORNE	
	<i>Introduction</i>	274
	<i>Hallie Flanagan</i>	275
	<i>Excerpt from ARENA</i> (1940)	275
<b>42</b>	<b>Joan Littlewood (1914–2002)</b>	280
	FATİNE BAHAR KARLIDAĞ	
	<i>Introduction</i>	280
	<i>Joan Littlewood</i>	282
	“ <i>The Theatre and Communism: Some Ideas Inspired by a Recent Visit to Czechoslovakia</i> ” (c. 1949)	282
<b>43</b>	<b>Alice Childress (1916–1994)</b>	287
	MEENAKSHI PONNUSWAMI	
	<i>Introduction</i>	287
	<i>Alice Childress</i>	289
	<i>Excerpt from “For a Negro Theatre”</i> (1951)	289
	<i>Excerpt from “A Candle in a Gale Wind”</i> (1953/56)	290
	<i>Excerpt from “The Negro Woman in American Literature”</i> (1965)	291
	<i>Excerpt from “The Black Experience: ‘Why Talk About That?’”</i> (1967)	292
	<i>Excerpt from “Black Writers’ Views on Literary Lions and Values”</i> (1968)	293
	<i>Excerpt from “But I Do My Thing”</i> (1969)	294

- 44 Ann Jellicoe (1927–2017)** 296  
 KATE PIERSON  
*Introduction* 296  
*Ann Jellicoe* 298  
*Excerpt from “Some Unconscious Influences in the Theatre” (1967)* 298
- 45 Barbara Ann Teer (1937–2008)** 302  
 LA DONNA L. FORSGREN  
*Introduction* 302  
*Barbara Ann Teer* 304  
*“We Can Be What We Were Born to Be” (1968)* 304
- 46 Judith Malina (1926–2015)** 308  
 KATE BREDESON  
*Introduction* 308  
*Judith Malina* 309  
*Excerpts from the Diaries of Judith Malina (1969)* 309
- 47 Rose Yuen Ow (1895–?)** 313  
 KRISTYN MOON  
*Introduction* 313  
*Rose Yuen Ow* 314  
*Excerpt from Interview (1970)* 314
- 48 Marguerite Duras (1914–1996)** 319  
 SHELLEY ORR  
*Introduction* 319  
*Marguerite Duras* 321  
*Excerpt from India Song (1973)* 321
- 49 Megan Terry (1932–2023)** 322  
 MAYA E. ROTH  
*Introduction* 322  
*Megan Terry* 324  
*Excerpt from “Preface” to Ex-Miss Copper Queen on a Set of Pills (1966)* 324  
*Excerpt from Molly Bailey’s Traveling Family Circus featuring Scenes from the Life of Mother Jones (1983)* 324  
*Excerpt from Interview with Dinah L. Leavitt (1981)* 324  
*Excerpt from Interview with Felicia Londré (1996)* 325  
*Excerpts from Right Brain Vacation Photos (1992)* 326

- 50 Dorothy Hewett (1923–2002)** 328  
AOISE STRATFORD  
*Introduction* 328  
*Dorothy Hewett* 330  
*Excerpt from “Why Does Sally Bow?” (1977)* 330
- 51 María Irene Fornés (1930–2018)** 332  
LINDA BEN-ZVI  
*Introduction* 332  
*María Irene Fornés* 334  
*Excerpt from Interview with David Savran, “Maria Irene Fornes” (1988)* 334  
*Excerpt from “Creative Danger: Exploring the Woman’s Voice in Drama” (1985)* 335  
*Excerpt from Fornes, “Maria Irene Fornes” (1983)* 336  
*Excerpt from Fornes, “I Write These Messages That Come” (1977)* 336  
*Excerpt from Delgado, “Maria Irene Fornes Discusses Forty Years in Theatre with Maria M. Delgado” (1999)* 337
- 52 Jane Chambers (1937–1983)** 339  
SARA WARNER  
*Introduction* 339  
*Jane Chambers* 340  
*“The Arrogant Playwright as Humble Actor” (1981)* 340
- 53 Elfriede Jelinek (b.1946)** 344  
ANKE CHARTON  
*Introduction* 344  
*Elfriede Jelinek* 345  
*“I Want to be Shallow” (1983)* 345
- 54 Sue-Ellen Case (b.1942)** 349  
PENNY FARFAN  
*Introduction* 349  
*Sue-Ellen Case* 351  
*Excerpt from “Classic Drag: The Greek Creation of Male Parts” (1985)* 351  
*Excerpt from “Towards a Butch-Femme Aesthetic” (1988–1989)* 353
- 55 Adrienne Kennedy (b.1931)** 357  
MAYA E. ROTH  
*Introduction* 357

	<i>Adrienne Kennedy</i> 359	
	<i>Excerpts from People Who Led to My Plays (1987)</i> 359	
<b>56</b>	<b>Liz Lochhead (b.1947)</b>	<b>366</b>
	AOISE STRATFORD	
	<i>Introduction</i> 366	
	<i>Liz Lochhead</i> 368	
	<i>Excerpt from “Introduction” to Mary Queen of Scots Got Her Head Chopped Off (1987)</i> 368	
<b>57</b>	<b>Constance Congdon (b.1944)</b>	<b>371</b>
	RYAN PLATT	
	<i>Introduction</i> 371	
	<i>Constance Congdon</i> 372	
	<i>Excerpt from “How to Talk to a Playwright” (1990)</i> 372	
<b>58</b>	<b>Elizabeth MacLennan (1938–2015)</b>	<b>374</b>
	GIOIA ANGELETTI	
	<i>Introduction</i> 374	
	<i>Elizabeth MacLennan</i> 376	
	<i>Excerpts from The Moon Belongs to Everyone: Making Theatre with 7:84 (1990)</i> 376	
<b>59</b>	<b>Monstrous Regiment (1975–1993)</b>	<b>384</b>
	ELAINE ASTON	
	<i>Introduction</i> 384	
	<i>Monstrous Regiment</i> 386	
	<i>Excerpt from Interview with Monstrous Regiment company members Chris Bowler, Gillian Hanna and Mary McCusker conducted by Elaine Aston and Gabriele Griffin (1990)</i> 386	
<b>60</b>	<b>Jill Dolan (b.1957)</b>	<b>391</b>
	JESSICA DEL VECCHIO	
	<i>Introduction</i> 391	
	<i>Jill Dolan</i> 392	
	<i>Excerpt from The Feminist Spectator as Critic (1988/1991)</i> 392	
<b>61</b>	<b>The Divina Project (1989–1998)</b>	<b>399</b>
	JULIET GUZZETTA	
	<i>Introduction</i> 399	
	<i>The Divina Project</i> 401	
	<i>Excerpt from Life Events, Theater Events (1992)</i> 401	

- 62 Nehad Selaiha (1945–2017)** 403  
MARVIN CARLSON  
*Introduction* 403  
*Nehad Selaiha* 404  
*Excerpt from “Women Playwrights in Egypt” (1992)* 404  
*Excerpt from “Art and Politics” (1999)* 405  
*Excerpt from “A Year of Revolutionary Theatre” (2011)* 406
- 63 Peggy Phelan (b.1959)** 409  
DANIEL SACK  
*Introduction* 409  
*Peggy Phelan* 411  
*Excerpt from “The Ontology of Performance” (1993)* 411
- 64 Suzan-Lori Parks (b.1963)** 415  
KRISTEN WRIGHT  
*Introduction* 415  
*Suzan-Lori Parks* 417  
*“From Elements of Style” (1994)* 417
- 65 Kate Bornstein (b.1948)** 426  
KARA RAPHAELI  
*Introduction* 426  
*Kate Bornstein* 427  
*“Transsexual Lesbian Playwright Tells All!” (1990)* 427  
*“Theater, and the Future of the Body” (2005)* 429
- 66 Elin Diamond (b. 1948)** 432  
JEN-SCOTT MOBLEY  
*Introduction* 432  
*Elin Diamond* 434  
*Excerpt from “Introduction” to Unmaking Mimesis (1997)* 434
- 67 Hélène Cixous (b.1937)** 440  
RACHEL MORRIS WATSON  
*Introduction* 440  
*Hélène Cixous* 441  
*“The Theatre Surprised by Puppets” (1999)* 441

- 68 Mícere Gĩthae Mũgo (1942–2023)** 447  
 KELLEN HOXWORTH  
*Introduction* 447  
*Mícere Gĩthae Mũgo* 449  
*Excerpt from “Popular Paradigms and Conceptions: Orature-Based Community Theater” (1999)* 449
- 69 Diane Glancy (b.1941)** 455  
 BIRGIT DÄWES  
*Introduction* 455  
*Diane Glancy* 457  
*“Further (Farther): Creating Dialogue to Talk about Native American Plays” (1999/2002)* 457
- 70 Lois Weaver (b.1949)** 460  
 BENJAMIN GILLESPIE  
*Introduction* 460  
*Lois Weaver* 461  
*Excerpts from Performance Protocols: Long Table Dinner Party (2003)* 461  
*Porch Sitting (2012)* 462  
*Care Café (2016)* 463
- 71 Judith Thompson (b.1954)** 466  
 ERICA STEVENS ABBITT  
*Introduction* 466  
*Judith Thompson* 468  
*Excerpt from “That Stinking Hot Summer” (2010)* 468
- 72 Cherríe Moraga (b.1952)** 474  
 LINDSAY B. CUMMINGS  
*Introduction* 474  
*Cherríe L. Moraga* 475  
*Excerpt from “An Irrevocable Promise: Staging the Story Xicana” (2002/2011)* 475
- 73 Amal Allana (b.1947)** 481  
 APARNA DHARWADKER  
*Introduction* 481  
*Amal Allana* 483  
*Excerpt from “Introduction” to The Act of Becoming: Actors Talk (2013)* 483

- 74 Naomi Wallace (b.1960)** 488  
LINDSAY B. CUMMINGS  
*Introduction* 488  
*Naomi Wallace* 489  
*Excerpt from “Let the Right One In: On Resistance, Hospitality, and  
New Writing for the American Stage” (2013)* 489
- 75 Mojisola Adebayo (b.1971)** 495  
KELLEN HOXWORTH  
*Introduction* 495  
*Mojisola Adebayo* 497  
*Excerpt from “Everything You Know About Queerness You Learnt From  
Blackness: The Afri-Quia Theatre of Black Dykes, Crips and Kids”  
(2015)* 497
- 76 Lauren Gunderson (b.1982)** 502  
NOELIA HERNANDO-REAL  
*Introduction* 502  
*Lauren Gunderson* 504  
*Excerpt from “Survival of the Storied: Why Science Needs  
Art and Art Needs Science” (2015)* 504
- 77 Sarah Ruhl (b.1974)** 510  
AMY MUSE  
*Introduction* 510  
*Sarah Ruhl* 511  
*Excerpts from 100 Essays I Don’t Have Time to Write:  
On Umbrellas and Sword Fights, Parades and Dogs,  
Fire Alarms, Children, and Theater (2014)* 511
- 78 Elaine Aston (b.1958)** 516  
GERALDINE HARRIS  
*Introduction* 516  
*Elaine Aston* 518  
*Excerpt from “Agitating for Change: Theatre and a Feminist  
‘Network of Resistance’” (2016)* 518
- 79 Dame Harriet Walter (b.1950)** 523  
ROSEMARY MALAGUE  
*Introduction* 523  
*Dame Harriet Walter* 525

*Excerpt from “Helena: Heroine or Harpy?”*  
*Brutus and Other Heroines (2016) 525*

**80 Catherine Kodicek (b.1972) 529**

KITTY GURNOS-DAVIES

*Introduction 529*

*Catherine Kodicek 530*

*“Stop Treating Costume Professionals as Second-Class Workers”*  
*(2017) 530*

**81 Spiderwoman Theater (1976–) and Muriel Miguel (b.1937) 533**

LILIAN MENGESHA

*Introduction 533*

*Spiderwoman Theater and Muriel Miguel 535*

*Excerpt from the National Endowment for the Arts Interview*  
*with Spiderwoman Theater’s Muriel Miguel by Josephine*  
*Reed (2017) 535*

**82 Emma Rice (b.1967) 541**

SIOUXSIE EASTER

*Introduction 541*

*Emma Rice 543*

*“Dear Future Artistic Director of Shakespeare’s Globe” (2017) 543*

**83 Paula Vogel (b.1951) 546**

MEGHAN BRODIE

*Introduction 546*

*Paula Vogel 548*

*Excerpt from Victoria Myers’ “An Interview with Paula Vogel” (2017) 548*

**84 Elaine Romero 553**

JIMMY A. NORIEGA

*Introduction 553*

*Elaine Romero 555*

*“The Power of Space” (2018) 555*

**85 Velina Hasu Houston (b.1957) 560**

EUNHA NA

*Introduction 560*

*Velina Hasu Houston 561*

*Excerpts from matchabook (2018) 561*

<b>86 Quiara Alegría Hudes (b.1977) and Gabriela Serena Sanchez</b>	566
J. ELLEN GAINOR	
<i>Introduction</i>	566
<i>Quiara Alegría Hudes and Gabriela Serena Sanchez</i>	568
<i>Excerpt from “Pausing and Breathing: Two Sisters Deliver the ATHE 2018 Conference Keynote Address” (2018)</i>	568
<b>87 Winsome Pinnock (b.1961)</b>	576
GABRIELE GRIFFIN	
<i>Introduction</i>	576
<i>Winsome Pinnock</i>	578
<i>Excerpts from Interviews (1999–2019)</i>	578
<b>88 Takemoto Koshikô (b.1953)</b>	584
MAKI ISAKA	
<i>Introduction</i>	584
<i>Takemoto Koshikô</i>	586
<i>Excerpt from Interview with Maki Isaka (2019)</i>	586
<b>89 Émilie Monnet (b.1979)</b>	588
LINDSAY LACHANCE	
<i>Introduction</i>	588
<i>Émilie Monnet</i>	589
<i>Excerpts from Okinum (2020)</i>	589
 <i>Works Cited</i>	 602
<i>Index</i>	635

## Contributors

**GIOIA ANGELETTI** is an Associate Professor of English literature at the University of Parma, Italy. She has published a wide range of essays and journal articles on nineteenth-century Scottish theatre and poetry, contemporary Scottish theatre, Romantic-period British theatre and poetry, and Anglophone literature of migration and transculturality. Her major authored and edited volumes include: as author, *Eccentric Scotland: Three Victorian Poets. James Thomson ("B. V."), John Davidson and James Young Geddes* (2004), *Lord Byron and Discourses of Otherness: Scotland, Italy, and Femininity* (2012) and *Nation, Community, Self: Female Voices in Scottish Theatre from the Late Sixties to the Present* (2018); as editor, *Emancipation, Liberation, and Freedom: Romantic Drama and Theatre in Britain, 1760-1830* (2010), and with Lilla Maria Crisafulli, *East/West Encounters in Romantic Literature and Culture* (2019), an issue of the journal *La Questione Romantica*. She is currently working on an edition of Liz Lochhead's *Dracula*, a book about Scottish Romantic-period migration literature and a volume, co-edited with Diego Saglia, on Romantic ecocriticism. She is Honorary Fellow of the Association for Scottish Literary Studies.

**ELAINE ASTON** is Professor Emerita of Theatre at Lancaster University, United Kingdom. She has published numerous books and articles on feminism and theatre. A former editor of *Theatre Research International*, she was the elected President of the International Federation for Theatre Research (2019–23).

**SERENA BAIESI** is an Associate Professor of English Literature at the University of Bologna. Her primary research interests include Romantic poetry, Gothic literature, Romantic theatre and drama, Jane Austen and popular culture, and slavery literature. She is the author of *Letitia Elizabeth Landon* and *Metrical Romance*, the editor of *Romantic Dialectics: Culture, Gender, Theatre* with S. Curran and *Gothic Metamorphosis across the Centuries* with M. Ascari and D. L. Palatinus, as well as a special issues of *TEXTUS* on Jane Austen and the interdisciplinary journal *La Questione Romantica*.

**LINDA BEN-ZVI** is a Professor Emerita at Tel-Aviv and Colorado State Universities, a John Stern Distinguished Professor, Colorado, and Lady Davis Professor, Hebrew University. She has authored and edited 13 books, including four on Samuel Beckett, and four on Susan Glaspell. Her Glaspell biography won the Jury Prize of the American Theatre Library Association.

**CHRISTINA BLACK** is an Assistant Professor at the McIntire School of Commerce at the University of Virginia, where she teaches about communication, persuasion, and ethics. Previously, she taught at Johns Hopkins Carey Business School and developed business education and leadership coaching materials for a wide range of clients. She also designed and taught courses on waste and spy literature at Cornell University. Her research interests include the history of rhetoric, corporeal figures of speech, and intercultural communication. She holds a doctorate in eighteenth-century English literature from Cornell University.

**KATE BREDESON** is a theatre historian, a director, and a dramaturg. Her project as a scholar is to research, write about, and practice the ways in which theatre can be a tool for radical activism and protest. Her book *Occupying the Stage: The Theater of May '68* is published by Northwestern University Press. She is editing the unpublished diaries of Judith Malina. She is Professor of Theatre at Reed College.

**MEGHAN BRODIE** is an Associate Professor of Theatre at Ursinus College. She is also a director, dramaturg, and playwright. Directing credits include plays by Sarah Ruhl, Charlotte Jones, Diana Son, Ellen McLaughlin, and Paula Vogel. Meghan has published work about queer casting and feminist directing. She holds a Ph.D. from Cornell University.

