Lingering with the Works of Ted T. Aoki

This unique collection of essays from emerging and established curriculum theory scholars documents individuals’ personal encounters and lingering interactions with Ted T. Aoki and his scholarship. The work illuminates the impact of Aoki’s lifework both theoretically and experientially.

Featuring many of the field’s top scholars, the text reveals Aoki’s historical legacy and the contemporary significance of his work for educational research and practice. The influence of Aoki’s ideas, pedagogy, and philosophy on lived curriculum is vibrantly examined. Themes include tensionality, multiplicity, and bridging of difference. Ultimately, the text celebrates an Aokian “way of being” whilst engaging a diversity of perspectives, knowledges, and philosophies in education to reflect on the contribution of his work and its continual enrichment of curriculum scholarship today.

This text will benefit researchers, academics, and educators with an interest in curriculum studies, educational research, teacher education, and the philosophy of education more broadly. Those specifically interested in international and comparative education, as well as interdisciplinary approaches—which include perspectives in arts, language and literacy, sciences, technology, and higher education curriculum—will also benefit from this book.

Nicole Y. S. Lee completed her PhD in Curriculum Studies with a specialization in Art Education in the Department of Curriculum and Pedagogy at The University of British Columbia, Canada.

Lesley E. Wong is a PhD candidate in the Department of Curriculum and Pedagogy, Faculty of Education at The University of British Columbia, Canada.

Joanne M. Ursino is completing her dissertation in Cross Faculty Inquiry in the Faculty of Education at The University of British Columbia, Canada.
Studies in Curriculum Theory Series
Series Editor: William F. Pinar, University of British Columbia, Canada

In this age of multimedia information overload, scholars and students may not be able to keep up with the proliferation of different topical, trendy book series in the field of curriculum theory. It will be a relief to know that one publisher offers a balanced, solid, forward-looking series devoted to significant and enduring scholarship, as opposed to a narrow range of topics or a single approach or point of view. This series is conceived as the series busy scholars and students can trust and depend on to deliver important scholarship in the various “discourses” that comprise the increasingly complex field of curriculum theory.

The range of the series is both broad (all of curriculum theory) and limited (only important, lasting scholarship) – including but not confined to historical, philosophical, critical, multicultural, feminist, comparative, international, aesthetic, and spiritual topics and approaches. Books in this series are intended for scholars and for students at the doctoral and, in some cases, master’s levels.

Influences and Inspirations in Curriculum Studies Research and Teaching
Reflections on the Origins and Legacy of Contemporary Scholarship
Edited by Carmen Shields, Adam Garry Podolski, and John J. Guiney Yallop

Engaging Currere Toward Decolonization
Negotiating Black Womanhood through Autobiographical Analysis
Shauna Knox

Lingering with the Works of Ted T. Aoki
Historical and Contemporary Significance for Curriculum Research and Practice
Edited by Nicole Y. S. Lee, Lesley E. Wong, and Joanne M. Ursino

Restoring Soul, Passion, and Purpose in Teacher Education
Contesting the Instrumentalization of Curriculum and Pedagogy
Peter P. Grimmett

For more information about this series, please visit: https://www.routledge.com/Studies-in-Curriculum-Theory-Series/book-series/LEASCTS
Lingering with the Works of Ted T. Aoki
Historical and Contemporary Significance for Curriculum Research and Practice

Edited by Nicole Y. S. Lee, Lesley E. Wong, and Joanne M. Ursino
To

Ted

Bill and Rita

And

Aokian scholars everywhere
Figure 0.1 Island with Snow Viewing Lantern (Mother Figure) | Photo: Joanne M. Ursino.
Contents

List of Figures x
List of Contributors xi
Foreword by William F. Pinar xv
Acknowledgements xxv

Introduction: Aokian Notes and Intergenerational Resonances 1
NICOLE Y. S. LEE, LESLEY E. WONG, AND JOANNE M. URSINO

PART 1
Autobiography and Writing: Introduction 17
BY NICOLE Y. S. LEE

1 Asking Who We Are in Place with Aoki’s Poetics of Belonging 21
AMANDA FRITZLAN

2 Whirling with Aoki at the Cross of Horizontal and Vertical Intentions: A Poet’s Pondering with/in Language and Light 33
ANAR RAJABALI

3 Finding the Human in the Middle of (In)visible Pandemics 46
NICOLE Y. S. LEE

Interlude: Walking with Aoki 58
RITA L. IRWIN
## Contents

### PART 2

**Arts-Based Education Research and Stories: Introduction**

BY JOANNE M. URSINO

4 **An Aokian Sensibility at the Intersections of both Arts-Based Research and Relations** 65

