



PRECARIOUSNESS AND THE PERFORMANCES OF WELFARE

Edited by
Jenny Hughes



Precariousness and the Performances of Welfare

Precariousness and the Performances of Welfare brings together an international group of artists, activists and scholars to explore precarity in the contexts of applied and socially engaged theatre. The policy of austerity pursued by governments across the global North following the financial crisis of 2008 has renewed interest in issues of poverty, economic inequality and social justice. Emerging from European contexts of activism and scholarship, 'precarity' has become a shorthand term for the permanently insecure conditions of life under neoliberal capitalism and its associated stripping back of social welfare protections. This collection explores a range of theatre practice, including activist theatres, theatre and health projects, the community work of regional theatres, arts-led social care initiatives, people's theatres and youth arts programmes. Comprising full-length chapters and shorter pieces, the collection offers new perspectives on social theatre projects as creative occasions of occupation that generate a sense of security in a precarious world.

This book was originally published as a special issue of *RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance*.

Jenny Hughes is a Senior Lecturer in Drama at the University of Manchester, UK. She is Points and Practices editor of *RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance* and publishes in the areas of theatre and economic justice; performance and war; activist performance; and aspects of applied theatre, especially theatre with young people living with risk and the histories of socially engaged theatre. Her recent publications include *Critical Perspectives on Applied Theatre* (with Helen Nicholson, 2016) and a special issue of *Contemporary Theatre Review* edited with Simon Parry, 'Theatre, Performance and Activism' (2015).



Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

<http://taylorandfrancis.com>

Precariousness and the Performances of Welfare

Edited by
Jenny Hughes

First published 2019
by Routledge
2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN, UK

and by Routledge
52 Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017, USA

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

Introduction, Chapters 1–6, 8–17 © 2019 Taylor & Francis
Chapter 7 © 2016 Selina Busby. Originally published as Open Access.

With the exception of Chapter 7, no part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers. For details on the rights for Chapter 7, please see the chapter's Open Access footnote.

Every effort has been made to obtain the correct permissions for use of images in this publication. If you have any questions, please contact the publisher.

Trademark notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN13: 978-1-138-38922-9

Typeset in Myriad Pro
by codeMantra

Publisher's Note

The publisher accepts responsibility for any inconsistencies that may have arisen during the conversion of this book from journal articles to book chapters, namely the possible inclusion of journal terminology.

Disclaimer

Every effort has been made to contact copyright holders for their permission to reprint material in this book. The publishers would be grateful to hear from any copyright holder who is not here acknowledged and will undertake to rectify any errors or omissions in future editions of this book.

Contents

<i>Citation Information</i>	vii
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	x
Introduction: Theatre and the social factory <i>Jenny Hughes</i>	1
1 Performing to understand: cultural wealth, precarity, and shelter-dwelling youth <i>Kathleen Gallagher and Dirk J. Rodricks</i>	7
2 'Think differently, get creative': producing precarity in India's corporate theater culture industry <i>Sarah Saddler</i>	22
3 Birmingham Rep, youth and community, and the products and possibilities of precarity <i>Claire Cochrane</i>	36
4 Subverting ableist discourses as an exercise in precarity: a Zimbabwean case study <i>Kennedy C. Chinyowa and Nehemiah Chivandikwa</i>	50
5 Hard labour and punitive welfare: the unemployed body at work in participatory performance <i>Sarah Bartley</i>	62
6 Notes on a theatre commons: Common Wealth's <i>The Deal</i> <i>Versus the People</i> (2015) <i>Jenny Hughes</i>	76
7 Finding a concrete utopia in the dystopia of a 'sub-city' <i>Selina Busby</i>	92
8 Rethinking fragile landscapes during the Greek crisis: precarious aesthetics and methodologies in Athenian dance performances <i>Natalie Zervou</i>	104

Artist Documents

- 9 Relocating precarity and resiliency within Montreal: the Artists' Bloc of the Immigrant Workers' Centre
Koby Rogers Hall, Manuel Salamanca and with contributions from Artists' Bloc collective members 116
- 10 Teatro Valle Occupato: protesting, occupying and making art in contemporary Italy
Alice Borchi 126
- 11 Compassion is dissent
The Institute for the Art and Practice of Dissent at Home 130
- 12 In the *Limelight*: enthusiasm, commitment and need
Kirsten Sadeghi-Yekta 144
- 13 The resilience web
Erin Walcon 148
- 14 Precariousness and groundedness in arts in mental health
Elanor Stannage 153
- 15 'Days in the Bay': a short performance devised by the Tiger's Bay Men's Group and inspired by the changing streetscape of North Belfast
David Grant 157
- 16 On 'A Piece for Two (Lovers)' – an unrehearsed performance piece
Robert Vesty and Antonio de la Fe 166
- 17 A people's theatre for Brighton – an interview with Naomi Alexander
Naomi Alexander and Jenny Hughes 172
- Index* 183