**CATHERINE BURROUGHS** is Courtesy Professor in the Departments of English and Performing and Media Arts at Cornell University. Throughout her career, she has focused on the contributions to theatre and playwrighting of women writers between 1750 and 1830. Her books include *Closet Stages: Joanna Baillie and the Theater Theory of British Romantic Women Writers*; *Women in British Romantic Theatre: Drama, Performance, and Society, 1790–1840*; and *Closet Drama: History, Theory, Form*. She is also a member of Actors' Equity Association.

**CHARLOTTE M. CANNING** is the Frank C. Erwin Jr., Centennial Professor in Drama at the University of Texas at Austin, where she also serves as the Director of the Oscar G. Brockett Center for Theatre History and Criticism. Her books include *On the Performance Front: US Theatre and Internationalism*, winner of the Joe A. Callaway Prize, *Representing the Past: Essays in Performance Historiography*, *The Most American Thing in America: Circuit Chautauqua as Performance*, recipient of the Barnard Hewitt Award for Outstanding Research in Theatre History, and *Feminist Theaters In The USA: Staging Women's Experience*. Her op-eds have appeared in *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, the *Washington Post*, *The Conversation*, and other publications.

**MARVIN CARLSON** is the Sidney E. Cohn Emeritus Professor of Theatre, Comparative Literature and Middle Eastern Studies at the Graduate Center of the City University of New York. He has received an honorary doctorate from the University of Athens, the ATHE Career Achievement Award, the ASTR Distinguished Scholarship Award, the George Jean Nathan Award for Dramatic Criticism, and the Joe A. Callaway Prize for writing in theatre. He is the director of the Marvin Carlson Theatre Center at the Shanghai Theatre Academy and the founding editor of the journals *European Stages* and *Arab Stages*. He is the author of 28 books, the most recent of which is *Theatre and Islam*.

**ANKE CHARTON** is an Assistant Professor of Theatre and Society with the Department of Theatre, Film and Media Studies at the University of Vienna. She specializes in theatre historiography and intersectional gender studies, with recent publications on Early Modern Spanish performance, gendered singing voices and the music theatre industry.

**KATHARINE COCKIN** is a Professor of English Literature at the University of Essex in the Department of Literature, Film, and Theatre Studies. She is Principal Investigator of the AHRC Ellen Terry and Edith Craig Database and Searching for Theatrical Ancestors resource at <http://ellenterryarchive.essex.ac.uk> based on her scholarly descriptive catalogue of the archive of Edith Craig and Ellen Terry, of over 20,000 documents. She has published 15 books including three books on Edith Craig: *Edith Craig: Dramatic Lives* (Cassell, 1998); *Edith Craig and the Theatres of Art*, (Bloomsbury Methuen Drama, 2017); and *Women and Theatre in the Age of Suffrage: The Pioneer Players 1911–25*, (Palgrave Macmillan, 2001).

**LILLA M. CRISAFULLI** is Alma Mater Professor of English Literature at the University of Bologna. She is the founder and Honorary President of the Interuniversity Centre for Romantic Studies (CISR) and Editor of *La Questione Romantica*. Her research interests include British Romanticism, Women Romantic Playwrights, Women's and Gender Studies, Anglo-Italian Studies. With Keir Elam she has edited *Women's Romantic Theatre and Drama: History, Agency, and Performativity* (Routledge, 2010), and the Italian edition of Mary Shelley's *Valperga* (Mondadori, 2022). She has published two volumes on Percy Bysshe Shelley (respectively, on Shelley's prose and on the reception of his work). Her most recent book is *Women's Voices and Genealogies*, edited with Gilberta Golinelli.

**THOMAS C. CROCHUNIS** is an Associate Professor of English at Shippensburg University. He has edited the collection *Joanna Baillie, Romantic Dramatist: Critical Essays*, co-edited *The Routledge Anthology of British Women Playwrights, 1777–1843*, and has written on Romantic-era women playwrights and theatre managers.

**LINDSAY B. CUMMINGS** is an Associate Professor of Dramatic Arts at the University of Connecticut, where she also serves as head of the BA in Theatre Studies program. Her research interests include community-based performance, feminist performance, affect theory, Latinx theatre, and theatre for social change. She is the author of *Empathy as Dialogue in Theatre and Performance*.

**TRACY C. DAVIS** is Barber Professor of Performing Arts at Northwestern University. Her most recent books are *Liberal Lives and Activist Repertoires: Political Performance and Victorian Social Reform*, *The Cambridge Guide to Mixed Methods Research for Theatre and Performance Studies* (forthcoming, edited with Paul Rae), *The Routledge Companion to Theatre and Performance Historiography* (edited with Peter W. Marx), and *Uncle Tom's Cabins: The Transnational Histories of America's Most Mutable Book* (edited with Stefka Mihaylova).

**BIRGIT DÄWES** is a Professor of American Studies at the University of Flensburg, Germany and General Editor of *Amerikastudien/American Studies: A Quarterly*. Her

work includes *Native North American Theater in a Global Age* and the edited volumes *Indigenous North American Drama: A Multivocal History* and *Native American Survivance, Memory, and Futurity*.

**JESSICA DEL VECCHIO** is the Senior Associate Director for Teaching Initiatives and Programs for Faculty at the McGraw Center for Teaching and Learning at Princeton University. Prior to joining Princeton's staff, she was an Assistant Professor of Theatre at James Madison University in Virginia. Her writing has appeared in *Critical Stages/Scènes critiques*, *Contemporary Theatre Review*, *Theatre Journal*, *TDR*, and *Modern Drama*, as well as several anthologies.

**APARNA DHARWADKER** is a Professor of English and Interdisciplinary Theatre Studies at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, the author of *Theatres of Independence: Drama, Theory, and Urban Performance in India Since 1947* (Joe A. Callaway Prize, 2006), and editor of *A Poetics of Modernity: Indian Theatre Theory, 1850 to the Present* (Joe A. Callaway Prize, 2020; Honorable Mention, ATHE Outstanding Book Award). Her current book project, *Cosmo-Modernism and Theatre in India: Writing and Staging Multilingual Modernisms*, is under contract with Columbia University Press for its Modernist Latitudes series.

**JERRY DICKEY** is Professor Emeritus of Theatre at the University of Florida and is the author of *Susan Glaspell and Sophie Treadwell* (with Barbara Ozieblo, Routledge), *Broadway's Bravest Woman: Selected Writings of Sophie Treadwell* (edited with Miriam López-Rodríguez), and *Sophie Treadwell: A Research and Production Sourcebook*.

**SIOUXSIE EASTER** is a theatre director, deviser, and educator. She relishes working with playwrights and theatre makers on new works. Easter helped found the Advanced Studies in England Theatre Summer School in Bath, England. After serving for eighteen years as a Professor of Theatre at Wells College in Aurora, NY, Easter recently relocated to Fort Worth, TX, where she is the Upper School Theatre Director at Fort Worth Country Day.

**JILL R. EHNENN** is a Professor of English and standing faculty in the Gender, Women's and Sexuality Studies Program at Appalachian State University. She is the author of *Michael Field's Revisionary Poetics* (Edinburgh University Press, 2023) and *Women's Literary Collaboration, Queerness, and Late-Victorian Culture* (Ashgate, 2008/Routledge, 2017). Her projects also include a guest-edited special issue of *Nineteenth-Century Contexts* on "Natural and Unnatural Histories," articles on Dorothy Wordsworth, Elizabeth Siddal, Vernon Lee, Graham R. Tomson, Lucas Malet, Thomas Hardy, twentieth-century lesbian romance novels, the *Harry Potter* series, and multiple articles and chapters on the late-Victorian female co-authors who wrote as "Michael Field." Her current project is a biography of Michael Field, co-authored with Sharon Bickle.

**LAURA ENGEL** is a Professor in the English Department at Duquesne University, where she specializes in eighteenth-century literature, theatre, gender studies, and material culture. She is the author of *Women, Performance, and the Material of Memory: The Archival Tourist, Fashioning Celebrity: Eighteenth-Century British Actresses and Strategies*

for *Image Making, Austen, Actresses, and Accessories: Much Ado about Muffs*, and co-editor with Elaine McGirr of *Stage Mothers: Women, Work and the Theater 1660–1830*.

**ANGELA ESCOTT** is a theatre historian and has worked in a specialist music library. She has published a monograph on Hannah Cowley, and many journal articles and book chapters. She is an advisory editor for the journal *Restoration and Eighteenth-Century Theatre Research* and reviews for the *Journal for Eighteenth Century Studies*.

**PENNY FARFAN** is a Professor of Drama at the University of Calgary and the author of *Women, Modernism, and Performance* and *Performing Queer Modernism*. She is also a past editor of *Theatre Journal* and the editor with Lesley Ferris of *Contemporary Women Playwrights: Into the Twenty-First Century* and *Critical Perspectives on Contemporary Plays by Women: The Early Twenty-First Century*. She is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Canada.

**LESLEY FERRIS** is an Arts and Humanities Distinguished Professor of Theatre Emeritus at The Ohio State University. Her research focuses on women playwrights. In 2014 she founded Palindrome Productions. Her first production was Christopher St. John's *The First Actress*. Performances took place in London and at the Barn Theatre at Smallhythe Place, the country retreat of Ellen Terry in Kent. Edith Craig, daughter of Terry, converted the sixteenth-century barn into a theatre space following Terry's death in 1928. The Barn Theatre presented annual productions of Shakespeare to honor Terry's legacy continues today under Britain's National Trust.

**MELINDA C. FINBERG** is an Associate Professor of Theatre Practice in the School of Dramatic Arts, University of Southern California. She is the editor of *Eighteenth-Century Women Dramatists*, and is a dramaturg who has helped bring productions of the works of these playwrights to professional and university stages across the United States.

**LA DONNA L. FORSGREN** is an Associate Professor of Theatre at the University of Notre Dame. Her research centers on recovering Black women's intellectual, artistic, and activist traditions within US theatre and society. She is the author of *In Search of Our Warrior Mothers: Women Dramatists of the Black Arts Movement* and *Sistuhz in the Struggle: An Oral History of Black Arts Movement Theater and Performance*.

**LISA A. FREEMAN** is a Professor of English at the University of Illinois Chicago. She is the author of *Anti-theatricality and the Body Public*, which was named Runner-Up for the ATHE Outstanding Book Award, a Finalist for the Theatre Library Association George Freedley Award, and an Honorable Mention for the Joe A. Callaway Prize. Among her recent publications is an essay on performance artist Taylor Mac's *24-Decade History of Popular Music* and an article on Elizabeth Inchbald's *Remarks for the British Theatre*. She is a founding member of the R/18 Collective: Reactivating Restoration and 18th-Century Drama for the 21st Century.

**SHARON FRIEDMAN** is Founding Gallatin Professor Emerita in the Gallatin School of New York University. Specializing in modern literature and drama, feminist criticism, and adaptation, she is editor of the volume *Feminist Theatrical Revisions of Classic Works* and co-author (with Cheryl Black) of *Modern American Drama: Playwriting in the*

1990s. Her essays have appeared in such journals and collections as *Theater Journal*, *New Theatre Quarterly*, *Text and Presentation*, *Susan Glaspell: Essays on her Theater and Fiction*, *Codifying the National Self*, *Intertextuality in American Drama*, and *Visions of Tragedy in Modern American Drama*.

**J. ELLEN GAINOR** is a Professor of Performing and Media Arts at Cornell University. Her research focuses on British and American modern drama and performance and women playwrights. In addition to her numerous scholarly monographs, articles, reference entries, and reviews, her edited volumes include *Imperialism and Theatre*, *Performing America: Cultural Nationalism in American Theater* (with Jeffrey Mason), *Susan Glaspell: The Complete Plays* (with Linda Ben-Zvi), *Githa Sowerby: Three Plays*, *Susan Glaspell in Context*, and *The Norton Anthology of Drama* (with Stanton Garner, Jr. and Martin Puchner).

**MAGGIE GALE** is Chair in Drama at the University of Manchester, United Kingdom. She is a co-editor of the journal *Contemporary Theatre Review*, and of the series, *Theatre—Theory—Performance* with Maria Delgado and Peter Lichtenfels, and *Women, Theatre and Performance* with Kate Dorney. Recent publications include, *A Social History of British Performance Cultures 1900–1939: Citizenship, Surveillance and the Body*; and with Kate Dorney eds., *Stage Women 1900–1950: Female Theatre Workers and Professional Practice* and *Vivien Leigh: Actress and Icon*.

**ANDREW GALLOWAY** is the James John Professor of Medieval Studies at Cornell University. He has published widely on medieval English and other medieval literature, including two monographs, *The Penn Commentary on Piers Plowman, Volume One*, and *Medieval Literature and Culture*. His many essays and chapters include “Visions and Visionaries,” in Elaine Treharne and Greg Walker, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of Medieval Literature* (2010).

**JOANNE E. GATES** wrote the first full biography of Elizabeth Robins and remains engaged with additional Robins projects. She is professor emerita in English at Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville AL; and besides numerous papers and presentations on Robins, she specializes in Shakespeare and women’s literature. She continues to maintain the Robins Web at JSU.

**BENJAMIN GILLESPIE** holds a Ph.D in Theatre and Performance from The Graduate Center, CUNY. His research focuses on the intersections of aging, gender, and queer sexuality in contemporary theatre and drama, especially the later works of Split Britches. Benjamin holds a full-time Lectureship at Baruch College, CUNY where he teaches communication, theatre, and performance studies. He is Associate Editor of *PAJ: A Journal of Performance and Art* and his articles and reviews have been published in *Theater Journal*, *Modern Drama*, *Theatre Survey*, *Performance Research*, *PAJ*, *Canadian Theatre Review*, *Theatre Research in Canada*, and a number of edited anthologies.

**GABRIELE GRIFFIN** is a Professor of Gender Research at Uppsala University, Sweden and Professor of Gender and Africa Studies at the University of the Free

State, South Africa. Previously she held the Anniversary Chair in Women's Studies at the University of York, United Kingdom. Her many publications include *Bodily Interventions and Intimate Labour: Understanding Bioprecarity, Body, Migration, Re/constructive Surgeries: Making the Gendered Body in a Globalized World*, and *Contemporary Black and Asian Women Playwrights in Britain*.

**KITTY GURNOS-DAVIES** received her DPhil in English from the University of Oxford, where she researched the relationships between women's agency and the material resources of the stage in modern and contemporary performance. Her research drew upon eight years' experience working in costume and wig departments. She is now a researcher, writer and curator, specializing in contemporary art.

**JULIET GUZZETTA** is an Associate Professor of English and Romance and Classical Studies (Italian) at Michigan State University. Her first book, *The Theater of Narration: From the Peripheries of History to the Main Stages of Italy* (Northwestern UP, 2021), explores a form of contemporary solo theater in its historical, political, and performative dimensions. She has published articles and essays in *Theatre History Studies*, *Annali d'Italianistica*, and *Spunti e ricerche* among other venues. Her research has been supported by a year-long Fulbright grant to Italy, and Harvard's Mellon School for Theater and Performance Research.

**GERALDINE HARRIS** is a Professor of Theatre Studies at Lancaster University. Her books include *Staging Femininities, Performance and Performativity, Beyond Representation: The Politics and Aesthetics of Television Drama, Feminist Futures? Theatre Theory, Performance*, co-edited with Elaine Aston, *Practice and Process: Contemporary [Women] Practitioners*, co-authored with Elaine Aston and *A Good Night Out for the Girls: Popular Feminisms in Contemporary Theatre and Performance*, co-authored with Elaine Aston. She co-edited a special edition of *The Contemporary Theatre Review* on feminism and performance with Sarah Gorman and Jen Harvie.

**NOELIA HERNANDO-REAL** is an Associate Professor of English and American Literature at the Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. She has authored *Self and Space in the Theater of Susan Glaspell, Voces contra la mediocridad: la vanguardia teatral de los Provincetown Players, 1915–1922*, and *Rosas en la arena: los relatos de Susan Glaspell*, and she has co-edited *Performing Gender Violence: Plays by Contemporary American Women Dramatists* with Barbara Ozieblo. She was President of the International Susan Glaspell Society (2015–2021).

**MARJORIE HOWES** teaches at Boston College. She is the author of *Yeats's Nations: Gender, Class, and Irishness* and *Colonial Crossings: Figures in Irish Literary History*. She is the co-editor of *Semicolonial Joyce, The Cambridge Companion to W. B. Yeats*, and *Yeats and Afterwords*. She is also the series editor, with Claire Connolly, of *Irish Literature in Transition* (6 vols., 2020).

**KELLEN HOXWORTH** is an Assistant Professor of Theatre Studies at the State University of New York at Buffalo. He is the 2018 recipient of the Errol Hill Award for outstanding research in African American theatre and performance for his essay

“The Many Racial Effigies of Sara Baartman.” His book, *Transoceanic Blackface: Empire, Race, Performance* is forthcoming from Northwestern University Press.

**MAKI ISAKA** teaches gender studies and Japanese theatre and literature at the University of Minnesota. Author of *Secrecy in Japanese Arts: “Secret Transmission” As a Mode of Knowledge, Onnagata: A Labyrinth of Gendering in Kabuki Theater*, and articles on *shingeki* (New Theatre), gender and gendering, body grammar such as that of gait, etc., Isaka’s current project explores the performance and theoretical implications of female musicians of *gidayû*: the audio component of the four-century-old, “all-male” puppet theatre, called *bunraku* today.

**SUJATA IYENGAR** is a Distinguished Research Professor of English at the University of Georgia and author of *Shades of Difference: Mythologies of Skin Color in Early Modern England, Shakespeare’s Medical Language, Shakespeare and Adaptation Theory*, and editor of *Disability, Health, and Happiness in the Shakespearean Body* and other volumes, most recently (with the late Christy Desmet and with Miriam Jacobson) the co-edited *Routledge Handbook to Shakespeare and Global Appropriation*. Her essays on race, gender, and rank in the science, fiction, and drama of Margaret Cavendish have appeared in *ELH* (2002) and in *Criticism* (2021).

