

THE THEORY OF PARTITIONS

George E. Andrews

Cambridge Mathematical Library

CAMBRIDGE

more information – www.cambridge.org/9780521302227

This page intentionally left blank

The Theory of Partitions

The Theory of Partitions

George E. Andrews

Pennsylvania State University
University Park, Pennsylvania



PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, United Kingdom
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
10 Stamford Road, Oakleigh, Melbourne 3166, Australia

© Cambridge University Press 1984

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception
and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements,
no reproduction of any part may take place without
the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

Original hardcover edition first published 1976 by Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.
First paperback edition published 1998 by Cambridge University Press

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available.

A catalog record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 0-521-63766-X paperback

Transferred to digital printing 2003

To Joy
Amy, and
Katy

Editor's Statement

A large body of mathematics consists of facts that can be presented and described much like any other natural phenomenon. These facts, at times explicitly brought out as theorems, at other times concealed within a proof, make up most of the applications of mathematics, and are the most likely to survive changes of style and of interest.

This ENCYCLOPEDIA will attempt to present the factual body of all mathematics. Clarity of exposition, accessibility to the non-specialist, and a thorough bibliography are required of each author. Volumes will appear in no particular order, but will be organized into sections, each one comprising a recognizable branch of present-day mathematics. Numbers of volumes and sections will be reconsidered as times and needs change.

It is hoped that this enterprise will make mathematics more widely used where it is needed, and more accessible in fields in which it can be applied but where it has not yet penetrated because of insufficient information.

The theory of partitions is one of the very few branches of mathematics that can be appreciated by anyone who is endowed with little more than a lively interest in the subject. Its applications are found wherever discrete objects are to be counted or classified, whether in the molecular and the atomic studies of matter, in the theory of numbers, or in combinatorial problems from all sources.

Professor Andrews has written the first thorough survey of this many-sided field. The specialist will consult it for the more recondite results, the student will be challenged by many a deceptively simple fact, and the applied scientist may locate in it the missing identity he needs to organize his data.

Professor Turán's untimely death has left this book without a suitable introduction. It is fitting to dedicate it to the memory of one of the masters of number theory.

GIAN-CARLO ROTA

Contents

Editor's Statement	vi
Preface to Paperback Edition	xiii
Preface	xv
Chapter 1 The Elementary Theory of Partitions	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Infinite Product Generating Functions of One Variable	3
1.3 Graphical Representation of Partitions	6
Examples	13
Notes	14
References	14
Chapter 2 Infinite Series Generating Functions	16
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Elementary Series-Product Identities	17
2.3 Applications to Partitions	23
Examples	28
Notes	30
References	31
Chapter 3 Restricted Partitions and Permutations	33
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 The Generating Function for Restricted Partitions	33
3.3 Properties of Gaussian Polynomials	35
3.4 Permutations and Gaussian Multinomial Coefficients	39
3.5 The Unimodal Property	45
Examples	49
Notes	51
References	52
Chapter 4 Compositions and Simon Newcomb's Problem	54
4.1 Introduction	54

4.2	Composition of Numbers	54
4.3	Vector Compositions	57
4.4	Simon Newcomb's Problem	59
	Examples	63
	Notes	65
	References	65
Chapter 5	The Hardy–Ramanujan–Rademacher Expansion of $p(n)$	68
5.1	Introduction	68
5.2	The Formula for $p(n)$	71
	Examples	81
	Notes	85
	References	86
Chapter 6	The Asymptotics of Infinite Product Generating Functions	88
6.1	Introduction	88
6.2	Proof of Theorem 6.2	89
6.3	Applications of Theorem 6.2	97
	Examples	97
	Notes	100
	References	101
Chapter 7	Identities of the Rogers–Ramanujan Type	103
7.1	Introduction	103
7.2	The Generating Functions	106
7.3	The Rogers–Ramanujan Identities and Gordon's Generalization	109
7.4	The Göllnitz–Gordon Identities and Their Generalization	113
	Examples	116
	Notes	118
	References	118
Chapter 8	A General Theory of Partition Identities	121
8.1	Introduction	121
8.2	Foundations	121
8.3	Partition Ideals of Order 1	124
8.4	Linked Partition Ideals	128
	Examples	136
	Notes	137
	References	138