JOANNE M. URSINO

5 **When Does an Haleliwia Become More Than an Haleliwia?: Abeying to a Poethics of Plants with Aoki** 80

JOANNE PRICE

6 **“That’s My Way”: Indwelling between the Two Worlds of Piano Teaching** 94

JEE YEON RYU

Interlude: Letters from Ted

KAREN MEYER

### PART 3

**Curricular and Pedagogical Contexts: Introduction**

BY LESLEY E. WONG

7 **Walking across Contexts with Technology: An Aokian Methodology** 115

LESLEY E. WONG

8 **Visualizing and Reconceptualizing Transformative Sustainability Learning through an Aokian Lens** 128

KSHAMTA HUNTER

9 **Listen to What the Situation Is Asking: Aoki and Music Education** 144

MARGARET O’SULLIVAN

Interlude: The Inspirited Curriculum 156

PETER P. GRIMMETT
## Contents

### PART 4

**Curriculum Theorizing: Introduction**

BY NICOLE Y. S. LEE  
159

10 **Thinking Creatively with Ted T. Aoki about Scholarship of Teaching and Learning**  
BRUCE MOGH TADER  
163

11 **Lingering Notes: Sounds of Learning in Teacher Education**  
YU-LING LEE  
175

12 **Contemplating the Relation between Theory and Practice through Three Aoki Inspired Themes**  
PATRICIA LIU BAERGEN AND KAREN MEYER  
186

*Index*  
199
Figures

P.1 Western Red Cedars and Cherry Blossom Tree in the Nitobe Memorial Garden | Photo: Nicole Y. S. Lee. 18
2.1 Untitled | Photo: Anar Rajabali. 43
Int.1 Nitobe Memorial Garden Walk | Photo Collage: Rita L. Irwin. 59
P.2 Nitobe Memorial Garden Bench and Tree | Photo: Joanne M. Ursino. 62
4.1 Photo Collage of Handmade Book Art | Photo Collage: Joanne M. Ursino. 67
4.2 Photo Collage of Notes in Handmade Book Art | Photo Collage: Joanne M. Ursino. 69
5.1 An Haleliwia Moment—Noticing Plants in the Language of Pedagogy | Photos: Joanne Price. 81
5.2 Haleliwia and Oak, Pine, Alder, Ash, and Willow Trees | Illustrations and Collage: Joanne Price. 92
Int.2 A Letter from Ted | Image: Karen Meyer 108
P.3 Nitobe Memorial Garden Path | Photo: Simon Wong. 112
8.1 Aoki’s Bridge for Values Exploration offers a Transformative Sustainability Learning Model and a Competence Development Approach | Image: Natalie Hawryshkewich. 136
P.4 Nitobe Memorial Garden Sato-Zakura Tree | Photo: Simon Wong. 160
12.1 Nitobe Memorial Garden | Photo: Patricia Liu Baergen. 187
13.1 Nitobe Memorial Garden Lantern (Father Figure) | Photo: Simon Wong. 198
Contributors

**Amanda Fritzlan** completed her doctorate in curriculum studies at The University of British Columbia. Her research interests include the relationships with place that are a part of school-based education. As well, she studies and practices autobiography, autoethnography, and narrative inquiry as research methodologies. Teaching Indigenous art in elementary schools as a non-Indigenous teacher was the topic of Amanda’s master’s research. Her doctoral studies focus on mathematics teachers’ experiences relating with community and place, particularly the ways in which they work with Indigenous knowledge and worldviews.

**Peter P. Grimmett** is Professor Emeritus at both SFU and UBC. A former Dean at SFU, he also served as the BC Deans appointment to the BC College of Teachers between 2007 and 2010. He has published 53 refereed journal articles, 11 books and 49 chapters in books, and in 2000 received an honorary doctorate from the University of Tampere, Finland. In 2014 he was awarded the Canadian Association for Teacher Education’s Life-Time Research Achievement Award. His (2012) book, *Teacher Certification and the Professional Status of Teaching in North America: The New Battleground for Public Education*, locates recent developments in teacher certification and he is currently writing a book on the need to re-enchant curriculum and pedagogy in teacher education.