**FATİNE BAHAR KARLIDAĞ** is an Assistant Professor at the Department of English Language and Literature at Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey. She received her Ph.D. from the School of Drama, University of Washington, Seattle. Also a Fulbright Visiting Research Program alumna, her research concentrates on the historical representation of the radical left in theatre and performance, and contemporary Labor activism in Turkey.

**SCOTT W. KLEIN** is a Professor of English at Wake Forest University, North Carolina. He is the author of *The Fictions of James Joyce and Wyndham Lewis: Monsters of Nature and Design*, the editor of the Oxford World’s Classics edition of the 1928 edition of Wyndham Lewis’s *Tarr*, with Mark Antliff, the editor of the essay collection *Vorticism: New Perspectives*, and with Michael Valdez Moses the editor of the essay collection *A Modernist Cinema*. He has published essays in such journals as *ELH*, *Modernist Cultures*, *Twentieth Century Literature*, *The James Joyce Quarterly*, and *The Journal of Wyndham Lewis Studies*, and is the Artistic Director of the Secret Artists Series, the performing arts series at Wake Forest University.

**ELIZABETH KRAFT** is a Professor Emerita of English at the University of Georgia. She has published widely in the area of her specialization, Restoration and Eighteenth-Century British Literature. Her most recent monograph is *Restoration Stage Comedies and Hollywood Remarriage Films*, and she is the editor of the *Bloomsbury Cultural History of Comedy in the Enlightenment*.

**LINDSAY LACHANCE** (Algonquin Anishinabe) has worked as a dramaturg for over a decade and has a Ph.D. from the Department of Theatre and Film at the University of British Columbia. Lindsay’s dramaturgical practice is influenced by her relationship with birch bark biting and the Gatineau River. She is also the Director of the Animikiig Creators Unit at Native Earth Performing Arts, which focuses on the development of new Indigenous works.

**ROSEMARY MALAGUE** is the author of *An Actress Prepares: Women and “the Method”*. Her writing on feminism and performance has been published in *The Routledge Companion to Stanislavsky*, *Shakespeare Bulletin*, and *European Stages*. She is the Director of the Theatre Arts Program at the University of Pennsylvania.

**MOLLY MAROTTA** received her Ph.D in English Literature from Florida State University. Her research focuses on eighteenth-century kidnapping narratives in novels and in plays. She is currently the Internal Communications and Engagement manager at the Brookings Institution.

**KIM MARRA** is a Professor Emeritus of Theatre Arts and American Studies at the University of Iowa. Her books include *Strange Duets: Impresarios and Actresses in the American Theatre, 1865–1914* (Joe A. Callaway Prize) and the co-edited volumes *Passing Performances: Queer Readings of Leading Players in American Theater History*, its sequel *Staging Desire, The Gay and Lesbian Theatrical Legacy*, and *Showing Off, Showing Up: Studies of Hype, Heightened Performance, and Cultural Power*. She has published numerous articles on interspecies performance and directed the digital humanities documentary *The Pull of Horses in Urban American Performance, 1860–1920* (2020).

**SHILO MCGIFF** is the Co-founder of The Woolf Salon Project. Her work appears in *The Virginia Woolf Miscellany*, *Virginia Woolf & The Anthropocene*, and *Virginia Woolf: Selected Papers*. She’s recently served as developmental editor of Tonia Sutherland’s *Resurrecting the Black Body*. Her research focuses on the pastoral poetics of Virginia Woolf as a vehicle for feminist historiography and social critique. She earned her Ph.D. in English from Cornell University.

**LILIAN MENGESHA** is the Fletcher Foundation Assistant Professor of Dramatic Literature in the Departments of Theatre, Dance, and Performance Studies at Tufts University. Her research lives at the intersection of performance studies, critical Indigenous studies and gender and sexuality studies. Her current book project argues for dreaming as a critical tool for decolonial practice in contemporary performance art.

**JEN-SCOTT MOBLEY** is an Associate Professor at East Carolina University where she coordinates the B.A. in Theatre Arts program. In addition to her monograph, *Female Bodies on the American Stage: Enter Fat Actress*, she is coeditor of *Performing Dream Homes: Theater and the Spatial Politics of the Domestic Sphere*, as well as *Lesbian and Queer Plays from the Jane Chambers Prize* and *Cross Cultural Feminisms: Plays From the Jane Chambers Prize*.

**KRYSTYN MOON** is a Professor of History and American Studies at the University of Mary Washington in Fredericksburg, Virginia. Her teaching and research include US immigration history, popular culture, race and ethnic studies, foodways, gender and sexuality, and consumerism. She is the author of *Yellowface: Creating the Chinese in American Popular Music and Performance, 1850s–1920s*, and several articles, essays, reviews, and blogs on American immigration history, ethnic identity and the performing arts.

**AMY MUSE** is a Professor of English at the University of St. Thomas in Minnesota. She is the author of *The Drama and Theatre of Sarah Ruhl* and of essays on Ruhl and Woolf, intimate theatre, and Romantic drama that appear in the *Journal of Dramatic Theory and Criticism*, *Text & Presentation*, *Romantic Circles*, and other publications.

**EUNHA NA** is an Associate Professor in the Department of English Language and Literature and is Affiliated Faculty in the Interdisciplinary Program in Performing Arts Studies at Seoul National University, South Korea. Her work on contemporary Asian and Asian American theatre has appeared in *Modern Drama*, *Theatre Journal*, and *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Asian American Literature and Culture*.

**JIMMY A. NORIEGA** is a Professor of Theatre at the College of Wooster and President of the American Society for Theatre Research. He is the co-editor of *Theatre and Cartographies of Power: Repositioning the Latina/o Americas* (2018) and *50 Key Figures in Queer US Theatre* (2022). He has directed over 50 productions in a dozen countries.

**DANIEL O'QUINN** is a Professor in the School of English and Theatre Studies at the University of Guelph. He is the author of *Engaging the Ottoman Empire: Vexed Mediations 1690–1815*, *Entertaining Crisis in the Atlantic Imperium, 1770–1790*, and *Staging Governance: Theatrical Imperialism in London, 1770–1800*. He has also co-edited with Jane Moody *The Cambridge Companion to British Theatre, 1730–1830*, and with Gillian Russell, *Georgian Theatre in an Information Age*, a special double issue of *Eighteenth-Century Fiction*. He has also worked with Kristina Straub and Misty Anderson on two linked anthologies on eighteenth-century theatre and performance and with Jennifer Schacker on *The Pantomime Reader (1800–1900)* for Routledge.

**SHELLEY ORR** is a theatre scholar and dramaturg. She is Associate Professor at San Diego State University's School of Theatre, Television, and Film, where she heads the MA in Theatre Arts program and advises student dramaturgs. Orr is a past president of Literary Managers and Dramaturgs of the Americas.

**ELIZABETH OSBORNE** is an Associate Professor in Theatre Studies at Florida State University. Her work has appeared in numerous journals and edited collections. She wrote *Staging the People: Community and Identity in the Federal Theatre Project* and co-edited *Working in the Wings: New Perspectives on Theatre History and Labor* with Christine Woodworth.

**KATE PIERSON** is a theatre historian and educational developer. She earned a Ph.D. in Theatre Studies from Florida State University and now serves as Assistant Director of Engaged Learning and Strategic Initiatives at Northwestern University's Searle Center for Advancing Learning and Teaching. Her research interests include community-engaged theatre and twentieth century British and American theatre. She focuses on the historiography of collaboratively created performances and the hidden histories of work created by women theatre practitioners.

**RYAN PLATT** is an Associate Professor of Performance Studies in the Department of Theatre & Dance at Colorado College. His writing has appeared in *Dance Research Journal*, *PAJ: A Journal of Art and Performance*, and *Theatre Journal*.

**MEENAKSHI PONNUSWAMI** is an Associate Professor of English at Bucknell University. Her scholarship focuses on Black British drama and American theatre of the Civil Rights era. She has most recently published articles on Amiri Baraka, Alia Bano, and British Muslim theatre. She is currently working on British Asian women's comedy.

**MERRITT DENMAN POPP** is an adjunct lecturer in Theatre and Great Texts at Baylor University. She received her M.A. in Theatre Studies from Baylor in 2016 and her Ph.D. in Theatre and Performance Research from Florida State University in 2023. Her research examines the intersection of maternity, gender, and politics in nineteenth-century U.S. theatre.

**MARJEAN D. PURINTON** is a Professor of English at Texas Tech University where she also teaches in the Women's & Gender Studies Program. Her scholarship focuses on British Romantic drama and women writers. A member of the Teaching Academy at Texas Tech University, Purinton has served as President of the International Conference on Romanticism.

**KARA RAPHAELI** is an Assistant Professor of Theatre Arts at Simpson College. They hold a Ph.D. in Theatre and Drama with a specialization in Critical Gender Studies from the joint program between UC San Diego and UC Irvine. They specialize in theatre historiography and trans performance and have published in *Theatre History Studies*, *The Victorian Review*, and *TheatreForum*.

**JOSEPH ROACH** is a Sterling Professor of Theater and Professor of English, Emeritus, at Yale University. He is the author of *It* and, with Gill Perry and Shearer West, *The First Actresses: Nell Gwyn to Sarah Siddons*.

**MAYA E. ROTH** serves as Della Rosa Associate Professor at Georgetown University, where she was founding Artistic Director of the Davis Performing Arts Center. As artist-scholar, she specializes in feminist plays and criticism, cross-cultural adaptations of classics and new work development. She co-edited the volumes *International Dramaturgy*, and, together with Jen-Scott Mobley, *Lesbian & Queer Plays*, and *Cross-Cultural Plays from the Jane Chambers Prize*. Her creative collaborations commit to interdisciplinary performance and pluralism. She is the recipient of many teaching awards and WTP's Service to the Field Award.

**DONELLE RUWE** is a Professor of English at Northern Arizona University and Associate Dean of the College of Education and Professional Education Programs. She has been the Co-President of the 18<sup>th</sup>- and 19<sup>th</sup>-Century British Women Writers Association. She is the author of *British Children's Poetry in the Romantic Era: Verse, Riddle, and Rhyme*, editor of *Culturing the Child, 1690–1914*, and co-editor with James Leve of *Children, Childhood, and Musical Theater*.

**DANIEL SACK** is an Associate Professor of English at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, jointly appointed with its Commonwealth Honors College. His books include *After Live: Possibility, Potentiality, and the Future of Performance*; *Samuel Beckett's Krapp's Last Tape*; and *Imagined Theatres: Writing for a Theoretical Stage*. He is the

founding editor of the e-journal *Imagined Theatres* and serves as contributing editor for several other journals. His book *Cue Tears: On the Act of Crying* is forthcoming with the University of Michigan Press.

**DIEGO SAGLIA** is a Professor of English Literature at the University of Parma (Italy), and his research concentrates on British Romantic literature and culture, with particular attention to their links and exchanges with continental European traditions. He is a member and current director of the Interuniversity Centre for the Study of Romanticism (CISR), and his latest monographs are *European Literatures in Britain, 1815–1832: Romantic Translations* (2019) and *Modernità del Romanticismo: scrittura e cambiamento nella letteratura britannica 1780–1830* (2023).

**NICK SALVATO** is a Professor of Performing and Media Arts at Cornell University. He is the author of *Uncloseting Drama: American Modernism and Queer Performance, Knots Landing, Obstruction, and Television Scales*. His articles have appeared in numerous venues, including *Critical Inquiry*, *Modern Drama*, *TDR: The Drama Review*, *Theater Journal*, and *Theater Survey*.

**JENNIFER SCHMIDT** is an Assistant Professor of Theatre at Hanover College. Schmidt's research traces the history of the one-woman show in America, and her writing on performers such as Cissie Loftus, Lily Tomlin and Heidi Schreck has appeared in *The Journal of American Drama and Theatre*, *Etudes*, and Howl Round Theatre Commons. She received her Doctor of Fine Arts in Dramaturgy and Dramatic Criticism from the Yale School of Drama.

**GILLIAN SKINNER** is an Associate Professor at Durham University in the United Kingdom. She is the author of *Sensibility and Economics in the Novel, 1740–1800: The Price of a Tear* and of scholarly articles on many aspects of eighteenth-century literature, including women writers, the novel, drama, and life writing.

**ERICA STEVENS ABBITT** is an Associate Professor Emerita at the School of Dramatic Art, University of Windsor, Canada. She is co-editor (with Scott T. Cummings) of *The Theatre of Naomi Wallace: Embodied Dialogues*. Her writings on feminist performance have appeared in *Theatre Journal*, *Asian Theatre Journal*, *Theatre Topics*, *Performance Research*, *The Journal of Dramatic Theatre and Criticism*, and *American Theatre* magazine.

**AOISE STRATFORD** is a Lecturer in Performing and Media Arts at Cornell University. She works on early and contemporary Gothic narratives, Early Modern tragedy, and postcolonial and feminist drama. She has published in *Theatre Survey*, *The Journal of Dramatic Theory and Criticism*, *Modern Drama*, and *The Dramatist*. She is co-editor of *(M)Other Perspectives: Staging Motherhood in 21st Century North American Theatre and Performance*. She is also a playwright and dramaturg.

**ANDREW TOLLE** is a Professor of English at Dallas College and president of the Dallas College Faculty Association. He specializes in American literature, science studies, feminist drama, and posthumanism. His research on nurturing and futurity

involved recovering Charlotte Perkins Gilman's overlooked plays, exploring her desire to succeed in drama, and (re)defining her as a naturalist playwright.

**MIKAELA WARNER** is a doctoral candidate at the University of Georgia, studying Early Modern English Literature and focusing on gender and disability. She has published in *Sidney Journal*, and her dissertation project reconsiders Henry VIII through a Disability Studies lens.

**SARA WARNER** is Director of LGBT Studies at Cornell University. Her book, *Acts of Gaiety: LGBT Performance and the Politics of Pleasure*, received the Outstanding Book Award from the Association for Theatre in Higher Education. She produces community-based performances on social issues.

**RACHEL MORRIS WATSON** is a specialist of twentieth- and twenty-first century French and Francophone theatre. Her manuscript in progress, "(Re)Membering the Body," investigates the performance of embodied memory in contemporary French and Francophone dramaturgies of diaspora and migration. Her writing has been published in *The Drama Review*, *Arab Stages*, and *Horizons/Théâtre*.

**KRISTEN WRIGHT** is an Assistant Professor of Theatre Studies in the Department of Drama in the Tisch School of the Arts at New York University and is also a playwright. She previously earned a Ph.D. in Africana Studies from Cornell University, an M.A. in Africana Studies from Cornell University, an M.A. in African-American Studies from Columbia University, and a B.A. in Political Science and Theater Studies from Yale University.

# Acknowledgments

We thank our editor, Ben Piggott, for his early and sustained commitment to this project, and his former assistant, Zoe Forbes, and current assistant, Steph Hines, for their patient and helpful support throughout the submission and publication process. We also appreciate the entire Routledge production team, especially Sophie Dixon-Dash and Meeta Singh, for their careful shepherding of this volume through to publication.

In addition, we want to acknowledge a number of individuals and institutions that assisted with key elements of this anthology:

Amal Allana  
Cassidy Higgins  
Catherine Kodicek  
Christos Vlahos  
Cornell University College of Arts & Sciences  
David Faulkner  
Diane Glancy  
Djuna Barnes papers, Special Collections and University Archives, University of Maryland Libraries  
Fred Muratori  
Garrick Beck  
Gary Gabisan  
Grove Atlantic, Inc.  
H. Scott Brill  
Independent Age  
Isha Appell  
James Ralston  
Jim Mulligan  
Joey Stocks  
Jorn Bramann  
Katherine E. Kelly, Penny Farfan, and the Editors of *Theatre Journal*  
LeAnn Fields  
Mary Haegert  
Medieval Institute Publications  
Nancy Walker  
Peter Rankin  
Rick Bogel  
Ron Unz

Sheri Wilner  
Sine Hwang Jensen  
St. John and Loie Faulkner  
Susan Brady  
Takemoto Koshikô  
Texas Tech University Gloria Lyerla Library Memorial Fund  
The Asian American Studies Collection, Ethnic Studies Library, University of California, Berkeley  
The Beinecke Library, Yale University  
The Folger Library  
The Houghton Library at Harvard University  
The Huntington Library  
The Newberry Library  
The Schomburg Center for Research in Black Culture  
The Spanish Ministry of Universities (Plan de Recuperación, Transformación y Resiliencia), Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Research Fellowship CA2/RSUE/2021-00383  
Tiffany Ana López  
Tom Walker  
Wendy Weckwerth  
Youngsun Palmer  
Zoe Wilcox

## **PERMISSIONS**

The following people, presses, and organizations have given permission to our authors to excerpt or re-print certain materials in the anthology:

Amal Allana  
*American Theatre*  
Beth Allen  
Cambridge University Press  
Cengage IP Granting Department Copyright Clearance Center  
Cherrie Moraga  
Currency Press  
Doubleday Press  
Emma Rice  
Émilie Monnet  
*The Drama Review (TDR)*  
Garrick Beck, Executor of the Estate of Judith Malina  
Grove/Atlantic  
Houghton Library at Harvard University  
Houghton Mifflin  
Johns Hopkins University Press  
Kate McGrath  
Loughborough Theatre Texts  
Michelle Bruer, Guardian News Service  
National Endowment for the Arts  
*The New York Times*

Nick Hern Books  
Office of Special Collections, New York Public Library  
PAJ Publications  
Palgrave Macmillan  
Penguin Random House  
Peter Rankin  
Sara Henany  
Stuart Bernstein Representation for Artists  
Takemoto Koshikô  
The Bodleian Library, Oxford University  
The British Library  
The Harry Ransom Center, University of Texas at Austin  
The Harvard Theatre Collection  
Velina Hasu Houston  
Victoria Myers  
The Roman Catholic Church of the Diocese of Tucson: A Corporation Sole, owns the rights to Sophie Treadwell, "Writing a Play." It is reprinted here with permission of the Diocese of Tucson. Proceeds from the printing or publication of Treadwell's writings are used for the aid and benefit of Native American children in Arizona.  
David Savran  
Dinah Leavitt Swan  
Elaine Romero  
Jack Tantleff of Paradigm Theatre Agency  
Lara Stevens  
Rowohlt Verlag  
Southern Illinois University Press  
SpringerNature  
Suddhaseel Sen  
Taylor & Francis Group  
Theatre Communications Group  
*Theatre Journal*  
The University of Alabama Press  
The University of Bristol Women's Theatre Collection  
The University of Illinois Press  
The University of Michigan Press  
Wayne State University Press

*From the Publisher:* In the case of all materials included in this anthology, every effort has been made to contact the rights holders. Any party wishing to discuss questions of ownership or copyright are encouraged to contact the publisher.

