

**REFORMING  
MARINE  
AND COMMERCIAL  
INSURANCE LAW**

**General Editor  
Dr BARIŞ SOYER**

**informa law**  
from Routledge

REFORMING MARINE AND  
COMMERCIAL INSURANCE LAW

LLOYD'S INSURANCE AND MARINE INSURANCE LAW

*Insurance Broking Practice and the Law*  
CMS Cameron McKenna  
(Looseleaf)

*Compendium of Insurance Law*  
by Robert Merkin and Johanna Hjalmarsson  
(2007)

*Marine Insurance: The Law in Transition*  
edited by D. Rhidian Thomas  
(2006)

*Private International Law of Reinsurance and Insurance*  
edited by Raymond Cox, Louise Merrett and Marcus Smith  
(2006)

*The Law of Insurance Contracts*  
5th edition  
by Malcolm A. Clarke  
(2006)

*Marine Insurance Clauses*  
by N. Geoffrey Hudson and Tim Madge  
(2005)

*Marine Insurance Legislation*  
by Robert Merkin  
(2005)

*Good Faith and Insurance Contracts*  
2nd edition  
by Peter MacDonald Eggers, Patrick Foss and Simon Picken  
(2004)

*Insurance Disputes*  
2nd edition  
edited by Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Mance, Iain Goldrein and Robert Merkin  
(2004)

*Marine Insurance: Law and Practice*  
by F.D. Rose  
(2004)

# REFORMING MARINE AND COMMERCIAL INSURANCE LAW

GENERAL EDITOR

**Dr Barış Soyer**

CONTRIBUTORS

Sir Richard Aikens, Lord Justice of Appeal

Martin Bakes

Professor Howard Bennett

Derrick G. Cole

Peter MacDonald Eggers

David Hertzell

Professor Robert Merkin

Mark Templeman Q.C.

Alan Weir

**informa law**  
from Routledge

First published 2008 by Informa Law

Published 2014

by Informa Law from Routledge  
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN  
52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017

*Informa Law is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa  
business*

© The Institute of Shipping and International Trade Law, Swansea  
University and the Contributors 2008

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this work is correct, neither the authors nor Informa Law from Routledge can accept any responsibility for any errors or omissions or for any consequences arising therefrom.

Notice:

Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Lloyd's is the registered trade mark of the Society incorporated by the Lloyd's Act 1871 by the name of Lloyd's.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data  
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British  
Library

ISBN 13: 978-1-843-11774-2 (hbk)

Text set in 10/12pt Plantin by Interactive Sciences Ltd, Gloucester

## FOREWORD

The contributions which constitute this book emerged from presentations made at a symposium titled “Law Commissions Reform Proposals on Marine and Commercial Insurance”, organised under the aegis of the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University, and held, very appropriately, at the Old Library, Lloyd’s Building, on 3 July 2008. The symposium provided a conduit for voicing a response to the profoundly important proposals currently being aired by the Law Commission for England and Wales and the Scottish Law Commission in relation to some of the fundamental legal principles which underpin insurance, namely, in their generality, the doctrine of good faith and the law relating to warranties and insurable interest.

The event attracted substantial interest and the delegates were addressed by eminent speakers representing the Law Commissions, the judiciary, the markets, academia and the two branches of the legal profession. The totality of what was an exhilarating and informative experience has subsequently been transmogrified into this book which I am confident today’s policy makers and readers will find well informed, topical, judicious and richly insightful. There is every reason for believing that the contributions, individually and collectively, will make a weighty and material impact on the consultative process currently being undertaken by the Law Commissions.

The event was organised on behalf of the Institute by Dr Barış Soyer, the general editor of this book, who is to be congratulated on his initiative and for convening a very successful symposium.

*Professor D. Rhidian Thomas  
Director, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law  
Swansea University*



**Taylor & Francis**

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

## PREFACE

The Marine Insurance Act 1906 (“the Act”) was the product of Sir Mackenzie Dalzell Chalmers’ desire to codify the fundamental principles of marine insurance law, many of which had been originally formulated by Lord Mansfield in the eighteenth century and thereafter developed by successive generations of commercial lawyers. Many would agree with the proposition that the Act was a success in terms of providing the markets and legal profession with an accessible and comprehensive legal framework. It is also commonly acknowledged that the Act is well structured and drafted. These attributes of the Act made it a model for codification particularly in common law jurisdictions—the Act has formed the basis of marine insurance legislation in New Zealand (1908), Australia (1909), Malaysia (1956), India (1963), Hong Kong (1964), Canada (1993) and Singapore (1993)—and it has also been influential in the development of marine insurance law in the United States and Japan.

Naturally certain provisions of any piece of commercial legislation will appear historic and insufficient almost a century after their implementation. This tendency may be explained by changes in market practices, some of which are driven by fluctuations in economic conditions, ever-increasing external influences and changes in judge-made law. For example, the market has long given up on the use of the SG policy, which was one of the pillars on which the Act was built; following forceful criticisms it received in a report published by the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) in 1978 (*Marine Insurance—Legal and Documentary Aspects of the Marine Insurance Contract* (UNCTAD Doc. TD/B/C14/ISL127)). Similarly, as a result of evolution of the common law, a wider range of contractual terms are now in use in standard insurance contracts, some of which were not even in existence at the time of the formulation of the Act. Therefore, it is not an exaggeration to suggest that the contemporary insurance practice has left the Act behind in certain respects.

One should also not disregard the fact that fundamental values underpinning the London insurance market have gone through a transition, particularly over the course of the last three decades. Nowadays, most underwriters are more inclined to endorse the letter of law and policy wordings in instances where they would not have done so in the past, mainly as a result of a continuous decline in profit margins. On the other hand, the emergence of alternative insurance markets and increasing competition for market shares give some potential assureds the upper hand when insisting on the use of more

## viii Preface

assured-friendly policy terms and to disregard extreme features of the Act. All these developments and changes indicate strongly that the Act no longer strikes a fair balance between contracting parties; and undoubtedly this creates a handicap for the London market in competing with other international insurance markets.

A section of the market and legal profession firmly believes that the problems caused by antiquated principles stipulated in the Act, and the judicial constructions attributed to them, can be addressed simply by making changes in standard terms used in the market. In fact, just such an attempt has been made by the International Hull Clauses 2003, in replacing most insurance warranties with suspensory provisions. Others believe that the harshness of the rules has damaged the international reputation of the London market beyond repair; from this perspective legislative interference is deemed to be absolutely essential in order to send a message to the rest of the world that the London market is determined to operate under new legal rules designed to protect the interests of both contracting parties. The English and Scottish Law Commissions (“the Law Commissions”), which announced a wide-ranging review of insurance law in January 2006, have subscribed to the latter view following the initial scoping exercise. Accordingly, the law reform currently contemplated is extended to cover business insurance as well as consumer insurance.

From June 2006 to March 2007, the Law Commissions published three consultative issues papers. These papers formed the basis of their first Consultation Paper published in July 2007 (Law Commission and Scottish Law Commission, *Insurance Contract Law: Misrepresentation, Non-Disclosure and Breach of Warranty by the Insured* (LCCP No. 182; SLCDP No. 134, 2007)) concentrating on reforming pre-contractual information duties, warranties and the legal position of insurance intermediaries. January 2008 witnessed the release of another issues paper on insurable interest. It is expected that another issues paper on post-contractual duties of good faith, fraud and damages for late payment will be released in 2009, and this will be followed by a second Consultation Paper in the same year. Even though at the beginning of the project the Law Commissions were aiming to draft a new code for business insurance, which would apply also to marine, aviation and transport insurance and reinsurance, it is now likely that they will pursue a more modest target of a piecemeal law reform on certain topics that the consultees identified as needing urgent reform.

In the society in which we live today, legal research centres are expected not only to provide academic guidance in law reform debates in areas falling within their remit, but also to offer a public venue where reform proposals can be analysed and debated in depth by interested parties. This was the reason why the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law (Swansea University) took the decision to organise a one-day symposium at Lloyd’s of London on 3 July 2008 to discuss the proposals and current thinking of the of the Law Commissions with regard to the reform of crucial aspects of insurance

law, in particular their potential impact on marine and commercial insurance. Contributing to the ongoing debate on reforming insurance law and providing a channel for the communication of responses to the Law Commissions were the main objectives of the event. The speakers were carefully selected from the judiciary, legal practice, market and academia. Naturally, the debate on areas covered by the first Consultation Paper was more rigorous, as the Law Commissions' proposals on these areas were more concrete at the time of the event. Papers evaluating the reform proposals in these areas form the basis of the book, appearing in seven consecutive chapters (Chapters 2 to 7). However, deliberations were also extended to areas that will form the subject-matter of the second Consultation Paper due in 2009, namely insurable interest and post-contractual duty of good faith. These papers appear as the last two chapters of the book.