Citation Information

The chapters in this book were originally published in *RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance*, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017). When citing this material, please use the original page numbering for each article, as follows:

Introduction

Theatre and the social factory

Jenny Hughes

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 1–6

Chapter 1

Performing to understand: cultural wealth, precarity, and shelter-dwelling youth

Kathleen Gallagher and Dirk J. Rodricks

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 7–21

Chapter 2

'Think differently, get creative': producing precarity in India's corporate theater culture industry

Sarah Saddler

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 22–35

Chapter 3

Birmingham Rep, youth and community, and the products and possibilities of precarity

Claire Cochrane

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 36–49

Chapter 4

Subverting ableist discourses as an exercise in precarity: a Zimbabwean case study

Kennedy C. Chinyowa and Nehemiah Chivandikwa

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 50–61

Chapter 5

Hard labour and punitive welfare: the unemployed body at work in participatory performance

Sarah Bartley

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 62–75

Chapter 6

Notes on a theatre commons: Common Wealth's The Deal Versus the People (2015)

Jenny Hughes

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 76–91

Chapter 7

Finding a concrete utopia in the dystopia of a 'sub-city'

Selina Busby

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 92–103

Chapter 8

Rethinking fragile landscapes during the Greek crisis: precarious aesthetics and methodologies in Athenian dance performances

Natalie Zervou

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 104–115

Chapter 9

Relocating precarity and resiliency within Montreal: the Artists' Bloc of the Immigrant Workers' Centre

Koby Rogers Hall, Manuel Salamanca and with contributions from Artists' Bloc collective members

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 116–125

Chapter 10

Teatro Valle Occupato: protesting, occupying and making art in contemporary Italy

Alice Borchi

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 126–129

Chapter 11

Compassion is dissent

The Institute for the Art and Practice of Dissent at Home

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 130–143

Chapter 12

In the Limelight: enthusiasm, commitment and need

Kirsten Sadeghi-Yekta

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 144–147

Chapter 13

The resilience web

Erin Walcon

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 148–152

Chapter 14

Precariousness and groundedness in arts in mental health

Elanor Stannage

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 153–156

Chapter 15

'Days in the Bay': a short performance devised by the Tiger's Bay Men's Group and inspired by the changing streetscape of North Belfast

David Grant

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 157–165

Chapter 16

On 'A Piece for Two (Lovers)' – an unrehearsed performance piece

Robert Vesty and Antonio de la Fe

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 166–171

Chapter 17

A people's theatre for Brighton – an interview with Naomi Alexander

Naomi Alexander and Jenny Hughes

RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance, volume 22, issue 1 (February 2017)
pp. 172–181

For any permission-related enquiries please visit:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/page/help/permissions>

Notes on Contributors

Naomi Alexander is a creative producer and theatre-maker with 20 years' experience of working across the theatre and community development sectors. She is a Fellow at the Centre for Contemporary Theatre at Birkbeck University, UK.

Sarah Bartley is a drama practitioner and theatre academic. As a community arts practitioner, she co-founded Shifting Point, a drama project for ex-offenders run in collaboration with prison resettlement services.

Alice Borchi is a Research Fellow at the Culture, Place and Policy Institute at the University of Hull, UK. Her research interests include cultural value, bottom-up approaches to cultural policy, the cultural commons and participatory cultural practices.

Selina Busby is a Principal Lecturer in Community Performance and Applied Theatre, and course leader for the MA Applied Theatre, at the Royal Central School of Speech and Drama, University of London, UK. She is an applied theatre practitioner who works in prison settings and with young people, both in the UK and internationally. Her research focuses on theatre that invites the possibility of change, both through contemporary plays and participatory performance.

Kennedy C. Chinyowa is a Research Professor in the Department of Drama and Film Studies in the Faculty of Arts at Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa. He has published widely in books, and refereed and accredited journals such as *RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance*, *Studies in Theatre and Performance*, *Drama Research*, *NADIE Journal* and the *South African Theatre Journal*.

Nehemiah Chivandikwa is a Senior Lecturer and the former Chair of the Department of Theatre Arts at the University of Zimbabwe, Harare, where he teaches courses in playmaking, acting and applied theatre. He has published several scholarly articles and book chapters and presented papers at a number of international conferences. In 2005, he took part in a joint Fulbright Scholar Award grant project in community-based theatre.

Claire Cochrane is a Professor of Theatre Studies at Worcester University, UK. Her publications include *Twentieth-Century British Theatre: Industry, Art and Empire* (2011) and *Theatre History and Historiography: Ethics, Evidence and Truth* (co-edited with Jo Robinson, 2016). She has published extensively on the Birmingham Repertory Theatre.