Chapter 9	Sieve Methods Related to Partitions	139
9.1	Introduction	139
9.2	Inclusion–Exclusion	139
9.3	A Sieve for Successive Ranks	142
	Examples.	156
	Notes	158
	References	158
Chapter 10	Congruence Properties of Partition Functions	159
10.1	Introduction	159
10.2	Rödseth’s Theorem for Binary Partitions	161
10.3	Ramanujan’s Conjecture for 5^n	167
	Examples.	175
	Notes	177
	References	178
Chapter 11	Higher-Dimensional Partitions	179
11.1	Introduction	179
11.2	Plane Partitions	179
11.3	The Knuth–Schensted Correspondence	184
11.4	Higher-Dimensional Partitions	189
	Examples.	198
	Notes	199
	References	200
Chapter 12	Vector or Multipartite Partitions	202
12.1	Introduction	202
12.2	Multipartite Generating Functions	202
12.3	Bell Polynomials and Formulas for Multipartite Partition Functions	204
12.4	Restricted Bipartite Partitions	207
	Examples.	209
	Notes	210
	References	211

Chapter 13	Partitions in Combinatorics	212
13.1	Introduction	212
13.2	Partitions and Finite Vector Spaces	212
13.3	Partitions of Sets	214
13.4	The Combinatorics of Symmetric Functions	221
	Examples	225
	Notes	227
	References	228
Chapter 14	Computations for Partitions	230
14.1	Introduction	230
14.2	Elementary Algorithms	230
14.3	Algorithms from Generating Functions	233
14.4	Computations for Higher-Dimensional Partitions	234
14.5	Brief Tables of Partition Functions	237
14.6	Table of the Plane Partition Function	237
14.7	Table of Gaussian Polynomials	240
14.8	Guide to Tables	243
	Notes	243
	References	244
	Index for Definitions of Symbols	245
	Author Index	250
	Subject Index	252

Preface to the Paperback Edition

In the past twenty years, the theory of partitions has blossomed. The object of this book, as appropriate in this series, is to provide the fundamentals in a form accessible to the nonspecialist, with references to the recent literature for those who wish to pursue a particular interest. The major changes I would have made in a total revision would have added greatly to the length of the book. This is a task of such magnitude that it will have to wait until a number of my other projects are completed.

In the light of this introduction, here are my comments on the chapters of the third printing of *The Theory of Partitions*. Chapter 1 contains the almost immutable basics. Chapter 2 is partially devoted to basic hypergeometric series. As such, it is a small introduction to the wonderful world of q that has been so beautifully chronicled by Gasper and Rahman (1990). Andrews (1986) provides a further survey of the interactions of partitions with q . For Chapter 3, it should be pointed out that O'Hara (1990) has shown how to prove the unimodality of Gaussian polynomials in a purely elementary (although not easy) manner. Chapters 3 through 5 are fairly current introductions to their topics.

The work in Chapter 6 has been greatly extended by Richard McIntosh in a series of papers: cf. McIntosh (1995). The material in Chapters 7, 8, and 9 has also been greatly extended in the past decade. Workers in partitions proper (for example, Alladi and Gordon) have made major advances. In the 1970s and 1980s, David Bressoud made spectacular progress in this area. For further references and an account of his central methods, see Bressoud (1980). In addition, the world of statistical mechanics has provided a flood of results, as well as important questions on this topic. The fountainhead of this work is Rodney Baxter (1982).

The introduction to Chapter 10 is reasonably up to date. However, there have been major recent discoveries for partition function congruences; the most notable have been found by Garavan, Kim, and Stanton (1990); Ono (1996); and Gordon and Ono (1997).

There have also been extensive discoveries for higher-dimensional partitions. Here the interested reader should consult surveys by Stanley (1986a, 1986b) and a related paper by Robbins (1991). As many of the conjectures in

these papers are now theorems, these surveys are themselves becoming out of date, but they are good leads for what has been done.