The event attracted more than a hundred delegates from various sectors that have an interest in law reform in this area, and it evoked considerable informed discussion. The contributions to this book have been finalised in the months following the event taking into account those discussions. I am hoping that various benefits will emerge as a result of the publication of these papers in book format. First, the book will provide a useful tool for those who are willing to find out more about the legal and practical implications of the reform proposals. Secondly, it is hoped that the in-depth analysis conducted on reform proposals will give a direction to the Law Commissions as to how the proposals can be further improved to make them more acceptable to the market. Finally, it is hoped that the book will provide a useful research tool in the long term for those who have an interest in this area of law, no matter what the outcome of this long reform process turns out to be. Undoubtedly, the book will be of particular interest in some other common law jurisdictions which have been contemplating a law reform of their own over the years.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all authors and symposium delegates for their contribution to the final product, which is now presented to readers in the hope that it will play a significant role in the ongoing reform process. I must also thank the director of the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Professor D. Rhidian Thomas, and my other colleagues in the Institute for their ongoing support and encouragement. I am so lucky and honoured to be a part of such an impressive team.

*Dr Barış Soyler  
September 2008  
Swansea, Wales*



**Taylor & Francis**

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

# CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	v
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxiii

## CHAPTER 1 INSURANCE CONTRACT LAW REFORM IN ENGLAND/WALES AND SCOTLAND 1

*David Hertzell*

1	Introduction	1
2	Project history	2
3	The responses	4
	3.1 Structure of the reforms	4
	3.2 Non-disclosure/misrepresentation	5
	3.3 Warranties	5
	3.4 Points for consideration	5
	3.5 Business insurance	6
4	Consultation Paper 2	6
5	Conclusion	7
6	Extracts from various reports	9
	6.1 Part 12 (List of Proposals and Questions) of the Law Commission Consultation Paper (No. 182) and the Scottish Law Commission Discussion Paper (No. 134), Insurance Contract Law: Misrepresentation, Non-Disclosure and Breach of Warranty by the Insured published in 2007	9
	6.2 Part 1 (Summary) of the Issues Paper 4 published by the Law Commission and the Scottish Law Commission on 14 January 2008 on Insurable Interest	19

## CHAPTER 2 PRE-CONTRACTUAL INFORMATION DUTIES AND THE LAW COMMISSIONS' REVIEW 25

*Martin Bakes*

1	Introduction	25
2	Background	25

**xii Contents**

3	Should there be any reform?	28
3.1	Consumer vs business insurance	28
3.2	Historical arguments for reform	29
3.3	Further reasons for reform	33
3.4	Other arguments against reform	35
3.5	Is reform necessary?	37
4	The proposal for a default regime	38
4.1	The business context	38
4.2	Arguments in favour of a mandatory regime	40
4.3	Arguments in favour of a default regime	41
4.4	Compromise between the two regimes	42
5	The proposal to retain the duty of disclosure	44
5.1	The prevailing view that the duty should be retained	44
5.2	<i>Carter v. Boehm</i>	45
5.3	The operation of the law	46
5.4	The importance of certainty when the contract is made	47
5.5	Arguments why insurance contracts should receive special treatment	48
5.6	The effect of the reasonable insured test	50
5.7	General questions	52
5.8	Summary	52
6	The shape of insurance disputes to come	52
6.1	The terms of the insurance contract	53
6.2	Issues of non-disclosure/misrepresentation	54
6.3	The consequences of non-disclosure and misrepresentation	60
6.4	Summary	62
7	Overall conclusions	63

**CHAPTER 3 MATERIALITY: THE SEARCH FOR PRACTICALITY** 65

*Alan Weir*

1	The current “Wish to Know” option	65
2	The “Decisive Influence” option	66
3	<i>Pine Top</i> : the choice of “Wish to Know”	67
4	<i>Pine Top</i> : the support for “Decisive Influence”	70
5	Intermission: the Law Commissions’ response to variants on MIA, section 18(2)	74
6	The neglectful common law?	75
7	The Law Commissions’ buyer’s model	76
8	Introductory observations	78
9	The subjective limb (a fact that the proposer actually knew was one the insurer would want to know about)	79

10	The objective limb (a fact which a reasonable insured in the circumstances would have appreciated would be one that the insurer would want to know about)	82
10.1	Inferences a reasonable man will make from the placement itself	83
10.1.1	The obviously material	84
10.1.2	Knowledge gained by consultation	85
11	Contracting out	86
12	Conclusion	86

CHAPTER 4 THE LAW COMMISSIONS' PROPOSALS AND REINSURANCE 89

*Professor Robert Merkin*

1	Introduction	89
2	Approaches to reform	92
3	The issues raised by reinsurance	94
4	Practical application of the reforms to reinsurance non-disclosures	96
5	Practical application of the law reforms to reinsurance misrepresentations	101
6	Conclusion	102

CHAPTER 5 A PRACTITIONER'S PERSPECTIVE ON PLACEMENT DUTIES OF INSURANCE BROKERS AND REFLECTIONS OF THE PROPOSALS OF THE LAW COMMISSIONS 105

*Derrick G. Cole*

1	Introduction	105
2	Brokers' duties	105
2.1	Consumer insurance	106
2.2	Industrial risks	107
3	Duty of material disclosure	108
3.1	Inducement	109
3.2	Insurance site surveys	109
4	Binding authorities	111
5	Warranties	111
6	Insurable interest	112
7	Brokers' records	112
8	Conclusions	112

**xiv Contents**

CHAPTER 6 THE LAW COMMISSIONS’ PROPOSED REFORMS OF THE LAW OF “WARRANTIES” IN MARINE AND COMMERCIAL INSURANCE: WILL THE CURE BE BETTER THAN THE DISEASE? 113

*Sir Richard Aikens, Lord Justice of Appeal*

- 1 The present law 114
- 2 The Law Commissions’ general approach to the question of warranties 116
- 3 The proposals as to warranties as to existing (or past) facts at the time the contract is concluded 118
- 4 The proposals concerning warranties as to future events or performance by the assured 122
- 5 Different rules for different markets? 124
- 6 Conclusion 125

CHAPTER 7 REFORMING INSURANCE WARRANTIES —ARE WE FINALLY MOVING FORWARD? 127

*Dr Barış Soyler*

- 1 Introduction 127
- 2 Fundamental principles guiding a potential law reform on warranties 131
  - 2.1 Certainty 132
  - 2.2 Freedom of contract 132
  - 2.3 Reasonable expectations of the assured 133
  - 2.4 Reform not revolution 133
- 3 Reform options 134
  - 3.1 Adopting the doctrine of “alteration of risk” 134
  - 3.2 Equating warranties with other risk definition clauses 136
  - 3.3 Converting warranties into suspensory provisions 137
  - 3.4 Making alterations in the current warranty regime in line with general contract law 138
- 4 A critique of the law commissions’ main proposals on the warranty regime 139
  - 4.1 Warranties as to the future (future warranties) 139
    - 4.1.1 Requiring connection between the breach and the loss 141
    - 4.1.2 Modifying section 33(3) of the Marine Insurance Act 1906 143
  - 4.2 Warranties of present or past facts (warranties of fact) 146
  - 4.3 Statutory controls 149
    - 4.3.1 Transparency 149
    - 4.3.2 The “reasonable expectations” approach 150
- 5 Conclusion 153

CHAPTER 8 REFLECTIONS ON VALUES: THE LAW COMMISSIONS' PROPOSALS WITH RESPECT TO REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF PROMISSORY WARRANTY AND PRE-FORMATION NON-DISCLOSURE AND MISREPRESENTATION IN COMMERCIAL INSURANCE	155
---	-----

*Professor Howard Bennett*

1 Introduction	155
2 The fundamental values of commercial transactions law	156
2.1 Party autonomy	157
2.2 Certainty	159
2.3 Appropriateness of remedy	161
3 The Law Commissions' reform proposals in commercial insurance	164
3.1 Proposals on promissory warranties	164
3.1.1 Promissory warranties, risk definition and risk alteration	165
3.1.2 Scope of reform	169
3.1.3 New default rules for promissory warranties	170
3.1.4 Contracting out of the new default rules	171
3.1.5 Written standard terms of business	172
3.1.6 Seaworthiness	176
3.2 Proposals on pre-formation utmost good faith: non-disclosure and misrepresentation by the assured in risk presentation	178
3.2.1 The actionability of innocent misrepresentation and non-disclosure	179
3.2.2 Negligence and compensatory remedies	182
3.2.3 Characterisation	182
3.2.4 Contracting out	183
3.2.5 Alternative approaches to reform	183
4 Conclusion	185