Antonio de la Fe is a Spanish performer and choreographer based in London. He was among the BBC Performing Arts Fund's '32 Ones to Watch' in 2015, working with

Independent Dance. He was selected to join the Sadler's Wells Summer University programme (2015–2018) led by Jonathan Burrows. He has made a series of works for dance and other spaces in the UK and across Europe.

Kathleen Gallagher is a Distinguished Professor at the University of Toronto, Canada. Her books include *In Defence of Theatre: Aesthetic Practices and Social Interventions* (with Barry Freeman, 2016); *Why Theatre Matters: Urban Youth, Engagement, and a Pedagogy of the Real* (2014); *Drama and Theatre in Urban Contexts* (with Jonathan Neelands, 2013); and *The Theatre of Urban: Youth and Schooling in Dangerous Times* (2007).

David Grant is a Senior Lecturer in Drama in the School of Creative Arts at Queen's University, Belfast, UK. A former Managing Editor of Theatre Ireland magazine, Programme Director of the Dublin Theatre Festival and Artistic Director of the Lyric Theatre, Belfast, he continues work as a theatre director alongside his academic work. He has a long association with youth and community-based arts.

Jenny Hughes is a Senior Lecturer in Drama at the University of Manchester, UK. She is Points and Practices editor of *RiDE: The Journal of Applied Theatre and Performance* and publishes in the areas of theatre and economic justice; performance and war; activist performance; and aspects of applied theatre, especially theatre with young people living with risk and the histories of socially engaged theatre.

Dirk J. Rodricks is a Doctoral Candidate at the University of Toronto, Canada. He has co-authored a critical race theory monograph with the ASHE Higher Education Report, 2015, and contributed to the 20th anniversary issue of *RiDE*. He uses applied drama to explore multiple-marginalised identity in diaspora through a critical anti-racist lens.

Koby Rogers Hall is an artist, writer and social practice facilitator. She conceives and facilitates social practice design with the performance collective Mischief Theatre, the multidisciplinary arts activist PreOccupations, the Politics & Care project and the Artists' Bloc of the Immigrant Workers' Center in Montreal. She teaches as part-time faculty with the Theatre Department and School of Community and Public Affairs at Concordia University, Montreal, Canada.

Sarah Saddler is a Doctoral Candidate at the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA. Her research examines intersections of performance and neoliberalism in urban India, where she focuses on the implementation of theatre-based corporate training practices in India's largest cities. This project stems from her experience facilitating, observing and participating in performance training events since 2012.

Kirsten Sadeghi-Yekta is an Assistant Professor in the Theatre Department at the University of Victoria, Canada. Her research interests focus on theatre in war zones, theatre in developing settings, indigenous theatre and more specifically the meaning of language revitalisation, aesthetics and social justice within the field of applied theatre.

Manuel Salamanca is a Sociologist and currently a PhD Candidate at the Faculty of Education at McGill University, Montreal, Canada. His academic career combines activism, art, research and critical knowledge production from the epistemological stance of immigrants and vulnerable groups within Montreal. He is developing this learning process through his participation as militant and activist, including as part of the Artists' Bloc, and through his work as a researcher.

Elanor Stannage is a PhD Student at York St John University, UK, researching arts in mental health with Converge and Arts and Minds (part of Leeds and York NHS Foundation Trust). She has worked as a freelance theatre practitioner for 10 years across diverse communities, particularly those at risk of marginalisation.

Robert Vesty is an artist-scholar. He is a Senior Lecturer in Theatre Arts at Middlesex University, UK; a Doctoral Candidate at Royal Holloway, University of London, UK; and a performer who draws on a training in acting, and a practice in dance. His performance uses improvisation as a tool to make instant compositions, and his research is looking at improvised speech in dance or movement-based performance practices.

Erin Walcon is an Associate Lecturer at the University of Exeter, UK, where she specialises in Applied Theatre. She is one of three co-directors of Doorstep Arts in Torbay, UK, and serves as a regional producer for the Collaborative Touring Network in partnership with Battersea Arts Centre.

Natalie Zervou is an Assistant Professor of Dance at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, USA. Her research explores contemporary dance in Greece during the sociopolitical and financial crisis and focuses on the ways that dancing bodies negotiate national identity construction in this fluctuating landscape.

The Artists' Bloc of the Immigrant Workers Centre in Montreal (Canada) are a collective of immigrant and migrant workers, artists and activists that advocate and fight for dignity and rights in the workplace. The collective engages in artistic pursuits, research initiatives, activism and project-based interventions, generating new forms of knowledge from creative and dialogic explorations of an exploitative labour system, and experiences of the Canadian immigration system.

