


# Time-Fetishes

The Secret History of Eternal Recurrence  Ned Lukacher



# Time-Fetishes

POST-CONTEMPORARY INTERVENTIONS

A Series Edited by Stanley Fish and Fredric Jameson



Titian, *Bacchus and Ariadne*.

National Gallery, London. Reproduced by permission  
of Alinari/Art Resource, New York.

# **Time-Fetishes**

The Secret History of Eternal Recurrence

Ned Lukacher

Duke University Press Durham and London

1998

© 1998 Duke University Press

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper ☺

Designed by C. H. Westmoreland

Typeset in Minion with Optima display by Tseng Information Systems, Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data appear on the last  
printed page of this book.

Das Alles wiederkehrt, ist die extremste Annäherung einer Welt  
des Werdens an die des Seins: Gipfel der Betrachtung.

That everything recurs is the closest approximation of a world of  
becoming to one of being: peak of the meditation.

FRIEDRICH NIETZSCHE

Der Fetisch bleibt das Zeichen des Triumphes  
über die Kastrationsdrohung.

The fetish remains the sign of triumph over the threat of castration.

SIGMUND FREUD

Seulement l'objet de sa vision, ce qui le fit rire et trembler,  
n'était pas le retour (et pas même le temps), mais ce que mit à nu le  
retour, le fond impossible des choses.

Only the object of Nietzsche's vision, which made him laugh and tremble,  
was not the return (and not even time), but what the return laid bare,  
the impossible depth of things. GEORGES BATAILLE

Wie Ich den Ringschatten trage,  
trägst du den Ring.

As I bear the Ring's shadow,  
you bear the Ring.

PAUL CELAN



## Contents

Preface and Acknowledgments ix

### I The Ancients

1. The Anachrony of the Time-Fetish 3
2. From Ovid to Titian: Eternal Return and the Cult  
of Bacchus and Ariadne 34

### II Shakespeare

3. “Shakespearances”; or, The War with Time 53
4. Anamorphic Perspectives, Human (Im)postures,  
and the Rhetoric of the *Aevum* 69

### III The Moderns

5. Anamorphic Ghosts of Time:  
Schopenhauer, Kant, and Hegel 89
6. Drive-Time: Eternal Return and the Life of the Instincts  
in Schelling, Freud, and the Marquis de Sade 101
7. Playing with Cinders: From Nietzsche to Derrida 115
8. Forgetting the Umbrella; or, Heidegger and Derrida on How to Say  
the Same Thing Differently 139

Bibliography 161

Index 175



## Preface and Acknowledgments

We cannot exist, we cannot live humanely except through the meanderings of time: the totality of time alone makes up and completes human life. How can we refer to this completed whole? GEORGES BATAILLE, *The Tears of Eros*

We die because we cannot connect the end to the beginning. ALCMAEON OF CROTON (fifth century B.C.)

In composing *Bacchus and Ariadne* (cf. the frontispiece to this book) Titian must have had in mind one of the most well known poems of the Roman poet Catullus (84–54 B.C.), poem 64, which describes how Bacchus and his entourage suddenly overtook Ariadne, who had just been abandoned by Theseus:

In another part the flowering Iacchus [Bacchus] was wandering  
With a dance of Satyrs and Sileni from Nysa  
Looking for you, Ariadne, and burning with love.

...

Some of the women shook long rods with covered points,  
Some of them threw in the air the parts of a bull,  
Some pulled round themselves a belt of twisted snakes,  
Some thronged around the box which held the orgies,  
The orgies which only initiates may be told of;  
Others struck timbrels with palms uplifted  
Or made slight tinklings with the polished brass:  
Many blew horns and made a raucous noise  
And barbarian pipes screamed out their horrible tunes.