The topics in Chapters 12 and 13 might well be augmented with an account of generalized Frobenius partitions (see Andrews [1984]).

Chapter 14 contains the appropriate computational rudiments. However, Zeilberger (1991) has pioneered exciting new computational work related to partitions and other combinatorial identities (cf. Zeilberger and Wilf [1990]).

The following list of references is designed only to provide the reader with leads into the literature. It does not in any way give adequate credit to the numerous contributions of scores of researchers during the past two decades.

References

- Alladi, K. (1995). "The method of weighted words and applications to partitions," *Number Theory* (S. David, ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Andrews, G. E. (1984). "Generalized Frobenius partitions," *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **301**.
- Andrews, G. E. (1986). "*q*-Series: Their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics and computer algebra," *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66**.
- Baxter, R. J. (1982). *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Bressoud, D. M. (1980a). "Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities," *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **227**.
- Bressoud, D. M. (1980b). "Extension of the partition sieve," *J. Number Th.* **12**, 76–100.
- Bressoud, D. M. (1998). *Proofs and Confirmations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Burge, W. H. (1981). "A correspondence between partitions related to generalizations of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities," *Discrete Math.* **34**, 9–15.
- Garvan, F., Kim, D., and Stanton, D. (1990). "Cranks and *t*-cores," *Invent. Math.* **101**, 1–17.
- Gasper, G., and Rahman, M. (1990). "Basic hypergeometric series," *Encycl. Math and Its Applications* **35** (G-C. Rota, ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Gordon, B., and Ono, K. (1997). "Divisibility properties of certain partition functions by powers of primes," *Ramunujan J.* **1**, 25–35.
- McIntosh, R. J. (1995). "Some asymptotic formulae for *q*-hypergeometric series," *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **51**, 120–136.
- O'Hara, K. M. (1990). "Unimodality of Gaussian coefficients: A constructive proof," *J. Combinatorial Th.* **A53**, 29–52.
- Ono, K. (1996). "On the parity of the partition function in arithmetic progressions," *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **472**, 1–15.
- Robbins, D. P. (1991). "The story of 1, 2, 7, 42, 429, 7436, . . .," *Math. Intellig.* **13**, 12–19.
- Stanley, R. P. (1986a). "A baker's dozen of conjectures concerning plane partitions," *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1234**, 285–293. Springer, Berlin.
- Stanley, R. P. (1986b). "Symmetries of plane partitions," *J. Comb. Th.* **A43**, 103–113.
- Zeilberger, D., and Wilf, H. S. (1990). "Rational functions certify combinatorial identities," *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3**, 147–158.
- Zeilberger, D. (1991). "The method of creative telescoping," *J. Sym. Comp.* **11**, 195–204.

Preface

Let us begin by acknowledging that the word “partition” has numerous meanings in mathematics. Any time a division of some object into subobjects is undertaken, the word partition is likely to pop up. For the purposes of this book a “partition of n ” is a nonincreasing finite sequence of positive integers whose sum is n . We shall extend this definition in Chapters 11, 12, and 13 when we consider higher-dimensional partitions, partitions of n -tuples, and partitions of sets, respectively. Compositions or ordered partitions (merely finite sequences of positive integers) will be considered in Chapter 4.

The theory of partitions has an interesting history. Certain special problems in partitions certainly date back to the Middle Ages; however, the first discoveries of any depth were made in the eighteenth century when L. Euler proved many beautiful and significant partition theorems. Euler indeed laid the foundations of the theory of partitions. Many of the other great mathematicians—Cayley, Gauss, Hardy, Jacobi, Lagrange, Legendre, Littlewood, Rademacher, Ramanujan, Schur, and Sylvester—have contributed to the development of the theory.