# INTRODUCTION

*Catherine Burroughs and J. Ellen Gainor*

In her essay on the legendary British actress Ellen Terry (1847–1928), Virginia Woolf (1882–1941) acknowledges the ephemerality of stage artists' work: "What remains is at best only a wavering, insubstantial phantom—a verbal life on the lips of the living" ("Ellen Terry" 67). Recounting Terry's understanding of this truth, Woolf explains that Terry "tried [...] to describe what she remembered" of her fellow artists' performances, particularly those of Henry Irving (1838–1905), with whom she had acted for decades. But, Woolf continues,

[i]t was in vain. [Terry] dropped her pen in despair. 'Oh God, that I were a writer!' she cried. 'Surely a *writer* could not string words together about Henry Irving's Hamlet and say *nothing, nothing*.' It never struck her, humble as she was, and obsessed by her lack of book learning, that she was, among other things, a writer.

(67–68)

Woolf further argues that "whatever [Terry] took up became in her warm, sensitive grasp a tool. [...] [W]ords peeled off, some broken, some suspended in mid-air, but all far more expressive than the tappings of the professional typewriter" (68). Analyzing the epistolary exchanges between Terry and the playwright and critic Bernard Shaw (1856–1950),<sup>1</sup> Woolf summarizes their dialogue on Shakespeare's *Cymbeline*: "But what suggestions has the brilliant critic [Shaw] to make about Imogen?<sup>2</sup> None apparently that she [Terry] has not already thought for herself. She is as close and critical a student of Shakespeare as he is" (71). And yet, Woolf implies, we do not adequately recall Terry as such, ironically perhaps because she was so gifted in so many ways that she "def[ied] our attempts to name" her manifold accomplishments (72).

Woolf—who had herself struggled to secure the designation of "critic"<sup>3</sup>—could have been ruminating on any number of the women and woman-identified critics and theoreticians whose works are represented in *The Routledge Anthology of Women's Theatre Theory and Dramatic Criticism*. The historical oversight or willful undervaluing of women's significant contributions to theatre theory and dramatic criticism remains one of the singular lapses in the discipline. Precisely because, from antiquity to recent time, men's writing has largely comprised and thus defined what constitutes this theory and criticism, the notion of what may be recognized as such has long remained unquestioned. As recently as 2020, for example, Tilden Russell, in the Introduction to his edited collection of dance theory, claimed that there were

“no women theorists before the twentieth century, a situation endemic in all the arts” (xxiii, emphasis added). Our collection proves otherwise.

Historically, anthologies of dramatic theory and criticism, such as Bernard Dukore’s canonical *Dramatic Theory and Criticism: Greeks to Grotowski* (1974), or Daniel Gerould’s *Theatre/Theory/Theatre: The Major Critical Texts from Aristotle and Zeami to Soyinka and Havel* (2000), contained few, if any entries by women. More recently, Glenn Odom notes that “only four female theorists are included” in his *World Theories of Theatre* (2017). Stating that this choice is “indicative of the fact that there are not many women [...] working in the field of theatre theory,” he acknowledges that “there is a rapidly expanding, although still small, pool of world female playwrights and theatre practitioners gaining international prominence,” even though “very few of these explicitly theorize their work in writing” (210). By including Australia’s Mayrose Casey, Argentinian Griselda Gambaro, India’s Poile Sengupta, and New Zealander Roma Potiki, Odom importantly signals an expanding perspective, at the same time that he appears to hold fast to the assumption of a universally shared concept of what constitutes “theory.” By comparison, Aparna Bhargava Dharwadker’s edited volume, *A Poetics of Modernity: Indian Theatre Theory, 1850 to the Present* (2019), is a remarkable, award-winning project that includes a number of female authors and brings to a global readership “theoretically significant writing on theatre from nine Indian languages” (all translated to English) “that articulate[s] the wide-ranging theoretical positions underlying the complex of drama— theatre—performance practices in the modern period” (xxxiii-xxxiv). Projects such as Dharwadker’s unequivocally show that there is a vast body of theoretical and critical writing on global performance traditions that we must continue to identify and make available for a broad readership.

While Marvin Carlson’s important *Theories of the Theatre* (1984) introduces some women writers into a revised historical narrative—as does Mark Fortier’s *Theory/Theatre: An Introduction* (1997, 2002, 2016)—there remain few published locations for women’s writing on the theatre per se. Volumes such as S. P. Cerasano and Marion Wynne-Davies’ *Renaissance Drama by Women: Texts and Documents* (1996) that provide such theory and criticism are perforce limited in their historical scope. Revelatory studies such as Gay Gibson Cima’s *Early American Women Critics: Performance, Religion, Race* (2006) significantly expand our historical understanding but do not provide the writings themselves. In the Introduction to *Women Critics 1660–1820* (1995), the Folger Collective on Early Women Critics explains that “nearly all anthologies and histories of criticism exclude early women, and nearly all anthologies and histories of early women’s writings exclude criticism” (xiii). We would add that writing about drama and performance by women is conspicuously under-represented in both.

These editors additionally note that their volume includes excerpts from forty-one of “the nearly one hundred women we have identified who were producing criticism between 1660 and 1800” (xiii). The Folger Collective further details that its anthology explores

writings in a wide spectrum of genres. Some of the criticism presented in this collection is embedded in plays, novels, and poems. Other selections take the form of dialogues between fictional characters rather than emerging directly from the authorial voice. Still others are confined to the private vehicles of letters and diaries.

In other words, these scholars remind us that we must reconsider our understanding of the forms and locations of theory and criticism if we are to develop not only a fuller sense of such writing but also its broader cultural and political significance. Ann Thompson's and Sasha Roberts' invaluable anthology, *Women Reading Shakespeare, 1660–1900* (1997), for example, demonstrates the extent to which their included authors “use their writing on Shakespeare to raise issues of particular concern to women [...], such as women's education, women's role in public life, and power relations between the sexes in society and in marriage” (5).

*The Routledge Anthology of Women's Theatre Theory and Dramatic Criticism* brings together relevant and illustrative examples of women's writing that are drawn from wide-ranging cultural and historical traditions. The contents are arranged chronologically by date of composition if known (or approximated) or, if published, by date of publication. By pairing primary documents with scholarly framing essays that contextualize these works, we offer readers materials that gesture toward, but cannot possibly encompass, the wealth of theoretical and critical writing by women on drama, theatre, and performance. We readily acknowledge that, as with any such recuperative project, we cannot possibly embrace the full range of these materials historically or geographically or include as fully diverse a group of authors as must be recognized. Our contents reflect only a sampling of this robust and compelling legacy. We also note that the balance of the contents skews toward Anglo-American traditions. While the collection includes some important examples of women's writing in translation, drawn from other global traditions, we profoundly hope that the anthology will prompt new or renewed attention to an even more expansive body of works by women and woman-identified authors worldwide.

This project began in 2018 as a Working Group session of the American Society for Theatre Research, building on a related, earlier session that had been organized by Penny Farfan and Katherine E. Kelly. In organizing our session, we posed three core questions: 1) How does the women's writing speak to our understanding of drama and performance, broadly conceived? 2) How does the women's writing engage with, or prompt us to reconsider, extant understandings of dramatic theory and criticism? 3) How does the women's writing contribute to, or help us to revise, extant understandings of drama and performance in relation to history and culture? The participants in our session—many of whom are included here—as well as those who have since joined this project have all focused their analyses in response to those core concerns.

These foundational questions, undergirding their introductory essays, help us to appreciate the array of forms of writing and perspectives on theatre and performance embedded in the primary documents. Letters convey private responses to specific texts or performances or reveal aspects of the collaborative creative process. Scrapbooks and other memorabilia document artistic work within amateur theatrical communities as well as fandom. Manifestos and published criticism argue forcefully for women's status in the theatre and women's experience in a male-dominated profession. Interviews and speeches include invaluable and distinctive glimpses of women's theatrical engagements. Play excerpts instantiate dramaturgy as theory, and dramaturgy as criticism. Not surprisingly, a number of English female critics are responding to Shakespeare, while prefaces, no matter when or where written, situate the author's plays in their theatrical and cultural contexts. Occasional essays speak to theatre and politics, or aesthetics, or feminism, or other related concerns.

Taken together, the contents of this anthology represent the rich and varied critical and theoretical voices of women whose informed perspectives on the stage and on drama merit our serious attention.

This collection has four primary goals: 1) to introduce women's long history of producing theatre theory and dramatic criticism; 2) to demonstrate the variety of ways that women writers have responded to theatre and performance and have challenged—and are still challenging—traditional, male-authored theories and practices of theatre, performance, drama, and criticism; 3) to make available actual documents that women theatre theorists, practitioners, and critics produced so that users have readier access to them for instructional, creative, and scholarly purposes; and 4) to participate in the ongoing process of expanding our historical, theoretical, critical, and aesthetic understanding of theatre and performance through the strategic introduction of women's writing into established narratives of theatre theory and dramatic criticism.

The collection underscores the simple fact that women have a long history of producing theory and criticism, although it may not have always been apparent as such, nor has it been embraced within the discipline's traditional narratives, for now well-established reasons: women authors may have used alternative genres, sometimes without a public aim, to express themselves, including letters, diaries, marginalia, prefaces, epilogues, scrapbooks, or other creative forms. Women have at times published work anonymously or pseudonymously, or have published work outside of mainstream outlets, if indeed publication has even been possible. And, of course, women's writing, even when accessible, has traditionally been ignored, undervalued, or misrepresented by the dominant culture.

Precisely because women's theoretical and critical writings may not always resemble established conventions for these forms, our volume presents examples of female-authored theories of theatre, playwriting, acting, etc., that can be read directly in dialogue with, and at times in opposition to, the male critical tradition that has heretofore defined the field. Perhaps most importantly, the collection makes available the actual documents (in full or excerpted for length) that women theatre theorists, practitioners, and critics generated. Since many of these documents reside in archives, or in lesser-known or less-accessible publications, this volume makes them more available so that readers can not only discover this expanded concept of a theoretical and critical tradition but also have materials with which to teach, study, and create. We offer here a more expansive picture so that the works of luminaries in our field, as well as isolated, period- or locale-specific examples of women's writing are, perhaps newly, perceived as part of much longer and more diverse traditions. In short, we believe that women's theatre theory and dramatic criticism invaluablely reflect the different kinds of texts, stylistic approaches, modes of analysis, and perspectives on culture that can truly expand our understanding not only of the arts and artistic processes but also of the broader social and political arenas from which artistry emerges and to which it speaks.

## Notes

- 1 See St. John, ed., *Ellen Terry and Bernard Shaw: A Correspondence*.
- 2 Imogen is the central female character in *Cymbeline*.
- 3 See Woolf, "Professions for Women" in her *Selected Essays*.

## Suggestions for Further Reading

- Canning, Charlotte. "Constructing Experience: Theorizing a Feminist Theatre History." *Theatre Journal*. 45.4 (1993): 529–540.
- Canning, Charlotte and Thomas Postlewait, eds. *Representing the Past: Essays in Performance Historiography*. Iowa City: University of Iowa Press, 2010.
- Carlson, Marvin. *Performance: A Critical Introduction*. Third Edition. London: Routledge, 2018.
- Cima, Gay Gibson. "'To Be Public as a Genius and Private as a Woman': The Critical Framing of Nineteenth-Century British Women Playwrights." *Women and Playwriting in Nineteenth-Century Britain*. Eds. Tracy C. Davis and Ellen Donkin. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1999. 35–53.
- Greenwald, Michael L. *The Longman Anthology of Drama and Theatre: A Global Perspective*. London: Longman, 2001.
- Gale, Maggie B. and John Stokes, eds. *The Cambridge Companion to the Actress*. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 2007.
- O'Brien, Karen. *Women and Enlightenment in Eighteenth-Century Britain*. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 2009.

# 1 FIVE MEDIEVAL WOMEN

St. Perpetua (c. 185–203), Hildegard of Bingen (1098–1179), Hadewijch (c. 1200–c. 1250), Katherine Sutton (d. 1376), Margery Kempe (c. 1373–1438)

*Andrew Galloway*

## Introduction

Few medieval women are generally considered to have produced dramatic works, much less theatrical commentary and criticism as we define those categories. The best-known exceptions are rare, though they are far from minor figures. One is the tenth-century nun, Hrotsvit of Gandersheim (c. 935–c. 1002), whose Latin plays—broadly based on the Roman playwright Terence’s character types and plots but refashioned into stories displaying the sanctity of martyred, holy women—may or may not have been acted. Hrotsvit’s commentary is presented separately in this volume. The only other generally noted exception across the long span from late imperial Rome through the later seventeenth century is the remarkable twelfth-century abbess and visionary Hildegard of Bingen (1098–1179), whose *Ordo Virtutum* or “Play of the Virtues” is often picked out as the first “morality play.”

It would seem that little more can be said about women’s drama and dramatic thought and commentary in the Middle Ages. But if “public drama,” in the sense of secular, acted entertainment before general audiences, was not typical or dignified for women in England before the Restoration of 1660, and only somewhat earlier in other European regions, there is plenty of evidence of earlier women’s dramatic creativity and imagination in other forms and genres. More germane to this volume, often the women’s realizations of those quasi-dramatic genres are accompanied by penetrating critical reflections on the meanings and purposes of the results. Hildegard’s “Play of the Virtues,” for example, is far from the only visionary dialogue written by her or other women that is cast in more or less explicitly dramatized terms, and other visionary narratives by Hildegard and others not only feature highly dramatic scenes—and might even have been physically dramatized as Hildegard’s works show—but also often include the writers’ remarks on the meanings of those visions’ details. This suggests we should broaden our generic categories in order to appreciate a fuller archive of women’s dramatic imagination and commentary in early Western culture.

Any broadening, to be sure, requires adjustments in what we are seeking, in determining what theatre and theatrical commentary are. It is true that medieval visionary dialogues, for example, are often implicitly or explicitly in competition with productions or procedures defined as “spectacle.” The earliest selection here presents a direct instance of such competition against public spectacle, in this case the late-imperial Roman spectacle of public execution. This is from the remarkable

autobiographical *Passion* of St. Perpetua (c. 185–203), an otherwise unknown young North African convert to Christianity who was killed by beasts in the Carthaginian Coliseum around the year 203, along with other recalcitrant Christians under Emperor Severus. Perpetua's first-person, vision-laden account of her final days is vivid enough, and its Latin (which changes in style after her account) is demotic or "vulgar" enough, to justify the claim (made by whoever originally preserved it) that it came directly "from her hand." Her running commentary on the meaning of events and visions shows how, for Perpetua, both the waking experience of being imprisoned by the Romans and her visionary versions of what the spectacle of her death really means, offer a competing kind of "spectacle." She focuses on vivid details from which she unpacks the cosmic meanings of her conflict, but also poignantly human moments, cast no less vividly as dramatic action. She includes, for instance, the Romans authorities' brutal separation of her nursing infant when they cast her into prison (she finds it miraculous that her breasts, though swollen, did not hurt or drip, unlike the breasts of a fellow martyr, Felicity, another young mother), and her narrative includes a vivid scene of her father's pleadings that she recant Christianity while she is preparing to resist the similar demands by the Roman imperial official, who then sentences her to death and has her father beaten for his interference. The narrative includes her pitying thought about her father's "wretched old age" while she rejoices with the other Christians as they march off the judicial platform to the prison below the arena.

This is intrinsically theatrical, as law cases always are, but climaxing Perpetua's counter-spectacle are the visions she receives of her execution while imprisoned. Here, her revision of the upcoming execution elaborately rescripts the Roman version of public execution, complete with careful attention to audience, costume, movements, and dialogue. In a vision the night before she is executed, she enters the arena to face not beasts but an "Egyptian" fighter, an agon supervised by a mysterious and enormous man with purple robe and elaborate footgear, who offers her a bough of golden apples if she wins (perhaps a strange Vergilian allusion thrown back at the pagan world she scorns [*Aeneid* 6.124–50]). In this vision, not just clothing but gender identity is malleable: when Perpetua's assistants strip her of her clothing to oil her down, she "became a man," who can then viciously defeat the Egyptian in hand-to-hand, then foot-to-body, combat. Her realization upon awakening of what her execution means is the final step of her reinterpretation of the Roman event: this will not be a typically entertaining Roman execution of a subversive, but a major struggle with the devil himself, a fight she feels she has already won by her unwavering commitment.