**Kshamta Hunter** is a PhD candidate in the Department of Curriculum and Pedagogy, Faculty of Education at The University of British Columbia (UBC). Kshamta’s research explores the intersections of sustainability learning and leadership, using Transformative Learning and social innovation frameworks. She is interested in exploring and designing a comprehensive, responsive and relevant integrative curriculum and pedagogical approaches for the 21st century, through understanding the development of competencies for innovation toward sustainability. She holds a Masters in sustainability curriculum and pedagogy and is the Manager of Sustainability Engagement with the UBC Sustainability Initiative, where she is able to shape a lot of her research ideas into practice.
Contributors

**Rita L. Irwin** is a distinguished university scholar and Professor of Art Education and Curriculum Studies, Faculty of Education, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. She has held a number of university leadership positions as well as many leadership positions across the profession including being President of the Canadian Society for the Study of Education and the International Society for Education through Art. Her major research interests include practice-based research, participatory and community engaged research, international studies, arts-based research and a/r/tography set within questions related to sociocultural issues, teacher education, inquiry based learning, and contemporary art.

**Nicole Y. S. Lee** completed her PhD in Curriculum Studies, specializing in Art Education, in the Department of Curriculum and Pedagogy at The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. She holds a BFA in Visual Arts (studio), BEd, and MEd from York University, Toronto, Canada. Her a/r/tographic, curricular, and philosophical research develops a four-movement framework for cultivating a relationship with the unknown. Each turn layers concept, making, and breath, offering a curriculum for artful, purposeful, and meaningful living. Nicole’s research is supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.

**Yu-Ling Lee** is Assistant Professor in the School of Education at Trinity Western University. His areas of interest include design, technology, and philosophy of education. He is currently co-designing curricular theo-netheological learning spaces and co-making digital media as academic agency. You can find him on twitter @yulingleephd.

**Patricia Liu Baergen** completed her PhD in Curriculum Studies at The University of British Columbia. Her research interests include curriculum studies, life history, theorizing pedagogy, and educational philosophy.

**Karen Meyer** holds emeritus standing after 26 years at The University of British Columbia. Teaching has been her life project, walking three paths. She has walked alongside teachers for two decades through job action, strikes, self-care issues, and inner-city school vulnerabilities. She supervised 11 Master’s cohorts with practicing teachers. Karen’s second path led her to Dadaab refugee camp in north-eastern Kenya. She worked with young teachers there who grew up in the camp and who face obstacles and uncertainties with inspiring resilience. Her third path offered support for graduate students, advocating for their passion, voice, and creative forms of writing.

**Bruce Moghtader** is Scholarship of Teaching and Learning (SoTL) Facilitator in the Centre for Teaching, Learning, and Technology at The University of British Columbia (UBC). His principal research interests include SoTL, education ethics, and knowledge economy.
Margaret O’Sullivan is a PhD candidate in Curriculum Studies in The University of British Columbia. The 2016 recipient of the National University of Ireland Denis Phelan Travelling Studentship Award for her doctoral studies, Margaret runs Music Generation Cork City, a music education partnership that provides music learning and creative opportunities for children and young people experiencing exclusion from participation in music-making. Her reflexive scholarly inquiry into the conceptualization of quality in community music education is inspired by her work in establishing this project, in combination with learning from practice in her 25 year career in cultural management and arts education.

Joanne Price recently completed a doctorate in Curriculum Studies and has since been living in mid Wales working as a study assistant and learning to speak Welsh anew. She is inspired by ways in which Ted T. Aoki’s life as a pedagogue-who-walks-with relates to these experiences, and her daily walks in ffridd or wild upland areas. Here, she takes time to notice ways we might learn with and from plants and trees. Devoted to exploring original ways of responding to climate change, she writes stories in hopes of rejuvenating the planet and making room for different voices.

Anar Rajabali is an educator, poet and researcher. Her arts-based dissertation, (Re)turning to the Poetic I/Eye: Towards a Literacy of Light (2017), is a meditation on poetry, spirituality and the quest for knowledge and the recipient of ARTS Research Graduate Award at Canadian Society for the Study of Education. Her research engages poetry (textual and spoken), song, philosophy, autobiography, and curriculum theorizing. She is founder of Pearl Learning: English Language Education Centre and published works appear in: Creative Approaches to Research, Poetic Inquiry: Enchantment of Place, Art/Research International: A Transdisciplinary Journal and Journal of the Canadian Association for Curriculum Studies.

Jee Yeon Ryu completed her PhD in Curriculum and Pedagogy Studies at The University of British Columbia, specializing in music education. As a pianist, teacher, and researcher, she incorporates a variety of artistic genres into her pedagogical and scholarly practices, including erasure poetry, piano performance, video, and creative writing. Her works have been published in Music Education Research, International Journal of Education Through Art, Poetic Inquiry: Enchantment of Place, LEARNing Landscapes Journal, and Handbook of Arts-Based Research.

