Anthony Trollope

The Eustace Diamonds

OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS

OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS

THE EUSTACE DIAMONDS

The Eustace Diamonds is the third in Trollope's six-volume sequence of novels known as the Palliser series. They chart the career of Plantagenet Palliser, and his troubled marriage to Lady Glencora. The novels depict the social milieu of the aristocracy and upper middle class of mid-Victorian England, with occasional forays into low life and the criminal underworld. They combine the machinations of politics with an intimate exploration of personal relationships to paint an unparalleled portrait of an age. The novels can be read independently and as part of a linked whole. They are:

Can You Forgive Her? (1865)
Phineas Finn (1869)
The Eustace Diamonds (1872)
Phineas Redux (1873)
The Prime Minister (1876)
The Duke's Children (1880)

Helen Small is Fellow in English at Pembroke College, Oxford and a lecturer in the Faculty of English at the University of Oxford where she teaches English literature from the eighteenth century to the present day. She is the author of *The Long Life* (2007), winner of the Truman Capote Award for Literary Criticism (2008) and the Rose Mary Crawshay Prize from the British Academy (2008). For Oxford World's Classics she has edited George Eliot's *The Lifted Veil and Brother Jacob*, and Emily Brontë's *Wuthering Heights*.

OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS

For over 100 years Oxford World's Classics have brought readers closer to the world's great literature. Now with over 700 titles—from the 4,000-year-old myths of Mesopotamia to the twentieth century's greatest novels—the series makes available lesser-known as well as celebrated writing.

The pocket-sized hardbacks of the early years contained introductions by Virginia Woolf, T. S. Eliot, Graham Greene, and other literary figures which enriched the experience of reading. Today the series is recognized for its fine scholarship and reliability in texts that span world literature, drama and poetry, religion, philosophy and politics. Each edition includes perceptive commentary and essential background information to meet the changing needs of readers.

OXFORD WORLD'S CLASSICS

ANTHONY TROLLOPE

The Eustace Diamonds

Edited with an Introduction and Notes by
HELEN SMALL

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS
Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Cape Town Dar es Salaam Hong Kong Karachi
Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Nairobi
New Delhi Shanghai Taipei Toronto

With offices in

Argentina Austria Brazil Chile Czech Republic France Greece
Guatemala Hungary Italy Japan Poland Portugal Singapore
South Korea Switzerland Thailand Turkey Ukraine Vietnam

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press
in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States
by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

Introduction, Select Bibliography, Explanatory Notes © Helen Small 2011
Biographical Preface, Chronology © Katherine Mullin and Francis O'Gorman 2011
Appendix © Nicholas Shrimpton 2011

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted
Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

First published as a World's Classics paperback 1983
Reissued as an Oxford World's Classics paperback 1998, 2008
New edition 2011

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced,
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means,
without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press,
or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate
reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction
outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department,
Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Data available

Typeset by Glyph International, Bangalore, India
Printed in Great Britain
on acid-free paper by
Clays Ltd, St Ives plc

ISBN 978-0-19-958778-0

CONTENTS

Biographical Preface vii

Introduction xi

Note on the Text xxvi

Select Bibliography xxvii

A Chronology of Anthony Trollope xxix

THE EUSTACE DIAMONDS 1

Appendix: The Chronology and Political Contexts of the Palliser Novels 588

Explanatory Notes 599

This page intentionally left blank

BIOGRAPHICAL PREFACE

ANTHONY TROLLOPE was born on 24 April 1815 in London. He was the fourth surviving child of a failing barrister and gentleman farmer, Thomas Anthony Trollope, and his wife Frances (née Milton), who became a successful novelist and travel writer. Trollope's childhood was dominated by uncongenial schooling. He was sent to Harrow, the boys' public school in north London, as a day boy, then to Sunbury, Surrey, while awaiting a place at his father's former school, Winchester College. Trollope was admitted to Winchester in 1827, but his father's embarrassing inability to pay the fees became known to fellow pupils. He was moved back from Winchester to Harrow in 1830 for two further years, which he later described as 'the worst period of my life'.[1] Unsurprisingly, he did not shine academically, and when, in 1834, the whole family fled to Bruges in Belgium to avoid imprisonment for debt, he obtained a clerkship in the London headquarters of the newly-created Post Office through his mother's connections.

The beginning of Trollope's long Post Office career was not encouraging. He soon became known for unpunctuality, and was, by his own account, 'always on the eve of being dismissed'.[2] His scanty salary led him into debt, and travails with money-lenders would later inform the scrapes of many fictional characters. Trollope was sustained by a habit of imagination that was first acquired during his unhappy adolescence. Daydreaming not only allowed him 'to live in a world altogether outside the world of my own material life':[3] it served as an apprenticeship for fiction. Yet it took a change of scene from London to rural Ireland to persuade Trollope to express his imagination in writing.

In July 1841, aged 26, Trollope was appointed deputy postal surveyor's clerk, based in Banagher, King's County (now Co. Offaly). His new-found professional success helped him to grow in social confidence. Ireland prompted his lifelong enthusiasm for hunting with hounds, too. That enthusiasm was never far from his writing, and sometimes it made life difficult for him at work, not least because hounds and horses were an expensive pastime. Within a year, he became engaged to Rose Heseltine, the daughter of a Rotherham banker who was holidaying in what is now Dun Laoghaire. Trollope proposed after barely a fortnight's acquaintance. The wedding was, for

[1] Anthony Trollope, *An Autobiography* (Oxford: OUP, 1980), 11.
[2] Ibid. 46.
[3] Ibid. 43.

financial reasons, postponed for two years until 11 June 1844. Marriage and the birth of two sons, Henry Merivale in 1846 and Frederick James Anthony in 1847, helped Trollope to find what he called the 'vigour necessary to prosecute two professions at the same time'.[4] His first novel, *The Macdermots of Ballycloran* (1847), sold far fewer than the 400 copies printed. But it was favourably reviewed and was followed by a second Irish tale, *The Kellys and the O'Kellys* (1848). Both kept an uneasy silence on the Famine, to which Trollope was a horrified witness, but his recollections of the famine years would inform the later *Castle Richmond* (1860). The failure of *La Vendée* (1850), on the French Revolution, together with the increasing demands of his Post Office career, conspired to deflect Trollope from fiction for some years.

In 1851, he was sent to the south-west of England to investigate ways of expanding the rural postal system. His successful development of the pillar box, which he first tried out in St Helier in the Channel Islands in 1852, led to the spread of post boxes throughout the UK. The project won Trollope promotion to the surveyorship of the north of Ireland in 1854, and his family settled in Donnybrook. During these years, he composed the first novel to bring him real recognition, *The Warden* (1855). The first of six 'Chronicles of Barsetshire', *The Warden* was set, like its successors, in a fictional county, based on the south-west England Trollope knew. Although they dramatized changes in the ecclesiastical world, the 'Chronicles' were also secular in their interests. While *The Warden* brought him less than £10, Trollope 'soon felt it had not failed as the others had failed',[5] and began work on *Barchester Towers* (1857). It was warmly reviewed. But it was not until the publication of *Dr Thorne* (1858) that he met with unmixed success. The novels following—*Framley Parsonage* (1861), *The Small House at Allington* (1864), and *The Last Chronicle of Barset* (1867)—established his reputation, his popularity, and his fortune.

During the twelve years between *The Warden* and *The Last Chronicle,* Trollope published seventeen novels, numerous short stories, and several collections of travel writing. This extraordinary scale of production was sustained by his habit of rising at five each morning to allow three hours of writing—250 words each quarter of an hour, he boasted in *An Autobiography*—before leaving for the office at nine. Other celebrated writers have written to a similar schedule, but Trollope's pride in his achievement has never been entirely admired by critics. His schedule made him an invaluable contributor to the *Cornhill Magazine*, founded in 1859 by the novelist, William Makepeace Thackeray. The

[4] Ibid. 69. [5] Ibid. 98.

serialization of *Framley Parsonage* in the *Cornhill*'s first issues did much to secure the magazine's and Trollope's reputation. Through the *Cornhill*, he made lasting friendships with major literary and artistic figures. By 1859, he was living in the north London suburb of Waltham Cross, after a promotion to Post Office surveyor of the eastern district. He was soon elected to the Garrick and Athenaeum Clubs, and became a stalwart of the Royal Literary Fund. These were marks of serious literary success. He also, in 1860, met a young American woman, Kate Field, with whom he fell in love. The nature of *that* relationship is known almost as little as Trollope's relationship with Rose. But Kate mattered to him—and would do till the end of his life.

Trollope concluded the Barsetshire Chronicles on a high note, considering *The Last Chronicle of Barset* to be his best novel. Following the model of Honoré de Balzac's *Comédie humaine*, Trollope saw realist fiction as capable of depicting a complex culture through a multivolume series. The Palliser volumes, beginning with *Can You Forgive Her?* (1865) and ending with *The Duke's Children* (1880), were his other major sequence. These novels, like the Barsetshire Chronicles, could be read separately and in different orders without irrecoverable loss—but they formed a continuous whole all the same. In the story of the Pallisers, Trollope developed one of his strongest themes—the difficult marriage and its negotiations. He also explored great political issues, including the 1867 Reform Bill and the disestablishment of the Irish Church, while inviting readers to map the Conservative leader Daubeny onto Disraeli, and the Liberal Gresham onto Gladstone. His portrayal of democratic politics has remained consequential for generations. The novels' political appeal, nonetheless, was carefully balanced against other enticements: 'If I wrote politics for my own sake, I must put in love and intrigue, social incidents, a dash of sport, for the sake of my readers',[6] he said, with characteristic pragmatism.