Although Perpetua's narrative is not included in anthologies of medieval drama, responses to it have often registered its theatricality. Augustine of Hippo, the influential fourth-century theologian and commentator, remarked about the *Passion of St. Perpetua*, "Quid hoc spectaculo suavius?" ("what sweeter spectacle could there be?" [col. 1281; my trans.]). So too, when the modern church historian Marina Warner muses on Perpetua's narrative, whose effects Warner recalls from having it read aloud to her by the nuns who educated Warner herself, Warner thinks of the potential for dramatic tragedy it demonstrates. For Warner, the *Passion* not only evokes "the image of Perpetua standing in the arena under the battering African sun on the blood-soaked sand with the crowd howling for sport and the grilles behind which the wild beasts paced and snarled, unlocked and ready to be lifted," but also Greek and Shakespearean tragedy (354–6).

If the visionary mode was one way for early Christian and medieval women to recuperate “spectacle” for their own purposes, another way was liturgy, veering into “liturgical drama,” a term often used by modern scholars to accommodate the unpredictable capacity of medieval liturgy to expand into reenactments of sacred history (often greatly expanded from the Bible, in accord with the elaborations of sacred history found in all manner of medieval Christian writings). In some medieval Christian views, the often elaborate liturgy commemorating the Crucifixion could be seen as dramatic “tragedy,” as Honorius Augustodunensis declared in the twelfth century (Kelly, 80–2). By the twelfth century, both secular and religious Latin dramas were widely available, some of them produced for, if not by, “mixed” audiences of men and women (the Latin *Bridegroom* [ed. Dronke, 3–21] and the Anglo-Norman *Play of Adam* [ed. Fitzgerald and Sebastian, 23–56], are notable examples). The line between “liturgy” and “drama” was inevitably and constantly blurred. But if very few women, perhaps even only Hrotsvit, entered that blurry zone from the side of “drama,” a number of them occupied that liminal terrain from the side of “liturgy.” Most productive was Hildegard of Bingen (1098–1179).

Many of Hildegard’s copious writings for her convent can be called at once visionary, liturgical, and dramatic, and it was in the originality of her fusion of these genres that she most risked criticism for violating medieval Christian norms, especially those presenting women, and certainly lavishly performing women, as dangerous beings. No less scandalous was taking pleasure in the beauty of expensive costumes and women’s unbound hair. Hildegard flatly ignored such views and attitudes. It is no surprise, therefore, that another woman, the twelfth-century abbess Tengswich of Andernach, expressed dismay at Hildegard’s productions, in a silky but venomous letter that we are fortunate has survived. Hildegard’s and Tengswich’s exchange offers unparalleled evidence both for how Hildegard disposed her liturgical drama and how, in defending her work, Hildegard viewed every detail of such pious performances. Her reply to Tengswich takes stock of the dramatic and quintessentially feminine nature of the liturgical and visionary dramas that she created for the elite nuns whom she educated and fostered.

If for Tengswich, Hildegard’s selection and dressing of beautiful virgins for religious dance and song in elegant clothing, with embroidered crowns and hair unbound, was a blatant transgression against Christianity’s embrace of the poor and the Fathers’ rejection of sensual theatricality, for Hildegard, such displays of feminine beauty were permitted to virgins. For those are exempt, she argues, from the rules of modesty imposed on married women. Tengswich’s attack led Hildegard to her clearest set of statements about casting, staging, and the Edenic state that both signify: in her convent, a display of the innate beauty of femininity was fully permitted, to a degree hard to find equaled elsewhere in the Middle Ages or indeed many periods. As Barbara Newman notes, “every nun [...] became a figure of the unique virgin bride and remembrance of Eve in the garden—in short, an epiphany of the original *feminea forma*” (222). Virginité for Hildegard was not the suppression of femininity but its fulfillment. As for the social elitism that Tengswich criticized, Hildegard retorted that mixing poor with rich nuns would only bring out envy in the poor, and arrogance in the rich. Here, her dramatic and aesthetic tastes might seem to shape her morality rather than the reverse, yet it might be fairer to see her morality as infused with an artistic and aesthetic celebration of divine and natural creation, epitomized by her signature use of the word for “greening.”

Hildegard thus reveals that she conceives of her convent as a kind of permanent stage, suited to her careful casting, staging, and constant control of mood and meaning. She is able to assert this thanks to her exceptionally authoritative style, both in her visionary or liturgical productions and in her commentary sprinkled throughout those productions, as well as in her letters. Enabled by nearly biblically prophetic self-confidence, Hildegard's many Latin dialogues, visions, and letters blithely offered many novel syntheses between song, poetry, dance, and worship. This governs her well-known *Ordo Virtutum* (ed. Dronke, 147–84) as it does all her other quasi-dramatic visionary dialogues, some of which include more of her running explication and commentary than does the *Ordo Virtutum*. A large set of such visions and concurrent commentary is found in her *Scivias* (a title based on *Scito Vias Domini*, “know the ways of the Lord”), whose visions move vividly and surreally through sacred history, especially the Fall of Adam and Eve, Lucifer's fall, and the Incarnation: all events, as Newman says, that “in the seer's eye [...] were three acts of one drama” (89). A selection from the finale of *Scivias* is chosen here because that features Hildegard's (or rather the Living Light's) commentary, which both before and after the dialogue discusses the details of the vision of the travails and triumph of a “Penitent Soul in a Body.” Allegorical as that drama is, it is notable that for Hildegard, the “body” and its beauties are never forgotten, however much this might distress more dour contemporaries.

Other elegantly described and quasi-dramatic visions by women can be easily found across the next several centuries, both within convents and among the twelfth- and thirteenth-centuries' newer religious orders. Visions were particularly cultivated by beguines, lay women who adopted their own “order,” often wrote in the vernacular, and lived with little male supervision in their own communities, especially in the thirteenth- and fourteenth-century Low Countries. A mid-thirteenth century Dutch writer, Hadewijch (c. 1200–c. 1250), is among the most brilliant of such beguine visionaries. Of her life little is known other than what is available from the sacred love poems, prose visions, and letters that survive, all in Middle Dutch; it seems she had an early divine revelation, followed by some later exile, or perhaps flight from authorities. We do not know her dates of birth or death. But we can see that all of her works are intricate and intensely elegant combinations of mode and media. English-language criticism of Hadewijch has lagged behind Dutch scholarship, which has been more thorough, for example, in showing Hadewijch's uses of music in her lyric poetry (Grijp).

Although the performative nature of Hadewijch's poetry is widely acknowledged, no scholarship, apparently, has addressed her visions' dramatic implications, much less how the strands of commentary within the visions reveal Hadewijch's thinking about the quasi-dramatic scenes she narrates. The vision chosen here presents a youthful, personal, yet highly theatrical encounter with God himself, as the object of the nineteen-year old woman's obsessive love. She yearns, as she explains, to understand why and how the Lord gives to and takes from those who love him. Her vision answers this longing. In that vision, she encounters a gigantic figure ensconced on a monumentally high throne below an all-encompassing royal crown, with a face that somehow displays “all the faces and all the forms that have ever been and will ever be.” Seeing him, Hadewijch slips into a wordless union, lasting one half hour, as she notes. Even ecstasy is precisely situated in the space and time that the vision unfolds. In the vision, she is conveyed from scene to scene “in a spirit” (the phrase is as odd

in Middle Dutch as modern English), a comment that establishes some containment of her special experiences, much like what drama achieves with theatrical space. Ultimately, she comments on the larger meaning of her experiences in terms of the “exile” from God to which she must awaken. As her reflections and commentary on the vision make clear, the experience is a rite of passage that looks both backward and forward to the end of what will be the long sorrows of life. It is about change, and it changes her. During the vision the nineteen-year-old grows older.

Though we can identify the women writers of many religious visions with their drama and their commentary, usually we can only assume that they contributed some liturgy as well. The only liturgy anywhere in the Middle Ages that we know to have been at least significantly shaped by a woman was at Barking Abbey outside London, in the late fourteenth century, during Chaucer’s life and near his setting. Katherine Sutton (d. 1376), abbess of Barking from 1358–76, is said by the Barking *Ordinale* to have revamped the traditional Easter drama in a number of ways in order to revive the “torpor” of modern congregations. Easter drama is often seen as the most important medieval basis for other kinds of drama, with its long-established rituals of the Deposition, the Elevation, and the Visit to the Sepulcher by three Marys, usually monks or priests dressed as the women, seeking the crucified Jesus and learning he is not in the cave where his body had been deposited but has risen, as an angel tells them (richly documented in Young, *Drama* 1:249–410, although he disposes the evidence too neatly into progressive “stages”). So traditional is this general form that it is hard to know how much to credit Sutton with, given the institutional bases of all liturgical drama, not to mention the hints that earlier liturgy at Barking was a bit too vivid to suit the authorities.<sup>1</sup> But given the explicit statement in the abbey’s *Ordinale* concerning Sutton’s major adjustment of the timing of the Easter liturgical drama, and the explicit statement that changes by Sutton and Sutton alone are described in what follows, Sutton was surely a major influence on the Barking production, and both its internal explanations and the drama’s unusual features themselves part of her contribution to dramatic criticism.

The work includes musical invention (several of the songs are not known elsewhere [Ogden, 149]) and vivid stage business, including the rare touch of pouring water and wine on the effigy of the crucified Jesus in her version of the Deposition, before wrapping it in fine clothing, as a corpse would be tended. Thereupon a priest was to carry another cross to the doors of the sepulcher in which the whole convent of nuns has been hidden and from which, at his pounding on the door, they then flee, representing the souls of the patriarchs and prophets released by Jesus’s harrowing of hell. Sutton, who surely added the touch of representing the Marys by nuns rather than male clerics, was likely also responsible for granting more individuation than usual to the Marys; as Anne Yardley notes, each is given “an opportunity to sing individually—to personify these three women as three distinct personalities and not as the undifferentiated Marys” commonly found in Easter *Visitations* (Yardley, 251). Sutton’s desire, as the *Ordinale* says, to dispel “torpor” and reawaken devotion in her jaded London audience can also be understood as a wish to involve women more directly in the most sacred scenes of liturgical drama, while the text of her liturgical drama explains throughout the historical meanings that each of the actions possess.

The apex of this production’s emphasis on women’s authority is the inclusion of the abbess herself confessing and absolving the nuns who are about to play the three Marys. It is important to emphasize that this expression of spiritual authority

is remarkable: women, even abbesses, could neither confess nor absolve others. As Dunbar Ogden observes, “the Abbess takes unto herself sacred powers reserved for the male clergy” (146; Young, *Drama* I:381–85). Making this part of the dramatic action constitutes an implicit yet bold assertion of the convent’s and Sutton’s own authority. This might be seen as *merely* “dramatic,” the climax of a fanciful production that Sutton has created, with a kind of Puck-like power over the church’s clerical and secular audience alike. But it is also a powerful reassertion of the power of women and women’s ideas in the drama of the medieval church, and a sign, if any more were needed, that such capabilities could readily be extended to wider dramatic and even institutional realms.

A later medieval English woman visionary, much better known now than any of these other figures, left visions widely recognized as proto-“dramatic”: Margery Kempe (c. 1373–1438), the daughter of a well-placed bourgeois family of East Anglia. In contrast to Hildegard’s widespread medieval notoriety, however, Margery’s *Book*, with its single surviving copy perhaps written by one of her sons,<sup>2</sup> was probably little known in its time, and entirely unknown to scholars until 1936.<sup>3</sup> Yet Margery’s visions are emphatically public events, often taking place *in public*, whenever she begins her characteristic wailing, as at the sight of babies who recall to her the baby Jesus. Her visions are equally dramatic. As well as multiple bedroom conversations with Jesus, she describes scenes that in their vivid enactments of the Incarnation and Holy Family might easily be joined with more officially staged plays of “salvation history” in the fourteenth- and fifteenth-century cycle plays that were common in Margery’s East Anglia. As the historian Clarissa Atkinson remarks, late-medieval English drama parallels Margery’s visionary imagination, including her “easy familiarity with the Holy Family and the saints” in a mode “entirely characteristic of the style and attitudes of medieval playwrights” (96). Scattered evidence survives of minor or peripheral roles that late-medieval and early Modern women sometimes held in producing civic drama or other festivities (Stokes); it seems clear that Margery would have wanted a far more decisive degree of dramaturgical control than anything her culture offered. Her *Book* is not itself that drama but the guide to the drama that was her life, on which the voices that fill her *Book*, both human and divine, comment continually.

Just as Hadewijch’s desire to understand God’s gifts and punishments is the question that led to her vision, so the vision described by Margery that is selected here is the product of a thought-experiment: Margery asks Jesus what she should think about. This framing of her subsequent vision is thus a commentary on the kind of visionary drama she wants to answer to her questions, and focuses on her creative responses, just as the scene from sacred history that then unfolds places her at its center. In all her narratives and her dramatic visions, Margery, however modestly called simply “the creature,” is the central protagonist. In the vision here she is allowed to hold the baby Jesus, as if cast in the role of godparent, however ironic or hubristic we might see that role in this instance. All of Margery’s visions show some degree of experimental theatre: her visions are all answers to her open-minded desire to contact and revive and even be part of sacred history in her own time. Equally dramatic are the waking scenes she recounts, such as the dialogue she records between herself and Archbishop Thomas Arundel, known for his scourge of heretics (whom some accused Margery of following). Arundel is eventually convinced by Margery to give official sanction to her wearing of a white gown, as a kind of novel “virginal nun.”

This reclothing of herself, recasting herself everywhere she went, was evidently pivotal in her self-redefinition, a “growing ability to assert authority over her self and to trust the strength of her private experience of the nature of the divine,” as Lynn Staley puts it (3). Since we have no other writing by her than the *Book*, a pioneering instance of English autobiography, we cannot trace her intellectual development more precisely. But it is clear that she charts not only a path to salvation but also increasing self-confidence in her anomalous psychological and literary experimentation. Margery’s life is an open-ended dramatic experiment, its only truly fixed element the quasi-bourgeois marriage she will ultimately consummate with Jesus at her death.

## St. Perpetua

### *Excerpt from Passio Sanctarum Perpetuae et Felicitatis, Chaps. 6, 10<sup>f</sup> (c. 203)*

[VI] On the next day while we were eating we were suddenly snatched to go to our hearing. And we came to the forum. A rumor swiftly ran through neighboring areas, and an immense crowd gathered. And we climbed up to the platform. The others were interrogated and professed their faith. My turn came. And my father appeared with my son and plucked me off the steps, saying, “Offer the sacrifice!<sup>5</sup> Pity your baby!” And Hilarianus, the imperial administrator, who had just been promoted to issue capital judgments there after the death of proconsul Minucius Timinianus, said, “Spare the white hairs of your father, spare your infant son. Make the sacrifice on behalf of the emperors’ health!” And I answered, “I won’t do it.” Hilarianus asked, “Are you Christian?” And I responded: “I am Christian.” And since my father was standing there pulling me away, he was ordered by Hilarianus to be ejected, and he was beaten by a stick. And I mourned the blow to my father, as if I had been struck. Thus I mourned for my father’s wretched old age. Then [Hilarianus] pronounces sentence on us all and condemns us to the beasts. And joyous, we descended down into the prison[...].

[X] On the day before we are to fight [the beasts in the arena], I see this in a vision. Pomponius the deacon came to the prison door and knocked loudly. And I went out to him and opened it to him. He had dressed in an unbelted white robe and elaborate sandals. And he said to me, “Perpetua, we are expecting you. Come.” And he held me by the hand, and we went through a difficult and winding place. Finally we arrived, heavily breathing, at the amphitheater, and he led me into the central arena and said to me, “Don’t be afraid; I am here with you and I will work with you.” And he departed. And I see a huge and thundering crowd; and since I knew I was condemned to the beasts, I marveled that beasts weren’t sent out to me. And instead an Egyptian came out, foul in appearance, with his helpers, to battle with me. And elegant youths arrived, my helpers and assistants. And they undressed me. And I became male. And my supporters began to rub me down with oil, in the way they are accustomed to in arena struggles; and on the other side I see the Egyptian rolling in the dust. And then came out a certain man, unbelted, of extraordinary size, so that he could even exceed the top of the amphitheater, on his breast wearing a robe that was purple with two stripes in the middle, and elaborate sandals made from gold and silver, carrying a rod like a lance, and a leafy tree branch with golden apples on it. And he commanded silence and said, “The Egyptian, if he should conquer this

woman, let him kill her with a sword; if she should conquer him, let her take this branch.” And he departed. And we closed on one another and began to land blows; he wanted to seize me by the feet, but I stomped on his face. And I was raised up in the air and I began to stomp him as if not standing on the ground. But when I saw a pause occurring, I joined my hands together to put my fingers into my fingers and I grabbed his head, and he fell on his face and I stomped his head. And the people began to roar and my supporters to chant psalms, and I went to the gladiator-trainer and took the branch. And he kissed me and said to me, “Daughter, peace be with you.” And I went with celebration to the port of Sanavivaria [“Salvation and Life”]. And I woke up. And I realized that I was about to fight not against beasts, but against the devil, but I knew victory would be mine. Up to this point I proceeded [in my writing] until the day before my reward; but if someone else would like, may he write the deed [*actum*] of the reward itself.