Joanne M. Ursino centres arts-based research and auto-poetic inquiry in a strong studio art practice. Joanne is completing her dissertation in Cross Faculty Inquiry in the Faculty of Education at The University of British Columbia. Her work and texts are intimate, intricate and engage feminist, anti-racist and queer discourses at the cutting edges of arts-based research, curriculum theory and language. Joanne has been making quilts for 30 years—alongside book arts/artist books and photography. Joanne’s
research is supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.

Lesley E. Wong is a PhD candidate in the Department of Curriculum and Pedagogy, Faculty of Education at The University of British Columbia (UBC). Her areas of research include educational technology, educational design, online learning, and cyberbullying. Her doctoral work focuses on adolescent experiences and understanding of cyberbullying and is supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.
“This collection reflects a contemporary moment,” the editors indicate. Accordingly, Nicole Y. S. Lee, Lesley E. Wong, and Joanne M. Ursino structure this collection of remarkable essays by “layering” the texts of Ted Aoki “alongside his legacy then and now.” I’ll try something similar, although I’ll layer Aoki’s texts less “alongside” and more at the end(notes), an attempt to perforate the present, at least insofar as it is portrayed in a text by Byung-Chul Han. It is a temporal layering that I’ll also make spatial by highlighting Han in the main text. Aoki is audible in the endnotes. It is referencing that renders explicit the palimpsest the present moment can be. The erased past protrudes provided you interrupt your immersion in the present to read what the endnote numbers designate. There you experience Aoki enacting his “living pedagogy,” one “midst curriculum-as-plan/curriculum-as-live(d),” a spatial and a temporal concept that positions us not only suspended between Here and There but also between Then and Now.

Ted Aoki was an “untimely” teacher, haunted by history. He did not flee it—the internment, his daughter’s death—but stayed suspended. Lingering he termed it, one of his key concepts and the title of this book that its essays enact. Lingering allows, as the editors point out, “one to go deeper into that paper, that idea, that tugging feeling that grips and haunts—the one that can only come from an individual because of their experiences, the one that was waiting to be articulated, and the one that matters.” Supplementing the spatial (“digging deeper”) is the temporal (“their experiences”), as lingering allows the allegro of our lives to devolve into adagio, at least while we—alone and together—remain on that Aokian bridge where he teaches us to dwell in-between what is, keeping the past close-by to temporalize the present. The editors know: “Lingering grants an opening for pause, breath, an experience of duration, and a deepening of time so that wisdom can grace one’s presence.” In that lovely—and precise—series of images the spatial and temporal fuse.

An “acceleration of life,” Han warns, “robs” us of the capacity for “contemplation,” and it is “precisely” our incapacity to “linger in contemplation” which leads to a “general haste” and “dispersion.” Such grand (or meta-) narratives (the use of “we” without specification of place or time or culture) that Aoki helped deconstruct—destructive as they could be—can today...
provide meaning that went missing when we disappeared into our devices, dispersing both subjectivity and time so that any experience of “duration” is not possible. The “compression” of events, information and images makes it impossible to “linger,” Han rue.

Impossible? Perhaps not: “The end of narration, the end of history, does not need to bring about a temporal emptiness,” Han advises, adding that in this pseudo-present—in which historical time is absent—we can live “without theology and teleology,” provided we live a “vita contemplativa.” Han suspects that the current crisis could conclude “once the vita activa, in the midst of its crisis, again incorporates the vita contemplativa.” The persistent problem of practice—not only what will I teach but how will I live?—could begin to be resolved once time and narrative restart, as the “right time,” or the “right moment, only arises out of the temporal tension within a time that has a direction.” Remember where you’ve been and you’ll see where you are; maybe you’ll even glimpse where you’re going: A perspective that, in Aoki’s terms, allows as it reflects “dwelling aright” in the situation.

Such “dwelling aright”—suspended on a bridge that is not a bridge, a “now” bookended by Then and Now and There and Here, thereby hinting at what’s ahead—takes time. Adjustment, attunement, and atonement take time. Everyone is implicated in what has transpired before us, as each of us carries the past inside us, a haunting effaced by our fascination with the screens at which we stare. The consequence is an interrelated series of crises: A “temporal crisis” (presentism) a cultural crisis (narcissism), an “identity crisis.” Submerged in the temporally-empty present, disabled from discerning difference by enclosure inside an ever-receding withering self (what Lasch perceptively characterized as a minimal self), identity (as the interface between interiority and exteriority) disappears, replaced by online profiles (wherein self and identity are conflated) constantly curated on social media.