Trollope resigned from the Post Office in 1867. His earnings from writing had long outstripped his salary. The following year, feeling that 'to sit in the British Parliament should be the highest object of ambition to every educated Englishman',[7] he stood as the Liberal candidate for Beverley in the 1868 General Election. He was unsuccessful. That failure haunted his fiction as it must have haunted his private life. Trollope interspersed the Palliser series with other novels and studies. He was by this stage a professional writer whose commitment was broken significantly only by field sports and travel. Trollope's international voyages included Australia, where Frederick, his younger son, was a sheep

[6] Ibid. 317. [7] Ibid. 290.

farmer: *Australia and New Zealand* (1873) was a result. Trollope and Rose left London in 1880 for South Harting in Sussex, hoping country air would ease his persistent asthma. This was no retirement: the last two years of his life saw a further six books, including *Mr Scarborough's Family* (1883), a study of parental domination, and the unfinished *The Landleaguers*, a return to Irish matters (published posthumously, 1883).

In early November 1882, Trollope suffered a stroke, and, on 6 December, he died in London at the age of 67. He had written forty-seven novels, five volumes of short stories, four travel books, three biographies, an autobiography, and two translations from the classics, together with uncollected pieces of journalism. His prodigious output included a biography of Thackeray, and a study of Cicero whose political judiciousness was often a silent model behind his admirable fictional politicians. Few who knew Trollope could avoid commenting on his loud and bluff persona in public: at 5 ft. 10 in., and around 16 stones, he struck those who met him as burly—a man of bodily appetites. It was difficult for many to imagine him dissecting the emotional complexities of his characters with such delicacy and sympathy.

Trollope's posthumous *An Autobiography* (1883) startled a large number of readers. Its dry discussion of how much money his fiction made denied any Romantic model of authorship. Here was no account of the creative flash of inspiration. Writing fiction was more like a trade, the result of well-applied skill and labour. But, knowing at first hand the cost of his father's failure, it was important to Trollope to demonstrate to his family, if to no one else, that he had made such a success of his life. He had no university degree, and was without the much-coveted honour of a seat in Parliament. He wished to demonstrate too, in a culture less familiar with the notion of a professional writer than ours, that writing could indeed be a life. His estate was valued at the huge sum of £25,892 19*s.* 3*d.*

Biographies of Trollope are always to some degree doomed to follow the sparse facts laid out in *An Autobiography*. The nature of his marriage, his feelings for Kate Field, his relationship with his sons, let alone the secrets of his inner life, are among the topics on which it is impossible to write with certainty. Trollope had no commitment to privacy—but private he remains. Declining to reveal himself in correspondence, he is, perhaps, only glimpsed in the astonishingly fertile novels about human lives, desires, and choices, which were his enduring bequest to English literature.

Katherine Mullin
Francis O'Gorman

INTRODUCTION

[*Readers who are unfamiliar with the plot may prefer to treat the Introduction as an Afterword.*]

The Eustace Diamonds is a novel about the scandal and yet the ubiquity of lying. 'She does lie, certainly,' concedes the worldly Mrs Carbuncle (herself no paragon of honesty) when pressed to admit that her 'friend' Lizzie Eustace, anti-heroine of Trollope's story, is 'an infernal little liar': 'but then who doesn't?' (p. 321). We might set this aside as the too cynical view of a battle-toughened woman, who ruthlessly pursues the cherished goal of marrying off her 'niece' (almost certainly her illegitimate daughter) to a man of station. It is a harder observation to dismiss when we hear it again from the closest thing the novel offers to a hero: Frank Greystock, aspiring barrister and Tory MP for Bobsborough. 'The courage, the ingenuity, and the self-confidence' of a major deceit such as the filching of the Eustace Diamonds, 'are certainly admirable', he opines to his cousin Lizzie. (He does not yet know that she lied when she told the police and magistrates that the diamonds were stolen from her, and is now maintaining that lie though they have been stolen in earnest):

> there is a cringing and almost contemptible littleness about honesty, which hardly allows it to assert itself. The really honest man can never say a word to make those who don't know of his honesty believe that it is there. . . . Let two unknown men be competitors for any place, with nothing to guide the judges but their own words and their own looks, and who can doubt but the dishonest man would be chosen rather than the honest. (p. 391)

These are, admittedly, the strained accents of a man not in possession of a large fortune, attempting to make his way in London political and social life and finding the pressure on his purse and his honesty difficult to bear. He is, as it were, trying cynicism on for size, professing a moral carelessness that he does not fully feel—or that Trollope encourages us to hope he does not fully feel. And yet it is a view *The Eustace Diamonds* tells us is widely shared, and defensible if not pretty.

In this respect the novel offers a plot strikingly like that of its immediate precursor in the Palliser series, *Phineas Finn* (1869), where a hero of similarly unfixed character comes perilously close to betraying his politics and jeopardizing his future with a good woman who waits patiently at a distance. But *The Eustace Diamonds* is in many ways quite

unlike *Phineas Finn* or any other Palliser novel. It is worth noting that Trollope did not initially think of it as part of the sequence, for all the overlap in personnel and the explicit cross-referencing to events previously narrated elsewhere.[1] The nearest thing to it in Trollope's huge output of fiction (forty-seven novels, plus short stories, and two plays—one of which he teasingly has Lizzie, Mrs Carbuncle, and the niece attend in Chapter 47) is his scathingly satirical portrait of modern life, *The Way We Live Now* (1875). Many critics have invited comparisons between *The Eustace Diamonds* and William Thackeray's *Vanity Fair* (1847), likening Lizzie Eustace to Becky Sharp, Thackeray's similarly outrageous heroine. But this is also the closest Trollope came to writing sensation fiction. His most visible debts are to Wilkie Collins's sensation novels: *The Moonstone* (1868), which, like Trollope's novel, centres on the theft of a diamond; *Armadale* (1866), with its beautiful and manipulative protagonist Lydia Gwilt, its fascination with the deceits of the marketplace, and its depiction of intricate connections between polite society and the criminal underclasses; and, less evidently perhaps, *The Woman in White* (1860), where the villain, Count Fosco, makes a speech in praise of dishonesty strikingly akin to Frank Greystock's.

Trollope was happy to be compared with Collins, paying him the compliment of a remark in his *Autobiography* (1883) to the effect that Collins would have shaped the plot more subtly (rather than improvising as he went along).[2] *The Eustace Diamonds* was clearly meant to tap into the recent vogue for sensation and detective fiction, of which Collins was the most consistently successful practitioner in the 1860s. The experiment in a genre Trollope had not tried before paid off, both financially and critically: he was only a little disappointed in the sum of £2,500 paid for it by the publishers Chapman and Hall, having failed to sell it to Blackwood for a higher sum;[3] the reviews were, with few exceptions, excellent, welcoming a return to form after a disastrous second attempt to write for the theatre (*Shilly-Shally*, 1872) and a run of badly received prose fiction works (*The Vicar of Bulhampton*, 1870;

[1] See Letter to Henry Howard, 26 Feb. 1881, in which Trollope defines the order of the sequence as *Can You Forgive Her?*, *Phineas Finn*, *Phineas Redux*, *The Prime Minister*, and *The Duke's Children*. *The Letters of Anthony Trollope*, ed. N. John Hall, 2 vols. (Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1983), ii. 902–3. *The Eustace Diamonds* also refers to events in novels beyond the series, most prominently *The Small House at Allington* (1864), which introduced Plantaganet Palliser and Lady Glencora.

[2] *Autobiography*, ed. Michael Sadleir and Frederick Page, with introd. and notes by P. D. Edwards, World's Classics edn. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999; reissued 2008), 344.

[3] John N. Hall, *Trollope: A Biography* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1991), 348.

some inferior short stories, 1869–70, later collected as *An Editor's Tales*; *Sir Harry Hotspur of Humblethwaite*, 1870). 'Mr Trollope is himself again', applauded the *Saturday Review*.[4]

The comparison with Thackeray, by contrast, is treated dismissively in the *Autobiography*, Trollope claiming that, though the idea of a likeness between Lizzie and Becky occurred to him as he wrote, in planning the book he had not thought of it, 'and I believe that Lizzie would have been just as she is though Beckie Sharpe [*sic*] had never been described'.[5] This isn't, or isn't just, anxiety of influence. Thackeray was the greatest satiric writer of the Victorian period, and the likeness between *The Eustace Diamonds* and *Vanity Fair* is certainly strong, especially where (as in Frank's speech) Trollope's sense of a pervasive mendacity in his society goes beyond scepticism into the shady borderland with cynicism.