(trans. C. H. Sisson)

As we will see in chapter 2, Titian was thinking of several literary depictions of this mythological scene. But consider Catullus's per-

spective, for whom the appearance of the god is synonymous with radical disruption, an anti-aesthetic din whose nerve center Catullus situates in the enigmatic “orgy box” (*orgia cistis*) around which the celebrants throng. Such caskets in fact contained the mysteries of the cult, its symbols and sacred objects, its secret language. The *ta orgia* of the ancient Greek Dionysian cults are, as Jean-Luc Nancy reminds us, not simply sexual objects but rather fetishes carefully inscribed within a ritual performance and calculated to produce the experience of “the propitious moment,” the ecstatic *kairos* (*The Sense of the World*, 141–42). As we will see later, the cult’s time-fetishes included a basket, a winnowing cloth, and the phallic *liknon*, which are choreographed through a kind of art, or *tekné*, in order to produce, as Nancy might say, the experience of “unbound time” (*temps déchainé*) (142), the experience of being liberated into a more authentic temporality, the time of a pure and absolute disruption of time’s continuous and apparently endless flow, the kind of suspensive ritual disruption that Georges Bataille called “the sovereignty of the moment over utility” (*The Accursed Share*, vols. 2–3, 380).

I want to suggest in *Time-Fetishes* that the thought, idea, doctrine, or secret of eternal recurrence is very much, à la Catullus, the *ta orgia*, “the orgiastic things,” of Western philosophy and literature, the secret “orgy box” to which only the initiates have access. It is not only the case, as Nancy has remarked, that “the thought of the eternal return is the inaugural thought of our contemporary history” (*Etre singulier pluriel*, 22); we can go further than that and say, and indeed demonstrate, that the thought of eternal recurrence is “the inaugural thought” in the effort by virtually every epoch to take the measure of time’s unmeasurable otherness and mystery. Nietzsche’s decision to become the teacher of eternal return signaled not only what Heidegger called “the completion of the history of metaphysics” but also the need for a reassessment of the role that eternal recurrence has played throughout that history. It is Nietzsche’s teaching that is truly “inaugural” in Nancy’s sense, insofar as it is both the last attempt at a systematic metaphysics that sought to account for the totality of existence and the “inaugural” attempt to think ironically about all metaphysical determinations in order better to prepare ourselves to affirm

the abandonment and lack that truly characterize our historical relation to time and Being.

Because it is now apparent that the poetical-philosophical history of time and Being has concealed the fetish character of its keywords and concepts, it is important that we try to understand the relation of the *ta orgia* of the Greek and Roman bacchantes to the discourses and images of Western thought quite literally and not at all analogically or metaphorically, for there are libidinal components, sadistic and erotic trends, clearly discernible within all prior determinations of the relation of time to Being. Thinkers and poets have invariably derived the nature of time from a prior determination of the meaning of Being, which has meant that the derivative character of the meaning of Being in relation to the enigma of time has generally been concealed and forgotten in Western metaphysics. Nietzsche's rediscovery of the ancient doctrine of return was at once the culmination and the reversal of a long history of secret desire and concealment. And that is why a "time-fetish" is not a critical sobriquet loosely based on a psychoanalytic analogy but rather the effort to name the fetish character of the languages of time in the West.

It was to this same scene of Bacchus's sudden discovery of Ariadne that Nietzsche turned in his poem "Ariadne's Lament," where in "a flash of lightning, Dionysus becomes visible in emerald beauty" and proclaims to Ariadne: "I am thy labyrinth" (*Dithyrambs of Dionysus*, 59). And the wisdom he proclaims is the same teaching we receive from Zarathustra, which is perhaps best summarized in this brief passage from "The Convalescent" in *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*. This is the labyrinth into which Bacchus would lead us:

Behold, we know what you teach: that all things recur eternally, and we ourselves too; and that we have already existed an eternal number of times, and all things with us. You teach that there is a great year of becoming, a monster of a great year [*ein grossem Jahre des Werdens, ein Ungeheuer von grossem Jahre*], which must, like an hourglass, turn over again and again so that it may run down and run out again; and all these years are alike in what is greatest as in what is smallest; and we ourselves are alike in every great year, in what is greatest as in what is smallest. (332)

As we will see repeatedly throughout *Time-Fetishes*, what is monstrous about the notion of the great year is its undecipherable labyrinthine character. There is no aesthetic or epistemological consolation in the cosmic vista of the universe as an eternally rotating hourglass but only the effect of disruption, of a break in the normative homogeneity of the time-stream. The circle or ring of sameness which time and the will appear to constitute in fact belie a monstrous labyrinth of infinite cycles in an unimaginable topology. The secret of the great year is one of the most precious of philosophy's *ta orgia*. Although the idea of eternal return readily betrays its fetish character, it also belies the monstrous non-knowledge which its figures and allegories can only hopelessly try to conceal. *Time-Fetishes* is concerned to locate material instances of the dynamic interplay of fetish prosthesis and monstrous lack in the discourses that try to articulate the relation of time and Being from the Presocratics to Nietzsche and beyond.