There have been almost no books devoted entirely to partitions. Generally the combinatorial and formal power series aspects of partitions have found a place in older books on elementary analysis (*Introductio in Analysin Infinitorum* by Euler, *Textbook of Algebra* by Chrystal), in encyclopedic surveys of number theory (*Niedere Zahlentheorie* by Bachman, *Introduction to the Theory of Numbers* by Hardy and Wright), and in combinatorial analysis books (*Combinatory Analysis* by MacMahon, *Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis* by Riordan, *Combinatorial Methods* by Percus, *Advanced Combinatorics* by Comtet). The asymptotic problems associated with partitions have, on the other hand, been treated in works on analytic or additive number theory (*Introduction to the Analytic Theory of Numbers* by Ayoub, *Modular Functions in Analytic Number Theory* by Knopp, *Topics from the Theory of Numbers* by Grosswald, *Additive Zahlentheorie* by Ostmann, *Topics in Analytic Number Theory* by Rademacher).

If one considers the applications of partitions in various branches of mathematics and statistics, one is struck by the interplay of combinatorial and asymptotic methods. We have tried to organize this book so that it adequately develops and interrelates both combinatorial and analytic methods.

Chapters 1–4 treat the elementary portions of the theory of partitions; of primary importance here is the use of generating functions.

Chapters 5 and 6 treat the asymptotic problems. Partition identities are dealt with in Chapters 7 through 9. Chapter 10 on partition function congruences returns to the analytic aspect of partitions. Chapters 11–13 treat several generalizations of partitions and Chapter 14 presents a brief discussion of the computational aspect of partitions.

There are three concluding sections of each chapter: A “Notes” section provides historical comment on the material covered; a “References” section provides a substantial but nonexhaustive list of relevant books and papers; and an “Examples” section provides statements of results not fully covered in the text. Those examples that occur with an asterisk are significant advances beyond the material presented in the text; the remainder form a reasonable set of exercises by which the reader may determine his grasp of the subject matter. References for the source of the examples occur in the related Notes section.

Many of the mathematical sciences have seen applications of partitions recently. Nonparametric statistics require restricted partitions like those in Chapter 3. Various permutation problems in probability and statistics are intimately linked with the Simon Newcomb problem of Chapter 4. Particle physics uses partition asymptotics and partition identities related to the work in Chapters 5–9. Group theory (through Young tableaux) is intimately connected with Chapter 12, and the relationship between partitions and combinatorial theory is explored in Chapter 13.

The material in this book has been developed over a period of years. My first acquaintance with partitions came from thrilling lectures delivered by my thesis adviser, the late Professor Hans Rademacher. Many of the topics herein have been presented in graduate courses at the Pennsylvania State University between 1964 and 1975, in seminars at MIT during the 1970–1971 academic year, at the University of Erlangen in the summer of 1975, and at the University of Wisconsin during the 1975–1976 academic year. I owe a great debt of gratitude to many people at these four universities. I wish to thank specially R. Askey, K. Baclawski, B. Berndt, and L. Carlitz, who contributed many valuable suggestions and comments during the preparation of this book.

Finally I thank my wife, Joy, who has throughout this project been both a help and an inspiration to me.

GEORGE E. ANDREWS

The Elementary Theory of Partitions

1.1 Introduction

In this book we shall study in depth the fundamental additive decomposition process: the representation of positive integers by sums of other positive integers.

DEFINITION 1.1. A *partition* of a positive integer n is a finite nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$. The λ_i are called the *parts* of the partition.

Many times the partition $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ will be denoted by λ , and we shall write $\lambda \vdash n$ to denote “ λ is a partition of n .” Sometimes it is useful to use a notation that makes explicit the number of times that a particular integer occurs as a part. Thus if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \vdash n$, we sometimes write

$$\lambda = (1^{f_1} 2^{f_2} 3^{f_3} \dots)$$

where exactly f_i of the λ_j are equal to i . Note now that $\sum_{i \geq 1} f_i i = n$.

Numerous types of partition problems will concern us in this book; however, among the most important and fundamental is the question of enumerating various sets of partitions.

DEFINITION 1.2. The partition function $p(n)$ is the number of partitions of n .