Decades ago Aoki worried about the “reductionism” that occurs “when people closet themselves into solitudes of ethnic identities.” Referencing “the narcissistic ‘I’ of the ‘me’ generation,” Aoki wonders if “in like way, does not understanding multiculturalism as solitudes of identities promote ethno-narcissism by regarding others strictly as “them,” outside?” Self-enclosed inside identity the singular self dissolves into a collective one, often static—even stereotypic, if now valorized positively.

Stasis—arrested (development) as depicted in Kafka’s The Trial—is not only identitarian but temporal. Submergence in the pseudo-present erases singularity too, as time disappears into “empty duration,” a “non-articulated, directionless time without any meaningful before or after, remembrance or expectation.” The next screen shot substitutes for something actually happening—embodied, often abrasive decidedly lived experience—as it presents the “new,” new ideas, new possibilities, a series of screen shots that then disappear. “One begins ever anew,” Han knows, “one zaps through ‘life possibilities,’ precisely because of an inability to bring any single possibility to a conclusion,” adding that no longer is the “individual’s life” structured
like a “story,” a “meaningful totality.” Collective life occurs in the Cloud, no civic square but a series of websites where we can shop, politics replaced by consumption, including of ourselves.

“Historical time can rush ahead,” Han appreciates, “because it does not rest in itself, because its centre of gravity is not in the present.” Han errs when he declares that history does not permit any “genuine lingering,” as that would only slow down “progressive progress.” Yes, history can encourage impatience, even frustration and rage, but it delays nothing. History is the narration of what’s happening, and “out of nothing,” Han admits, “narration makes a world.” But in our time “history gives way to information.” Information constitutes a “new paradigm,” as an “altogether different temporality” is involved, what Han terms “atomized” or “point-time,” between which “yawns an emptiness, an empty interval in which nothing happens, in which no sensation takes place.” Aoki might welcome a momentary absence of sensation—utter stillness—if that allowed one to linger.

For Han “point-time” precludes “contemplative lingering,” as it lacks “narrative tension.” Aoki too found tension generative. In the Age of Information the “senses” can be bombarded with—dispersed by—the onslaught of “new,” sometimes “drastic perceptions.” In contrast, “lingering presumes a gathering of the senses.” They disperse when we become submerged in images and information; the “internet” and “electronic mail” allow “geography, even the earth, disappear.” The person disappears as well, as s/he exists only insofar as s/he makes sense, makes meaning, has a life: Once fused with the screen, “freed” from “meaning,” “things begin to hover or whizz around without direction.” When meaning disappears, a “massification” occurs as, Han cautions, “everything pushes into the present, leading to blockages.” Suddenly one is under arrest, going nowhere, as the “difference between Here and There … disappears.” In desperation “one rushes from one event to another.” When rushing one is without footing or “hold,” and “life today finds it hard to get a grip. Temporal dissipation throws it off balance. It whizzes.” Time feels “no longer fulfilling,” as the fetishized “freedom” of the constantly and often compulsively “acting subject by itself does not produce any temporal gravitation.”

Lingering, one can slow down, even stop, suspended on that bridge which is not a bridge but is nonetheless a (non)place from which one can contemplate what is happening to and inside us. We can notice what time it is, regain our “orientation,” which is what whizzing withdraws from us. Without being suspended on that bridge—when we are rushing, when our only thought is to get to the other side—we lose “any experience of duration,” a loss Han attributes to “the fact that today we are unable to linger.” Han calls to us to let go of the “weightiness” of the “earth” and specifically of “work,” and hover—a “hovering wandering with leisure” he puts it—and hovering we can detect the “scent of hovering time.” Such a scent, he suggests, can stop us in our tracks, as “hesitation” is (non)movement at the threshold of insight: A moment of immanence, when the in-between becomes not only
an empty space but a portal to the past, where the future can be found. In time you too can be found, as a “stretch of time allows the self to come back to itself.” What Han terms “historical traction”—what follows from “becoming historical,”—“from the emphasis on the self,” as it is the self who dictates “direction.” After reactivating the past—an idea that inspired Aoki too—one then has “time,” and time enables one to have a “self.” Without being-in-time—“without hold” is Han’s phrasing— one is “adrift” and “without protection.” “Wisdom” requires “continuity” and “duration” in order to contest the “compulsion” to hanker after the “new.” Duration is what lingering initiates, what Aoki enacted when concluding several of his essays with “A Lingering Note,” a subheading that is also a sound: “Allow me now to close with a lingering note, which hopefully, like the ring of a temple bell, echoes and re-echoes as it fades into silence.”