Shakespeare's role in the secret history of eternal recurrence is perhaps one of the more novel contentions of *Time-Fetishes*. Although he was not an advocate or disciple of the doctrine, his poetic understanding of the world was largely determined by Ovid's presentation of Pythagorean and Heraclitean versions of the doctrine. In several important respects, Shakespeare's stratagems in what he calls his "war with time" recall and repeat those of Ovid. Furthermore, Shakespeare's reinscription of Ovid's Heraclitean "river of time" follows all the diverse Ovidian tonalities, from the enlightened irony about desire and language in the Sonnets to the savage cruelties of the sexual will in virtually all of the plays. What could be more cruel, for example, than the monstrous mutilation of King Pentheus by his mother, Agave, and her bacchantes when, in a trance of orgiastic passion, she beheads her son with her own hands, while her companions tear off his hands (*Metamorphoses* bk. 3, ll. 720-33)? I will return to the Dionysian elements underlying Shakespeare's accounts of the savage and ironic force of the will and what, like Georges Bataille, we might call an "acephalic" monstrosity at the heart of the moment's sovereignty; as though the acephalic body of time resembled nothing so much as a mutilated corpse. And yet, Ovid repeatedly proclaimed himself a disciple of Bacchus and dedicated his poetic vocation to the

god. The sovereign or heterological disruption which the thought of eternal return brings into our everyday sense of time and world causes the world to tremble, to become unsteady, and yet also to reveal something of its essential nature. Shakespeare's "war with time" brings the irony and the savagery of the ancient world back to the forefront of European thought.

In my treatment of "The Ancients" I turn to some little known texts and images, above all to the role of Bacchus and Ariadne in the cult of Liber Pater, Libera, and Ceres, which flourished well into the late third century A.D. In an effort to set the iconographic context behind the literary imagery of Catullus, Ovid, or Plotinus, I turn to the sarcophagal art of the first and second centuries. What we will discover are the material remains of a culture and a cult that focused on the question of time and the possibility of eternal recurrence. This history still remains for the most part secret and long since buried by the success of Christianity in subduing all rival sects.

There are many other ancient images of circular or recurrent time, like the ouroboros, or serpent biting its own tail, which doubtless seemed to someone somewhere a perfectly serviceable image of time long before the first inscription of an Egyptian hieroglyph; or the image of the cosmic tree, which understandably fascinated Europeans as civilization spread further north and deeper into the forest. We will consider the Platonic and Neoplatonic genealogy of the well-known image of the Yggdrasill or "World Tree" in the Old Norse *Prose Edda* (c. 1200 A.D.), which, as it happens, also includes the serpentine figure of time's circularity.

In situating my readings of the philosophy of time, and of the poetic and iconographic imagery of eternity and eternal recurrence, my decisions were governed by a penchant for both the more highly figurative character of language and image and a concern to present what I thought were scenes that have generally gone unrecognized. Certain elements of *Time-Fetishes* will be elaborated and illuminated by a companion volume of readings that I call *Matter-Pieces: Toward a New History of Being*, which examines texts by St. Augustine, Shakespeare, Baudelaire, Bataille, and Blanchot. *Matter-Pieces* examines both the connection between the doctrine of return and the nature of poetic

or literary language and the relation of my “secret history of eternal recurrence” to Heidegger’s effort to decipher a more authentic “history of Being” beneath the now devalued and deconstructed history of Being as metaphysics. As Heidegger often remarks, what remained a secret throughout the history of Being as metaphysics was precisely the fact that it had forgotten *the question* of Being. *Time-Fetishes* is an account of those hitherto secret moments in the poetic-philosophical history of time in which the forgotten temporal horizon of the meaning of Being appears to be struggling toward some kind of recognition or iteration.