But anyone familiar with Thackeray's novel will also quickly notice the differences at the level of style. Trollope is less comfortable than Thackeray in the mode of direct address to the reader, especially those sly confidences of the club room or back parlour kind at which Thackeray excelled. In the main *The Eustace Diamonds* also steers shy of that peculiarly risqué alliance *Vanity Fair* conducts between the author's fiction-making and the webs of deceit spun by his anti-heroine—tempting though it is to suggest similarities between Trollope's claims to having acted opportunistically in the development of the plot, and that pronounced aspect of Lizzie's behaviour. Trollope has enormous fun with Lizzie Eustace, but he is not in the main interested in testing the analogy between his fictions and her lying. Lizzie comes accompanied from the start by plain warnings that she is a bad lot. Her husband (the short-lived Sir Florian) believes, initially, that she combines 'intellect, purity, truth, and beauty'. 'The intellect and beauty were there;' the implied narrator concedes, 'but for the purity and truth——; how could [he] have been so blind!' (p. 11). Lizzie is 'very false, and bad, and selfish' (p. 157); 'it may seem unjust to accuse her of being stupidly unacquainted with circumstances, and a liar at the same time; but she was both' (p. 75). In her defence: 'She had never sacrificed her beauty to a lover,—she had never sacrificed anything to anybody' (p. 68).

At points the impression given by such plain moral direction is that Trollope fears lest his readers, like Lizzie's supporters in the plot

[4] Hall, *Trollope: A Biography*, 371–9; and see Donald Smalley (ed.), *Trollope: The Critical Heritage* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1969), 371–7.

[5] *Autobiography*, 344.

(Lady Glencora Palliser prominent among them), be deceived. Yet, as *The Eustace Diamonds* continues it becomes increasingly clear that few people will be deceived for long. Lizzie has enormous panache, she is quick-witted and tirelessly ambitious for her own social success, but she is not subtle and, more curiously, she has no obvious rationale for her endless manipulations of the truth. 'What was the good of being so clever?', asks Lord George de Bruce Carruthers (another doubtful 'friend', whom Lizzie fails to persuade into the role of Byronic 'Corsair' and protector): 'The end of it seems to be that you have lost your property, and sworn ever so many false oaths, and have brought all your friends into trouble, and have got nothing by it' (p. 549).

Lizzie may 'get nothing by it', but the novel has a serious moral, characterological, and also political interest in her efforts to advance her place in the world. What the novel 'gets by it', first and most pervasively, is a close reflection (much subtler than its surface warnings against Lizzie might suggest) on Mrs Carbuncle's philosophy: that is, the idea that, with very few exceptions, even people who maintain in their own eyes and the eyes of the world a reputation for honesty, regularly lie. Social decorum requires it (or Mr Camperdown, the Eustace family lawyer, would give Lord Fawn a franker opinion of the woman he has engaged himself to). The high standard of proof demanded in law (but not only in law) if we are going to accuse others of dishonesty requires it (or Lord Fawn would find it easier to break off his engagement). Decency or common kindness may require it (or Frank Greystock might be more confiding with Lucy Morris about the extent of his cousinly relations with Lizzie).

Moreover, those who garner a reputation for plain truth-speaking in the novel are rarely unambiguously to be admired. Lord Fawn's sister, the aptly named Mrs Hittaway, finds both an unkind pleasure and enhanced prestige within the family, at least in her own eyes, by overriding all doubts and informing Lord Fawn and their mother of Lizzie's true character. That 'dreadful old termagant' (p. 7), Lady Linlithgow, is less dislikeable, but it takes a robust personality to humour her blunt distrust of the motives of all around her. (She is, after all, Lizzie's aunt, so a predisposition to scepticism may be understandable.) Even Lucy Morris, the true heroine of the novel, is at once endearing and (for her friends and some readers) exasperatingly imprudent when she leaps to the defence of Frank's political honesty on hearing it impugned by her employer's son, Lord Fawn. How much more sensible (and not just with Lucy's own comfort in mind) to bite one's tongue.

Lucy is Lizzie's alter ego in the text (she has two, the other being Mrs Carbuncle's niece, Lucinda). This is explicit and, at the level of moral comment and symbolic patterning, heavily underlined. Lucy is 'real stone', Lizzie is 'paste' (p. 474). Lucy, forced to work as a governess, socially insignificant, relatively plain, and poor, looks in many ways like Trollope's reworking of the convention inaugurated by Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre* (1847), only that she is, as all Trollope's romantic heroines are, far sweeter and milder than Jane—determinedly, rather than fiercely, independent. That Lizzie, with all these comparisons working against her, is such a source of pleasure to the reader, and commands reluctant admiration from many in the novel, is a consequence of her combination of quick-wittedness, youth, and complete absence of moral conscience. In sensationalist vein, Trollope makes extensive play, as had Wilkie Collins before him, with women's association, in a certain type of conservative and/or alarmist imagination, with criminal and borderline-criminal forms of deceit: prostitution, acting (often closely associated with prostitution), false appearances. It is a sign, indeed, of Lizzie's suddenly diminishing glamour and persuasiveness, in the last chapters of the novel, that Trollope retracts several of the qualified positives associated with her earlier on: her acting, never perfect but always admired, becomes more markedly stagey; her chastity starts to come under suspicion; and the earlier accent on the naturalness of her beauty gives way to a wry observation that she has begun to 'paint' (that is, to employ cosmetics) (p. 579).

She is starting, in short, to resemble her more worldly 'friend' Mrs Carbuncle. Richard Altick[6] was the first critic to notice that a passing reference to Mrs Carbuncle having, allegedly, been 'made beautiful forever' is an allusion to the advertising slogan of the notorious Mme Rachel, purveyor of fraudulent cosmetics to the rich (and not only the rich) at extortionate prices. Mme Rachel was tried and imprisoned for the first time in 1868, the year before Trollope began writing *The Eustace Diamonds*, providing him with the perfect topical analogy for his deceiving women. (The Rachel story also involves one of the oddest incidents in the afterlife of *The Eustace Diamonds*: the unproven suspicion that Lizzie's behaviour was emulated a few years later by the beautiful young Countess Dudley, who is thought to have arranged for the pretended stealing of her diamonds at Paddington

[6] *The Presence of the Present: Topics of the Day in the Victorian Novel* (Ohio: Ohio University Press, 1991), 544. Trollope defends Mrs Carbuncle against the accusation that she has been a customer of Mme Rachel: the pink blush in her cheeks comes and goes, in a manner cosmetics cannot fake—or it may be that her artistry is such that even this effect is under her control.

Station in 1874 when she had, in fact, given them to Mme Rachel as collateral for cosmetic services.[7])

In literary terms, this is highly conventional territory—the association of women with lies, artifice, painting, and cosmetics, variants on a theme, goes back at least to classical antiquity—but Trollope re-energizes the trope with a peculiar alertness to how oddly precarious its moral effects seem at this moment (the late 1860s and early 1870s) when women were starting to figure far more strongly as agents in public life in their own right, and to enjoy unprecedented, though as yet incomplete, control over their own wealth. Lizzie's story is quite explicitly situated in the context of 'the woman question'. The campaign for equality of status for women under the law is referenced at several points in the novel. It was spearheaded in Parliament by John Stuart Mill, whose bill for female enfranchisement was defeated in 1867; Mill published his great liberal defence of women's rights, *On the Subjection of Women*, in 1869. Mention is also made of public concern about the 400,000 or so 'surplus women' in Britain, revealed by the 1851 census, and understood to be in need of a purpose in life other than marriage (for which they were, by definition, in excess of the country's needs). But perhaps the most pressing contextual frame for Lizzie's story is the Married Women's Property Act of 1870, the first major move in law towards ending the practice of coverture, by which any wages or property a woman earned through her own work belonged in law to her husband. (The 1882 revisiting of the Married Women's Property Act would extend the provision to all of a woman's property, regardless of its source or the time at which it was acquired.)

The figure of the widow in possession of her own income is thus a sign of the future, but she is also a gauge of how little many people looked forward to that future. Lizzie Eustace enjoys a financial independence given to all married women in 1870 for the first time in English law, but until that point available only to widows and single women after the age of legal independence. The practical reality of Lizzie's independence may be doubted: the widow, in Trollope's story, remains closely bound by the expectations of her society that she will wear mourning (of various grades) for a minimum of two years, that she will take shelter with the senior male members of her marital or

[7] See Helen Rappaport, *Beautiful for Ever: Madame Rachel of Bond Street—Cosmetician, Con-Artist and Blackmailer* (Chipping Campden: Long Barn Books, 2010). For the Dudley incident, see pp. 220–3; also 'Lady Dudley's Jewels', *The Times,* Tuesday, 15 Dec. 1874, p. 6, col. f; 'Lady Dudley's Jewels', *The Times,* Friday, 25 Dec. 1874, p. 5, col. c; 'Lord Dudley's Jewels' (Letter to the Editor), *The Times,* Tuesday, 2 Mar. 1875; p. 10, col. f.

birth family, that she will readily yield to advice on how to conduct her affairs. All these expectations Lizzie flamboyantly flouts but she cannot absolutely ignore them, and as her entanglement with the courts and the police grows tighter, she feels with increasing urgency the need of a husband who can advise and shield her.