CHAPTER 9 INSURABLE INTEREST: A SUITABLE CASE FOR TREATMENT?	187
--	-----

*Mark Templeman Q.C.*

1 Introduction	187
2 Insurable interest: the concept	187
3 Insurable interest: a brief history	189
4 The Gambling Act 2005	193
5 What constitutes an insurable interest?	195
5.1 Indemnity insurance	195

**xvi Contents**

5.2	Contingency insurance	198
5.2.1	Natural affection	198
5.2.2	Pecuniary interest	198
5.2.3	Insurable interest under statute	199
5.2.4	Other pecuniary interests	199
6	Problem areas: the need for reform	201
6.1	Indemnity insurance	201
6.1.1	The law is uncertain	202
6.1.2	The Gambling Act 2005 has abolished insurable interest by mistake	204
6.1.3	It is unclear whether the Life Assurance Act 1774 applies to insurance on land	204
6.1.4	It is unclear whether the Marine Insurance (Gambling Policies) Act 1909 still applies	205
6.1.5	Do provisions requiring those interested to be named in policies serve any purpose?	205
6.1.6	Another problem: “pervasive interest”	205
6.1.7	Conclusion	208
6.2	Contingency insurance	208
6.2.1	The law is difficult to analyse	209
6.2.2	The boundary between life/personal accident assurance and liability insurance has become blurred	209
6.2.3	Dependants find it difficult to arrange insurance	210
6.2.4	Section 2 of the Life Assurance Act 1774 can be used to avoid a policy on a technicality	211
6.2.5	Interest on a debt may not be insurable and key employee insurance is uncertain	211
6.2.6	It is possible to side-step the requirements of the Life Assurance Act 1774 through assignment	213
6.2.7	The Life Assurance Act 1774 no longer reduces moral hazard or gambling in the guise of insurance	213
6.2.8	Composite policies of insurance can be threatened	215
6.2.9	The remedy for breach is unclear and penalises only the policyholder	215
6.2.10	Conclusion	216
7	Proposals for reform	217
7.1	Indemnity insurance	219
7.1.1	No requirement of insurable interest	219
7.1.2	Timing of interest	220
7.1.3	Valued policies	221
7.2	Contingency insurance	222
7.2.1	Should a requirement of insurable interest be maintained?	222

7.2.2 Possible amendments to the principle of insurable interest	223
7.3 Other reforms	227
8 Conclusion	227

CHAPTER 10 UTMOST GOOD FAITH AND THE PRESENTATION AND HANDLING OF CLAIMS 229

*Peter MacDonald Eggers*

1 The continuing duty of utmost good faith	229
2 The assured's presentation of claims	232
2.1 Relatively certain aspects of the law	233
2.1.1 The scope of the duty—fraud is prohibited	234
2.1.2 The meaning of a fraudulent claim	237
2.1.3 The fraud must be substantial	238
2.1.4 Materiality	239
2.1.5 No requirement of inducement	240
2.1.6 End of the duty	241
2.2 Uncertain aspects of the law	241
2.2.1 The duty of utmost good faith and avoidance	241
2.2.2 Recent attempts to restrict avoidance	246
2.2.3 Forfeiture	248
2.2.4 Breach by concealment?	249
3 The insurer's handling of the claim	250
3.1 Insurer's duty of utmost good faith	250
3.2 Late payment of the insurance claim	252
4 The need for a flexible remedial response	256
5 Concluding thoughts	257

APPENDIX: The Marine Insurance Act 1906 259

*Index* 283



**Taylor & Francis**

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

# LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

## THE GENERAL EDITOR

**Dr Barış Soyer, B.A. (Ankara), LL.M., Ph.D. (Southampton)**

*Reader in Commercial and Maritime Law, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, University of Swansea*

Dr Soyer is a reader in commercial and maritime law at the University of Swansea, where he is also a member of the Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law and the director of Taught Masters programmes. He was previously a lecturer at the University of Exeter. He is the author of *Warranties in Marine Insurance* (Cavendish Publishing, 2001). The first edition of this book was the joint winner of the Cavendish Book Prize in 2001 and was awarded the Book Prize of the British Insurance Law Association in 2002 (the second edition was published in 2006). His principal interest is in the field of marine insurance, but do extend more broadly throughout maritime and contract law, areas in which he has published extensively. He is an editor of the *Journal of International Maritime Law* and is on the editorial board of *Shipping and Trade Law* and *Baltic Maritime Law Quarterly*.

## THE CONTRIBUTORS

**Sir Richard Aikens**

*A Lord Justice of Appeal and Honorary Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge*

Prior to his appointment as a Lord Justice of Appeal in 2008, the Rt. Hon. Lord Justice Aikens acted as a judge in the High Court, Queen's Bench Division (1999–2008). He was educated at Norwich School and St. John's College, Cambridge, was called to the Bar (Middle Temple) in 1973 and became a bencher in 1994. Before becoming a judge, he was a junior counsel to the Crown, Common Law (1981–1986) and became a Q.C. in 1986; he was a recorder from 1993–1999. From 2001 to 2004 he was presiding judge

## **xx List of Contributors**

for the South Eastern Circuit. He is also a co-author of the book *Bills of Lading* (published by Informa Law, 2006).

### **Martin Bakes**

*Partner, Herbert Smith LLP, London*

Martin Bakes has extensive expertise in insurance and reinsurance law. His work includes advising on policy disputes of all kinds between insureds and insurers, involving issues of non-disclosure and the construction of a wide range of policies. He acts in a variety of subrogated actions, including claims against professionals. In addition, he acts for major UK brokers. He has represented parties in market arbitrations and has wide experience of litigation involving issues of private international law (including litigation in other jurisdictions).

### **Professor Howard Bennett**

*Hind Professor of Commercial Law, University of Nottingham*

Howard Bennett specialises in contract and commercial law, with particular reference to insurance law (especially marine insurance law) and international trade and maritime law. He is the author of *The Law of Marine Insurance* (second edition, Oxford University Press, 2006), co-editor and contributing author of *Vulnerable Transactions and Corporate Insolvency* (Hart Publishing, 2003), and the author of a substantial number of journal articles and contributions to books on various aspects of commercial law. He is a member of the editorial board of the *Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly* and formerly acted as a consultant on insurance law to Denton Wilde Sapte, Solicitors. Outside the UK, he has lectured and given various talks in Australia, China, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Singapore and South Korea.

### **Derrick G. Cole, ACII, MAE, MEWI**

*Past BILA Chairman, Associated Insurance Experts*

Derrick Cole is a retired chartered insurance broker who was a branch manager with a leading composite insurer (Eagle Star), and later joined a firm of Lloyd's insurance brokers (Durtnell & Fowler Ltd) who were eventually taken over by Willis Faber (now Willis). He has looked after major industrial companies as an executive director of Willis Faber and is a past chairman and vice-president of the British Insurance Law Association. He was secretary of the BILA Sub-Committee's 2002 Report to the Law Commission on the Reform of Insurance Contract Law. On retirement he became a founding member and later chairman of Associated Insurance Experts and practised as an expert witness for 11 years, and is now a consultant to the Group.

**Peter MacDonald Eggers**

*Barrister, 7 King's Bench Walk, London; Visiting Fellow, Institute of International Shipping and Trade Law, Swansea University*

Peter MacDonald Eggers specialises in all aspects of commercial law, with a particular emphasis on insurance and reinsurance. His expertise extends to all types of insurance and reinsurance, including marine risks, energy and war and political risks, as well as international trade, shipping and transport, international investment and projects. Peter is co-author of *Good Faith and Insurance Contracts* (second edition, LLP 2004) and is a contributing editor of *Chitty on Contracts*.

**David Hertzell**

*Law Commissioner*

David Hertzell is a solicitor in commercial insurance practice. He began his legal career as a trainee solicitor with Davies Arnold Cooper in 1981 and was admitted as a solicitor in 1983. Davies Arnold Cooper made him a partner in 1989. He became managing partner in 1992; a post he held until 1996 and again from 1999 to 2006. Apart from his management responsibilities he specialised in professional indemnity, reinsurance, captive insurance and regulatory issues. He has represented both domestic and international insurers and reinsurers in a wide range of disputes and has managed a professional indemnity scheme for a major UK insurance company. He is the chair of the Captive and Risk Financing Focus Group of AIRMIC and is a member of the Law Society, the Chartered Insurance Institute and the Managing Partners Forum. He has written and published a number of articles on commercial insurance law. He has been acting as a Law Commissioner since 1 July 2007.