The Institute for the Art and Practice of Dissent at Home are a family of two adults, Gary Anderson and Lena Šimić, and their four children Neal, Gabriel, Sid and James. They have been running an art activist initiative in their family home in Liverpool, UK, since 2007. They are funded by 10% of all income that comes through the family. It is a long-term experiment in art activism.

INTRODUCTION

Theatre and the social factory

Jenny Hughes

Nestled comfortably on a double bed, feet up, shoes off, I undertake a series of interviews with participants of a theatre and film-making project in Rochdale (UK). I had been invited to carry out an evaluation of the project and, from the insights gathered, create a 'toolkit' for social housing workers interested in using the arts to tackle long-term unemployment amongst tenants. This project took place over a three-month period in 2010 and, to draw on the terms used by project managers, it engaged with people who were experiencing 'worklessness', caused by a range of 'progression barriers' into education, training and employment. The theatre and film activities provided an informal learning space to improve confidence and self-esteem, motivation and soft skills. These activities were followed by a two-week long psycho-social intervention that aimed to help individuals identify and rehearse self-help solutions to their personal 'progression barriers'. On the one hand, this social theatre project can be understood as an example of art at work in what has been called 'the social factory' (Berardi 2009, 213), reflected in the demand for demonstrable gains in the immaterial labour of participants – the cognitive, communicative and social capacities expected of precarious workers in post-industrial economies (Lazarrato 1996). On the other hand, the creative and social encounters between artists and participants during the project provided opportunities to *occupy* the social factory in a way that presents a more equivocal perspective on the relationship between economic precarity, social productivity and creative practice.

My request for interviews led to one participant inviting the group to a tea party at her home. After enjoying a plate of sandwiches, I was transferred to the bedroom, with participants taking turns to come in for interviews carried out against a background noise of general hilarity emanating from the front room of the two-bedroom flat. Themes explored by participants during these conversations, readers may not be surprised to know, did *not* include miraculous narratives of overcoming barriers to education, training and employment. In fact, the life experiences described during the interviews – of care for families and friends, regular bouts of insecure employment, and engagement with off-the-grid economies of work – conflicted with the designation of this group as being without work, employment experience, self-motivation or creative and social skills. Moreover, lack of local jobs and the financial costs of further education and training were seen as the most prohibitive barriers to formal employment. What was also highlighted by our conversations was the importance of the social experiences offered by the project. That is, the opportunity for a group of people, some of whom were extraordinarily isolated, to socialise, build friendships, take part in a novel and engaging programme of cultural activity and, quite simply, have a reason to leave the house twice a week for a three-month period.

Whilst preparing this edition on precarity and social theatre, I have repeatedly returned to the project with 'workless' people in Rochdale. A formerly affluent cotton town, by the late twentieth century Rochdale was characterised by entrenched and high levels of poverty and unemployment. This downturn in economic fortunes is legible in a town centre ghosted by the architecture of historic prosperity and, following the economic crisis of 2008, too poor to

sustain an outlet of McDonalds, the global fast food franchise (Davies 2011). The threats to the life of the town, posed by a global economic context in which economically unproductive communities are perpetually at risk of what Wendy Brown describes as redundancy, abandonment and sacrifice (Brown 2015, 110–111), were reflected in the lives of some of the participants engaging in the project. During the intensive psycho-social programme exploring personal progression barriers, participants were asked to record their short-, mid- and long-term goals, and to keep a daily diary of activities that helped them move towards achieving these. During this exercise one participant whispered quietly, ‘what if you can’t see a future?’ In the weeks following the project, two participants died as a result of drug-related incidents and another, the woman who could not see a future in those final weeks, was hospitalised as a result of long-term mental distress arising, by her own account, from childhood experiences of abuse.

There is nothing new in this story of exclusion, suffering and threat. Distressing, each and every time, yes, but my intake of breath as I tell the story again is familiar. Too many stories akin to this have accrued over more than 20 years of work as a practitioner and researcher with people unable to find a place in a system that measures value by the logic of economic competition and profit. The ‘shock doctrine’ policy of austerity pursued by governments across the global North following the economic crisis in 2008 – a policy already tried out in the global South (Klein 2008) – has renewed public and academic interest in poverty and economic inequality. Emerging from European contexts of activism and academic scholarship, the term ‘precarity’ has come to provide a shorthand for understanding the common conditions of labour and life under neoliberal forms of capitalism associated with the embrace of free market ideologies from the late 1970s, and a concomitant stripping back of social protections provided by state welfarism. In these contexts, precarity is not identified with a particular class, context of work or state of impoverishment, but is a general economic condition that unsettles the social relations of labour and life, with negative effects that are unequally distributed according to usual discriminations of class, race, gender and differential economic and political power. Importantly, as Brett Neilsen and Ned Rossiter show, the regulated economy and welfare safety net in European countries, which helped to contain such negative effects, was in fact a historical exception to the rule of capitalist expansion (Neilsen and Rossiter 2008, 54). Whilst the term ‘precarity’ is European and relatively contemporary in origin then, it reveals a set of conditions for the production of social life, and the production of social theatre, that are as global and historical in their provenance as modern forms of capitalism.