The imperfectness of the foreseen liberation of women is painfully demonstrated in the story of Lizzie's second double: Lucinda Roanoke. The reviewer for *The Times* (otherwise very praising) thought *The Eustace Diamonds* would have been a better novel without the 'unpleasant episode of . . . Miss Roanoke's broken-off marriage'.[8] In fact the story is much better integrated than this criticism recognizes, but understanding it depends upon the reader knowing that Trollope is deliberately rewriting Walter Scott's celebrated tragedy, *The Bride of Lammermoor* (1819): the story of a girl forced into marriage with a man she does not want, who goes mad on her wedding day and stabs him.[9] This contention is supported by the many references to Scott in the course of *The Eustace Diamonds*; it is hinted at strongly in the similarity of Lucinda's name to that of Scott's heroine, Lucy Ashton, and the setting of key scenes of her story in Scotland (including a scene in which she is very reluctantly rescued from distress by a would-be lover, Sir Griffin Tewett—a severe downgrading of Scott's Edgar Ravenswood); it is more clearly foreshadowed in Lucinda's repeated threats that if forced to marry she will murder her husband; but its primary importance belongs to the crisis of Lucinda's story, her mental breakdown on the point of her forced marriage to the brutish Sir Griffin, a man of her parents' (if they are her parents) not her own choosing.

Mrs Carbuncle, rising early to assist Lucinda in dressing for the wedding, finds her

> up and dressed,—but so dressed as certainly to show no preparation for a wedding-toilet. She had on an ordinary stuff morning frock, and her hair was close tucked up and pinned, as it might have been had she already prepared herself for a journey. But what astonished Mrs Carbuncle more than the dress was the girl's manner. She was sitting at a table with a book before her, which was afterwards found to be the Bible, and she never turned her head as her aunt entered the room. 'What, up already,' said Mrs Carbuncle,—'and dressed?'
>
> 'Yes; I am up,—and dressed. [. . . But] no earthly consideration will induce me to leave this room to-day. [. . .] I'm quite in earnest.'

[8] *The Times*, Wednesday, 30 Oct. 1872, p. 4, cols. d–e.

[9] The connection is noted by P. D. Edwards, *Anthony Trollope: His Art and Scope* (Brighton: The Harvester Press, 1978), 179–80.

And she was in earnest,—quite in earnest, though there was a flightiness about her manner which induced Mrs Carbuncle for a while to think that she was less so than she had been on the previous evening. The unfortunate woman remained with her niece for an hour and a half, imploring, threatening, scolding, and weeping. [. . .] But nothing now could shake Lucinda or induce her even to discuss the subject. She sat there looking steadfastly at the book,—hardly answering, never defending herself, but protesting that nothing should induce her to leave the room on that day. 'Do you want to destroy me?' Mrs Carbuncle said at last.

'You have destroyed me,' said Lucinda. (p. 510)

Verbally, the echoes of Scott are close: 'afterwards found to be the Bible' is a direct reworking of a locution Scott employs repeatedly to foreshadow his tragedy or indicate its later effects; the 'unfortunate woman' recasts Scott's famous description of the 'unfortunate girl' in her insanity;[10] the posture of Lucinda, at once defiant and broken (so that defiance becomes an impossible gesture, or a madness), is the same.

But much has also been changed. It is Mrs Carbuncle, not Lucinda, who is 'unfortunate' here. The official pronouncement that Lucinda is mad comes later, not now (though it is clearly enough drawn). If Lucy Morris is a sweetened version of Jane Eyre, Lucinda is a very much less sweet version of Lucy Ashton—an angry, minimally yielding pawn in her aunt-mother's hands. On the other hand there is no 'mopping and mowing',[11] no bloody hands or gibbering frenzy. Her position is plainly illegitimate in both the legal and moral senses, but where Scott used the legal impotence of his heroine as the frame for a reflection on the impossibility of reconciling two orders of political power in Scotland (the old power of the Highland families, and the new power of Lowland money), Trollope makes Lucinda a much less Romantic and (as *The Times* reviewer found) more uncomfortable figure of exploitation. Unlike Lucy Ashton, Lucinda is not in love with another man, unacceptable to her guardians. She loathes all men—suspects them all of wanting only to possess her beauty. Some of the most dramatically effective scenes in the novel involve her reckless riding to hounds, taking extreme risks with a body and a life she has little care for, given that she knows they must be disposed of against her will to ensure her financial security. Lizzie tries to compete with her in the hunting field, but even she, so morally cavalier, draws back from the heedlessness of

[10] *The Bride of Lammermoor*, ed. with introd. and notes by Fiona Robertson, World's Classics edn. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991), 337.

[11] Ibid. 4.

injury or death that she sees in Lucinda, and recoils from the mercenary determination (is it a warped love?) of Mrs Carbuncle.

In this larger context of the political extension of women's legal liberties, and yet the very uncertain condition of their actual liberties, Lizzie's machinations to retain possession of the Eustace family necklace rapidly come to seem more widely symbolic. More than one critic has seen in *The Eustace Diamonds* an unstably comic critique not just of the financially independent woman of the future but of the legitimacy or otherwise of inherited power more broadly as symbolized in property handed down from generation to generation of men. The instability of the critique (a matter of fascination, rather than a flaw in the novel) arises because Trollope seems so divided about the attractions of his own creation in Lizzie—veering between castigation and applause as she makes a mockery of the law. 'At the centre of [this] intricate story about gender and property', writes Aviva Briefel, 'is the tautological or fake crime.'[12] To put it simply: a woman cannot steal property because she is herself property (a 'jewel' for her husband's keeping; or, if she has no husband, a jewel on the market). And the analogy goes further. One of the most striking things about the diamonds is, as Andrew H. Miller has noted, how under-described they are. They are palpably material things—misappropriated, mishandled (Lizzie cavalierly tosses them to Frank at one point), brazenly worn by her in public at the height of the ownership dispute, and finally of course stolen ('twice')—but they are of remarkably little interest as objects of beauty. '[A] circle of stones . . . with a Maltese cross appended to it' and a clasp (p. 79), is all Trollope tells us. Like female beauty itself, and like the power of wealth, the desirability of the Eustace diamonds is understood to reside primarily in the observer's conviction that their value is genuine, not 'cosmetic' or 'paste'.

This symbolic exploration of the legitimacy of inherited status and power is only the first of many ways in which *The Eustace Diamonds* is a much more political novel than its reputation as the outlier in the Palliser series might suggest. The political terrain covered by the novel is broader than the woman question and, in keeping with Trollope's career-long fascination with how politics interacts with, even saturates, wider public life, involves many of the most pressing matters of political concern in and around the period from 1865, when the main action of

[12] 'Tautological Crimes: Why Women Can't Steal Jewels', *Novel: A Forum on Fiction*, 37 (2003), 135–57 (139).

the novel begins, to 1870, when he completed writing it.[13] Trollope is often portrayed as socially conservative—more often than not on the basis of his gender politics—but in party-political and intellectual terms he was a staunch liberal. He stood, unsuccessfully, for the Yorkshire seat of Beverley in the 1868 General Election (the Tories bought votes so flagrantly that the borough was disfranchised not long after); he was also a founder and active board member of one of the most influential liberal periodicals of the later Victorian period, the *Fortnightly Review*, in which *The Eustace Diamonds* was serialized between July 1871 and February 1873. He was not, however, a liberal ideologue, and he lacked entirely the buoyant commitment to the idea of 'progress' that marked so much liberal writing in these years, including some of the writing in the *Fortnightly Review*.

One prominent strand of national political concern in *The Eustace Diamonds*, for example, is Irish republicanism and the back-history of Irish discontent with English rule—particularly as brought to public attention in the abortive Fenian uprising of 1867 and the Clerkenwell bombing of December that same year. This was a topic of special interest to Trollope who for most of the period 1841 to 1860 had lived in King's County (now Co. Offaly) Ireland, working as a deputy inspector of country post offices, beginning his literary career, marrying an Irishwoman, starting a family, and taking up hunting to hounds—a sport he loved (as any reader of *The Eustace Diamonds* will gauge) with a passion unfathomable even to himself.[14] He had travelled extensively in the country, and his affection for it ran very deep—not least because in moving there he had left behind a sadly underloved childhood, scarred by a bullying and financially feckless father, and a miserable experience of public school, to find for the first time a measure of happiness.

The nature of his engagement with the Irish republican cause in *The Eustace Diamonds* is characteristic of his acute eye for the failure of England to grapple with its mistakes as an imperial power. But it is characteristic also of his peculiar tendency to identify significant conflicts of interest between people or groups in his narratives, only to allow those conflicts either to dissipate or to rumble along, unresolved but not permitted to issue in serious crisis. In *Phineas Finn*, Trollope had shown himself at once a sceptical observer and a fond admirer of that aspect of the English national character which, as he saw it, made

[13] On the productive looseness of historical setting in the Palliser novels, see the Appendix, p. 588.

[14] *Autobiography*, 64.

all conflicts in the political arena essentially superficial: matters for the debating chamber, but not for the streets.[15] In *The Eustace Diamonds* the tone is less self-congratulatory about the merits of Englishness. So, in the case of Anglo-Irish politics, Trollope shows himself no Fenian, but he is a sharp commentator on the continuing dishonesty of England's conduct in its caretaker relationship to the Irish part of its 'union'.