**Professor Robert Merkin**

*Professor of Commercial Law, Southampton University; Consultant, Barlow Lyde and Gilbert LLP, London*

Robert Merkin has written many books on a variety of subjects but is best known for his books and articles on insurance, reinsurance and related matters. His works include *Butler and Merkin on Reinsurance Law* (which won the inaugural British Insurance Law Association prize in 1986), *Colinvaux and Merkin's Insurance Contract Law*, *The Law of Motor Insurance* (with Jeremy Stuart-Smith Q.C.) and *Arbitration Law*. He is a member of the presidential council of AIDA and serves as secretary to the AIDA reinsurance working party. He is trustee of the British Insurance Law Association and consultant to the Law Commissions for their investigations into insurance law. He is the editor of *Insurance Law Monthly*, the *Journal of Business Law*, *Lloyd's Law*

## **xxii List of Contributors**

*Reports and Arbitration Law Monthly*. His works have been cited in several High Court decisions in England and in decisions in other jurisdictions, including by the High Court of Australia and the US Supreme Court. He regularly lectures on insurance and reinsurance at conferences and universities worldwide.

### **Mark Templeman Q.C.**

*Barrister, Essex Court Chambers, London*

Mark Templeman practises primarily in the fields of reinsurance and insurance (marine and non-marine). He also undertakes general commercial work, including commodities, shipping, sale of goods and letter of credit disputes. He is a CEDR accredited mediator. Although practising primarily in London, he has also appeared in court or in international arbitrations in New York, Bermuda, Rotterdam and the Turks and Caicos Islands.

### **Alan Weir**

*Partner, Ince & Co., London*

Alan Weir joined Ince & Co. in 1982. He has since worked on matters arising from many insurance and reinsurance classes, professional indemnity and fraud. His current practice spans pure advisory work at a claim, policy or programme level, market issues such as the LMP 2001 Project as well as sensitive investigations and dispute resolution. He was one of the partners acting for the appellants in the seminal good faith case, *Pan Atlantic v. Pine Top*. He has prepared Ince & Co.'s various submissions to the Law Commissions' proposals and assisted with drawing up the response given by the British Maritime Law Association. Educated at Fettes College and the Universities of Virginia and Trinity College, Cambridge, he has a particular affinity with Scotland and the USA.

## TABLE OF CASES

AIC Ltd v. ITS Testing Services (UK) Ltd (The Kriti Palm) [2006] ECWA Civ 1601; [2007] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 555 (CA) .....	251
Aegeon, The (No. 1). Agapitos v. Agnew [2002] EWCA Civ 247; [2003] 1 Q.B. 556; [2002] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 42; [2002] Lloyd's Rep. IR 573 (CA) .....	233, 234, 237–241, 244, 247, 248
Aegeon, The (No. 2). Agapitos v. Agnew [2002] EWHC 1558; [2003] Lloyd's Rep. IR 54 .....	129
Aegis Electrical and Gas International Services Co. Ltd v. Continental Casualty Co. [2008] Lloyd's Rep. IR 17 .....	95
Agapitos v. Agnew (The Aegeon) (No. 1) [2002] EWCA Civ 247; [2003] 1 Q.B. 556; [2002] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 42; [2002] Lloyd's Rep. IR 573 (CA) .....	233, 234, 237–241, 244, 247, 248
Agapitos v. Agnew (The Aegeon) (No. 2) [2002] EWHC 1558; [2003] Lloyd's Rep. IR 54 .....	129
Agnew v. Llänsförsäkringsbolagens AB [2001] 1 A.C. 223; [2000] Lloyd's Rep. IR 317 (HL) .....	231
Alderslade v. Hendon Laundry Ltd [1945] K.B. 189; [1945] 1 All E.R. 244 (CA) .....	158
Aldrich v. Norwich Union Life Insurance Co. Ltd (formerly Norwich Union Life Insurance Society) [2000] Lloyd's Rep. IR 1 (CA) .....	230
Alfred McAlpine Construction Ltd v. Panatown Ltd (No. 1) [2001] 1 A.C. 518; [2000] 3 W.L.R. 946 (HL) .....	162
Alfred McAlpine plc v. BAI (Run-Off) Ltd [2000] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 437; [2000] Lloyd's Rep. IR 352 (CA) .....	234
Aneco Reinsurance Underwriting Ltd (In Liquidation) v. Johnson & Higgins Ltd [2001] UKHL 51; [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 157 (HL) .....	75
Anen v. Woodman (1810) 3 Taunt. 299 .....	146
Antico v. Heath Fielding Australia Pty Ltd (1997) 188 C.L.R. 652 .....	136
Arab Bank plc v. Zurich Insurance Co. [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 262 .....	248
Arcos Ltd v. E. A. Ronaasen & Son [1933] A.C. 470; (1933) 45 Ll. L. Rep. 33 (HL) .....	163
Ashley v. Ashley (1829) 3 Sim. 149 .....	214
Assievedo v. Cambridge (1710) 10 Mod. 77 .....	189
Attorney General v. Blake [2001] 1 A.C. 268; [2000] 3 W.L.R. 625 (HL) .....	162
Australian Associated Motor Insurers Ltd v. Ellis (190) 54 S.A.S.R. 61 .....	136
Axa General Insurance Ltd v. Gottlieb [2005] EWCA Civ 112; [2005] Lloyd's Rep. IR 369 (CA) .....	233, 234, 244, 248
BP plc v. Aon Ltd [2006] Lloyd's Rep. IR 577 .....	96
Baghbadrani v. Commercial Union Assurance Co. plc [2000] Lloyd's Rep. IR 94 .....	235, 239, 241
Bancell II, The. Century Insurance Co. of Canada v. Case Existological Laboratories Ltd [1983] 2 S.C.R. 47; [1986] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 524 (Sup. Ct (Can)) .....	129
Bank of Nova Scotia v. Hellenic Mutual War Risk Association (Bermuda) Ltd (The Good Luck) (No. 2) [1992] 1 A.C. 233; [1991] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 191 (HL); revsg [1990] 1 Q.B. 818; [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 238 (CA) .....	114–116, 127, 138, 144, 167, 231
Banque Financière de la Cité S.A. (formerly Banque Keyser Ullmann S.A.) v. Westgate Insurance Co. (formerly Hodge General & Mercantile Co. Ltd) [1990] 2 All E.R. 947; [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 377 (HL); affmg [1989] 2 All E.R. 952; [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 513 (CA) .....	230
Banque Keyser Ullmann S.A. v. Skandia (UK) Insurance Co. Ltd; <i>sub nom</i> Banque Financiere de la Cite S.A. (formerly Banque Keyser Ullmann S.A.) v. Westgate Insurance Co. (formerly Hodge General & Mercantile Co. Ltd) [1990] 1 Q.B. 665; [1988] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 513 (CA) .....	156
Bates v. Hewitt (1867) L.R. 2 Q.B. 595 .....	37
Baxendale v. Harvey (1848) 4 H. & N. 445 .....	135
Bean v. Stupart (1778) 1 Dougl. 11 .....	150, 167
Beresford v. Royal Insurance Co. Ltd [1938] A.C. 586 (HL) .....	213
Berridge v. Man on Insurance Co. Ltd (1887) L.R. 18 Q.B.D. 346 (CA) .....	192
Black King Shipping Corp. v. Massie (The Litsion Pride) [1985] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 437 .....	243

**xxiv Table of Cases**

Blackhurst v. Cockell (1789) 3 T.R. 360 ..... 150

Branford v. Saunders (1877) 25 W.R. 650 ..... 210

British Fermentation Products Ltd v. Compar Reavell Ltd [1999] 2 All E.R. (Comm) 389; [1999] BLR 352 ..... 151

British Workman’s and General Assurance Co. v. Cunliffe (1902) 18 Times L.R. 425 ... 215, 216, 250

Britton v. Royal Insurance Company (1866) 4 F. & F. 905 ..... 230, 232, 233, 235, 242, 244, 245, 249

Brotherton v. Aseguradora Colseguros S.A. (No. 2) [2003] EWCA Civ 705; [2003] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 746 (CA) ..... 78, 256

Brownlie v. Campbell (1880) L.R. 5 App. Cas. 925 (HL) ..... 250

Brownsville Holdings Ltd v. Adamjee Insurance Co. Ltd (The Milasan) [2000] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 458 ..... 140

Bucks Printing Press Ltd v. Prudential Assurance Co. [1994] 3 Re. L.R. 219 ..... 231

Burnand v. Rodocanachi Sons & Co. (1882) L.R. 7 App. Cas. 333 (HL) ..... 188

Callaghan v. Dominion Insurance Co. Ltd [1997] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 541 ..... 253

Canada Steamship Lines Ltd v. The King [1952] A.C. 192; [1952] 1 All E.R. 305; [1952] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 1 (HL) ..... 158