What implications does precarity, and the body of thinking associated with this term, have for understanding the aesthetics and politics of social theatre? This question opens up an opportunity to pay attention to the relationship between precarity and the labour of performance-making and world-making, and the contributions to this special issue have responded to such an invitation in various ways. Reflected in many of the contributions is a feature of discussions of precarity described by Rebecca Schneider and Nicholas Ridout as a ‘tension between what might be called “good precarity” and “bad precarity”’ (Schneider and Ridout 2012, 8) – between precarity’s potential for generating new understandings of the creative and critical possibilities of performance, and its closeness to symbolic and material forms of threat and death. Interestingly, Rosalind Gill and Andy Pratt, turning their attention to Italian autonomist philosophy influential in precarity activism in Europe, identify a similar polarity in discussions of the immaterial forms of labour prevalent in post-Fordist economies. For Gill and Pratt, this

double-face ... speaks on the one hand to the extent to which emotions, feelings, relationships are ‘put to work’ in post-Fordist capitalism, and on the other to the immanent human cooperative capacities and potentialities that may be set free by such labour. (Gill and Pratt 2008, 15)

Immaterial labour, also described as affective, biopolitical and virtuosic labour (with important distinctions that I do not have space to comment on here), refers to the creative work that underpins social forms of productivity predominant in post-industrial economies, distinct from the wage labour described by Marx because it involves 'the worker's personality and subjectivity in the production of value' (Lazzarato 1996, 135). Immaterial labour blurs the boundaries between the performance of work and performance of self, and time and space of work and nonwork, resulting in communities and workplaces characterised by 'precariousness, hyperexploitation, mobility and hierarchy' (Lazzarato 1996, 137).

Social theatre projects often provide spaces for the development of enterprising kinds of affective and immaterial labour from artists and participants, creating intense forms of temporary affect and social relationship that dynamise productivity in the 'social factory'. In one of the source texts for the concept of 'the social factory', Antonio Negri argues that in post-Fordist economies, 'the entire society becomes one enormous factory, or rather, the factory spreads throughout the whole of society' (Negri 1989, 204). Franco Berardi, in a more recent work, comments that work in the social factory demands that we 'place our very souls at its disposal: intelligence, sensibility, creativity and language' (2009, 192). The conditions of life and labour associated with the term precarity, then, frame all social life, including social theatre, as open for economic exploitation. Here, the social domain is under a kind of siege enacted by forces of capital that extends through all forms of subjective and social life. The introduction of financial instruments such as 'social impact bonds' into the infrastructures of newly privatised social care offers a startling example of how this is playing out in the economised welfare regimes of the UK (Dowling and Harvie 2014). Social theatre projects, offering a novel and innovative machinery to enhance social productivity, are at perpetual risk of uncritically participating in this siege. This discussion casts a troubling perspective on the affective and relational features of applied and social theatre practice, often seen as a source of its critical politics and aesthetics.

And so, how to think about the aesthetics and politics of social theatre practice in the social factory? In relation to the double face of affective and immaterial labour – its precaritisising effects and immanent potential to free life from the forces of capital – Gill and Pratt argue for 'the urgency of *thinking these together*' (Gill and Pratt 2008, 16, italics in original). The invitation to *think these together* asks that we think of social theatre as releasing a series of affects and effects that work *with and against* the critical and creative intentions of practitioners and socio-economic contexts of their work, and in ways that can only be understood contingently. The provocation to *think these together* also challenges researchers to reconsider the reductive set of binaries sometimes present in reflections on the political potencies of social theatre – between affect and effect, the social and/or aesthetic, art or social work. What seems to me to be a wrong move here, and what critiques of socially engaged art sometimes promote, is an attempt to *withdraw* art from the social factory or to insist on art's autonomy inside the social factory (see e.g. Kunst 2015). Instead, it seems imperative to develop perspectives on and practices of art and theatre as *occupations* of the social factory. The worklessness project in Rochdale, for example, revealed a social world under siege, with long-term economic decline leading to the closure of adult education and community provision that had historically provided the material architecture for social relationship. This siege was also enacted by a set of discourses that attempted to contain the affective environments and social relationships created by the project by framing them as a means to individual economic gain. However, as the participants repeatedly noted, the project's combined art and social intervention affirmed for them the importance of access to socially sentient time, space and work. This affirmation predated and outlasted its own life, and was both in excess of, and a protective counter to, the networks of economic precarity that the project participated in.