## Hildegard of Bingen

### *Excerpt from Letters to and from Mistress Tengswich (c. 1148–1150)*<sup>6</sup>

To Hildegard, mistress of the brides of Christ, T[engswich], mistress of the nuns of Andernach, [sends greetings,] with the highest hopes of uniting with her someday in heaven.<sup>7</sup>

The soaring fame of your sanctity, flying far and wide, has resounded in our ears with extraordinary and astounding things, commending to us in our insignificance the excellence of your sublime religion and singular way of life. For we have learned by the witness of many that a great many heavenly secrets, difficult for mortal understanding, are revealed to you for writing through angels’ divinities, ordained for you to carry out not by human planning but by God himself teaching.

But another thing, unheard of in customs, has also reached us: namely, that your virgins stand in the church for singing on feast days with their hair unbound, and for ornamentation using white silk veils reaching the surface of the ground, wearing crowns on their heads woven with gold, embroidered with crosses on both sides and the back, and in the front with a lamb’s figure elegantly inserted. Further, that their fingers are adorned with gold rings—although the first shepherd of the Church in his Epistle forbade such things, admonishing by saying, “not with plaited hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly attire” [1 Timothy 2:9]. Moreover—seeming to us no less astounding among these other things—only women who are elegant and noble in kind are taken into your convent while ignoble and less wealthy ones your convent utterly rejects.

Wherefore, stupefied, we were stunned by the uncertainty of great doubt, when we silently pondered that the Lord chose paupers and small fishermen into his original Church, and that the blessed Peter later said to peoples converted to the faith, “in truth, I perceive that God is no acceptor of persons” [Acts 10:34; cp. Romans 2:11], remembering too the Apostle’s words to the Corinthians: “not many mighty, not many noble, but God hath chosen the contemptible and the ignoble things of this world” [1 Corinthians 1:26–28]. Indeed, intently scrutinizing as far as we can all things established by the Fathers—to which it is fully proper for all spirituals to conform—we find nothing like this. So great a novelty in your practices, o venerable bride of Christ, exceeds incomparably the capability of our insignificance, striking us with no little wonder.

Therefore we such small womanly creatures, deeply rejoicing with you in your success, with all due love but desiring nonetheless to understand more clearly something about this matter, found it pleasing to send to your sanctity this little letter, humbly and devoutly praying that your dignity would not disdain to write us back soon, to indicate by whose authority such religious practices might be defended.

Farewell; may you remember us in your prayers.

[Reply:] Hildegard, to the Congregation of Nuns [at Andernach]:

The Living Light says: let a woman lie hidden in her little room so she might keep her great modesty, since the serpent has infused in her the great dangers of horrible lust.

How? A woman's beauty glowed and radiated in her first root in which was formed this thing [her womb] in which every creature lies hidden. How? In two ways: namely, by her expert creation by the finger of God, and by her supreme beauty.

How wondrous you are, woman, who have established your foundation in the sun and overcome the world! Wherefore spoke the apostle Paul, who flew into the highest and was silent on earth, so he did not reveal what was hidden: woman, who falls subject to the male power of her husband, conjoined to him in his first rib, ought to preserve her great modesty, so she ought not give or reveal the fame of her own vessel [womb] to a man under a strange place, who is not tied to her [cp. 1 Thessalonians 4:4]. And let her do this according to the word the earth's dominator spoke, in mockery of the devil: "What God hath joined together, let no man put asunder" [Matthew 19:6].

Hear this: the earth exudes grass's greenery until winter conquers it. And the winter takes away the beauty of that flower and covers over its flower's greenery; it cannot conceal itself as if it had never dried up, because winter has taken [the flower] away. Therefore a woman ought not elevate herself or ornament her hair or raise herself by any point of a crown or any gold except by her spouse's will, according to which it will have pleased him in proper measure.

These things, however, do not pertain to a virgin. For she stands in purity and the integrity of the beauty of paradise, and will never seem dried up but always remain in the full greenery of the flowering staff. The virgin does not cover her hair's greenery by precept; instead, she covers herself by choice, through the highest humility, as a human being hides the beauty of the soul, so the rapacious one does not ravish it through pride.

Virgins are joined together in the inviolability of the Holy Spirit and the dawn of virginity. Wherefore it is proper for them to proceed to the highest priest as a sacrifice dedicated to God.

Wherefore it is proper, through dispensation, and through revelation in the mystical breath of the finger of God, that a virgin put on white clothing, the clear sign of a marriage to Christ, since by tightly woven integrity her mind will be strengthened, considering indeed who he is to whom she is conjoined, as is written: "Having this name, and the name of his Father, written on their foreheads" [Revelations 14:1], and "These follow the Lamb whithersoever he goeth" [Revelations 14:4].

For God has the scrutiny of one who scrutinizes every person [cp. Psalm 7:10], such that a lesser rank does not climb above a higher, just as Satan and the first man wanted to fly higher than they were placed. What man will gather together his entire herd of livestock into one stable, namely, cattle, asses, sheep, and goats, in such a way that they do not distinguish themselves?

Therefore let there be discretion even in this, so a diverse public, gathered into one flock, are not destroyed by the pride of elevation and the humiliation of diversity, and especially so that honorable manners are not destroyed when they rip each other apart by hatred, when a higher order overbears a lower one and a lower one ascends above a higher one. God distinguishes peoples on earth just as he does in heaven, discerning angels, archangels, thrones, dominions, cherubim, and seraphim. All these are loved by God, but do not have equal names. Pride loves princes and nobles in their personhood of elevation, and hates them when they kill Pride. It is written: “God does not cast off the mighty, since He himself is mighty” [Job 36:5]. But He himself does not love persons, but the works that have the flavor of him, just as the Son of God says: “my food is to do the will” of my Father [John 4:34].

Where there is humility, there Christ is always taking his meal.

And therefore it is necessary that those men should be aspied who desire vain honor more than humility because they see that *those* things are higher than *those*. Let the sickly sheep be ejected, so that the whole herd is not contaminated. God pours understanding into people so that their name is not blotted [from the book of life; Revelations 3:5]. For it is good for a man not to grab hold of a mountain that he cannot move but to reside in the valley, gradually learning what he can seize [cp. Matthew 17:20].

These words are from the Living Light, not man. Who hears, let him see, and let him believe from where they come.

***Excerpt from Scivias, “Symphony of the Blessed” (1141–1151)<sup>8</sup>***

Then I saw the most brilliant sky, in which I heard various kinds of music with all the aforesaid meanings in a miraculous manner, with praises of the joyous higher citizens strenuously persevering in the way of truth, laments of those joyous ones calling [other] people back to those praises, and the exhortations of Virtues for the salvation of people whom diabolical traps assail[...]. And the sound, like the voice of a multitude, symphonizing in harmony in praise of the celestial ranks, spoke:

[...]

PENITANT SOUL IN A BODY:

Oh you army of the Queen,  
And oh you white lilies of her, with crimson roses,  
Take heed of me,  
Because I, a pilgrim, am exiled from you;  
And help me that I might be able to rise in the blood of the Son of God.

[...]

VIRTUES TO THE PENITANT SOUL IN A BODY:

We wish to bring you back,  
And we do not want to desert you;  
And the whole celestial army rejoices over you.  
So it is fitting for us to resound in symphony.

[...]

THE DEVIL'S TEMPTATION TO THE SAME PENITANT SOUL:

Who are you, and where have you come from?  
You embraced me,  
And I led you forth;  
But now you confound me, in turning back!  
I will throw you down by my attack.

PENITANT SOUL AGAINST THE DEVIL:

I recognized that all your ways are evil,  
And so I fled from you;  
And now, oh impostor, I fight against you.  
[...]

VICTORY:

Rejoice, oh comrades,  
Because the ancient serpent is bound.

VIRTUES:

Praise to you, Christ, King of the angels!  
Oh God, who are you  
Who had this great counsel in yourself,  
Which destroyed the hellish drink,  
Among publicans and sinners,  
Who now shine in celestial goodness?  
Wherefore praise to you, oh King!  
[...]

These voices were like the voice of a multitude, when a multitude lifts its voices on high. And their sound passed through me, so that without any obstacle or delay I understood them. And I heard a voice from the shining sky speaking to me: [...]

Thus, oh human, you see “the most brilliant sky,” designating the brightness of the joy of the heavenly citizens, in which you heard “various kinds of music with all the aforesaid meanings in a miraculous manner: with praises of the joyous higher citizens strenuously persevering in the way of truth, laments of those joyous ones calling people back to those praises”; for, as the air encloses and sustains the things under the heavens, so the wonders of God, as you heard in the things demonstrated to you, a sweet and delightful symphony expresses with joy the wonders of the elect living in the heavenly city, persisting in their sweet devotion to God; but it laments the wavering of those the ancient serpent is trying to destroy, whom nonetheless divine power vigorously leads to the company of the blessed joys, proffering them the mysteries unknown to human minds inclined to the world; and “in the exhortation of the virtues exhorting themselves to the salvation of the people whom diabolical traps are assailing. But those virtues defeat his snares, so that the faithful at last through repentance proceed out of their sins and into heaven.” For there, virtues in the minds of the faithful resist the vices by which the Devil fatigues them; but when those virtues overcome him with the mightiest strength, people, fallen into sins, turn back to penance by God’s will, when they will scrutinize and bewail their former deeds,

and consider and sing their future ones[...]. Thus the words symbolize the body, but the symphonizing manifests the spirit; since celestial harmony denotes divinity, and the Word manifests the humanity of the Son of God.

## Hadewijch

### *Excerpt from Visions*<sup>9</sup> (c. 1250)

It was on a feast of the Epiphany. I was turning nineteen, as someone told me that day. Then I wished to go to our Lord, and in those days I was in longing, and in exceedingly strong desire, to understand how God takes and gives to persons who, lost in him and taken up in fulfillment, are conformed to his will in every circumstance. On this day I was again strongly moved in love because of my longing. And then I was taken up in a spirit [*in enen gheeste*] and conveyed to where a huge, awe-inspiring place was shown me, and in this mighty place stood a seat. And he who sat on it was invisible and incomprehensible in the dignity of rule he wielded from that height. To be seated in such a place is incomprehensible to both heavenly and earthly beings. Above that high seat, in this high place, I saw a crown that was above all diadems. It embraced all things in its width and nothing existed outside the crown. And an angel came, with a glowing censer glowing red-hot with fiery smoke. He knelt before the highest part of the seat, above which the crown hung, and he paid him honor and said:

Oh unknown Power and almighty great Lord, receive honor and dignity from this girl who resorts to you in your secret place, which is unknown to all who do not send you such a kindled offering with such sharp arrows as she sends you with her new burning youth. For she has now ended her nineteenth year, as is said. And it is she, Lord, who comes to seek you in the spirit—who you are, whom men do not understand. For that unknown life, which you have aroused in her with your burning charity, has led her to this place. Now reveal to her that you have drawn her here, and transport her wholly within yourself.

And then I heard a terrible voice speaking to me and—unheard of—speaking to me with imagery [*bi enen ghelikenesse*] and said: “See who I am!”

And I saw him whom I sought. And his face revealed itself with such clarity that I recognized in it all the faces and forms that existed and ever shall exist, from which he received honor and service in all right. I saw why each one must receive his part, in doom and in blessing, and by what each one must be set in his position; and by what ways of being some persons wander from him and return back to him again, finer and more beautiful than they were before; why still others seem always wandering and never came back, and they remained standing entirely still and almost devoid of consolation at all times; and others have remained in their place since childhood, have known themselves at their worth, and have held out to the end. I recognized all these beings there in that face.

In his right hand I saw the gifts of his blessing; and I saw in his hand heaven in its vastness opened, and all those who will be with him there eternally. In his left hand I saw the sword of the fearful stroke, with which he strikes down everyone to death. In this hand I saw hell and all its eternal company. I saw his greatness oppressed under all, I saw his smallness exalted above all. I saw his hiddenness embracing and

flowing through all things. I saw his breadth enclosed in all. I heard his reasoned understanding, and perceived all reason with reason. I saw in his breast the entire fulfillment of his nature in love. In everything else I saw, I could understand it in the spirit [*in de geest*].

But then wonder seized me because of all the riches I had seen in him, and through this wonder I came out of the spirit in which I had seen all that I sought. And as in this situation in all this rich comprehension I recognized my awe-inspiring love, my unspeakably sweet beloved, I fell out of the spirit, from myself and all I had seen in him, and, wholly lost, fell upon the breast, the fulfillment of his nature, which is love. There I remained engulfed and lost, without any comprehension of other knowledge, or sight, or spiritual understanding, except to be one with him and to have fulfillment of this union. I remained in this less than half an hour [cp. Revelations 8.1].

Then I was called back again in a spirit, and again I recognized and understood all reasoning as before. And once again it was said to me by him:

From now on you shall never more condemn or bless anyone except as I wish; and you shall give everyone his due according to his worth. This is what I am, in fulfillment and in knowledge, and in entrancement for those who wish to content me according to my will. I direct you to live in conformity with my divinity and my humanity, back in the cruel world, where you must taste every kind of death, until you return here in the full name of my fulfillment, in which you are baptized in my depths.

And with this I returned, woeful, to myself.

### **Katherine Sutton, Abbess of Barking Abbey**

*Excerpt from the Barking Ordinale and Customary*<sup>10</sup> (c.1375)

[Deposition of the Cross]<sup>11</sup>

Meanwhile while the left-hand chorus have sung this antiphon, let the abbess first adore the Cross in memory, namely, seeking the five graces of Christ's five wounds; and when she will have come to the Cross with her whole body prostrate, let her kiss the feet, hands, and right side of the Crucifix with reverence and devoutness, praying that the Holy Spirit shall inspire her heart, with the rest of the sisters then imitating her in the pattern of these things [...] When the Holy Cross has been adored, let the priests, elevating the Cross from its place [in the sepulcher], begin the antiphon, "Above all the trees [...]" and with the chorus then following let them sing together the whole thing, led by the female cantor. Let them convey the Cross to the great altar, and there, in the guise of Joseph of Arimathea and Nicodemus, putting the Image down from the wood, let them wash the wounds of the Crucifix with wine and water [...] And when they have placed it reverently in the aforesaid place, splendidly adorned with a golden-threaded pillow of tapestries and bright linens, let the priest close the sepulcher and begin the responsory, "The Lord being buried [...]" [...] And then let the abbess offer a candle that shall burn continually before the sepulcher, not extinguished until the Image, which will have been taken up from the sepulcher with candles and incense and procession on Easter night after matins, be replaced in its spot [...].

Concerning Easter Festivities:

Let the glorious solemnity of the Lord's resurrection principally be celebrated.

First let two bells be rung, and not cease until the entire convent of the chorus shall enter. Then, after two peals each between fifteen Psalms, let the last peal be sounded [...]

Note that according to ancient church custom, the Lord's resurrection was celebrated before Matins, and before any striking of bells on Easter day; and since<sup>12</sup> the mass of people in those days seemed to grow cold in devotion, and with human torpor greatly increasing, the revered lady, Lady Katherine Sutton, then serving in the role of their pastoral care and desiring to extirpate entirely the said torpor and, to rouse all the more the devotion of the faithful for such a renowned celebration, established with the unanimous consent of her sisters that the celebration of the Lord's resurrection would be made immediately after the third responsory of Matins on Easter day, and the proceedings were established in this manner.

First let the Lady Abbess go with the entire convent and certain priests and clerks dressed in copes with every priest and clerk carrying a palm and an unlit candle in his hand; let them enter the chapel of St. Mary Magdalene, figuring the souls of the holy fathers descending to hell before the coming of Christ; and let them shut themselves into the aforesaid chapel. Then, after the officiating priest should arrive, let them approach the aforesaid chapel dressed in alba and cope, with two deacons—one bringing a cross with the Lord's banner hanging from the top, the other carrying a censer in his hand, and with other priests and clerks with two boys carrying candles—at the door of the aforesaid chapel, beginning three times this antiphon, "Raise your gates [...]"—which priest shall indeed represent the person of Christ about to descend to those below and rip away the doors of hell. And let the aforesaid antiphon begin each time in a louder voice, which each time the clergy repeats, and at each beginning let the priest beat with the cross at the aforesaid door, figuring the breaking of the gates of hell, and at the third blow let the door open. Then let him enter with his ministers. Meanwhile, let a certain priest, remaining in the chapel, begin the antiphon "From the gates of hell [...]," which the female cantor with the entire convent should take up. "O Lord, rescue [...]" ... Then let all exit the chapel, that is, from the Limbo of the Fathers [...] in procession through the middle of the chorus to the sepulcher each carrying a palm and a candle designating a victory seized over the enemy, followed by the Lady Abbess, the prioress, and with the entire convent just as before. And when the officiating priest will have come to the sepulcher let him cense it and enter the sepulcher, beginning the verse "He rises [...]" then let the female cantor join in with "Christ from the tomb [...]" and meanwhile let him carry out the body of the Lord [the Host] from the sepulcher, beginning the antiphon, "Christ rising [...]" before the altar with his face turned to the people, holding the Lord's body enclosed in crystal in his hands [...]. And let them proceed in procession to the altar of the Holy Trinity in solemn arrangement, namely with censers and candles [...] and this procession signifies how Christ proceeded after his resurrection in Galilea with his disciples following.