Capricorn, The. Cepheus Shipping Corp. v. Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance plc [1995] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 622 ..... 188, 202, 204

Captain Panagos DP, The. Continental Illinois National Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago and Xenofon Maritime S.A. v. Alliance Assurance Co. Ltd [1986] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 470 ..... 231, 245

Carlill v. Carbolic Smoke Ball Co. [1893] 1 Q.B. 256 (CA) ..... 191

Carter v. Boehm (1766) 3 Burr. 1905 ..... 26, 33, 35, 37, 71, 230, 243

Castelli v. Boddington (1852) 1 El. & Bl. 66 ..... 252

Cehave NV v. Bremer Handelgesellschaft mbH (The Hansa Nord) [1976] Q.B. 44; [1975] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 445 (CA) ..... 163

Central Bank of India Ltd v. Guardian Assurance Co. Ltd (1936) 54 Ll. L. Rep. 247 (PC) ..... 235

Century Insurance Co. of Canada v. Case Existological Laboratories Ltd (The Bamcell II) [1983] 2 S.C.R. 47; [1986] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 524 (Sup. Ct (Can)) ..... 129

Cepheus Shipping Corp. v. Guardian Royal Exchange Assurance plc (The Capricorn) [1995] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 622 ..... 188, 202, 204

Chapman v. Pole (1870) 2 L.T. 306 ..... 235, 244

Chapman (J.A.) & Co. Ltd (In Liquidation) v. Kadirga Denizcilik ve Ticaret AS [1998] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 377 (CA) ..... 146

Charter Reinsurance Co. Ltd (In Liquidation) v. Fagan [1997] A.C. 313; [1996] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 113 (HL) ..... 158

Cheese v. Thomas [1994] 1 W.L.R. 129; [1994] 1 All E.R. 35 (CA) ..... 246

Cheshire (Thomas) & Co. v. Vaughan Bros & Co. [1920] 3 K.B. 240; (1920) 3 Ll. L. Rep. 213 (CA) ..... 192, 195

Commercial Union Insurance Co. of N.Y. v. Daniels 343 F. Supp. 674 (S.D. Tex., 1972) ..... 137

Company of African Merchants Ltd v. British & Foreign Marine Insurance Co. Ltd (1873) L.R. 8 Ex. 154 ..... 165

Container Transport International Inc (CTI) v. Oceanus Mutual Underwriting Association (Bermuda) Ltd (No. 1) [1984] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 476 (CA) ..... 30, 34, 37, 68, 70, 71, 73, 230

Continental Illinois National Bank & Trust Co. of Chicago and Xenofon Maritime S.A. v. Alliance Assurance Co. Ltd (The Captain Panagos DP) [1986] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 470 ..... 231, 245

Cornfoot v. Fowke (1840) 6 M. & W. 358 ..... 230

Craufurd v. Hunter (1798) 8 T.R. 13 ..... 189

Crowley v. Cohen (1832) 2 B. & Ad. 478 ..... 197

Dalby v. The India and London Life Assurance Co. (1854) 15 C.B. 365 ..... 192, 201, 211, 212, 214

Danepoint Ltd v. Underwriting Insurance Ltd [2005] EWHC 2318 (TCC); [2006] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 429 ..... 234, 238–240

Darlington Borough Council v. Wiltshier Northern Ltd [1995] 1 W.L.R. 68; [1995] 3 All E.R. 895 (CA) ..... 162

Davis v. Garrett (1830) 6 Bing. 716 ..... 167

Dawsons Ltd v. Bonnin [1922] 2 A.C. 413; (1922) 12 Ll. L. Rep. 237 (HL) ..... 145

De Hahn v. Hartley (1786) 1 T.R. 343 ..... 128

De Mattos v. North (1868) L.R. 3 Ex. 185 ..... 192

De Molestina v. Ponton (Application to Strike Out) [2002] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 271 .....	246
De Wolf v. Archangel Maritime Bank & Insurance Co. Ltd (1874) L.R. 9 Q.B. 451 .....	166
Dean v. Dicker (1746) 2 Str. 1250 .....	189
Deepak Fertilisers & Petrochemicals Corp. v. ICI Chemicals and Polymers Ltd [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 387 (CA) .....	206, 207
Depaba v. Ludlow (1721) 1 Comyns 360 .....	189
Department of Trade and Industry v. St Christopher Motorists Association Ltd; <i>sub nom</i> DTI v. St Christopher Motorists' Association Ltd [1974] 1 W.L.R. 99; [1974] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 17 .....	219
Derry v. Peek (1889) L.R. 14 App. Cas. 337 (HL) .....	237
Diab v. Regent Insurance Co. Ltd (Belize) [2006] UKPC 29; [2007] 1 W.L.R. 797 (PC) .....	251
Diana Prosperity, The. Reardon Smith Line Ltd v. Hansen-Tangen [1976] 1 W.L.R. 989; [1976] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 621 (HL) .....	144, 163
Direct Line Insurance plc v. Khan [2001] EWCA Civ 1794; [2002] Lloyd's Rep. IR 364 (CA) ...	239, 244
Dome Mining Corp Ltd v. Drysdale (1931) 41 Ll. L. Rep. 109 .....	235, 237, 242
Dora, The. Inversiones Manria S.A. v. Sphere Drake Insurance Co., Malvern Insurance Co. and Niagara Fire Insurance Co. [1989] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 69 .....	222
Drake Insurance plc v. Provident Insurance plc [2003] EWCA Civ 1834; [2004] Q.B. 601; [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 268 (CA) .....	37, 256
Dunlop Heywards (DHL) Ltd v. Erinaceous Insurance Services Ltd [2008] EWHC 520 (Comm) .....	96
ERC Frankona Reinsurance v. American National Insurance Co. [2006] Lloyd's Rep. IR 157 .....	99
Eagle Star Insurance Co. Ltd v. Games Co. S.A. (The Game Boy) [2004] EWHC 15; [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 238 .....	129
Economides v. Commercial Union Assurance Co. plc [1998] Q.B. 587; [1998] Lloyd's Rep. IR 9 (CA) .....	156
Elliott v. Wilson (1776) 4 Bro. P.C. 470 .....	165
Engels v. Lancashire & General Ass. Co. (1925) Comm. Cas. 202 .....	207
Evans v. Bignold (1866–69) L.R. 4 Q.B. 62 .....	211
Experience Hendrix LLC v. PPX Enterprises Inc. [2003] EWCA Civ 323, [2003] 1 All E.R. (Comm) 830 (CA) .....	162
FAI General Insurance Co. Ltd v. Australian Hospital Care Pty Ltd [2001] H.C.A. 38 .....	137
Fanti, The and The Padre Island. Firma C-Trade S.A. v. Newcastle Protection and Indemnity Association [1991] 2 A.C. 1; [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 191 (HL) .....	252
Fargnoli v. G. A. Bonus plc [1997] 6 Re. L.R. 374 .....	250
Feasey v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada [2003] Lloyd's Rep. IR 637 ...	191, 196, 197, 199, 200, 202, 207, 209, 210, 213, 216, 24
Ferrcom Pty Ltd v. Commercial Union Assurance Co. of Australia Ltd (1993) 176 C.L.R. 332 ...	136
Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. v. Cox 742 F. Supp. 609 (M.D. Fla., 1989) .....	137
Firma C-Trade S.A. v. Newcastle Protection and Indemnity Association (The Fanti and The Padre Island) [1991] 2 A.C. 1; [1990] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 191 (HL) .....	252
Forney v. Dominion Insurance Co. Ltd [1969] 1 W.L.R. 928; [1969] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 502 .....	252
Forsikringsaktieselskapet Vesta v. Butcher [1989] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 331; [1989] A.C. 852 (HL) .....	93, 128, 138
Friends Provident Life & Pensions Ltd v. Sirius International Ins. [2005] EWCA Civ 601; [2005] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 517 (CA) .....	245
GE Reinsurance Corporation v. New Hampshire Insurance Co. [2004] Lloyd's Rep. IR 404 .....	95
Gallé Gowns Ltd v. Licenses & General Insurance Co. Ltd (1933) 47 Ll. L. Rep. 186 .....	235, 237
Galloway v. Guardian Royal Exchange (UK) Ltd [1999] Lloyd's Rep. IR 209 (CA) ....	231, 233, 238, 243–245
Game Boy, The. Eagle Star Insurance Co. Ltd v. Games Co. S.A. [2004] EWHC 15; [2004] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 238 .....	129
Gedge v. Royal Exchange Assurance Corp. [1900] 2 Q.B. 214 .....	192
General Accident Fire and Life Assurance Corp Ltd v. Peter William Tanter (The Zephyr) [1985] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 529 (CA) .....	98
Glafki Shipping Co. S.A. v. Pinios Shipping Co No.1 (The Maira) (No. 2) [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 660 .....	222