Important here is Nicholas Ridout's argument that theatre contains a communist potential not because it exists outside capitalism but because it 'nestles so deeply inside it' (2013, 9). In order to understand the potency of this suggestion, I have found it useful to turn to philosopher Gaston Bachelard's descriptions of the nest as a space that offers a form of protection that is fragile and yet fits the shape of bodily life, and is hidden from view: 'a nest ... is a precarious thing, and yet it sets us *daydreaming of security*' ([1964] 1994, 102, italics in original). Applied and social theatre has nestled inside some of the most alienating and damaging of capitalist institutions – schools, prisons, hospitals – institutions with architectural forms and routines that mimic the factories of industrial times, shaping subjective and social life to the productive regimes of the social factory by means of demands to work harder, do more, improve, help yourself, move on, perform or else. But perhaps social theatre-makers, making nests in such structures, can also provide access to and protective cover inside a social world under siege, as well as fabricate lines of flight from the social factory. At its most potent, perhaps this nestling also provides artist-inhabitants with opportunities to work in solidarity with other socially sentient workers to transform cosy habitation into quiet and noisy forms of occupation. Here, the labour of performance and world-making becomes a resilient irritant for a capitalist regime that cannot quite regulate those precarious bits of life that haunt the economic realm and are irreducible to units of value exchanged for profit. In such a domain, social theatre projects build their critical potential not from a mobilisation of affect or insistence on autonomy, but from manufacturing occasions of occupation in which the art of theatre becomes the social work of performance and back again.

This special issue engages with a diverse set of socially engaged theatre practices that offer opportunities to consider such occasions of occupation, including activist theatres, theatre and health projects, theatre-based research into experiences of homelessness, the community work of regional theatres, arts-led social care initiatives, theatre in contexts of higher education and corporate training, people's theatres and youth arts initiatives. The critical questions driving these contributions range from enquiries into the politics of theatre-making with communities affected by precarity, to investigations of the precarious aesthetics of performance. There are two kinds of contribution to the issue. The eight research articles are followed by a Documents section that presents nine shorter pieces by artists and researchers, including two pieces that feature audio and audio-visual accompaniments accessible to online subscribers to the journal. The Documents by artists and researchers are shorter pieces of writing, sometimes with visual or audio-visual illustration, describing artistic and theatrical responses to precarity.

Two overlapping themes run through the issue as a whole. First, the exploration of theatre as a means of materialising social relations – often with unexalted communities and in contexts of precarity – that generate alternative constructions of value from creative mappings of people and place. In the article that opens the issue, Dirk Rodricks and Kathleen Gallagher offer a powerful account of a theatre research project with homeless young people in Toronto (Canada) that drew on young people's cultural knowledge, expressed through theatre, to generate new conceptions of wealth, and practices of value exchange and knowledge production. My own contribution offers an analysis of an example of political theatre-making in the city of Bradford (UK) that transformed its site, and the ensemble of performers and audience members, into a *common* – a concept that opens up a terrain for understanding and developing alternative economies of cultural practice. The contributions from Claire Cochrane and Selina Busby both provide an extended temporal perspective on this theme. Tracing the history of a regional theatre's engagements with young people and communities in the UK since the 1980s, Cochrane argues that these engagements, stimulated by neoliberal cultural policy, opened the theatre to its host communities in productive ways, but also exposed the building and its custodians to long-term insecurity. Busby reports on a decade-

long series of theatre exchanges between UK students and young people from Dharavi in Mumbai (India), exploring the problematic colonial legacy woven into some aspects of this exchange but also showing how its longevity supports what she calls a utopian practice of community and theatre.

The Documents that speak to this theme offer insights into an inspiring set of projects that consider the creation, through art and theatre, of new relations between people and place in sites local to the artists and artist-researchers writing each piece. Koby Rogers Hall and Manuel Salamanca describe the art activism of the Artists' Bloc of an immigrant workers' centre in Montreal (Canada) that develops public expressions and awareness of economic injustice and also provides opportunities to develop resilience. In her account of the occupation of Teatro Valle in Rome (Italy), Alice Borchia describes how artists in the city, in response to the threatened closure of the theatre due to funding cuts, created a cultural commons. The Institute of Dissent at Home document a manifesto slam performance event in response to the UK government's policy of austerity, a piece of performance activism that began at home and extended to a place of rest and recuperation in a local shopping centre. Describing respective theatre projects that also made critical and compassionate relations between people and space in contexts of austerity – Naomi Alexander and Erin Walton give an overview of their respective home-based initiatives, Brighton People's Theatre and Doorstop Arts in Torbay (both in the UK). Kirsten Sadeghi-Yekta's contribution offers a complementary perspective from Downtown East Side of Vancouver (Canada), with her description of *Project Limelight* showing how the spectacle of performance can manifest diverse constructions of the wealth inside a community. In the first submission accompanied by supplementary online audio-visual material, David Grant presents a series of documents – a film, images, script and poetry – from a performance project with men in north Belfast (Northern Ireland). The project created a performative mapping of this site to depict with humour and compassion the community's struggle to navigate the aftermath of military conflict and ongoing economic precarity.