With these things finished, let three sisters chosen in advance by the abbess come forth and, taking off their black clothing in the chapel of the Blessed Mary Magdalene, let them be clothed in shining surplices, with white veils placed over their heads by the lady abbess. Thus prepared, therefore, and holding silver vessels

in their hands, let them say their confession [*dicant Confiteor*] to the abess, and, having been absolved by her, let them stand in an established place with candles. Then let the one who pretends to serve as Mary Magdalene sing this verse, “At one time of God [...]” This finished, let the second, who imitates Mary Jacobi, respond with the second verse, “Drawing near, therefore, alone [...],” the third Mary in turn taking the role of Salome: “I am allowed to go with you [...]” After going to the choir, let them sing with tearful and submissive voice these verses together: “Alas the hearts within us [...]” Then let the Maries, exiting the choir, say together, “Alas who has rolled away [...]” When they will have come to the sepulcher, let a clerk dressed in a white stole be seated before the sepulcher serving in the role [*imago*] of the angel who rolled the stone away from the tomb and sat on it, and let him say to them, “Whom do you seek in the sepulcher, o companions of Christ?” Let the women reply, “We seek Jesus of Nazareth.” Let the angel follow with “He is not here; he has risen.” And when he will have said “come, and see,” let them enter the sepulcher and kiss the place where the Crucifix had been placed. Let Mary Magdalene take the sudary that had covered his head and take it with her. Then let another clerk in the form of another angel sitting in the sepulcher say to the Magdalene, “Woman, why are you weeping?” Let her follow that with “Because they have taken away my lord.” Then let the two angels singing together say to the women, “Why are you seeking the living among the dead [...]?” Then let them, still doubting the resurrection of the Lord, weeping say to one another, “Alas, misery [...]” Thereafter let Mary Magdalene sighing sing, “I sigh for you [...]” Then on the left side of the altar let the Person [of Christ] appear, saying to her, “Woman, why do you weep, whom do you seek?” But let her, thinking him a gardener, respond, “Lord, if you have carried him away [...]” Let the Person add, “*Maria.*” Then let her, recognizing him, prostrate herself at his feet saying “*Raboni.*” But let the Person withdrawing himself say “Touch me not [...]” When the Person will have disappeared, let Mary communicate her joy to her companions, with a happy voice, singing these verses, “Rejoice and be happy [...]” These things finished, let the Person on the right side of the altar encounter the women all together saying, “Greetings, do not fear [...]” Then let them, prostrating themselves on the ground, grasp his feet and kiss them. This done, let them sing in succession these verses, Mary Magdalene beginning, “Jesus, the Nazarene [...]” Once these verses are completed, then let the Maries standing on the steps before the altar, turning themselves to the people, sing these verses, “Alleluia, the Lord has risen from the sepulcher [...],” with the choir responding to them. With these things finished, let the priests and clerks, in figuration of the disciples of Christ, proceed forward, saying, “O people hard-hearted [...]” Then let one of them approach and say to Mary Magdalene, “Tell us, *Maria* [...]”<sup>13</sup> Let her then respond, “Sepulcher of Christ [...]”; “Angelic witnesses [...]”; with her finger let her point out the place where the angel was sitting and offer to them the sudary to kiss, adding this verse, “Christ has risen, our hope [...]” Then let the disciples and the choir add these final verses, “It is to be believed [...]” and “We know Christ [...]” Afterward let Magdalene begin “Christ rising,” with clergy and choir singing with her as well.

These things thus finished, let the hymn “O God we praise thee [...]” [*Te Deum laudamus*] be sung, with the priest beginning; meanwhile, with the aforesaid priests putting back on their proper clothing in the chapel, let them approach the sepulcher

with candles in order to pray, passing through the choir, and let them thus make there a brief prayer. Then let them return to their stations until the abbess orders them to exit for rest.

## Margery Kempe

### *Excerpt from The Book of Margery Kempe*<sup>14</sup> (c.1430)

Another day this creatur schuld geve hir [*give herself*] to medytacyon, as sche was bodyn [*bid to*] befor, and sche lay styll, nowt knowyng what sche mygth best thynke. Than sche seyde [*said*] to owre [*our*] Lord Jhesu Crist, “Jhesu, what schal I thynke?” Ower Lord Jhesu answeyde to hir mende [*mind*], “Dowtyr, thynke on my modyr [*my mother*], for sche is cause of alle the grace that thou [*thou*] hast.” And than anon sche saw Seynt Anne gret [*great*] wyth chylde, and than sche preyde [*prayed*] Seynt Anne to be hir mayden and hir servawnt. And anon owre Lady was born, and than sche besyde hir to take the chylde to hir [*herself*] and kepe it tyl [*until*] it wer twelve yer of age wyth good mete [*food*] and drynke, wyth fayr [*fair*] whyte clothys and whyte kerchys [*diapers*]. And than sche seyde to the blyssed chylde, “Lady, ye schal be the modyr [*mother*] of God.” The blyssed chylde answeyde and seyde, “I wold I wer [*I wish I were*] worthy to be the handmayden of hir that [*she who*] schuld conseive the sone of God.” The creatur seyde, “I pray yow, Lady, yf [*if*] that grace falle yow, forsake not [*don't forget*] my servyse.” The blyssful chylde passyd away [*departed*] for a certeyn tyme, the creatur being styll in contemplacyon, and sythen cam ageyn [*returned*] and seyde, “Dowtyr, now am I become [*I have become*] the modyr of God.”

And than the creatur [*creature: MK herself*] fel down on hir kneys wyth gret reverens [*reverence*] and gret wepyng and seyde, “I am not worthy, Lady, to do yow servyse.” “Yys, [*Yes*] dowtyr,” sche seyde, “folwe thou me, thi servyse lykyth me [*your service pleases me*] wel.” Than went sche forth wyth owre Lady and wyth Josep, beryng wyth hir a potel of pyment and spycys therto [*container of sweetened and spiced wine*]. Than went thei forth to Elysabeth, Seynt John Baptistys modir [*the mother of St. John the Baptist*], and, whan thei mettyn [*they met*] togyder, eythyr [*both*] of hem [*them*] worshepyde other [*the other*], and so thei wonyd togedyr [*lived together*] wyth gret grace and gladnesse twelve wokys [*weeks*]. And than Seynt John was bor [*was born*], and owre Lady toke hym up fro the erthe wyth al maner reverens and gaf [*gave*] hym to hys moder, seyng of [*saying about*] hym that he schuld [*would*] be an holy man, and blyssed hym. Sythen [*then*] thei toke her leve [*took their leave*] eythyr of other [*each from the other*] wyth compassyf terys. And than the creatur fel down on kneys to Seynt Elysabeth and preyde hir sche wold [*would*] prey for hir to owre Lady that sche mygth do hir servyse and plesawns [*do her service and pleasure*]. “Dowtyr, me semyth” [*Daughter, it seems to me*], seyde Elysabeth, “Thu dost ryght wel thi dever” [*you are doing your duty very well*].

And than went the creatur forth wyth owre [*our*] Lady to Bedlem [*Bethlehem*] and purchasyde hir herborwe [*paid for her lodging*] every nyght wyth gret reverens, and owre Lady was receyved wyth glad cher [*warm hospitality*]. Also sche beggyde [*got by begging for*] owre Lady fayr whyte clothys and kerchys [*diapers*] for to swathyn [*swaddle*] in hir sone [*her son in*] whan he wer born, and, whan Jhesu was born sche ordeyned beddyng for owre Lady to lyg in [*lie*] wyth hir blyssed sone. And sythen [*then*] sche beggyde mete [*food*] for owre Lady and hir blyssyd chylde. Aftyrward sche swathyd [*swaddled*] hym wyth byttyr teerys [*bitter tears*] of compassyon, havyng mend [*having*

*mind, i.e., taking thought*] of the scharp [*painful*] deth that he schuld [*would have to*] suffyr for the lofe [*love*] of synful men, seyng to hym, “Lord, I schal fare fayr wyth yow [*I will treat you well*]; I schal not byndyn yow soor [*bind you too tightly*]. I pray yow beth not dysplesyd wyth me.”

*Please see the Works Cited for further information on the sources used in this essay.*

## Notes

- 1 See Santha Bhattacharji, “Sutton, Katherine.”
- 2 See Sebastian Sobceki, “The writing of this tretys.”
- 3 See Carolyn Dinshaw, “Margery Kempe.”
- 4 Translation by Andrew Galloway from van Beek, ed., pp. 16–26.
- 5 That is, a sacrifice to the official Roman gods on behalf of the emperor, as the exchange makes clear.
- 6 Translation by Andrew Galloway from *Hildegardis Bingensis Epistolarium*, ed. Van Acker, pp. 125–30.
- 7 The author of this letter identifies herself (with pointed modesty) only as “T,” but we (like Hildegard) know from her abbey that she is the abbess Tengswich. Mother Tengswich’s reasons for writing are explained in her letter. Their entire exchange is presented here; no other letters between Tengswich and Hildegard survive.
- 8 Translation by Andrew Galloway from *Hildegardis Scivias*, ed. Führkötter, pp. 614–15, 627–29, 631. The excerpt is from Part III, vision 13.
- 9 Translation by Andrew Galloway from *Het Visioenenboek*, ed. Vekeman, pp. 81–89. The excerpt is from the Sixth Vision.
- 10 Translation by Andrew Galloway from Tolhurst, ed., 1.99–100, 106–8.
- 11 The Christian services for Easter, established as commemorating Jesus’s Crucifixion and Resurrection (and marking the arrival of spring), are elaborate and ancient, and from the tenth century onward offered opportunity for even more elaborate “Easter drama,” whose participation in and distinctions from any other kind of drama are matters of long and ongoing discussion. By the early Christian centuries were established annual ceremonies based on episodes in the gospels presenting Jesus’s Crucifixion, his Descent or Deposition (taking down his body from the Cross), and, on Easter morning, his Elevation (the resurrection and ascent into heaven). Key features of these occur at the Elevation, including dramatizing the search of the three Marys for Jesus’s body only to encounter an angel at his tomb who tells them that he is not there but has arisen, a dialogue loosely based on the synoptic gospel accounts of Matthew 28:5–10, Mark 16:5–7, and Luke 24:4–6, though none of those has dramatic dialogue. The Deposition enacted in a church involved taking down the crucifix and placing it, suitably tended and mourned, in a special “sepulcher” (often an elaborated engraved stone feature inside a medieval church altar area, with a small recess to receive the Crucifix, but here clearly a much larger internal structure), to be brought out and “resurrected” in the Elevation. For some of Sutton’s innovations in the typical versions of this liturgy, see the essay discussing these materials.
- 12 “Quoniam” for “quam” in Tolhurst’s edition of the *Ordinale*, a medieval or the modern editor’s error.
- 13 “Dic” for “dixit” in Tolhurst’s edition of the *Ordinale*, a medieval or the modern editor’s error.
- 14 From Staley, ed., 32–33; glosses by Andrew Galloway

## Suggestions for Further Reading

- Baird, Joseph L., trans. *The Personal Correspondence of Hildegard of Bingen*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006.
- Bremmer, Jan N. and Marco Formisano, eds. *Perpetua’s Passions: Multidisciplinary Approaches to the Passio Perpetuae et Felicitatis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

- Cerasano, S. P. and Marion Wynne-Davies, eds. *Renaissance Drama by Women: Texts and Documents*. London: Routledge, 1996.
- Galloway, Andrew. "Visions and Visionaries." *The Oxford Handbook of Medieval Literature*. Elaine Treharne and Greg Walker, eds. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010, 256–75.
- Hart, Mother Columba, and Jane Bishop, trans. *Hildegard of Bingen, Scivias*. New York: Paulist Press, 1990.
- Hart, Mother Columba, trans. *Hadewijch: The Complete Works*. New York: Paulist Press, 1980.
- Lancaster, Anne. *London Civic Theatre: City Drama and Pageantry from Roman Times to 1558*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- Nissé, Ruth. *Defining Acts: Drama and the Politics of Interpretation in Late Medieval England*. Notre Dame, IA: University of Notre Dame Press, 2005.
- Tydemann, William. *The Theatre in the Middle Ages: Western European Stage Conditions, c.800–1576*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1979.

## 2 HROTSVIT OF GANDERSHEIM (c. 935–c. 1002)

*Andrew Galloway*

### Introduction

Hrotsvit<sup>1</sup> (c. 935–c. 1002) is the first named woman playwright, the first named woman to write epic, and the first named woman to comment directly on drama; from our contemporary perspective, she seems to tower above an otherwise almost impenetrable mist. “O rare bird in Saxony!” exclaimed the sixteenth-century monk Heinrich Bodo, following the nationalizing treatment of her by the German Humanist scholar, Conrad Celtis, who discovered and then printed the fullest still-surviving copy of her works (which he had cut apart and reordered) in 1501 (Bodarwé 336; Berschin 27–29).<sup>2</sup> Much of what we know about Hrotsvit is derived from her own works, especially her handful of prefaces and addresses to her readers, but other clues open her world further. Hrotsvit was a nun in Gandesheim, a monastery founded in Saxony in 856 but occupied only after its abbey church was completed in 880. By Hrotsvit’s time, Gandesheim had become one of the richest and most privileged religious houses in Saxony, a brilliant center of education and exchange with neighboring abbeys and the royal court. During most of Hrotsvit’s life, Gerberga II was Gandersheim’s abbess. Gerberga II was the niece of Otto the Great (912–73), who fashioned himself as the ruler of a revived Roman Empire (later called the Holy Roman Empire to distinguish it from the long-collapsed pagan Roman Empire of the ancient world, the setting for so many of Hrotsvit’s plays).<sup>3</sup>

Hrotsvit wrote at Gerberga’s request a Latin verse history of the *Deeds of Otto the Great*, as well as verse narratives of saints’ lives with addresses to Gerberga. Hrotsvit’s six plays are grouped and introduced with the Preface, translated here, along with a slyly deferential letter to certain “learned men” to whom she dedicates the drama. These, with other poetic narratives and verse prayers, survive in the single tenth-century manuscript in elegant Carolingian Latin and Carolingian script that Celtis discovered and printed. The mere fact that a named woman from her period was so learned a playwright and poet has sometimes seemed reason enough to value Hrotsvit. But scholars have found further reasons to ponder the complexities of her views of virginity, gender, political power, and intellectual authority, and have frequently considered where her plays might fit in dramatic history—if they do at all. Whether Hrotsvit’s plays were acted or even recited with any degree of mimetic impersonation continues to be debated.<sup>4</sup>

The Preface’s consideration of the “insane” mores of pagan drama, identified with the Roman comic dramatist Terence (c. 195–c. 158 BCE), casts a large literary-historical and world-historical frame around these issues. Hrotsvit’s plays are all historical dramas

that show the defeat of brutal pagan imperial males by chaste and captive but spiritually powerful Christian women—and in one case a young virgin man. This may reflect how burdensome reproductive sexuality was, especially for women, carrying family-linking and heir-producing importance in Ottonian (like much medieval) culture.

Hrotsvit speaks of herself “blushing” as she depicts pagan lusts, but her identification of herself as “Clamor Validus” (Strong Cry) introduces her bodily presence in another, more pointed way. In one sense, the phrase is a simple (though not the only possible) Latin version of her Saxon name: *hrot* (‘cry’) plus *svit* (‘strong’), as Jacob Grimm was first to mention in 1898 (ix). But as many have since suggested, this also seems an allusion to John the Baptist as the “voice of one crying [*vox clamantis*] in the desert” (Mark 1:3), and perhaps even to the prayers of Christ Himself, in Hebrews 5:7, where, while still “in the flesh,” he is said to have offered up supplications to God “with a strong cry” (Berschin, “Hrotsvit” 23, n. 2)

Those possible implications are bold enough claims to her authority and freedom. But scholars seem not to have considered a further, somewhat less Christian possibility informing the phrase. The most widely used treatise on rhetoric and public speaking from pagan Antiquity, *Ad Herennium* (c. 80 BCE), falsely attributed to Cicero and used in rhetorical education for a millennium, discusses an orator’s proper voicing and enunciation, including when to use *clamor*, usually translated as “full voicing.” Assuming throughout that only a man can be a public orator, the unidentified author declares that a man should carefully choose when he ought to rise to *clamor*, whereas a woman’s voice is “ignoble” because it too often stays in that range: “sharp exclamation injures the voice and likewise jars the hearer, for it has about it something ignoble, suited rather to feminine outcry than to manly dignity in speaking” (Caplan 3.12.22).

Especially in the context of a (self-)introduction, Hrotsvit’s positive use of that phrase seems uncannily similar to the disparagement of women’s “outcry” by the pagan author of the *Ad Herennium*. The point is certainly clear in the plays themselves, where pious women verbally demolish their brutal (and often impotently clamorous) male assailants. Hrotsvit’s chaste female interlocutors, like Hrotsvit herself as her Preface presents her, demonstrate an abundance of ways for evading or reinterpreting St. Paul’s stern dictum, “I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to use authority over the man; but to be in silence” (1 Timothy 2:12).

Yet evasion and reinterpretation are not insurgency. As the unstable modesty yet boldness in the Preface makes clear, Hrotsvit can be seen either ultimately to uphold a male hierarchy of husbands, emperors, then God,<sup>5</sup> or, equally, to question all authority—except God’s (Brown 262). Either way, the plays like the Preface show women in dialogue with men of authority, in various literary and political modes, overtly and by implication. Even her comments against Terence in the Preface merely parallel the ancient playwright’s defenses in his own prologues against certain critics of how he used his sources.<sup>6</sup>

Hrotsvit’s achievement is unexpected in so many ways that scholars continue to try to understand just how rare she was. That question is particularly pressing in regard to how unusual her idea of “drama” was, whatever it was. Her considerations of Terence do not directly help us there, as Terence was evidently read then, rather than performed. Fully impersonated (or “dramatized”) liturgical drama had not yet appeared in tenth-century Germany, and secular Latin drama did not widely emerge until the twelfth century. At most we can say that Hrotsvit follows the basic early medieval definition of “drama” as “dialogue.”<sup>7</sup>

There is no question that Hrotsvit's ways of "imitating" Terence were highly creative, taking "imitation" in the sense of interpretation and elaboration, as was often the case in medieval theories of translation.<sup>8</sup> Roman theatricality was a fact of political life at court at the height of Hrotsvit's productive years during the Ottonian empire, which continued Charlemagne's invocations of Roman imperial culture shaped, like Hrotsvit's drama, into a solemnly Christianized form. Gandesheim's church boasted a grand western extension (*Westwerk*) including a special gallery overlooking the nave, which was designed for the king to partake in the monastery's religious ceremonies (Bernhardt 153). Might Emperor Otto and his son Otto II have witnessed from there Hrotsvit's wittily pious rebukes of the kinds of Romanized theatricality to which the imperial court was accustomed?