**xxvi Table of Cases**

Glengate-KG Properties Ltd v. Norwich Union Fire Insurance Society Ltd [1996] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 614 (CA) ..... 196

Goddard v. Garrett (1692) 2 Vern. 269 ..... 189, 190

Godsall v. Boldero (1807) 9 East. 72 ..... 192

Good v. Elliott (1790) 3 T.R. 693 ..... 194

Good Luck, The (No. 2). Bank of Nova Scotia v. Hellenic Mutual War Risk Association (Bermuda) Ltd [1992] 1 A.C. 233; [1991] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 191 (HL); revsg [1990] 1 Q.B. 818; [1989] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 238 (CA) ..... 114–116, 127, 138, 144, 167, 231

Goshawk Dedicated Ltd v. Tyser & Co Ltd [2006] EWCA Civ 54; [2006] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 566 (CA) ..... 80

Goulstone v. Royal Insurance Co. (1858) 1 F. & F. 276 ..... 235, 237

Grant v. Royal Exchange Assurance Co. (1816) 5 M. & S. 439 ..... 252

Griffiths v. Fleming [1909] 1 K.B. 805 (CA) ..... 198

Group Josi Re Co. S.A. v. Walbrook Insurance Co. Ltd [1996] 1 All E.R. 791; [1996] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 345 (CA) ..... 99

Groupama Navigation et Transports v. Catatumbo CA Seguros [2001] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 141; [2000] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 350 (CA) ..... 93

HIH Casualty & General Insurance Ltd v. Axa Corporate Solutions (formerly Axa Reassurance S.A.) [2002] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 325 ..... 116, 140

HIH Casualty & General Insurance Ltd v. Chase Manhattan Bank [2003] UKHL 6; [2003] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 61; [2003] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 230 (HL); [2001] EWCA Civ 1250; [2001] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 483 (CA); [2001] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 30 (QBD (Comm Ct)) ... 72, 101, 157, 158, 160, 229, 250

HIH Casualty & General Insurance Ltd v. JLT Risk Solutions Ltd [2006] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 742 ..... 95

HIH Casualty & General Insurance Ltd v. New Hampshire Insurance Co. [2001] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 161; [2001] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 596 (CA) ..... 170, 172

Haase v. Evans (1934) 48 Ll. L. Rep. 131 ..... 235, 237

Hadenfayre v. British National Insurance Society [1984] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 393 ..... 168

Halford v. Kymmer (1830) 10 B. & C. 724 ..... 198

Hansa Nord, The. Cehave NV v. Bremer Handelgesellschaft mbH [1976] Q.B. 44; [1975] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 445 (CA) ..... 163

Harse v. Pearl Life Assurance Co. [1904] 1 K.B. 558 (CA); rvsng [1903] 2 K.B. 92 .... 210, 215, 216, 250

Hartley v. Buggin (1781) 3 Dougl. 39 ..... 165

Hebden v. West (1863) 3 B. & S. 579 ..... 199, 212

Hepburn v. A. Tomlinson (Hauliers) Ltd [1966] A.C. 451; [1966] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 309 (HL) ..... 197

Hedley Byrne & Co. Ltd v. Heller & Partners Ltd [1964] A.C. 465; [1963] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 485 (HL) ..... 178

Hibbert v. Pigou (1783) 3 Dougl. K.B. 213 ..... 128

Hide v. Bruce (1773) 3 Dougl. K.B. 213 ..... 129

Highlands Insurance Co. v. Koetje 651 F. Supp 346 (W.D. Wash., 1987) ..... 130

Hong Kong Fir Shipping Co. Ltd v. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd (The Hong Kong Fir) [1962] 2 Q.B. 26; [1961] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 478 (CA) ..... 123, 130, 144, 162

Howard v. Refuge Friendly Society (1886) 54 L.T. 644 ..... 215

Hughes v. Liverpool Victoria Legal Friendly Society [1916] 2 K.B. 482 (CA) ..... 250

Hussain v. Brown [1996] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 627 (CA) ..... 129

Insurance Corp. of the Channel Islands Ltd v. McHugh [1997] L.R.L.R. 94 .. 235, 246, 248, 251, 252

Interpart Comercio e Gestao S.A. v. Leington Insurance Co. [2004] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 690 ... 240, 241

Inversiones Manria S.A. v. Sphere Drake Insurance Co., Malvern Insurance Co. and Niagara Fire Insurance Co. (The Dora) [1989] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 69 ..... 222

Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v. West Bromwich Building Society (No. 1) [1998] 1 W.L.R. 896 (HL) ..... 132, 158

Ionides v. Pender (1874) L.R. 9 Q.B. 531 ..... 66, 71, 222

Irving v. Manning (1847) 1 H.L. Cas. 287 ..... 188

Israelson v. Dawson (Port of Manchester Insurance Co. Ltd) [1933] 1 K.B. 301; (1932) 43 Ll. L. Rep. 401 (CA) ..... 252

Italia Express (No. 3), The. Ventouris v. Mountain [1992] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 281 ..... 253

Jabbour (F. & K.) v. Custodian of Israeli Absentee Property [1954] 1 W.L.R. 139; [1953] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 760 .....	253, 255
James v. CGU Insurance plc [2002] Lloyd's Rep. IR 206 .....	94
James Staples v. Great American Insurance Co. New York [1941] S.C.R. 213 .....	129
K/S Merc-Scandia XXXXII v. Lloyd's Underwriters (The Mercandian Continent) [2001] EWCA Civ 1275; [2001] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 563; [2001] Lloyd's Rep. IR 802 (CA) .....	231–233, 235, 239, 242, 243, 245–248
Kanchenjunga, The. Motor Oil Hellas (Corinth) Refineries S.A. v. Shipping Corp. of India [1990] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 391 (HL) .....	145
Kausar v. Eagle Star Insurance Co. Ltd [2000] Lloyd's Rep. IR 154 .....	168
King (Deceased), Re [1963] Ch. 459; [1963] 2 W.L.R. 629 (CA) .....	190
Kirkaldy (J.) & Sons Ltd v. Walker [1999] Lloyd's Rep. IR 410 .....	140
Kler Knitwear Ltd v. Lombard General Insurance Co. Ltd [2000] Lloyd's Rep. IR 47 .....	160, 172
Kosmar Villa Holidays plc v. Trustees of Syndicate 1243 [2008] EWCA Civ 147 (CA) .....	140
Kriti Palm, The. AIC Ltd v. ITS Testing Services (UK) Ltd [2006] ECWA Civ 1601; [2007] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 555 (CA) .....	251
Kumar v. AGF Insurance Ltd [1999] 1 W.L.R. 1747; [1999] Lloyd's Rep. IR 147 .....	236
L'Estrange v. F. Graucob Ltd [1934] 2 K.B. 394 .....	175
Lagden v. O'Connor [203] UKHL 64; [2004] 1 A.C. 1067 (HL) .....	255
Lambert v. Cooperative Insurance Society Ltd [1975] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 485 (CA) .....	29
Law Guarantee Trust & Accident Society v. Munich Reinsurance Co (1915) 31 T.L.R. 572 .....	94
Le Pypre v. Farr (1716) Vern. 716 .....	189
Lek v. Mathews (1927) 29 Ll. L. Rep. 141 (HL) .....	235, 237, 239, 241
Levy v. Baillie (1837) 7 Bing. 349 .....	235
Lewis v. Rucker (1761) 2 Burr. 1167 .....	188, 221
Limit No. 2 Ltd v. Axa Versicherung A.G. [2008] Lloyd's Rep. IR 330 .....	94
Lineas Aeras Colombianas Expresas v. Travelers Fire Insurance Co. 257 F.2d 150 (5th Cir., 1958) .....	137
Lips, The. President of India v. Lips Maritime Corp. [1988] A.C. 395; [1987] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 311 (HL) .....	252
Litsion Pride, The. Black King Shipping Corp. v. Massie [1985] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 437 .....	243
Lockyer v. Offley (1776) 1 T.R. 252 .....	159
Lombard North Central plc v. Butterworth [1987] 1 All E.R. 267; [1987] 2 W.L.R. 7 (CA) .....	162
London Assurance v. Clare (1937) 57 Ll. L. Rep. 254 .....	236, 257
London County Commercial Reinsurance Office Ltd, Re [1922] 2 Ch. 67; (1922) 10 Ll. L. Rep. 370 .....	192, 202
Lucena v. Craufurd (1806) 2 Bos. & Pul. (NR) 269 .....	189, 192, 197
Luckie v. Bushby (1853) 13 C.B. 864 .....	252
Lynch v. Dalzell (1729) 4 Bro. Parl. Cas. 431 .....	190, 191
Macaurea v. Northern Assurance Co. Ltd [1925] A.C. 619 (HL) .....	191, 193, 197, 203, 204, 212
Mackay v. London General Insurance Co. Ltd (1935) 51 Ll. L. Rep. 201 .....	147
M'Farlane v. The Royal London Friendly Society (1886) 2 T.L.R. 755 .....	198
McNeil v. O'Kane [2004] Q.S.C. 144 .....	136
Maira (No. 2), The. Glafki Shipping Co. S.A. v. Pinios Shipping Co No.1 (The Maira) (No. 2) [1984] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 660 .....	222
Manchester Liners Ltd v. British & Foreign Marine Ins Co. Ltd (1901) 7 Comm. Cas. 26 .....	204
Mandrake Holdings Ltd v. Countrywide Assured Group plc [2005] EWCA Civ 840 (CA) .....	253
Manifest Shipping Co Ltd v. Uni-Polaris Insurance Co Ltd (The Star Sea) [2001] UKHL 1; [2003] 1 A.C. 469; [2001] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 389; [2001] Lloyd's Rep. IR 247 (HL); [1997] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 360 (CA); rvsg on other grounds [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 651 (QBD (Comm Ct)) ..	30, 177, 222, 229–231, 233, 234, 236, 237, 241, 243–245, 247, 250, 256
Marc Rich Agriculture Trading S.A. v. Fortis Corporate Insurance NV [2004] EWHC 2632 (Comm); [2005] Lloyd's Rep. IR 396 .....	238, 241, 249
Maredelanto Compania Naviera S.A. v. Bergbau-Handel GmbH (The Mihalis Angelos) [1971] 1 Q.B. 164; [1970] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 43 (CA) .....	123
Mark Rowlands Ltd v. Berni Inns Ltd [1986] Q.B. 211; [1985] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 437 (CA) .....	190
Martin P, The. O'Kane v. Jones [2005] Lloyd's Rep. IR 174 .....	196, 197, 203