Enter this collection and you will hear that bell, still.

Notes

1 To learn about the life of Canada’s pre-eminent curriculum theorist, see Liu Baergen, 2020.
2 From the editors’ introduction, this volume.
3 2017. The editors also quote this text.
4 Or juxtaposition: see Strong-Wilson, 2021, p. 21.
6 This conjunction Aoki emphasized to void either/or thinking, as the editors illustrate: “The following three examples—on the relationship between East and West, curriculum-as-plan and curriculum-as-lived, and leaders and followers—reveal some of the ways in which Aoki approaches the ‘and.’”
7 Aoki’s teaching is untimely in the sense that “philosophy is essentially untimely because it is one of those few things that can never find an immediate echo in the present” (Heidegger, quoted in Rorty, 1991, p. 16). And “the return to things themselves or the return to the lived moment as a call or as a manifesto can by its very definition never be untimely” (Radhakrishnan, 2008, p. 12).
8 To hear that haunting, Aoki offers “short narratives—stories”—to “help us in breaking out of the seductive hold of an orientation to which we are beholden” (1992/2005, p. 191).
9 From the editors’ introduction, this volume.
10 “The bridge is foundational to understanding his critique of bifurcation and binaries and what it means to dwell on the bridge,” the editors explain. The metaphor is based on an actual bridge, as the editors also explain. In Aoki’s (1979/2005, p. 345) words: “For 3 years, from 1975 to 1978, I served at UBC as a professor of curriculum studies. To seek momentary refuge from routinized activities ... I often wended my way to Nitobe’s Garden.” It was during his time at UBC that I met Ted. I remember still the table where we ate lunch at what was then the UBC Faculty Club: https://legacies.alumni.ubc.ca/the-ubc-faculty-club/
11 When World War II ended, what Aoki (1991/2005a, p. 248) remembers—he was in Edmonton taking summer-session courses at the University of Alberta—is a “night of raucous celebration on Jasper Avenue. The bombs that landed on Hiroshima and Nagasaki had done their jobs. I remembered, during the noise of celebration, the Hiroshima I had seen 11 years earlier while meeting friends of the family that lived there.”
“Leap to 1986. I was again in Hiroshima, this time as program chair for the Hiroshima Conference of the World Council for Curriculum and Instruction (WCCI). While there, I visited alone, within walking distance of the Hiroshima railway station, a Japanese garden I had visited as a youngster in 1934. I lingered, facing one memorialized tree, no longer a tree—a stark, twisted, black remnant of a tree, without foliage, with only a few twisted limbs. A memorial to what? Man’s capacity for inhumanity?” (Aoki, 1991/2005a, p. 248).

From the editors’ introduction, this volume. Italics added.

Han, 2017, p. 69. Acceleration substitutes activity for action, the latter term emphasizing intention and agency. “Such an approach,” Aoki (1979/2005, p. 347) writes, “may reveal more fully within my lived human condition self-imposed or socially-imposed distortions that call for action—action that in the very acting will empower me to become a maker of my own history, a historical being engaged in his own personal and human becoming.” The context is different but the point rings true here too, as Aoki is synthesizing (yes in the same sense that the fourth phase of the method of currere is synthesis) the active and contemplative life, personified in the person one is: “Here it is understood that to do something, one has to be somebody” (Aoki 1987/2005, p. 361). Again, the context is different but the point resounds the same.

Han, 2017, p. vi.

Later, Han (2017, p. 70) emphasizes that “contemplative lingering” requires “duration,” as lingering is precluded by the speedy succession of “images” and “events.” Without contemplative lingering we’re without reflection, memory, or discernment, evident in the circulation of (mis)information over social media, evident in Aoki’s era when magazines and newspapers printed (in our era “retweeted”) whatever was said about public education: “Situated midst the texture of these media texts,” Aoki (1993/2005b, p. 280) recalls, “I found myself both annoyed and delighted—delighted that education and miseducation are of public interest; annoyed by the way hypermedia tend to slither about a bit on the surface, suggesting a questionable premise that openness to people on talk shows assures surfacing of the truth.” Today—with misinformation circulating throughout social media—“openness” almost ensures there will be no “surfacing of the truth.”

Han, 2017, p. vii.

Presentism, Han (2017, p. 38) appreciates, removes both “in-between spaces: and “in-between times,” thereby “removing presence,” leaving only two options: now—or nothing.