The second theme threaded through the collection relates to precarity and the performance of the labouring body. Contributions on this theme explore the demand to make the body work, and performance as a means of preparing the body for efficient work and/or demonstrating the as-yet unproductive body's potential. These contributions also explore performance as a means of destabilising the forces that threaten bodily life. Sarah Saddler's article is the first in this group, and it reflects on the ways labouring subjects found opportunities for nestled critique inside a theatre-based corporate training environment in India that sought to prepare those same subjects for precarious work. Kennedy Chinyowa and Nehemiah Chivandikwa, writing about a performance made with a group of disabled and non-disabled students at the University of Zimbabwe, explore theatre as a positive means of destabilising the precarification of the disabled body in Zimbabwean contexts. In a contribution on this theme coming from the UK, Sarah Bartley considers two theatre projects with unemployed people, showing how each problematised the categorisation of people as 'unfit for work' and also reflecting on social theatre practice as an expression and contestation of the necropolitics of austerity. Providing an account of the precarious aesthetics of dance performance in post-economic Greece, Natalie Zervou argues that economic precarity gave rise to approaches to devising and staging performance that were responsive to the public mood of agitation for socio-political change in that context. Two shorter contributions also speak to the theme of the labouring body. In the first, Elanor Stannage considers the repeated motifs of balancing, wobbling and teetering in the reflections of participants on an arts and mental health project. And, finally, in the second submission accompanied by online content, Robert Vesty and Antonio de La Fe, two lovers, provide a moving record of an unrehearsed performance, with Vesty's

complementary reflection exploring the potential of 'unrehearsed-ness' as a response to the economically precarious conditions of work experienced by the performers.

Taken together, the contributions to the issue highlight the importance of engagement with others in the process of making and reflecting on social theatre, evident in the prevalence of critically engaged ethnography as a methodological approach, and of work arising from practice-based research or from close collaborations between researchers and theatre practitioners. The researchers writing here have sought out opportunities to generate knowledge from participating in the social factory, working collaboratively with other researchers and artists. This impetus, common to applied and social theatre research, raises questions about the politics of collaboration of course, but is also a welcome response to those dimensions of precarity that threaten to diminish the social realm. The drive to work with each other, to think and do together, creates occasions for the nurture, protection and flourishing of a social world under siege.

Funding

The research for this article was supported by an Arts and Humanities Research Council Early Career Fellowship, 'Poor Theatres: A Critical Exploration of Theatre, Performance and Economic Precarity' [grant number AH/L004054/1]. For more information, please go to www.manchester.ac.uk/poortheatres.

References

- Bachelard, G. [1964] 1994. *The Poetics of Space*. Beacon Press.
- Berardi, F. 2009. *The Soul at Work: From Alienation to Autonomy*. Semiotexte.
- Brown, W. 2015. *Undoing the Demos: Neoliberalism's Stealth Revolution*. New York: Zone Books.
- Davies, C. 2011. "I'm Leaving it: McDonald's Quits Rochdale's Ailing Town Centre." *Guardian*, November 24. Accessed August 24, 2016. <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2011/nov/25/mcdonalds-quits-rochdale-town-centre>.
- Dowling, E., and D. Harvie. 2014. "Harnessing the Social: State, Crisis and (big) Society." *Sociology* 48 (5): 869–886.
- Gill, Rosalind, and Andy Pratt. 2008. "In the Social Factory? Immaterial Labour, Precariousness and Cultural Work." *Theory, Culture & Society* 25 (7–8): 1–30.
- Klein, N. 2008. *The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism*. London: Penguin.
- Kunst, B. 2015. *Artist at Work: The proximity of Art and Capitalism*. Winchester: Zero Books.
- Lazzarato, M. 1996. "Immaterial Labour." In *Radical thought in Italy: A Potential Politics*, edited by P. Virno & M. Hardt, 133–147. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Negri, A. 1989. *The Politics of Subversion: A Manifesto for the Twenty-First Century*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Neilsen, Brett, and Ned Rossiter. 2008. "Precarity as a Political Concept, or, Fordism as Exception." *Theory, Culture & Society* 25 (7–8): 51–72.
- Ridout, N. 2013. *Passionate Amateurs: Theatre, Communism and Love*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.
- Schneider, R., and Ridout, N. 2012. "Precarity and Performance: An Introduction." *TDR: The Drama Review* 56 (4): 5–9.