**xxviii Table of Cases**

Maurice v. Goldsborough Mort & Co. Ltd [1939] A.C. 452; (1939) 64 Ll. L. Rep. 1 (PC) ..... 188

Medical Defence Union Ltd v. Department of Trade [1980] Ch. 82; [1979] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 499 ..... 219

Mercandian Continent, The. K/S Merc-Scandia XXXXII v. Lloyd’s Underwriters [2001] EWCA Civ 1275; [2001] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 563; [2001] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 802 (CA) ..... 231–233, 235, 239, 242, 243, 245–248

Micro Design Group Ltd v. Norwich Union Insurance Ltd [2005] EWHC 3093 (TCC); [2006] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 235 ..... 239

Mihalis Angelos, The. Maredelanto Compania Naviera S.A. v. Bergbau-Handel GmbH [1971] 1 Q.B. 164; [1970] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 43 (CA) ..... 123

Milasan, The. Brownsville Holdings Ltd v. Adamjee Insurance Co. Ltd [2000] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 458 ..... 140

Moonacre, The. Sharp and Roarer v. Sphere Drake Insurance [1992] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 501 .... 191, 196, 197

Moore & Co. Ltd and Landauer & Co., Re [1921] 2 K.B. 519; (1921) 6 Ll. L. Rep. 384 (CA) ..... 163

Mopani Copper Mines plc v. Millenium Underwriting Ltd [2008] EWHC 1331 (Comm) ..... 95

Moran Galloway & Co. v. Uzielli [1905] 2 K.B. 555 ..... 203

Morison v. Muspratt (1827) 4 Bing. 60 ..... 230

Moses v. Pratt (1815) 4 Camp. 297 ..... 146

Motor Oil Hellas (Corinth) Refineries S.A. v. Shipping Corp. of India (The Kanchenjunga) [1990] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 391 (HL) ..... 145

Mount v. Larkins (1831) 8 Bing. 108 ..... 166

Mount I, The. Raiffeisen Zentralbank Osterreich AG v. Five Star General Trading LLC [2001] EWCA Civ 68; [2001] Q.B. 825; [2001] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 597; [2001] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 460 (CA) ..... 253

Murphy v. Bell (1828) 4 Bing. 567 ..... 189, 221

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Co. v. Foster (1904) 20 T.L.R. 715 ..... 250

National Oilwell (UK) Ltd v. Davy Offshore Ltd [1993] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 582 ..... 206

New Hampshire Insurance Co. Ltd v. MGN Ltd [1997] L.R.L.R. 24 (CA) ..... 231

New Zealand Shipping Co. Ltd and Societe des Ateliers et Chantiers de France, Re Arbitration between [1919] A.C. 1 (HL); affmg [1917] 2 K.B. 717 (CA) ..... 236

Newbury International Ltd v. Reliance National Insurance Co. (UK) and Tyser Special Risks [1994] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 83 ..... 191, 208

Newcastle Fire Insurance Co. v. Macmoran & Co. (1815) 3 Dow. 255 ..... 167

Normhurst v. Dornoch Ltd [2004] EWHC 567 (Comm) ..... 252

North British & Mercantile Insurance Co. v. Moffat (1871) L.R. 7 C.P. 25 ..... 207

North Star Shipping Ltd v. Sphere Drake Insurance plc [2006] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 183 (CA) ..... 85

Norton v. The Royal Fire and Life Assurance Co. (1885) 1 T.L.R. 460 ..... 238

Nsubuga v. Commercial Union Assurance Co. plc [1998] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 682 ..... 235, 238, 248, 249

O’Kane v. Jones (The Martin P) [2005] Lloyd’s Rep. IR 174 ..... 196, 197, 203

Orakpo v. Barclays Insurance Services Ltd [1995] L.R.L.R. 443 (CA) .. 231, 233, 236, 238, 239, 242, 243, 245, 247, 248

Overseas Tankship (UK) Ltd v. Morts Dock & Engineering Co. (The Wagon Mound) (No. 1) [1961] A.C. 388; [1961] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 1 (PC) ..... 121

PCW Syndicates v. PCW Reinsurers [1996] 1 All E.R. 774; [1996] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 241 (CA) .... 99

Pan Atlantic Insurance Co Ltd v. Pine Top Insurance Co Ltd [1995] 1 A.C. 501; [1994] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 427 (HL) ..... 26, 30, 37, 58, 66–71, 73–76, 155, 156, 230, 243, 246

Parker v. South Eastern Railway Co. (1877) L.R. 2 C.P.D. 416 (CA) ..... 175

Pawson v. Watson (1778) 2 Cowp. 785 ..... 75, 127

Permanent Trustee Australia Ltd v. FAI General Insurance Co. Ltd [2003] H.C.A. 25; (2003) 77 A.L.J.R. 10 ..... 70

Petrofina (UK) Ltd v. Magnaload Ltd [1984] Q.B. 127; [1983] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 91 ..... 197, 206, 207

Photo Production Ltd v. Securicor Transport Ltd [1980] A.C. 827; [1980] 1 Lloyd’s Rep. 545 (HL) ..... 145

Pigot’s Case (1614) 11 Co. Rep. 26b ..... 246

Pim v. Reid (1843) 6 Man. & G. 1 ..... 135

Prentis Donegan & Partners Ltd v. Leeds & Leeds Co. Inc. [1998] 2 Lloyd’s Rep. 326 ..... 96