The sharpness is most apparent in the presentation of the hapless Lord Fawn, who, though painfully concerned to do what is right in the public eye, pursues the wealthy young widow Lizzie for transparently mercenary reasons. His father, he explains to her, was 'an Irish peer' until given an English title under Lord Melbourne. Evidently, the late Lord Fawn was among the many English holders of Irish estates who made no serious investment in their land, and did nothing to assist the condition of the peasantry: 'There was a house,' his son explains, insensitive to the political implications of what he is saying: 'but my father allowed it to tumble down. It's in Tipperary [one of the few counties that experienced direct conflict in the 1867 Fenian rebellion];—not at all a desirable country to live in.' His response to Lizzie's vaguely alarmist query, 'Oh, dear, no! Don't they murder the people?', is to blank the political observation and give her a statement of his income from the property: 'about five thousand a year' (p. 63). The 'Fenian question' makes another appearance later in the novel, when the swirl of rumour around Lord George de Bruce Carruthers is said to stretch to a suspicion, among 'ignorant young men about London', that he is 'the grand centre [the secret leader] of the British Fenians' (p. 270). Lord George's character as a shady man about town and a supposed 'Radical' is being amplified, and satirized, here; but so is the ready move in the public imagination from liberalism, to radicalism, to violent republicanism—at the expense of any substantive engagement with the political realities that might lead a man to one rather than another of those possible allegiances.

Three further political issues have attracted particular attention from scholars writing about *The Eustace Diamonds* over the years: its engagement with Britain's treatment of its colonial territories in India in the decade and more following the Indian Mutiny of 1857; its reflections on the law; and its representations of Jewishness. All three topics are integral to Trollope's probing of the social and

[15] For more extended discussion, see Helen Small, 'On Conflict', in Dinah Birch and Mark Llewellyn (eds.), *Conflict and Difference in Nineteenth-Century Literature* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010), 14–32.

political pervasiveness of lying, and they are to a degree interrelated. On the question of Britain's relations with its Indian dependencies, Lord Fawn is once again central, and inadequate, to the story. Specifically, Trollope takes up the question of whether the British government was, in the 1860s, looking to renege on its promise to 'respect the rights, dignity, and honour of the native princes as our own', by annexing Mysore upon the death of the Rajah (he died in 1867). Signs that an annexation was being proposed had prompted fierce criticism in liberal circles in the mid-1860s (John Morley, future editor of the *Fortnightly Review*, contributed a stringent article on the subject to the September 1866 issue). Lauren Goodlad has argued that the very gestural nature of the novel's interest in the Sawab's case (which matters primarily here as a spark to hostile relations, in and out of Parliament, between Lord Fawn and Frank Greystock) should lead readers to see in it 'not a particular disagreement about a claim or treaty', but Trollope's critique of a system of government in which the complicated bureaucracy of administration has come to obscure the difference between what is right and what is administratively and economically expedient. The pervasive boredom with which public servants, and most of the British people, respond to the rightness or wrongness of the Sawab's case has, she claims, taken the place of what might otherwise be an honest debate about the justice or otherwise of British claims to ownership and sovereignty.[16]

Does Trollope's depiction of the law indicate a similar pattern of elaborate procedural argument obliterating any clear statements of justice? The novel offers a broad analogy between the two cases (and with the Irish situation too), since Lizzie's retention of the Eustace family necklace, though very many people intuitively know it to be dishonest, is oddly difficult to prove so. The passages of the novel in which the dogged Eustace family lawyer, Mr Camperdown, pursues every legal route open to him against Lizzie are finely turned satires on the dry density of legal argument. Camperdown rebels against the prospect of watching 'so gross a robbery perpetrated by a little minx, under his very eyes, without interfering with the plunder' (p. 574), and yet the law offers him very little assistance. The high point of the legal satire is the expert opinion solicited by him from Mr Dove on whether a diamond necklace is an heirloom, or must be considered 'paraphernalia' and therefore within the category of property that a

[16] Lauren Goodlad, '*The Eustace Diamonds* and the Great Parliamentary Bore', in Regenia Gagnier and Deborah Morse (eds.), *The Politics of Gender in Anthony Trollope's Novels* (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2009), 114.

widow may legally claim as her own if gifted to her by her husband. (Trollope had the opinion drafted for him by his friend Charles Merewether, MP for Northampton, and though subsequently contested it has earned the novel a particularly affectionate readership among lawyers.[17])

Part of Mr Camperdown's difficulty in bringing the law to bear on Lizzie arises from the fact that the person with the clearest material interest in the diamonds, Sir Florian's brother John Eustace, is frankly disinclined to pursue the family interest. 'D—— the necklace!', is his response when it becomes clear that Lizzie intends to hang on to it, in defiance of the Eustace family lawyer. The bishop who overhears him protests, and not just (one can suspect) on the grounds of linguistic propriety: '"John," said the prelate, "whatever is to become of the bauble, you might express your opinion in more sensible language." "I beg your lordship's pardon," said John, "I only mean to say that I think we shouldn't trouble ourselves about a few stones"' (p. 18). From one perspective this may be disengagement or 'boredom' in the face of the arcane and expensive processes of the law on a par with Goodlad's description of Victorian responses to parliamentary and administrative bureaucracy. But from another, were his part in this story larger, one might be tempted to call John Eustace the story's true moral hero, on the grounds that he is so consistently disinclined to pursue his own interest in a society driven so very largely by self-interest. Unless sold (in which case, Eustace observes, they could be replaced eventually) the jewels will in any case revert to the family when Lizzie's property passes down to her son (that invisible infant, carelessly left behind in Scotland for much of the narrative, and remembered only when Lizzie has a pressing need to hide behind the nursery door).

Then again, John Eustace is so irrationally cavalier about a necklace supposed to be worth £10,000 (approaching half a million pounds in today's money) that one can sympathize with Mr Camperdown's exasperated view that he has 'no backbone, no spirit, no proper feeling as to his own family' (p. 206). John Eustace, nevertheless, gives Lizzie her warmest testimonial in the novel. After watching her face down a formidable inquisition from Mr Camperdown, despite their common knowledge that she has been guilty of perjury, he gives his verdict:

[17] See Alan Roth, '"He Thought He Was Right (But Wasn't)": Property Law in Anthony Trollope's *The Eustace Diamonds*', *Stanford Law Review*, 44 (Apr. 1992), 879–97, which argues that Merewether, and Trollope, 'got the heirloom issue right but the paraphernalia and *inter vivos* issues wrong' (897).

'"She is a very great woman," said John Eustace,—"a very great woman; and, if the sex could have its rights, would make an excellent lawyer"' (p. 531).

Of all the political strands of the novel, those involving explicit consideration of the role of Jews in English cultural and political life are likely to be the most discomfiting to modern readers. As with the woman question, the history here is one of gradual advancement but also considerable resistance, and the extent of Trollope's liberalism is a matter for doubt. The potency of anti-Jewish prejudice in England in the 1860s was in some quarters enhanced rather than allayed by the Jewish Emancipation Act of 1858 which finally allowed Jews to sit in Parliament, and by Disraeli's accession to the premiership in 1868. It would be difficult to read Trollope's comic plotting of Lizzie's relations with the scurrilous firm of 'Jew jewellers' Messrs Harter and Benjamin (p. 399), or the characterization of the oleaginous Revd Joseph Emilius, reportedly a Hungarian-born Jew whose 'name in his own country had been Mealyus' (p. 266), without finding room to worry over the degree and purpose of satire in these instances. Critics are commonly driven to defend what is uncomfortable about Trollope's dealing in anti-Semitism in this book (as in several of his novels) by stating the obvious: that he was a man of his time, and shared the prejudices of the time. But if the final fate of the Eustace Diamonds is not favourable to any other view, there is at least room to ponder Lizzie's future, married to Emilius, and (at the outset) remarkably free of prejudice on her side. If he is a man to match her for self-interest, and for too easy eloquence, the reverse is also true: the stereotypical Jew finds his match in the daughter of an English Admiral and niece of the Dean of Bobsborough.[18]

The joke topic, among so many serious causes of significant social and political unrest, is Plantaganet Palliser's effort to bring in a bill for the decimalization of the currency: a topic that was in effect politically dead for several decades after the failure of the 1857–9 Royal Commission to effect such a change. Even here, however, Trollope's novel is consistent in its depiction of a culture where value is not fixed in politics any more than in the marketplace or in the reputation of women. Palliser labours, with a painfully inflated sense of the importance of his work, over the question of whether it is or is not right to take the farthing away from its original meaning 'one fourth', by creating five farthings to the penny, and ten pence to the shilling. It is a labour

[18] But see *The Prime Minister* for the subsequent history of this marriage.

of great earnestness, but of slim importance to anyone outside Whitehall, as Lady Glencora's irreverence makes clear.

Nevertheless, it is on the terrain of Palliser's fear lest he debase the language that Trollope's novel creates some of its subtlest effects. Lizzie's voice is a debased kind of voice: one of her dubious attractions is that she has a youthful love of, but very approximate way with, poetry, so that her speech is often an impressionistic blur of ill-remembered allusions and stale cliché (Byron and Shelley are her stated preferences, but the effect is more sub-Laetitia Landon: parlour poetry of a mildly hectic sentimental kind). When he is not writing in Lizzie's voice, Trollope's own prose is also, but rather more accurately, seamed with allusion: Shakespeare, Dryden, the Bible (especially Ecclesiastes, Isaiah, Proverbs), the Book of Common Prayer, Scott, and not a little Tennyson. At times one has the sense of a cultured narrator, somewhere out of sight, striving to keep the barbarians (Lizzie and her 'friends') at bay. But the novel is grittier and very much funnier when, in satiric mode, it mimics for the reader the kinds of speech produced by a near-general lowering of the standard for truth: the systematic downgrading of serious terms to non-serious ones (so that a lie becomes a 'fib', a diamond necklace a 'trinket', a fiancée a 'chit'); the peddling, even to oneself, of dubious truths ('to have told Lady Eustace that any word spoken by her was a lie, would have been a worse crime than the lie, itself'—Lord Fawn (p. 213); 'No doubt her special treatment of him was flattery. . . . But, after all, flattery is agreeable. That she did like him better than anybody else was probable'—Frank Greystock (p. 179)). It is fitting that in such a novel the work of decoding lies and exposing inconvenient truths is, more often than not, left to the criminals and the dodgy 'men about town': to the paid grass, Billy Cann, delivering up the whereabouts of the thieves to the police for the hefty sum of five sovereigns; and to Lord George de Bruce Carruthers, turning up his nose at the trousseau Mrs Carbuncle has painstakingly put together and translating 'finest Etruscan vase' into 'made in England' (p. 503).