Performing to understand: cultural wealth, precarity, and shelter-dwelling youth

Kathleen Gallagher and Dirk J. Rodricks

ABSTRACT

Collaborating with *Project: Humanity*, an acclaimed socially engaged theatre company, we mobilized, over 16 weeks, an applied theatre methodology of drama workshops and traditional qualitative research methods to explore issues of spatialized inequality and localized poverty with a youth shelter community in Toronto, Canada. Observations gleaned through drama activities provided graphic evidence of the multiple and overlapping socio-economic pressures and limited infrastructural and personal support experienced in their young lives. In this article, we use critical race theory to trouble majoritarian narratives of access, capacity, and success. In particular, Yosso's [2005. "Whose culture has capital? A critical race theory discussion of community cultural wealth." *Race, Ethnicity, and Education* 8 (1): 69–91] typology of 'community cultural wealth' has allowed us to reconsider the idea of 'capital' as it is exploited by youth in creative engagement with the material precarity of their daily existence.

Prologue

Something has stayed with me (Kathleen) from early research that remains a kind of puzzle. In the research project that formed the basis of my 2007 book *The Theatre of Urban: Youth and Schooling in Dangerous Times*, I carried out an ethnography of two high schools in Toronto and two in New York City over three years (2002–2005), with youth in both cities who were, by all definitions, living precarious lives. The struggles of some American students in Queens Jamaica and in Midtown Manhattan where I was working were hard to fathom and on the heels of 9/11, the meteoric intensification of surveillance and 'homeland' security in their lives and in their schools was conspicuous. Yet despite that unprecedented and disturbing context, with such a palpable sense of uncertainty and fear in the air, I found myself in an alternative school in midtown Manhattan known officially as a 'last resort school' both by the New York Board of Education and by the young people I met there. One of the Toronto schools I was working in was similarly running a programme for students who had achieved minimum high-school credits and/or had been suspended from other mainstream schools. They, too, considered their programme a 'last resort' of sorts.

In these contexts, I met some very committed teachers trying to make success possible for highly precarious populations of students. They ran alternative school hours for students who were working; they had childcare inside the school itself for young students who themselves had dependents. They were trying very hard to make school work for young people for whom the barriers to success were enormous. And in my interviews with many of those young people, I heard about their deep appreciation for the institutions and the teachers trying to serve them. One young father, a 17-year-old student in New York who I remember vividly, told me that he hoped his own child could one day go to this school when he grew up. I was struck by this because it was a school known for the marginalized population it served. It was the place to go when you hit the bottom. But this young man's sense of normalized precarity in his own life meant that in this school he had finally been able to access the support he needed to be successful; importantly, he had finally met a community who assumed he could succeed. Though he appeared to have 'hit the bottom', this young man was, for the very first time in his life, feeling supported and full of possibility. And that is what he wished for his own child, all the evidence needed to support Isabell Lorey's proposition that precaritization is not the exception but the rule (2015, 1). Or, what an arts-based American researcher describes as the 'age of collapsing banks and soaring homelessness and poverty for the children of America' (Finley 2009, 62). Must young people hit the very bottom, in the new normal that is social and economic insecurity, before they can imagine possibilities? We find ourselves now asking, what is going on – pedagogically, aesthetically, and relationally– when deep hope springs from inside profound and normalized disadvantage?

A brief search of the literature confirms that scholars across many fields are using arts-based or drama methods in their work with homeless youth populations, especially noting the power of theatre for dissemination of research findings more broadly to non-academic audiences and to research populations themselves (see Saldaña 2003; Ottaway, King, and Erickson 2009; Liehr et al. 2013). Many of these accounts also evidence the prevalent notion of 'giving voice' to such marginalized groups, with some notable exceptions challenging that colonial discourse (McCreary 2001; Wake 2003; Hughes 2013; Gallagher 2016). Our interest in mobilizing critical race theory (CRT) in our own exploration has provided us with an important counterpoint perspective to the familiar impulse in this kind of work to 'give voice' to a population presumed to be without the power or the means to speak their own truths. Of course, 'giving voice' is a decidedly contested idea, especially in feminist and critical scholarship, but it remains, nonetheless, a pervasive stance in the empirical work that transpires. We have used our theoretical frame and applied theatre methodology as a way to intentionally shift such normative perspectives. This means that we are using a different frame to work alongside a marginalized group, one that begins from the assumption of their cultural wealth. We assume our participants have a voice, and a very expert one indeed. We know that our work with them, and our privilege relative to them, is equally capable of inviting their voices in to the conversation or shutting them down. And so, we use drama, and work in role, to engage with the expertise of our participants, an epistemological shift that asks us to work in different ways. Our turn to drama also reveals our sense of its potential to retrieve a battered yet still powerful imagination in this (not-so) new millennial era of extreme insecurity.