Remark. Obviously $p(n) = 0$ when n is negative. We shall set $p(0) = 1$ with the observation that the empty sequence forms the only partition of zero. The following list presents the next six values of $p(n)$ and tabulates the actual partitions.

$$\begin{aligned} p(1) = 1: & \quad 1 = (1); \\ p(2) = 2: & \quad 2 = (2), \quad 1 + 1 = (1^2); \\ p(3) = 3: & \quad 3 = (3), \quad 2 + 1 = (12), \quad 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^3); \\ p(4) = 5: & \quad 4 = (4), \quad 3 + 1 = (13), \quad 2 + 2 = (2^2), \\ & \quad 2 + 1 + 1 = (1^2 2), \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^4); \end{aligned}$$

ENCYCLOPEDIA OF MATHEMATICS and Its Applications, Gian-Carlo Rota (ed.),
2, George E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions

Copyright © 1976 by Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Advanced Book Program.
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval
system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical photocopying,
recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(5) = 7: & \quad 5 = (5), \quad 4 + 1 = (14), \quad 3 + 2 = (23), \\
 & \quad 3 + 1 + 1 = (1^33), \quad 2 + 2 + 1 = (12^2), \\
 & \quad 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^32), \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^5); \\
 p(6) = 11: & \quad 6 = (6), \quad 5 + 1 = (15), \quad 4 + 2 = (24), \\
 & \quad 4 + 1 + 1 = (1^24), \quad 3 + 3 = (3^2), \quad 3 + 2 + 1 = (123), \\
 & \quad 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^33), \quad 2 + 2 + 2 = (2^3), \\
 & \quad 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 = (1^22^2), \quad 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^42), \\
 & \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^6).
 \end{aligned}$$

The partition function increases quite rapidly with n . For example, $p(10) = 42$, $p(20) = 627$, $p(50) = 204226$, $p(100) = 190569292$, and $p(200) = 3972999029388$.

Many times we are interested in problems in which our concern does not extend to all partitions of n but only to a particular subset of the partitions of n .

DEFINITION 1.3. Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all partitions.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let $p(S, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n that belong to a subset S of the set \mathcal{S} of all partitions.

For example, we might consider \mathcal{O} the set of all partitions with odd parts and \mathcal{D} the set of all partitions with distinct parts. Below we tabulate partitions related to \mathcal{O} and to \mathcal{D} .

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\mathcal{O}, 1) = 1: & \quad 1 = (1), \\
 p(\mathcal{O}, 2) = 1: & \quad 1 + 1 = (1^2), \\
 p(\mathcal{O}, 3) = 2: & \quad 3 = (3), \quad 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^3), \\
 p(\mathcal{O}, 4) = 2: & \quad 3 + 1 = (13), \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^4), \\
 p(\mathcal{O}, 5) = 3: & \quad 5 = (5), \quad 3 + 1 + 1 = (1^23), \\
 & \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^5), \\
 p(\mathcal{O}, 6) = 4: & \quad 5 + 1 = (15), \quad 3 + 3 = (3^2), \\
 & \quad 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^33), \\
 & \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^6), \\
 p(\mathcal{O}, 7) = 5: & \quad 7 = (7), \quad 5 + 1 + 1 = (1^25), \quad 3 + 3 + 1 = (13^2), \\
 & \quad 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^43), \\
 & \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = (1^7). \\
 \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 1) = 1: & \quad 1 = (1), \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 2) = 1: & \quad 2 = (2), \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 3) = 2: & \quad 3 = (3), \quad 2 + 1 = (12), \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 4) = 2: & \quad 4 = (4), \quad 3 + 1 = (13), \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 5) = 3: & \quad 5 = (5), \quad 4 + 1 = (14), \quad 3 + 2 = (23), \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 6) = 4: & \quad 6 = (6), \quad 5 + 1 = (15), \quad 4 + 2 = (24), \\
 & \quad 3 + 2 + 1 = (123), \\
 p(\mathcal{D}, 7) = 5: & \quad 7 = (7), \quad 6 + 1 = (16), \quad 5 + 2 = (25), \\
 & \quad 4 + 3 = (34), \quad 4 + 2 + 1 = (124).
 \end{aligned}$$

We point out the rather curious fact that $p(\mathcal{O}, n) = p(\mathcal{D}, n)$ for $n \leq 7$, although there is little apparent relationship between the various partitions listed (see Corollary 1.2).