NOTE ON THE TEXT

TROLLOPE wrote *The Eustace Diamonds* between 4 December 1869 and 25 August 1870. Serialization in the *Fortnightly Review* (published monthly) began on 1 July 1871. There were twenty instalments, each of four chapters, the last appearing on 1 February 1873. In keeping with the format of the magazine, there were no illustrations.

As was customary, the three-volume book edition was published before the serial was concluded in order to catch the Christmas sales in December 1872. It is, however, dated 1873. An American edition published in one volume by Harper of New York had appeared in October 1872.

The text used in this edition is that established for the Oxford Trollope, first published under the general editorship of Michael Sadleir and Frederick Page in 1950, with a few obvious errors silently corrected.

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

Life and Letters

Trollope, Anthony, *Autobiography*, ed. Michael Sadleir and Frederick Page, with introd. and notes by P. D. Edwards, World's Classics edn. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999; reissued 2008).

—— *The Letters of Anthony Trollope*, ed. N. John Hall, 2 vols. (Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1983).

Trollope has been well served by his biographers. Any of the following is worth reading, though Hall comes closest to being the 'standard' biography:

Glendinning, Victoria, *Trollope* (London: Hutchinson, 1992).

Hall, N. John, *Trollope: A Biography* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1991).

Mullen, Richard, *Anthony Trollope: A Victorian in His World* (London: Duckworth, 1990).

Super, R. H., *The Chronicler of Barsetshire: A Life of Anthony Trollop*e (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1988).

Trollope in General and The Eustace Diamonds

Amir, Zubair S., ' "So Delightful a Plot": Lies, Gossip, and the Narration of Social Advancement in *The Eustace Diamonds*', *Victorian Literature and Culture*, 36 (2008), 187–204.

apRoberts, Ruth, *Trollope: Artist and Moralist* (London: Chatto and Windus, 1971).

Ben-Yishai, Ayelet, 'The Fact of a Rumor: Anthony Trollope's *The Eustace Diamonds*', *Nineteenth-Century Literature*, 62 (2007), 88–120.

Briefel, Aviva, 'Tautological Crimes: Why Women Can't Steal Jewels', *Novel: A Forum on Fiction*, 37 (2003), 135–57.

Edwards, P. D., *Anthony Trollope: His Art and Scope* (Brighton: The Harvester Press, 1978).

Felber, Lynette, 'The Advanced Conservative Liberal: Victorian Liberalism and the Aesthetics of Anthony Trollope's Palliser Novels', *Modern Philology*, 107/3 (2010), 421–46.

Goodlad, Lauren M. E., '*The Eustace Diamonds* and the Great Parliamentary Bore', in Regenia Gagnier and Deborah Morse, *The Politics of Gender in Anthony Trollope's Novels* (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2009).

Halperin, John, *Trollope and Politics: A Study of the Pallisers and Others* (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1977).

Herbert, Christopher, *Trollope and Comic Pleasure* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987).

Kincaid, James R., *The Novels of Anthony Trollope* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1977).

Lansbury, Carol, *The Reasonable Man: Trollope's Legal Fiction* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981).

Lindner, Christoph, *Fictions of Commodity Culture: From the Victorian to the Post-Modern* (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2003).

McMaster, Juliet, *Trollope's Palliser Novels: Theme and Pattern* (London: Macmillan, 1978).

McMaster, R. D., *Trollope and the Law* (London: Macmillan, 1986).

Markwick, Margaret, *Trollope and Women* (London: Hambledon Press, 1997).

Miller, Andrew, *Novels behind Glass: Commodity Culture and Victorian Narrative* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995).

Nardin, Jane, *He Knew She Was Right: The Independent Woman in the Novels of Anthony Trollope* (Carbondale, Ill.: Southern Illinois Press, 1989).

Plotz, John, *Portable Property: Victorian Culture on the Move* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2008).

Psomiades, Kathy, 'Heterosexual Exchange and Other Victorian Fictions: The Eustace Diamonds and Victorian Anthropology', *Novel*, 33/1 (1999), 93–118.

Roth, Alan, '"He Thought He Was Right (But Wasn't)": Property Law in Anthony Trollope's *The Eustace Diamonds*', *Stanford Law Review*, 44 (1992), 879–97.

Skilton, David, *Anthony Trollope and His Contemporaries: A Study in the Theory and Conventions of Mid-Victorian Fiction* (London: Longman, 1972; reissued with alterations, 1996).

Smalley, Donald (ed.), *Trollope: The Critical Heritage* (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1969).

Terry, R. C. (ed.), *The Oxford Reader's Companion to Trollope* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998).

Useful websites

http://www.trollopesociety.org/
http://www.trollope.org/

A CHRONOLOGY OF ANTHONY TROLLOPE

(Selected publications are noted here only; volume publication is given in all cases.)

	Life	*Historical and Cultural Background*
1815	(24 April) AT born in London.	Battle of Waterloo and final defeat of Napoleon.
1823	Enters Harrow Boys' School.	Monroe doctrine formulated to protect American interests in relation to Europe.
1825	At school in Sunbury.	Stockton and Darlington Railway, first public railway, opens.
1827	Admitted to Winchester College.	
1830	Back at home in poverty then to Harrow School again.	Accession of William IV in Britain; in France, rioting sees overthrow of the Bourbons and accession of Louis Philippe.
1832		First Reform Act increases electorate to *c*.700,000 men.
1834	Trollope family flee creditors to Bruges; AT takes up clerkship at London Post Office; a period of poverty and an unpromising start to work.	'New Poor Law'; Tolpuddle martyrs (early example of, in effect, trade union membership).
1839		First commercial telegraph in UK.
1841	After much misery, AT is offered the post of deputy postal surveyor's clerk at Banagher, King's county, Ireland; begins to hunt.	British occupation of Hong Kong; Robert Peel becomes Prime Minister.
1843	Begins *The Macdermots of Ballycloran.*	Wordsworth becomes Poet Laureate.
1844	Marries Rose Heseltine from Rotherham (d. 1917).	Factory Act shortens working day, increases minimum hours of schooling.
1845		Great Famine begins in Ireland (–1850).
1846	Henry Merivale Trollope born (d. 1926).	Repeal of Corn Laws, major achievement for free trade; Lord John Russell becomes Prime Minister.
1847	Frederick James Anthony Trollope born (d. 1910); *The Macdermots of Ballycloran.*	Ten Hours Factory Act (cuts working day to 10 hours for women and children).

	Life	*Historical and Cultural Background*
1848	*The Kellys and the O'Kellys.*	European revolutions; second Chartist petition.
1850	*La Vendée*, a failure.	Tennyson becomes Poet Laureate; restoration of Catholic ecclesiastical hierarchy.
1851	Working for the Post Office in England.	Great Exhibition, evidence of British dominance in trade.
1852	Suggests the new pillar box for post on the Channel Islands.	Opening of new Palace of Westminster; Earl of Derby becomes Prime Minister followed by Earl of Aberdeen.
1853		Crimean War (–1856).
1854	Post Office surveyor for the north of Ireland: family in Donnybrook.	
1855	*The Warden*, first of the 'Chronicles of Barsetshire'; thereafter, he sets himself writing targets (usually 10,000 words a week).	Abolition of final newspaper tax leads to growth of new journalism and newspaper titles; Palmerston becomes Prime Minister.
1857	*Barchester Towers*, a success.	Indian Mutiny; Matrimonial Causes Act extends availability of divorce.
1858	*Doctor Thorne* (Barsetshire).	Jewish Disabilities Act; abolition of property qualification for MPs; Earl of Derby is Prime Minister, then Palmerston again.
1860	Beginning of instalments of *Framley Parsonage*; moves to London as Post Office surveyor; meets Kate Field, an American woman, in Florence, with whom he forms a strong attachment.	Wilberforce–Huxley debate on evolution.
1861		American Civil War begins.
1862	Elected to Garrick Club.	London Exposition; Lincoln's Emancipation proclamation.
1864	Elected to the Athenaeum. *The Small House at Allington* (Barsetshire, but introduces Plantagenet and Glencora Palliser).	
1864–5	*Can You Forgive Her?* (first of the Palliser novels; vol. 1, Sept. 1864; vol. 2, July 1865).	