Han (2017, p. vii). We may do so without theology and teleology, he says, but not (I say) without history. That often but not always illusory linear path of progress is not absent in Aoki’s work, as he takes note (for instance) of “bellwether signs in curriculum inquiry” (1978/2005, p. 90). Aoki knew that any academic field is a historical narration; he knew what chapter in curriculum theory he wanted written next and he intended to help write it. That he did.

Han, 2017, p. vii.

That direction can be backward, as both Han and Aoki invoke Aristotle when contemplating the problematic of praxis. Aoki reminded that: “For Aristotle, praxis was a holistic activity of the whole person—head, heart, and lifestyle, all as one” (1983/2005, p. 116). “In this sense,” Aoki writes later, “good teachers are more than they do; they are the teaching” (1992/2005, p. 196). From the past he attempted to repair the present, in this instance recasting our conception of “teachers” and “teaching.”

Aoki, 1986/2005, p. 163. Aoki is here discussing Miss O inhabiting the space of “tensionality” between planned and lived curriculum. The editors quote another Aoki passage to make this crucial point: “But in order to be able to see
what is right in a situation, one must have one’s own rightness; that is, one must have a right orientation within oneself” (1987/1999/2005, p. 155).

23 Absolutizing the “Here removes the There,” Han (2017, p. 38) knows, adding that the overpowering proximity of the “Here” erases the “aura” of “distance.” What is true spatially is true temporally: Mindless immersion in the present erases the past and, in doing so, prohibits the future.

24 Han 2017, p. 43. While not addressing this point precisely, thirty years ago Aoki knew that alterity structured identity. “Increasingly,” he notes, “we are called upon to reconsider the privileging of ‘identity as presence’ and to displace it with the notion of ‘identity as effect.’ What is being said here? We are being asked to consider identity not so much as something already present, but rather as a production, in the throes of being constituted as we live in place of difference” (1993/2005a, p. 205). Earlier—in his phenomenological phase—Aoki (1979/2005, p. 333) emphasized the singularity and subjectivity of identity formation: “So much of what we see and read about ethnicity are object studies about ethnic people, and by being factual, they tend to conceal the experiences of life-as-lived earthly. Hence, my interest here is to disclose even to some extent that which we tend to conceal, by attempting to bring into fuller view reflection upon what, for me, experiencing ethnicity has been like. I regard experiencing ethnicity as experiencing subjectively one’s lived situation from one’s own ethnic perspective. From my personal standpoint, and this is the only standpoint I experientially know, experiencing ethnicity has been and is experiencing being a Japanese Canadian in the time-space coordinates of my own historical situation in which I was born, and within which I have lived and am not living.” While the post-structuralist and phenomenological traditions are often cast at odds with each other, on this issue we see their blurred boundaries: emphasizing identity “as effect” and as “lived experience” are hardly mutually exclusive. That is what is contaminated about identity: It is both what we make of ourselves and what others have made of us.


26 The conflation is evident when Moeller and D’Ambrosio (2021, p. 52) characterize one’s social media profiles as “self-identity.” No identity-as-interface now: You are (only) what others make of you.


30 Han, 2017, p. 8.

31 “What seems to be concealed and hence unseen and unheard,” Aoki knew, “is an understanding of thinking that might be understood as thoughtfulness—thoughtfulness as an embodied doing and being—thought and soul embodied in the oneness of the lived moment” (1992/2005), p. 196, italics added). All that dissolves when fused with the screen.

32 “Prolific identity, on and off the web, is to a large extent constituted by information, not simply by meaning,” Moeller and D’Ambrosio (2021, p. 59, emphasis added) point out, adding: “It needs to be constantly updated… a new activity, a new feeling are crucial to maintaining an active and presentable personal profile.”

33 Han, 2017, p. 10.

34 2017, p. 16.

35 2017, p. 16.

36 2017, p. 12.

37 2017, p. 17. “Information immediately destroys itself,” Moeller and D’Ambrosio (2021, p. 58) observe, “and needs to be replaced by new information. And so many website are now feeds.”
In contrast to “knowledge,” Han (2017, p. 40–41) asserts, “information” produces “no lasting” or “deep effects.” Too simple and sharp a distinction, but I see his point. For me, it’s the craftsmanship of (especially) humanities scholarship—conveying (however indirectly) the singularity of the person who crafted it—that encourages an “effect,” not unlike the dyadic effect wherein one’s own self-disclosure is said to stimulate others’ self-disclosure.