**Table of Cases xxix**

President of India v. Lips Maritime Corp. (The Lips) [1988] A.C. 395; [1987] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 311 (HL) .....	252
Pride Valley Foods Ltd v. Independent Insurance Co. Ltd [1999] 1 Lloyd's Rep. IR 120 (CA) ....	253
Printpak v. AGF Insurance Ltd [1999] Lloyd's Rep. IR 542 (CA) .....	215
Prudential Insurance Co. v. Inland Revenue Commissioners [1904] 2 K.B. 658 .....	219
Quebec Marine Insurance Co. v. Commercial Bank of Canada (1870) L.R. 3 P.C. 234 (PC) .....	128
R. & B. Customs Brokers Co. Ltd v. United Dominions Trust Ltd [1988] 1 W.L.R. 321; [1988] 1 All E.R. 84 (CA) .....	158
Raiffeisen Zentralbank Osterreich AG v. Five Star General Trading LLC (The Mount I) [2001] EWCA Civ 68; [2001] Q.B. 825; [2001] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 597; [2001] Lloyd's Rep. IR 460 (CA) .....	253
Ramco v. International Insurance Co. of Hanover [2004] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 595 .....	207
Raylor (HB) & Co. Ltd v. Henry Lewis & Son (Wireworkers) Ltd [1987] B.T.L.C. 70 .....	171
Reardon Smith Line Ltd v. Hansen-Tangen (The Diana Prosperity) [1976] 1 W.L.R. 989; [1976] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 621 (HL) .....	144, 163
Reed v. Royal Exchange Assurance Co. (1795) Peake Ad. Cas. 70 .....	198
Refuge Assurance Co. Ltd v. Kettlewell [1909] A.C. 243 (HL); affg [1908] 1 K.B. 545 (CA) .....	250
Rhind v. Wilkinson (1810) 2 Taunt. 237 .....	192
Roberts v. Avon Insurance Co. Ltd [1956] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 240 .....	235
Roberts v. Plaisted [1989] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 341 (CA) .....	76, 91
Rothschild v. Brookman (1831) 2 Dow & Clark 188 .....	230
Royal Boskalis Westminster NV v. Mountain [1997] L.R.L.R. 523 (CA) .... 231, 234, 237, 240, 243–245, 248	
Ruxley Electronics and Construction Ltd v. Forsyth [1996] A.C. 344; [1995] 3 W.L.R. 118 (HL) .....	162
St Albans City and District Council v. International Computers Ltd [1995] F.S.R. 686 .....	151
Sadlers' Co. v. Badcock (1743) 2 Artk. 554 .....	189, 190, 191
Schilling v. Accidental Death (1857) 2 H. & N. 42 .....	210
Schuler (L) AG v. Wickman Machine Tool Sales Ltd [1974] A.C. 235; [1973] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 53 (HL) .....	158
Sellar v. M'Vicar (1804) 1 Bos. & Pul. (N.R.) 23 .....	166
Sempra Metals Ltd v. Inland Revenue Commissioners [2007] UKHL 34; [2007] 3 W.L.R. 354 (HL) .....	253
Sharp and Roarer v. Sphere Drake Insurance (The Moonacre) [1992] 2 Lloyd's Rep. 501 ... 191, 196, 197	
Shogun Finance Ltd v. Hudson [2004] UKHL 62; [2004] 1 A.C. 919 (HL) .....	132



**Taylor & Francis**

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

## TABLE OF LEGISLATION

<p>Arbitration Act 1996 ..... 93</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 49 ..... 253</p> <p>Civil Partnership Act 2004</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 253 ..... 188, 199</p> <p>Civil Procedure Rules 1998 (SI 1998 No. 3132) ..... 62</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">r. 6.20 ..... 101</p> <p>Council Directive 93/13/EEC on Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts ..... 151</p> <p>Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 ... 219</p> <p>Fraud Act 2006 ..... 82</p> <p>Friendly Societies Act 1992</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 99 ..... 199</p> <p>Gambling Act 2005 ... 20, 21, 23, 193–195, 201, 204, 205, 208, 218</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 3 ..... 193</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 9 ..... 193</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 334 ..... 195</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1) ..... 193</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 335 ..... 193, 194, 195</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1), (2) ..... 194</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 356 ..... 193</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">sch. 17 ..... 193</p> <p>Gambling Act (Commencement No. 6 and Transitional Provisions) Order (SI 2006 No. 3272) ..... 193</p> <p>Gaming Act 1845</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 18 ..... 191, 193, 204</p> <p>Insurance Code (France)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Art. L.113–2–3 ..... 135</p> <p>Insurance Code (New York)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">Art. 31, s. 3106(b) ..... 130</p> <p>Insurance Companies Amendment Act 1973</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 50 ..... 211</p> <p>Insurance Contracts Act 1984 (Australia) ... 89</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 24 ..... 147</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 54 ..... 130, 134, 136, 137, 150</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1)–(5) ..... 136</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(3) ..... 142</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ss. 59, 60 ..... 136, 145</p> <p>Insurance Law Act 2007 (Germany) ..... 135</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ss. 23–25 ..... 135</p> <p>Insurance Law Reform Act 1977 (New Zealand)</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 11 ..... 130, 141, 150</p> <p>Life Assurance Act 1774 .... 2, 7, 20, 21, 190–195, 201, 204, 208–210, 213, 217</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 1 ..... 191, 211</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 2 ..... 23, 190, 209, 211, 216</p>	<p>Life Assurance Act 1774—<i>cont.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 3 ... 190, 191, 198, 200, 209, 211, 212, 216, 225, 226</p> <p>Marine Insurance Act 1745 .... 189, 190–192, 195, 217, 218</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 1 ..... 189</p> <p>Marine Insurance Act 1788 ..... 193, 201, 205</p> <p>Marine Insurance Act 1906 ... 1–3, 8, 16, 33, 35, 37, 93, 108, 113, 130, 154, 155–157, 159, 161, 176, 192, 193, 218, App</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ss. 4–15 ..... 192</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 4 ..... 194, 195</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1), (2) ..... 192, 194</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 5 ..... 200</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1) ..... 192</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2) ..... 192, 196</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 6(1) ..... 197</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 17 .... 125, 229, 230, 232, 241, 242, 246, 247, 250</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ss. 18–20 ..... 230</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 18(1) ..... 11, 56, 231</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2) ..... 65, 66, 71, 74</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(3) ..... 57</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 19 ..... 96</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) ..... 17, 90, 99, 100</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) ..... 17, 97, 99, 100</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 20(1) ..... 120, 231</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(5) ..... 58</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 23 ..... 193, 201, 205, 208</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 33 ..... 116</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(1) ..... 114, 139</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2) ..... 114</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(3) ..... 115, 118, 127, 140, 143</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 34(2) ..... 116, 143</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(3) ..... 116, 140</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 35(1) ..... 114</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2) ..... 149, 150, 170</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(3) ..... 115</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ss. 36–41 ..... 122</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 36 ..... 246</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 39(1) ..... 115, 176</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(2), (3) ..... 176</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(5) ..... 143, 177</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 41 ..... 115</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 42 ..... 246</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ss. 43–46 ..... 16, 154</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 43 ..... 166</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 44 ..... 166</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 45(2) ..... 165</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">s. 46(1) ..... 165, 169</p>
--	--

**xxxii Table of Legislation**

Marine Insurance Act 1906— <i>cont.</i>		Rome Convention 1964	
s. 48 .....	165	Art. 4 .....	96
s. 50 .....	205	Safety of Life at Sea Convention 1974 ....	176, 177
s. 55(2)(a) .....	143	Sale of Goods Act 1979	
s. 67 .....	188, 253, 255	s. 11(3) .....	163
s. 68 .....	188	s. 12 .....	132
s. 84 .....	101	ss. 13–15 .....	162
(2) .....	146	s. 13(1A) .....	163
(3)(a) .....	146, 242, 247	s. 14(2), (3) .....	163
s. 91(2) .....	155	(6) .....	163
Marine Insurance Act 1909 (Australia) ....	130	s. 15(1)(a) .....	163
Marine Insurance (Gambling Policies) Act		(3) .....	163
1909 .....	23, 192, 193, 195, 201, 205, 218	s. 15A .....	162, 163, 171, 185
s. 1(1) .....	227	s. 61(1) .....	163
Marine Insurance Plan (NMIP) 1996 (2003		Sale and Supply of Goods Act 1994 .....	163
version) (Norway) .....	135, 177	Supreme Court Act 1981	
ss. 3.9–3.11, 3.12 .....	135	s. 35A .....	253
s. 3.10 .....	145	Supply of Goods (Implied Terms) Act	
ss. 3.14, 3.21 .....	136	1973	
Married Women’s Property Act 1882		s. 8 .....	132
s. 11 .....	188, 199	UNIDROIT Principles of International	
Misrepresentation Act 1967 .....	156	Commercial Contracts	
s. 2 .....	162	Art. 1.7 .....	161
(1) .....	178, 180	Art. 2.1.20 .....	176
(2) .....	160, 178–180, 184, 185	Art. 3.5 .....	179
Principles of European Contract Law		Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977 ....	27, 38, 41, 42,
Art. 1:201 .....	161	124, 151, 156, 162, 173	
Art. 2:104 .....	176	s. 3 .....	158, 164, 172, 176
Art. 4:103 .....	179	s. 6(1) .....	132, 158
Private International Law (Miscellaneous		s. 12(1) .....	158
Provisions) Act 1995		sch. 1, para. 1(a) .....	159
ss. 11, 12 .....	98	Unfair Terms in Consumer Contracts Reg-	
Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 .....	213	ulations 1999 (SI 1999 No. 2083) ....	28, 151,
Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 .....	109	162, 175	
Revenue (No. 2) Act 1864 .....	190	Reg. 6(2) .....	170, 174
		United Nations Convention on the Inter-	
		national Sale of Goods 1980	
		Art. 7(1) .....	161