	Life	*Historical and Cultural Background*
1865		Abolition of slavery in North America; Earl Russell becomes Prime Minister.
1866		Success with commercial transatlantic cable; Earl of Derby becomes Prime Minister.
1867	*The Last Chronicle of Barset*. Resigns from the Post Office to edit *Saint Pauls: A Monthly Magazine* with illustrations by Millais.	Second Reform Act (further extension of franchise to about 2 million electors).
1868	Defeated as Liberal candidate for Beverley in the General Election.	Trades Union Congress formed; Disraeli becomes Prime Minister followed by Gladstone.
1869	*He Knew He Was Right*; *Phineas Finn* (Palliser).	Suez Canal opened; first issue of *Nature.*
1870	*The Vicar of Bullhampton.*	Forster's Education Act, widely extending provision of primary education; first Married Women's Property Act, granting married women the right to their own earnings and to inherit property in their own name.
1871	Travels in Australasia.	Paris Commune; legalization of Trade Unions.
1872	*The Eustace Diamonds* (Palliser).	
1873	*Phineas Redux* (Palliser); *Australia and New Zealand*.	Financial crisis begins US Long Depression.
1874		First Impressionist Exhibition (Paris); Disraeli becomes Prime Minister.
1875	*The Way We Live Now*.	Third Republic in France; Theosophical Society founded.
1876	*The Prime Minister* (Palliser).	The telephone patented.
1877	*The American Senator.*	
1878	*Is He Popenjoy?*; *South Africa.*	Exposition Universelle (Paris), including arts and machinery.
1879	*Thackeray* in the English Men of Letters series.	
1880	Moves to South Harting, Sussex. *The Duke's Children* (Palliser); *Life of Cicero.*	First Anglo-Boer War (–1881); Gladstone becomes Prime Minister.
1881	*Dr Wortle's School.*	Assassination of US President James Garfield.

	Life	*Historical and Cultural Background*
1882	*Lord Palmerston*; *The Fixed Period*, a futuristic novel. Suffers a stroke; (6 Dec.), dies in nursing home. Buried in Kensal Green (his grave reads: 'He was a loving husband, a loving father, and a true friend'); leaves estate worth £25,892 19*s.* 3*d.*	Phoenix Park Murders; Egypt now British protectorate; second Married Women's Property Act allowing married women to own and control their own property.
1883	*Mr Scarborough's Family*; *An Autobiography.*	Death of Richard Wagner.
1887	Publication of AT's brother, Thomas Adolphus Trollope's *What I Remember*, with alternative account of the family upbringing.	

THE EUSTACE DIAMONDS

This page intentionally left blank

CONTENTS

VOLUME I

1. Lizzie Greystock 7
2. Lady Eustace 14
3. Lucy Morris 21
4. Frank Greystock 28
5. The Eustace Necklace 35
6. Lady Linlithgow's Mission 45
7. Mr Burke's Speeches 52
8. The Conquering Hero Comes 59
9. Showing what the Miss Fawns said, and what Mrs Hittaway thought 65
10. Lizzie and her Lover 75
11. Lord Fawn at his Office 85
12. 'I only thought of it' 90
13. Showing what Frank Greystock did 95
14. 'Doan't thou marry for munny' 103
15. 'I'll give you a Hundred Guinea Brooch' 111
16. Certainly an Heirloom 120
17. The Diamonds are seen in Public 126
18. 'And I have nothing to give' 133
19. 'As my Brother' 141
20. The Diamonds become Troublesome 150
21. 'Ianthe's Soul' 155
22. Lady Eustace Procures a Pony for the use of her Cousin 164
23. Frank Greystock's First Visit to Portray 169
24. Showing what Frank Greystock Thought about Marriage 178
25. Mr Dove's Opinion 184
26. Mr Gowran is Very Funny 189

VOLUME II

27. Lucy Morris Misbehaves 199
28. Mr Dove in his Chambers 203
29. 'I had better go away' 213
30. Mr Greystock's Troubles 220
31. Frank Greystock's Second Visit to Portray 228
32. Mr and Mrs Hittaway in Scotland 238
33. 'It won't be true' 242
34. Lady Linlithgow at Home 250
35. Too Bad for Sympathy 257
36. Lizzie's Guests 265
37. Lizzie's First Day 271
38. Nappie's Grey Horse 281
39. Sir Griffin takes an Unfair Advantage 293
40. 'You are not angry?' 296
41. 'Likewise the bears in couples agree' 300
42. Sunday Morning 308
43. Life at Portray 312
44. A Midnight Adventure 320
45. The Journey to London 329
46. Lucy Morris in Brook Street 335
47. Matching Priory 345
48. Lizzie's Condition 353
49. Bunfit and Gager 357
50. In Hertford Street 365
51. Confidence 372
52. Mrs Carbuncle Goes to the Theatre 377
53. Lizzie's Sick-Room 385

VOLUME III

54. 'I suppose I may say a word' 395
55. Quints or Semitenths 402
56. Job's Comforters 406

57. Humpty Dumpty 414
58. The 'Fiddle with One String' 423
59. Mr Gowran up in London 427
60. 'Let it be as though it had never been' 434
61. Lizzie's Great Friend 443
62. 'You know where my heart is' 455
63. The Corsair is Afraid 461
64. Lizzie's Last Scheme 468
65. Tribute 472
66. The Aspirations of Mr Emilius 480
67. The Eye of the Public 487
68. The Major 494
69. 'I cannot do it' 502
70. Alas! 512
71. Lizzie is threatened with the Treadmill 517
72. Lizzie Triumphs 525
73. Lizzie's Last Lover 531
74. Lizzie at the Police-court 542
75. Lord George gives his Reasons 546
76. Lizzie returns to Scotland 551
77. The Story of Lucy Morris is Concluded 561
78. The Trial 569
79. Once More at Portray 576
80. What was Said about it All at Matching 583

This page intentionally left blank

VOLUME I

CHAPTER 1

LIZZIE GREYSTOCK

It was admitted by all her friends, and also by her enemies,—who were in truth the more numerous and active body of the two,—that Lizzie Greystock had done very well with herself. We will tell the story of Lizzie Greystock from the beginning, but we will not dwell over it at great length, as we might do if we loved her. She was the only child of old Admiral Greystock, who in the latter years of his life was much perplexed by the possession of a daughter. The admiral was a man who liked whist, wine,—and wickedness in general we may perhaps say, and whose ambition it was to live every day of his life up to the end of it. People say that he succeeded, and that the whist, wine, and wickedness were there, at the side even of his dying bed. He had no particular fortune, and yet his daughter, when she was little more than a child, went about everywhere with jewels on her fingers, and red gems hanging round her neck, and yellow gems pendent from her ears, and white gems shining in her black hair. She was hardly nineteen when her father died and she was taken home by that dreadful old termagant, her aunt, Lady Linlithgow.* Lizzie would have sooner gone to any other friend or relative, had there been any other friend or relative to take her possessed of a house in town. Her uncle, Dean Greystock, of Bobsborough,* would have had her, and a more good-natured old soul than the dean's wife did not exist,—and there were three pleasant, good-tempered girls in the deanery who had made various little efforts at friendship with their cousin Lizzie; but Lizzie had higher ideas for herself than life in the deanery at Bobsborough. She hated Lady Linlithgow. During her father's lifetime, when she hoped to be able to settle herself before his death, she was not in the habit of concealing her hatred for Lady Linlithgow. Lady Linlithgow was not indeed amiable or easily managed. But when the admiral died, Lizzie did not hesitate for a moment in going to the old 'vulturess,' as she was in the habit of calling the countess in her occasional correspondence with the girls at Bobsborough.

The admiral died greatly in debt;—so much so that it was a marvel how tradesmen had trusted him. There was literally nothing left for

anybody,—and Messrs Harter and Benjamin of Old Bond Street* condescended to call at Lady Linlithgow's house in Brook Street,* and to beg that the jewels supplied during the last twelve months might be returned. Lizzie protested that there were no jewels,—nothing to signify, nothing worth restoring. Lady Linlithgow had seen the diamonds, and demanded an explanation. They had been 'parted with,' by the admiral's orders,—so said Lizzie,—for the payment of other debts. Of this Lady Linlithgow did not believe a word, but she could not get at any exact truth. At that moment the jewels were in very truth pawned for money which had been necessary for Lizzie's needs. Certain things must be paid for,—one's own maid for instance; and one must have some money in one's pocket for railway-trains and little nicknacks which cannot be had on credit. Lizzie when she was nineteen knew how to do without money as well as most girls; but there were calls which she could not withstand, debts which even she must pay.

She did not, however, drop her acquaintance with Messrs Harter and Benjamin. Before her father had been dead eight months, she was closeted with Mr Benjamin, transacting a little business with him. She had come to him, she told him, the moment she was of age, and was willing to make herself responsible for the debt, signing any bill, note, or document which the firm might demand from her, to that effect. Of course she had nothing of her own, and never would have anything. That Mr Benjamin knew. As for payment of the debt by Lady Linlithgow, who for a countess was as poor as Job, Mr Benjamin, she was quite sure, did not expect anything of the kind. But—— Then Lizzie paused, and Mr Benjamin, with the sweetest and wittiest of smiles, suggested that perhaps Miss Greystock was going to be married. Lizzie, with a pretty maiden blush, admitted that such a catastrophe was probable. She had been asked in marriage by Sir Florian Eustace. Now Mr Benjamin knew, as all the world knew, that Sir Florian Eustace was a very rich man indeed; a man in no degree embarrassed, and who could pay any amount of jewellers' bills for which claim might be made upon him. Well; what did Miss Greystock want? Mr Benjamin did not suppose that Miss Greystock was actuated simply by a desire to have her old bills paid by her future husband. Miss Greystock wanted a loan sufficient to take the jewels out of pawn. She would then make herself responsible for the full amount due. Mr Benjamin said that he would make a few inquiries. 'But you won't betray me,' said Lizzie, 'for the match might be off.' Mr Benjamin promised to be more than cautious.