My selection of “Moderns” in *Time-Fetishes* is organized largely around the peculiarities of Nietzsche’s articulation of the doctrine and thus seeks to trace pathways through which a characteristically Nietzschean dynamic of irony and a fetishizing aesthetic impulse works its way through the Marquis de Sade, Kant, Hegel, Schelling, and Schopenhauer before finding the way to Nietzsche, Trakl, Heidegger, Freud, and Derrida. Since the metaphysical determinations of the meaning of Being and the nature of time lie beyond the pale of logic and philosophy, it is tempting to regard the fictions of metaphysics as a literary ornament that can be easily shuffled off. But what is most significant about the “poetizing essence of reason,” as Heidegger calls it, is that, although thinking and poetry both rely on it utterly, they do so in very different ways; for while poets often recognize the violence of their imposition of form upon the enigmatic stuff of time and Being, philosophers appear often not to recognize the poetic core of their thinking. There are, of course, exceptions and asymmetries that make this distinction nongeneralizable. Whether literary language is more alert and thus more ironic than philosophical language to the persistent dilemma of the aporia of time, or whether poets can be just as deluded and mesmerized by the time-fetish as the run-of-the-mill metaphysician, are among the many topics we will consider. If I had an overall goal in *Time-Fetishes*, it might be the effort to decipher the fundamentally ironic character of all the fetishized discursive and cultural formations that I treat. It is not for me to say whether I myself end up being captivated by the very fetishes I set out to expose, and whose rhetorical/aesthetic power, their illusion of transcendence,

I had meant to defuse. The general imperative that this book somehow tries to invoke might be something like “Build your time-fetishes ironically.”

I would like to thank Richard Rand and Kerstin Behnke for inviting me to present portions of this work to audiences at, respectively, the University of Alabama and Northwestern University. I also want to thank the University of Illinois at Chicago for a very timely sabbatical leave during spring semester 1995. I am particularly grateful to Andrzej Warminski, Michael J. MacDonald, and Reynolds Smith for their detailed comments on an earlier version of this book, and to Philippa Berry, John Cullars, Jacques Derrida, Jay Geller, Brian Lukacher, Maryline Lukacher, J. Hillis Miller, and Susan Pezzino for their helpful remarks on aspects of this project.



## The Ancients

Heraclitus maintained that the world was composed of fire, and that by order of the destinies [*l'ordre des destinées*] must one day burst into flame and consume itself; it would be reborn again one day. And of men Apuleius said that they were “*Sigillatim mortales, cunctim perpetui*,”  
“Individually mortal, eternal in their totality.”

MICHEL DE MONTAIGNE

[Pythagoras] taught that the soul is immortal, and that after death it transmigrates into other animated bodies. After certain specified periods, he said, the same events occur again, for nothing is entirely new; all animated beings are kin, he taught, and should be considered one great family. Pythagoras was the first one to introduce these teachings into Greece.

PORPHYRY

On such day as heaven's great year brings forth . . .

JOHN MILTON



## The Anachrony of the Time-Fetish

The Pythagorean great year or Metakosmesis, to which Plato refers in *Timaeus* (37d) as the completion of the cosmological cycle wherein all astronomical bodies return to their original positions, was presumably, at least for Pythagoreans, a year of a calculable duration. It has turned out to be a very lengthy calculation indeed, and two and a half millennia later we are still waiting for the results. It is surely not the 38,000 years Plato may have supposed, and even today's cosmologists disagree by billions of years regarding the age and possible duration of the universe. Like the ancients, we moderns wonder if this model of a rotary astronomical cycle will be finally relevant to the configuration of the total cycle of the motion of our expanding universe. And if the total cycle does not take the form of a ring or circle, and if all that returns is an infinite nonreturn, then "the great year" might finally end up naming a most singular finitude, and the expiration of a limit that is really without anniversary or return, merely the measure of the life-span or duration of a universe that repeats nothing and that can never be repeated. In view of such a narcissistically unappealing temporal horizon, which remains as unthinkable for many today as it has been throughout human history, is it any surprise that the meaning of time and eternity has always been prey to a certain predilection for time as a circular cycle? The very presupposition that the circle's anniversary or nuptial number existed in the first place and could be calculated lies at the foundation of all metaphysical determinations of the meaning of time and eternity.

Plato returned in the *Timaeus* not only to the great Pythagorean theme of the mystical number and the harmonies of universal motion