In this chapter, we shall present two of the most elemental tools for treating partitions: (1) infinite product generating functions; (2) graphical representation of partitions.

1.2 Infinite Product Generating Functions of One Variable

DEFINITION 1.5. The generating function $f(q)$ for the sequence $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$ is the power series $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n$.

Remark. For many of the problems we shall encounter, it suffices to consider $f(q)$ as a "formal power series" in q . With such an approach many of the manipulations of series and products in what follows may be justified almost trivially. On the other hand, much asymptotic work (see Chapter 6) requires that the generating functions be analytic functions of the complex variable q . In actual fact, both approaches have their special merits (recently, E. Bender (1974) has discussed the circumstances in which we may pass from one to the other). Generally we shall state our theorems on generating functions with explicit convergence conditions. For the most part we shall be dealing with absolutely convergent infinite series and infinite products; consequently, various rearrangements of series and interchanges of summation will be justified analytically from this simple fact.

DEFINITION 1.6. Let H be a set of positive integers. We let " H " denote the set of all partitions whose parts lie in H . Consequently, $p("H", n)$ is the number of partitions of n that have all their parts in H .

Thus if H_0 is the set of all odd positive integers, then " H_0 " = \mathcal{O} .

$$p("H_0", n) = p(\mathcal{O}, n).$$

DEFINITION 1.7. Let H be a set of positive integers. We let " H " ($\leq d$) denote the set of all partitions in which no part appears more than d times and each part is in H .

Thus if N is the set of all positive integers, then $p("N"(\leq 1), n) = p(\mathcal{D}, n)$.

THEOREM 1.1. Let H be a set of positive integers, and let

$$f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p("H", n) q^n, \tag{1.2.1}$$

$$f_d(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p("H"(\leq d), n) q^n. \tag{1.2.2}$$

Then for $|q| < 1$

$$f(q) = \prod_{n \in H} (1 - q^n)^{-1}, \quad (1.2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_d(q) &= \prod_{n \in H} (1 + q^n + \cdots + q^{dn}) \\ &= \prod_{n \in H} (1 - q^{(d+1)n})(1 - q^n)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2.4)$$

Remark. The equivalence of the two forms for $f_d(q)$ follows from the simple formula for the sum of a finite geometric series:

$$1 + x + x^2 + \cdots + x^r = \frac{1 - x^{r+1}}{1 - x}.$$

Proof. We shall proceed in a formal manner to prove (1.2.3) and (1.2.4); at the conclusion of our proof we shall sketch how to justify our steps analytically. Let us index the elements of H , so that $H = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, \dots\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n \in H} (1 - q^n)^{-1} &= \prod_{n \in H} (1 + q^n + q^{2n} + q^{3n} + \cdots) \\ &= (1 + q^{h_1} + q^{2h_1} + q^{3h_1} + \cdots) \\ &\quad \times (1 + q^{h_2} + q^{2h_2} + q^{3h_2} + \cdots) \\ &\quad \times (1 + q^{h_3} + q^{2h_3} + q^{3h_3} + \cdots) \\ &\quad \dots \\ &= \sum_{a_1 \geq 0} \sum_{a_2 \geq 0} \sum_{a_3 \geq 0} \cdots q^{a_1 h_1 + a_2 h_2 + a_3 h_3 + \cdots} \end{aligned}$$

and we observe that the exponent of q is just the partition $(h_1^{a_1} h_2^{a_2} h_3^{a_3} \cdots)$. Hence q^n will occur in the foregoing summation once for each partition of n into parts taken from H . Therefore

$$\prod_{n \in H} (1 - q^n)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} p("H", n) q^n.$$

The proof of (1.2.4) is identical with that of (1.2.3) except that the infinite geometric series is replaced by the finite geometric series:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n \in H} (1 + q^n + q^{2n} + \cdots + q^{dn}) \\ &= \sum_{d \geq a_1 \geq 0} \sum_{d \geq a_2 \geq 0} \sum_{d \geq a_3 \geq 0} \cdots q^{a_1 h_1 + a_2 h_2 + a_3 h_3 + \cdots} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} p("H"(\leq d), n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