## Hrotsvit of Gandersheim

### "Preface to the Dramas" (c. 1000)

Catholics can be found aplenty whose actions we cannot entirely expurgate: who, because of the beauty of more elegant discourse, prefer the vanity of pagan texts to the value [*utilitas*] of sacred scripture. There are even some who, though they adhere to the sacred text and spurn the things of gentiles,<sup>10</sup> often take pleasure in the fictions of Terence,<sup>11</sup> and while delighted by the sweetness of the discourse are stained by ideas of unspeakable crimes. Wherefore I, the Strong Cry of Gandersheim [*Clamor Validus Gandeshemensis*], have not refrained from imitating him in speaking since others worship him in reading—except that, using the same genre in which the foul unchaste actions of lascivious women are recited, I celebrate the laudable chastity of sacred virgins, as far as my feeble intellect's ability.<sup>12</sup>

Not infrequently, however, it shames me, infusing deep blushes, that, since this kind of writing demands the detestable illicit madness of lovers and their evilly sweet discourse together, I have conceived in my mind and inscribed with my quill things not meant to be accommodated to our hearing. Yet if, in my blushing, I should fail to do these things or to fulfill my plan, I would also fail to expound the praise of innocents, as far as my full ability. For the quicker that some turn out to be in illicitly flattering the insane, the higher the glory of the divine Helper and the more glorious the victory of those triumphing, especially when feminine weakness conquers and masculine strength is overthrown.<sup>13</sup>

As for myself, I do not doubt some will object that the value of my writing is lower by far, and more constrained by far, indeed utterly unlike the statements of him whom I meant to imitate. I grant it. Yet I declare to such doubters that I cannot be convicted by that law, as if I had aberrantly wanted to be made similar to those who, greater in learning, far outstripped my artlessness. Nor am I of such boastfulness that I would presume to compare myself even to the least disciple of [pagan] authorities. This alone do I seek: that, although not at all suitably capable, with bowed devoutness of mind I might render back to the Giver the ingenuity I have been granted.

Wherefore I am not so great a lover of myself that, in order to avoid the censure of Christ, Who creates strength and virtue among the holy wherever He will provide the capability, I would cease preaching. I will rejoice, however, if my devoutness pleases anyone. But if, either because of my lowliness or because of the flawed folksiness of my speech, this pleases no one, I would nonetheless take pleasure in what I have done

[or what I have made: *fecit*]. For while I cultivate the vileness of my own labor—using linked heroic strophes [*heroico ligatam strophio*] in other little works of my feeble-mindedness, and here knitted-up dramatic exchanges [*dramatica vinctam serie*]—I evade the pernicious pleasures of the gentiles.

*Please see the Works Cited for further information on the sources used in this essay.*

## Notes

- 1 Also identified in modern discussions as Hrosvitha, Hroswitha, Hrosvit, Hrotsvitha, Rosvita, and Roswitha. Even this element of her life and work is controversial. The poet twice records her own name in the nominative (subject) case as “Hrotsvit,” thus that form is used here as in most current scholarship. The other forms that scholars have used are based on various other assumptions about the Old Saxon forms of her name.
- 2 All translations are mine unless otherwise noted.
- 3 See Wailes and Brown, “Hrotsvit and her World” and Berschin.
- 4 See, for example, Zeydel; Zampelli; Wailes 122–23.
- 5 See McMillin.
- 6 See Newman.
- 7 See Wilson, 55–86.
- 8 See Copeland.
- 9 Translated and annotated by Andrew Galloway
- 10 That is, pagans of Greek and Roman Antiquity.
- 11 Ancient author (c. 195–158 BCE) of six comedies. Unlike any other ancient drama, Terence’s works were widely known and quoted in the Christian Middle Ages, though not as widely as Hrotsvit claims.
- 12 Gestures of intellectual and literary modesty are common among medieval Christian authors; Hrotsvit’s learning and brilliance are abundantly clear, including in the rhetorical elegance by which she proclaims her ineptness.
- 13 That is, the better some speakers (and writers) are at deluding those whose rational capabilities can be deranged by sinful passions, the greater the triumph is when divinely inspired innocent women prevail over such brutal men. This difficult sentence manages to imply both the triumph of Hrotsvit’s pious Christian women over their pagan tormenters and seducers, and also Hrotsvit’s literary triumph over Terrence’s sinfully seductive plots and non-Christian world-view.

## Suggestions for Further Reading

- Bonfante, Larissa, trans., with Alexandra Bonfante-Warren. *The Plays of Hroswitha of Gandersheim*. Waconda, IL: Bolchazy-Carducci, 2007.
- Brown, Phyllis R., Linda A. McMillin, and Katharina M. Wilson, eds. *Hrotsvit of Gandersheim: Contexts, Identities, Affinities, Performances*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2004.
- Brown, Phyllis R., and Stephen L. Wailes, eds. *A Companion to Hrotsvit of Gandersheim* (fl. 960): *Contextual and Interpretive Approaches*. Leiden: Brill, 2013.
- Chance, Jane. *The Literary Subversions of Medieval Women*. New York: Palgrave, 2007.
- Dronke, Peter. *Women Writers of the Middle Ages: A Critical Study of Texts from Perpetua (†203) to Margaret de la Porete (†1310)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- Hyperdonat (online editions of Donatus, including commentaries on Terence). <http://hyperdonat.huma-num.fr/editions/html/index.html>
- Wilson, Katharina, ed. *Hrotsvit of Gandersheim: “Rara avis in Saxonia”?* Ann Arbor: Michigan Medieval and Renaissance Monograph Series, 1987.
- Wilson, Katharina, ed. *Hrotsvit of Gandersheim: A Florilegium of her Works*. Cambridge: D. S. Brewer, 1998.

### 3 MARGARET LUCAS CAVENDISH (c.1623–1673)

*Sujata Iyengar and Mikaela Warner*

#### Introduction

Margaret Lucas Cavendish (c.1623–1673), Duchess of Newcastle, the first English woman playwright openly to publish under her own name, wrote twenty plays during England's Interregnum and Restoration. The Duchess was known for her wide generic scope; she published drama, poetry, orations, letters, natural philosophy, and science fiction. An ardent Royalist who served at the court of Queen Henrietta Maria and who in 1645 married the much-older William Cavendish, Marquess of Newcastle over her friends' (and the Queen's) objections, Cavendish has been long and unfairly reviled as an eccentric egotist whose lack of education, according to Virginia Woolf, perverted and spoiled her natural talents (*A Room of One's Own* 60–61). Her plays were dismissed for centuries as “closet dramas,” unplayable and unwatchable. It was not until the 1990s that Gweno Williams began producing student productions of the plays and not until July 2018 that the first ever professional production of a play by Cavendish, her *Unnatural Tragedy*, was performed at the White Bear Theatre in London, directed by Graham Watts.

But Cavendish's drama is eminently playable: the short, episodic scenes for which she felt bound to apologize to her Neoclassical peers translate into lively running action on a modern “black box” stage, and present-day audiences instantly grasp the underlying feminist logic that unites each play's different plot strands and characters. Marta Straznicky (67–90), Lara Dodds (162–3), and Anne Shaver (1–20) independently observe that it is unreasonable to dismiss Cavendish's drama as “closet” theatre given that the public theatres were closed throughout the Interregnum; moreover, argues Straznicky, to write drama at all constitutes a veiled “political act” at this time, in particular during the Cavendishes' exile on the Continent (90). Dodds suggests that Cavendish is above all concerned with female self-actualization, despite her extensive disclaimers and frequent use of the modesty *topos* (10–13). Cavendish juxtaposes vignettes or interludes to question whether it can even be possible for a woman to become a fully realized human being under the system of patriarchy, primogeniture, and patronage. As an aristocrat, she paradoxically valued the privileges that her rank afforded her, even as she critiqued the sexism of that milieu.

In her “Sociable Letters,” in her science fiction novel *The Blazing World*, in the many “Prefaces” she added to her published plays, and in her “Oration on Plays and Players,” Cavendish laid out a consistent theory of what theatre should do for society, how writers should construct plays for the theatre, and how actors should be trained best to embody them. Cavendish anticipated a Keatsian “negative capability” (Keats 42;

Fitzmaurice 29–46) in attributing to Shakespeare the ability to enter the minds, speech, and bodies of his characters, rather than blaming him for failing to adhere to the Neoclassical “Unities” in vogue at her time (“Sociable Letter” 123).<sup>1</sup>

We here reproduce excerpts from some of Cavendish’s own extensive writing about the theatre. Hoping to make this text as accessible as possible to general readers without losing the distinctive “flavor” of Cavendish’s prose, we have modernized spelling and typography, including giving the names of authors and literary characters in their more modern and familiar forms, for example “Shakespeare” instead of “Shakespear”; “Beatrice” instead of “Betrice.” We have also, where appropriate, broken her long texts into paragraphs, and silently emended obvious printers’ errors, consulting modern editions (Bowerbank and Mendelson; Shaver) alongside digital facsimiles of the early printed texts. We have, however, tried to maintain Cavendish’s original grammar and punctuation, including her idiosyncratic word divisions and use of upper-case for emphasis, and her omission of possessive apostrophes (“my self” or “my Self” where we would now write “myself”; “Plutarchs story” where we would now write “Plutarch’s story,” for example).

We have likewise kept Cavendish’s characteristic address to her “Noble Readers” in her *Prefaces*, “Noble Citizens” in her *Oration*s, and fictive female friend, “Madam,” in her *Sociable Letters*. We have removed, however, her usual concluding initials, “M.N.” (for “Margaret Newcastle”). In editing Cavendish’s prose, we are aware we risk becoming the “Pedantical Scholastical persons” she derides in Preface 5; we encourage interested readers to turn to Anne Shaver’s reprinting of all the prefaces in their entirety in Appendix A of her edition of Cavendish’s plays, for the full benefit of the Duchess’s epic catalogues and sly irony.

## Margaret Lucas Cavendish

### *Excerpt from “An Oration Concerning Plays and Players,” Oration*s of Diverse Sorts, Accommodated to Diverse Places (1662)

Noble Citizens,

Here is a Company of Players [...] but [...] you Mis-spend your Time, and [...] your Money, unless the Players were better Actors, and their Plays better Plays; for as their Plays have no Wit in them, so the Actors have no Grace, nor Becoming Behavior in their Actions; for what is Constraint, is Misbecoming, as being not Natural, and whatsoever is Unnatural, is Deformed: but pray, Mistake me not, as believing, I am an Enemy to Plays or Players, for I am an Enemy only to Foolish Plays, and Ill Actors, but for Good Plays well Acted, I am so far from being an Enemy to them, as I think there is nothing so Profitable for Youth, both to Increase their Understanding, and to Fashion their Behavior; and for those that have Spare time, they cannot pass it more Pleasingly; therefore let me Advise you, that are Magistrates of this City, to set up a Company of Players at the Common charge, and to Maintain some Excellent Poet, to make Good Plays, and certainly you will be no Losers in so doing, but Gainers, being the Best and Readiest way of Education for your Children: for the Poet will inform them both of the World, and the Natures and Humors of Mankind, an Easier and Delightfuller way, than the School-men; and the Actors will shew them to Behave themselves more Gracefully and Becomingly, than their Dancing-Masters.

Thus they will Learn more both for their Bodies and Minds of the Poet and Players, than of their Tutors and Governors, or by Studying or Travelling, which is Expensive, Laborious, and Dangerous, whereas the other is Easy, Delightful, Safe, and Profitable. Also one thing more I must advise you, that you provide a Practick<sup>2</sup> Judicious man, to Instruct the Players to Act well; for as they must have a Poet, to make their Plays, so they must have a Tutor to teach them to Act those Plays, unless the Poet will take the pains to teach them himself, as to Humor the Passions, and to Express the Humors Naturally, and not to Act after the French Fashion, with High strained Voices, Constrained Motions, Violent Actions, and such Transportation, as is neither Graceful, Becoming, nor Natural; but they must make Love Soberly, Implore Favor Humbly, Complain Seriously, Lament Sadly, and not Affectedly, Fantastically, Constraintly, Ragingly, Furiously, and the like; all which in my Opinion they do Senselessly, Foolishly, and Madly; for all Feignings must be done as Naturally as may be, that they may seem as Real Truths.

***Excerpt from Preface 3<sup>3</sup>, Plays (1662)***

Noble Readers,

Although I expect my Plays will be found fault with, by reason I have not [...made...] all the Actors to meet at the latter end upon the Stage in a flock together; likewise, that I have not made my Comedies of one days actions or passages; yet I have adventured to publish them to the World. But to plead in my Plays behalf, first, I do not perceive any reason why that the several persons presented should be all of an acquaintance, or that there is a necessity to have them of one Fraternity, or to have a relation to each other, or linked in alliance as one Family; when as Plays are to present the general Follies [...] Vices, Humors, [...] Fashions, Customs [...] of the whole World of Mankind, as in several persons; also particular Follies [...] and the like, in particular persons; also the Sympathy and Antipathy of Dispositions [...] of several persons; also the particular Virtues and Graces in several persons, and [...] in particular persons, and all these Varieties to be drawn at the latter end into one piece, as into one Company, which in my opinion shows neither Usual, Probable, nor Natural.

For since the World is wide and populated, and their various actions dispersed, and spread about by each particular, and Plays are to present them severally, I perceive no reason they should force them together in the last Act, as in one Community, [...] in this I have not followed the steps of precedent Poets, for in my opinion, I think it as well, if not better, if a Play ends but with two persons, or one person upon the Stage; besides, I would have my Plays to be like the Natural course of all things in the World [...] so my Scenes, some last longer than othersome, and some are ended when others are begun; likewise some of my Scenes have no acquaintance or relation to the rest of the Scenes, although in one and the same Play, which is the reason many of my Plays will not end as other Plays do, especially Comedies [...].

Likewise my Plays may be Condemned, because they follow not the Antient<sup>4</sup> Custom, as the learned says, [...] which is, that all Comedies should be so ordered and composed, as nothing should be presented therein, but what may be naturally, or usually practiced or Acted in the World in the compass of one day; truly in my opinion those Comedies would be very flat and dull, and neither

profitable nor pleasant [...] I do not perceive a necessity that Comedies should be so closely packed or thrust up together; for if Comedies are either to delight, or to profit, or to both, they must follow no other rule or example, but to put them into Scenes and Acts, and to order their several discourses in a Comedy, so as Physicians do their Cordials, wherein they mix many several Ingredients together into one Electuary, as sharp, bitter, salt, and sweet, and mix them so, as they are both pleasing to the Taste, and comfortable to the Stomach; so Poets should order the several Humors, Passions, Customs, Manners, Fashions, and practice of Mankind, as to intermix them so, as to be both delightful to the Mind and Senses, and profitable to the Life [...].

[A]lso Poets should do as Physicians or Apothecaries, which put not only several sorts, but several kinds of Drugs into one Medicine [...] so Poets both in their Comedies and Tragedies, must, or at leastwise may, represent several Nations, Governments, People [...] Fashions [...] Natures, Fortunes [...] Actions, in one Play; as also several times of Ages to one person if occasion requires, as from Childhood to Manhood in one Play; for Poets are to describe in Plays the several Ages [...] Actions, Fortunes, [...] and Humors in Nature, and the several Customs, Manners, Fashions and Speeches of men: thus Plays are to present the natural dispositions and practices of Mankind; also they are to point at Vanity, laugh at Follies, disgrace Baseness, and persecute Vice; likewise they are to extol Virtue, and to honor Merit, and to praise the Graces, all which makes a Poet Divine, their works edifying to the Mind or Soul, profitable to the Life, delightful to the Senses, and recreative to Time [...] and I do not despair, although but a Poetress, but that my works may be some ways or other serviceable to my Readers, which if they be, my time in writing them is not lost, nor my Muse unprofitable.

*Excerpt from Preface 5, Plays (1662)*

Noble Readers,

I Know there are many Scholastical and Pedantical persons that will condemn my writings, because I do not keep strictly to the Masculine and Feminine Genders, as they call them as for example, a Lock and a Key, the one is the Masculine Gender, the other the Feminine Gender, so Love is the Masculine Gender, Hate the Feminine Gender, and the Furies are shes, and the Graces are shes, the Virtues are shes, and the seven deadly Sins are shes, which I am sorry for; but I know no reason but that I may as well make them Hes for my use, as others did Shes, or Shes as others did Hes.

But some will say, if I did do so, there would be no forms or rules of Speech to be understood by; I answer, that we may as well understand the meaning or sense of a Speaker or Writer by the names of Love or Hate, as by the names of he or she, and better: for the division of Masculine and Feminine Genders doth confound a Scholar more, and takes up more time to learn them, than they have time to spend; besides, where one doth rightly understand the difference, a hundred, nay a thousand do not, and yet they are understood, and to be understood is the end of all Speakers and Writers; so that if my writings be understood, I desire no more; and as for the niceties of Rules, Forms, and Terms [...] if any dislike my writings for want of those Rules, Forms, and Terms, let them not read them, for I had rather my writings should be unread than be read by such Pedantical Scholastical persons.