

The Political Economy of Participatory Economics

# The Political Economy of Participatory Economics

Michael Albert Robin Hahnel

Princeton University Press Princeton, New Jersey Copyright © 1991 by Princeton University Press Published by Princeton University Press, 41 William Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08540 In the United Kingdom: Princeton University Press, Oxford

All Rights Reserved

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Albert, Michael The political economy of participatory economics / Michael Albert and Robin Hahnel. p. cm. ISBN 0-691-04274-8 (alk. paper) ISBN 0-691-00384-x (pbk.: alk paper) 1. Economics. 2. Central Planning. I. Hahnel, Robin. II. Title. HX73.A425 1991 335—dc20 90-23193 CIP

This book has been composed in Times Roman

Princeton University Press Books are printed on acid-free paper, and meet the guidelines for permanence and durability of the Committee on Production Guidelines for Book Longevity of the Council of Library Resources

Printed in the United States of America

 $10\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2$ 

## Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
1. TRADITIONAL ECONOMIES	7
Valuative Criteria	8
Welfare Theorems with Endogenous Preferences	9
Allocation Institutions	11
Markets	12
Central Planning	15
Production and Consumption	17
Private Ownership	17
Hierarchical Production	20
Consumption Institutions	21
The Logic of Power	22
2. PRODUCTION	23
Workers' Councils	23
Work Organization	25
Balanced Job Complexes	25
Balancing Across Workplaces	27
Balance in Practice	29
Participation Versus Efficiency	31
Equity Versus Efficiency	34
Information and Incentives	35
Choice of Technology	35
Diversity	37
3. CONSUMPTION	39
Consumption Councils	40
Incentive Compatibility	43
Equity	44
Equity, Incentives, and Efficiency	50
Endogenous Preferences	55

### CONTENTS

4. ALLOCATION	57
Participatory Information and Communication	59
First Communicative Tool: Prices	59
Second Communicative Tool: Measures of Work	61
Third Communicative Tool: Qualitative Activity	61
Allocation Organization	62
Participatory Planning	63
A Typical Planning Process	68
Conclusion	71
5. WELFARE	73
Preliminary Insights	74
Differences Between PE and FMPE.	74
Summary of Differences	77
Modeling Consumption	77
Differences from Participatory Consumption	81
Modeling Production	82
Constraints	82
Different Productive Endowments	84
Workers' Councils	85
Differences from Participatory Production	87
Formal Summary of Councils' Goals	88
Allocation	89
Summary	96
Formal Models Versus Reality	98
Ideal Markets Versus Reality	99 101
Ideal Central Planning Versus Reality FMPE Versus PE	101
Conclusion	102
6. FEASIBILITY	107
Tracking a Participatory Economy	109
Information Variables for a Participatory Economy	109
Manipulating Information	111
Functional Relationships	113
Simulation Methodology	114
Incorporating Prices and Budgets	115
Simulation Actors	117
Incorporating Actual Behavior	120
Experiment 2: Developing a Parallel Economy	129
CONCLUSION	131

I would like to believe that people have an instinct for freedom, that they really want to control their own affairs. They don't want to be pushed around, ordered, oppressed, etc., and they want a chance to do things that make sense, like constructive work in a way that they control, or maybe control together with others. I don't know any way to prove this. It's really a hope about what human beings are like—a hope that if social structures change sufficiently, those aspects of human nature will be realized.

-Noam Chomsky

[We seek] a condition of society in which there should be neither rich nor poor, neither master nor master's man, neither idle nor overworked, neither brain-sick brain workers, nor heartsick hand workers, in a word, in which all would be living in equality of condition and would manage their affairs unwastefully, and with the full consciousness that harm to one would mean harm to all—the realization at last of the meaning of the word commonwealth.

---William Morris

I wish that every human life might be pure transparent freedom. —Simone de Beauvoir

The Political Economy of Participatory Economics

## INTRODUCTION

[We seek] a condition of society in which there should be neither rich nor poor, neither master nor master's man, neither idle nor overworked, neither brain-sick brain workers, nor heartsick hand workers, in a word, in which all would be living in equality of condition and would manage their affairs unwastefully, and with the full consciousness that harm to one would mean harm to all—the realization at last of the meaning of the word commonwealth.

--- William Morris

The fall of communism confirms century-old libertarian claims that equity and justice cannot be imposed by force, that interpreting "to each according to work" as "to each according to the marginal revenue product of one's labor" rationalizes privilege, and that central planning stifles workers' creative potentials. Clearly, enterprises whose inputs and outputs have been determined by a central planning procedure exclude workers and consumers from decision making, separate conceptual and manual tasks, and offer unequal consumption and work opportunities. For the Soviet, East German, Polish, Czechoslovakian, and Hungarian people to reject these injustices is encouraging. But it is dishonest to say this demonstrates that capitalism is optimal. It only bespeaks a lack of alternatives. In this book we argue for a new alternative based on public ownership and a decentralized planning procedure in which workers and consumers propose and revise their own activities until an equitable, efficient plan is reached. The vision, which we call a participatory economy, strives for equitable consumption and work which integrate conceptual and manual labor so that no participants can skew outcomes in their favor, so that self-motivation plays a growing role as workers manage their own activities, and so that peer pressure and peer esteem provide powerful incentives once excelling and malingering rebound to the advantage and disadvantage of one's work mates.

Heretofore, most professional economists have accepted that human nature and modern technology *rule out* egalitarian, participatory options. They have generally claimed that efficient production must be hierarchical, that effective incentives require inegalitarian consumption, and that allocation can be carried out either by markets or central planning, but not via some alternative "participatory" approach. The following chapters challenge this professional consensus by presenting a plausible, efficient, participatory, egalitarian economic model.

In chapter 1 we review our understanding of traditional economic institutions and practices—markets, central planning, private ownership of the means of production, hierarchical production relations, and inegalitarian consumption—showing how each subverts efficiency, equity, self-management, solidarity, and/or variety.

In chapters 2, 3, and 4 we present a comprehensive description of exactly how participatory production, consumption, and allocation could facilitate economic democracy and justice. In chapter 2 we describe a participatory organization of production and address whether this entails a sacrifice in efficiency. In chapter 3 we describe a participatory organization of consumption and examine whether this implies a dearth of necessary incentives or a loss of individual freedoms. In chapter 4 we describe a participatory allocation system and explain how it reinforces the democratic, egalitarian properties of workers' and consumers' councils.

In chapter 5 we construct a formal model of participatory planning and use it to carry out a welfare theoretic analysis in terms comparable to welfare analyses of traditional models. We determine

#### INTRODUCTION

under what conditions participatory planning can generate Pareto optimal outcomes as well as whether its procedures are incentive compatible. We evaluate likely discrepancies between realistic versions of our economy and its formal model, as well as realistic versions of traditional economies and their formal models.

In chapter 6 we suggest computer simulations and social experiments that could substantiate the feasibility of participatory economics. A concluding postscript summarizes where the debate over alternative economic models stands.

Finally, we should add that readers interested in a more detailed discussion of the newly envisioned relations of participatory economics should consult the companion volume, *Looking Forward: Participatory Economics for the Twenty First Century* (Boston: South End Press, 1991).