If we are to view the foregoing procedures as operations with convergent infinite products, then the multiplication of infinitely many series together requires some justification. The simplest procedure is to truncate the infinite product to $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{h_i})^{-1}$. This truncated product will generate those partitions whose parts are among h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n . The multiplication is now perfectly valid since only a finite number of absolutely convergent series are involved. Now assume q is real and $0 < q < 1$; then if $M = h_n$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^M p("H", j)q^j \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{h_i})^{-1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{h_i})^{-1} < \infty.$$

Thus the sequence of partial sums $\sum_{j=0}^M p("H", j)q^j$ is a bounded increasing sequence and must therefore converge. On the other hand

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p("H", j)q^j \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{h_i})^{-1} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{h_i})^{-1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p("H", j)q^j = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{h_i})^{-1} = \prod_{n \in H} (1 - q^n)^{-1}.$$

Similar justification can be given for the proof of (1.2.4). ■

COROLLARY 1.2 (Euler). $p(\mathcal{O}, n) = p(\mathcal{D}, n)$ for all n .

Proof. By Theorem 1.1,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p(\mathcal{O}, n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n-1})^{-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p(\mathcal{D}, n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n).$$

Now

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^n) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2n})}{(1 - q^n)} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{2n-1}}. \quad (1.2.5)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p(\mathcal{O}, n)q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} p(\mathcal{D}, n)q^n,$$

and since a power series expansion of a function is unique, we see that $p(\mathcal{L}, n) = p(\mathcal{L}', n)$ for all n . ■

COROLLARY 1.3 (Glaisher). *Let N_d denote the set of those positive integers not divisible by d . Then*

$$p("N_{d+1}", n) = p("N"(\leq d), n)$$

for all n .

Proof. By Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} p("N"(\leq d), n)q^n &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{(d+1)n})}{(1 - q^n)} \\ &= \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ (d+1) \nmid n}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} p("N_{d+1}", n)q^n, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows as before. ■

There are numerous results of the type typified by Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3. We shall run into such results again in Chapters 7 and 8, where much deeper theorems of a similar nature will be discussed.


1.3 Graphical Representation of Partitions

Another effective elementary device for studying partitions is the graphical representation. To each partition λ is associated its *graphical representation* \mathcal{G}_λ (or Ferrers graph), which formally is the set of points with integral coordinates (i, j) in the plane such that if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, then $(i, j) \in \mathcal{G}_\lambda$ if and only if $0 \geq i \geq -n + 1$, $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_{|i|+1} - 1$. Rather than dwell on this formal definition, we shall, by means of a few examples, fully explain the graphical representation.

The graphical representation of the partition $8 + 6 + 6 + 5 + 1$ is




The graphical representation of the partition $7 + 3 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 1$ is




Note that the i th row of the graphical representation of $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ contains λ_i points (or dots, or nodes).

We remark that there are several equivalent ways of forming the graphical representation. Some authors use unit squares instead of points, so that the graphical representation of $8 + 6 + 6 + 5 + 1$ becomes



Such a representation is extremely useful when we consider applications of partitions to plane partitions or Young tableaux (see Chapter 11).

Other authors prefer the representation to be upside down (they would say right side up); for example, in the case of $8 + 6 + 6 + 5 + 1$




Since most of the classical texts on partitions use the first representation shown in this section, we shall also.

DEFINITION 1.8. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a partition, we may define a new partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_m)$ by choosing λ'_i as the number of parts of λ that are $\geq i$. The partition λ' is called the *conjugate* of λ .

While the formal definition of conjugate is not too revealing, we may better understand the conjugate by using graphical representation. From the definition, we see that the conjugate of the partition $8 + 6 + 6 + 5 + 1$ is $5 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 3 + 1 + 1$. The graphical representation of $8 + 6 + 6 + 5 + 1$ is



and the conjugate of this partition is obtained by counting the dots in successive columns; that is, the graphical representation of the conjugate is obtained by reflecting the graph in the main diagonal. Thus the graph of the conjugate partition is



Notice that not only does the graphical representation provide a simple method by which to obtain the conjugate of λ , but it also shows directly that the conjugate partition λ' is a partition of the same integer as λ is; that is, $\sum \lambda_i = \sum \lambda'_i$. Furthermore, it is clear that conjugation is an involution of the partitions of any integer, in that the conjugate of the conjugate of λ is again λ .