No one disappears for Aoki; he keeps us in mind: “I can also picture you seated with the text of this writing before you as you are experiencing the reading of my paper. You are situated with yourself at the center, that central point of your being that allows you to say ‘I’” (Aoki, 1986/2005, p. 143). For the non-Indigenous, contemplation—meditation (Kumar, 2013)—will do.

“Everything is Here,” Han (2017, p. 39) quips. Except “us” I’d add; we’re in the Cloud.

When “Here and Now” is all there is, Han (2017, p. 37) knows, “in-between spaces” have no “meaning.”

What time is it? Han (2017, p. 92) thinks it is a time when “work” is everything; non-work time is time to be “killed.”

Focusing exclusively on a “goal,” Han (2017, p. 37–38) appreciates, empties any “in-between space,” relegating it to the status of “corridor,” space without “value.” Ridding ourselves of objectives—even “lingering”—does not, however, mean wandering aimlessly; there remains ahead of you the path that is yours alone.

“A major difference between the Aboriginal and Western worldviews,” Aoki (2000/2005, p. 326, italics added) ventured, “is the emphasis of Western ideology on physical presence or objective reality, what some authors call ‘outer space.’ Contrast this to Aboriginal ideology, which is much more metaphysical and places a premium on the spirit, self, and being, or ‘inner space.’ A result is that there is likely to be more emphasis on the isolated individual in Western culture, whereas Aboriginal cultures support inclusiveness and connectedness through the life force in all living things.” I italicized “emphasis” as it shows the shrewdness and subtlety of the man. The word salvages a generalization he knows in its absolute form is false: After all, phenomenology (to name just one tradition within “Western” culture, but the one that influenced him for decades) emphasized “lived”—in large part “inner”—experience.

I am reminded of Robert Musil who aspired, Peters (1978, p. 10) tells us, to be one of the “masters of the hovering life,” for (quoting Musil) “their domain lies between religion and knowledge, between example and doctrine, between amor intellectualis and poetry, they are saints with and without religion, and sometimes too they are simply men who have gone out on an adventure and lost their way” (quoted in Peters, 1978, p. 10, emphasis added). For more on Musil see Pinar 2015, p. 201–213.
“computer does not hesitate,” Han (2017, p. 70) quips. True, but it can crash.
One can’t park oneself on that bridge forever; lingering is no absolute value. Even Aoki limited lingering to a note, as in A Lingering Note. “Profound lingering would only produce boredom,” Han cautions, as does “excessive activity” (2017, p. 84).
Han (2017, p. 36)
Han (2017, p. 39) puts it this way: “Transitions” imply “direction,” and with it, “meaning.”
Han, 2017, p. 46.
Han (2017, p. 64) puts it this way: “Transitions” imply “direction,” and with it, “meaning.”
Han, 2017, p. 46.
Han, 2017, p. 64. Han is discussing Heidegger here. Han notes that later in his life Heidegger shifts from historical to natural—seasonal—time (see 2017, p. 66, 83).
“Becoming historical,” Toews (2008, p. 438) explains (discussing Kierkegaard and Marx), “involved a historical reconstruction of the current forms of self-identification—in this case, the reflective egoism of post-revolutionary bourgeois society—as a specific product of human practices in time. The goal was to experience the self that was simply given as a self that was historically particular and contingent. Implicit in this reconstructive activity was a conception of the self as not only product but also producer.” Given the determinism of “contingency”—in our era, technologization and the erasure of history—I promote making explicit the sometimes pivotal power of individual agency in subjective and social reconstruction. Ted Aoki is a testament to that power.
Aristotle’s “sense of praxis,” Aoki wrote, “I feel we need to restore” (1983/2005, p. 116). My concept of reactivation denotes additional—especially emotional—elements that “restore” does not imply, but the idea of bringing something from the past into the present—for the sake of repairing the present—is the same.
Han, 2017, p. 65.
Han, 2017, p. 65.
Han, 2017, p. 73. Han is still discussing Heidegger here but these are my thoughts too. Concerning compulsion, Han (2017, p. 97) reminds that for Aristotle, the vita contemplativa is “divine,” free from “compulsion.” Recall that Aoki too invoked Aristotle, “reveal[ing] for us a tradition that has become concealed, disappearing from the recesses of our memory,” one that is “given to an ethical life” (1983/2005, p. 116). George Grant too went back (to Athens and Jerusalem) to find what could be reactivated in the present (e.g., “an ethical life”): see, for instance, Pinar, 2019, p. 39 n. 217.
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