Let us now prove some theorems on partitions, using graphical representation.

THEOREM 1.4. *The number of partitions of n with at most m parts equals the number of partitions of n in which no part exceeds m .*

Proof. We may set up a one-to-one correspondence between the two classes of partitions under consideration by merely mapping each partition onto its conjugate. The mapping is certainly one-to-one, and by considering the graphical representation we see that under conjugation the condition “at most m parts” is transformed into “no part exceeds m ” and vice versa. ■


As an example, let us consider the partitions of 6, first into at most three parts and then into parts none of which exceeds 3. We shall list conjugates opposite each other.

6	1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1
5 + 1	2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1
4 + 2	2 + 2 + 1 + 1
4 + 1 + 1	3 + 1 + 1 + 1
3 + 3	2 + 2 + 2
3 + 2 + 1	3 + 2 + 1
2 + 2 + 2	3 + 3

Theorem 1.4 is quite useful and shows how a graphical representation can be used directly to obtain important information. More subtle uses of this technique can be seen in the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1.5. *The number of partitions of $a - c$ into exactly $b - 1$ parts, none exceeding c , equals the number of partitions of $a - b$ into $c - 1$ parts, none exceeding b .*

Proof. Let us consider the graphical representation of a typical partition of the first type mentioned in the theorem. We transform the partition as follows: first we adjoin a new top row of c nodes; then we delete the first column (which now has b nodes); and then we take the conjugate:



We see immediately that this composite transformation provides a one-to-one correspondence between the two types of partitions considered, and consequently the theorem is established. ■

As an example, let us consider the case in which $a = 14$, $b = 5$, $c = 4$.

4 + 4 + 1 + 1	→	3 + 3 + 3
4 + 3 + 2 + 1	→	4 + 3 + 2
4 + 2 + 2 + 2	→	5 + 2 + 2
3 + 3 + 3 + 1	→	4 + 4 + 1
3 + 3 + 2 + 2	→	5 + 3 + 1


We conclude this chapter with one of the truly remarkable achievements of nineteenth-century American mathematics: F. Franklin’s proof of Euler’s pentagonal number theorem. Franklin’s accomplishment was to prove Legendre’s combinatorial interpretation of Euler’s theorem. We shall actually state the pentagonal number theorem as Corollary 1.7, and we shall show how useful the theorem is computationally in Corollary 1.8.

THEOREM 1.6. Let $p_e(\mathcal{D}, n)$ (resp. $p_o(\mathcal{D}, n)$) denote the number of partitions of n into an even (resp. odd) number of distinct parts. Then

$$p_e(\mathcal{D}, n) - p_o(\mathcal{D}, n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m & \text{if } n = \frac{1}{2}m(3m \pm 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We shall attempt to establish a one-to-one correspondence between the partitions enumerated by $p_e(\mathcal{D}, n)$ and those enumerated by $p_o(\mathcal{D}, n)$. For most integers n our attempt will be successful; however, whenever n is one of the pentagonal numbers $\frac{1}{2}m(3m \pm 1)$, a single exceptional case will arise.

To begin with, we note that each partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of n has a smallest part $s(\lambda) = \lambda_r$; also, we observe that the largest part λ_1 of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is the first of a sequence of, say, $\sigma(\lambda)$ consecutive integers that are parts of λ (formally $\sigma(\lambda)$ is the largest j such that $\lambda_j = \lambda_1 - j + 1$). Graphically the parameters $s(\lambda)$ and $\sigma(\lambda)$ are easily described:



We transform partitions as follows.

Case 1. $s(\lambda) \leq \sigma(\lambda)$. In this event, we add one to each of the $s(\lambda)$ largest parts of λ and we delete the smallest part. Thus

$$\lambda = (76432) \rightarrow \lambda' = (8743);$$

that is