There was not so much of falsehood as might have been expected in the statement which Lizzie Greystock made to the jeweller. It was not true that she was of age, and therefore no future husband would be legally liable for any debt which she might then contract. And it was not true that Sir Florian Eustace had asked her in marriage. Those two little blemishes in her statement must be admitted. But it was true that Sir Florian was at her feet; and that by a proper use of her various charms,—the pawned jewels included,—she might bring him to an offer. Mr Benjamin made his inquiries, and acceded to the proposal. He did not tell Miss Greystock that she had lied to him in that matter of her age, though he had discovered the lie. Sir Florian would no doubt pay the bill for his wife without any arguments as to the legality of the claim. From such information as Mr Benjamin could acquire, he thought that there would be a marriage, and that the speculation was on the whole in his favour. Lizzie recovered her jewels and Mr Benjamin was in possession of a promissory note purporting to have been executed by a person who was no longer a minor. The jeweller was ultimately successful in his views,—and so was the lady.

Lady Linlithgow saw the jewels come back, one by one, ring added to ring on the little taper fingers, the rubies for the neck, and the pendent yellow earrings. Though Lizzie was in mourning for her father, still these things were allowed to be visible. The countess was not the woman to see them without inquiry, and she inquired vigorously. She threatened, stormed, and protested. She attempted even a raid upon the young lady's jewel-box. But she was not successful. Lizzie snapped and snarled and held her own,—for at that time the match with Sir Florian was near its accomplishment, and the countess understood too well the value of such a disposition of her niece to risk it at the moment by any open rupture. The little house in Brook Street,—for the house was very small and very comfortless,—a house that had been squeezed in, as it were, between two others without any fitting space for it,—did not contain a happy family. One bedroom, and that the biggest, was appropriated to the Earl of Linlithgow, the son of the countess, a young man who passed perhaps five nights in town during the year. Other inmate there was none besides the aunt and the niece and the four servants,—of whom one was Lizzie's own maid. Why should such a countess have troubled herself with the custody of such a niece? Simply because the countess regarded it as a duty. Lady Linlithgow was worldly, stingy, ill-tempered, selfish, and mean. Lady Linlithgow would cheat a butcher out of a mutton-chop, or a cook out of a month's wages, if she could do so with some slant of legal wind in

her favour. She would tell any number of lies to carry a point in what she believed to be social success. It was said of her that she cheated at cards. In backbiting no venomous old woman between Bond Street and Park Lane could beat her,—or, more wonderful still, no venomous old man at the clubs. But nevertheless she recognised certain duties,—and performed them, though she hated them. She went to church, not merely that people might see her there,—as to which in truth she cared nothing,—but because she thought it was right. And she took in Lizzie Greystock, whom she hated almost as much as she did sermons, because the admiral's wife had been her sister, and she recognised a duty. But, having thus bound herself to Lizzie,—who was a beauty,—of course it became the first object of her life to get rid of Lizzie by a marriage. And, though she would have liked to think that Lizzie would be tormented all her days, though she thoroughly believed that Lizzie deserved to be tormented, she set her heart upon a splendid match. She would at any rate be able to throw it daily in her niece's teeth that the splendour was of her doing. Now a marriage with Sir Florian Eustace would be very splendid, and therefore she was unable to go into the matter of the jewels with that rigour which in other circumstances she would certainly have displayed.

The match with Sir Florian Eustace,—for a match it came to be,—was certainly very splendid. Sir Florian was a young man about eight-and-twenty, very handsome, of immense wealth, quite unencumbered, moving in the best circles, popular, so far prudent that he never risked his fortune on the turf or in gambling-houses, with the reputation of a gallant soldier,* and a most devoted lover. There were two facts concerning him which might, or might not, be taken as objections. He was vicious, and—he was dying. When a friend, intending to be kind, hinted the latter circumstance to Lady Linlithgow, the countess blinked and winked and nodded, and then swore that she had procured medical advice on the subject. Medical advice declared that Sir Florian was not more likely to die than another man,—if only he would get married; all of which statement on her ladyship's part was a lie. When the same friend hinted the same thing to Lizzie herself, Lizzie resolved that she would have her revenge upon that friend. At any rate the courtship went on.

We have said that Sir Florian was vicious;—but he was not altogether a bad man, nor was he vicious in the common sense of the word. He was one who denied himself no pleasure, let the cost be what it might in health, pocket, or morals. Of sin or wickedness he had probably no distinct idea. In virtue, as an attribute of the world around him, he

had no belief. Of honour he thought very much, and had conceived a somewhat noble idea that because much had been given to him much was demanded of him. He was haughty, polite,—and very generous. There was almost a nobility even about his vices. And he had a special gallantry of which it is hard to say whether it is or is not to be admired. They told him that he was like to die,—very like to die, if he did not change his manner of living. Would he go to Algiers* for a period? Certainly not. He would do no such thing. If he died, there was his brother John left to succeed him. And the fear of death never cast a cloud over that grandly beautiful brow. They had all been short-lived,—the Eustaces. Consumption had swept a hecatomb* of victims from the family. But still they were grand people, and never were afraid of death.

And then Sir Florian fell in love. Discussing this matter with his brother, who was perhaps his only intimate friend, he declared that if the girl he loved would give herself to him, he would make what atonement he could to her for his own early death by a princely settlement. John Eustace, who was somewhat nearly concerned in the matter, raised no objection to this proposal. There was ever something grand about these Eustaces. Sir Florian was a grand gentleman; but surely he must have been dull of intellect, slow of discernment, blear-eyed in his ways about the town, when he took Lizzie Greystock,—of all the women whom he could find in the world,—to be the purest, the truest, and the noblest. It has been said of Sir Florian that he did not believe in virtue. He freely expressed disbelief in the virtue of women around him,—in the virtue of women of all ranks. But he believed in his mother and sisters as though they were heaven-born; and he was one who could believe in his wife as though she were the queen of heaven. He did believe in Lizzie Greystock, thinking that intellect, purity, truth, and beauty, each perfect in its degree, were combined in her. The intellect and beauty were there;—but for the purity and truth——; how could it have been that such a one as Sir Florian Eustace should have been so blind!

Sir Florian was not, indeed, a clever man; but he believed himself to be a fool. And believing himself to be a fool, he desired, nay painfully longed, for some of those results of cleverness which might, he thought, come to him, from contact with a clever woman. Lizzie read poetry well, and she read verses to him,—sitting very near to him, almost in the dark, with a shaded lamp throwing its light on her book. He was astonished to find how sweet a thing was poetry. By himself he could never read a line, but as it came from her lips it seemed to charm him.

It was a new pleasure, and one which, though he had ridiculed it, he had so often coveted! And then she told him of such wondrous thoughts,—such wondrous joys in the world which would come from thinking! He was proud, I have said, and haughty; but he was essentially modest and humble in his self-estimation. How divine was this creature, whose voice to him was that of a goddess!

Then he spoke out to her, with a face a little turned from her. Would she be his wife? But, before she answered him, let her listen to him. They had told him that an early death must probably be his fate. He did not himself feel that it must be so. Sometimes he was ill,—very ill; but often he was well. If she would run the risk with him he would endeavour to make her such recompense as might come from his wealth. The speech he made was somewhat long, and as he made it he hardly looked into her face.

But it was necessary to him that he should be made to know by some signal from her how it was going with her feelings. As he spoke of his danger, there came a gurgling little trill of wailing from her throat, a soft, almost musical, sound of woe, which seemed to add an unaccustomed eloquence to his words. When he spoke of his own hope the sound was somewhat changed, but it was still continued. When he alluded to the disposition of his fortune, she was at his feet. 'Not that,' she said; 'not that!' He lifted her, and with his arm round her waist he tried to tell her what it would be his duty to do for her. She escaped from his arm and would not listen to him. But,—but—! When he began to talk of love again, she stood with her forehead bowed against his bosom. Of course the engagement was then a thing accomplished.

But still the cup might slip from her lips. Her father was now dead but ten months, and what answer could she make, when the common pressing petition for an early marriage was poured into her ear? This was in July, and it would never do that he should be left, unmarried, to the rigour of another winter. She looked into his face and knew that she had cause for fear. Oh, heavens! if all these golden hopes* should fall to the ground, and she should come to be known only as the girl who had been engaged to the late Sir Florian! But he himself pressed the marriage on the same ground. 'They tell me,' he said, 'that I had better get a little south by the beginning of October. I won't go alone. You know what I mean;—eh, Lizzie?' Of course she married him in September.

They spent a honeymoon of six weeks at a place he had in Scotland, and the first blow came upon him as they passed through London, back from Scotland, on their way to Italy. Messrs Harter and Benjamin sent in their little bill, which amounted to something over £400,* and other

little bills were sent in. Sir Florian was a man by whom all such bills would certainly be paid, but by whom they would not be paid without his understanding much and conceiving more as to their cause and nature. How much he really did understand she was never quite aware;—but she did know that he detected her in a positive falsehood. She might certainly have managed the matter better than she did; and had she admitted everything there might probably have been but few words about it. She did not, however, understand the nature of the note she had signed, and thought that simply new bills would be presented by the jewellers to her husband. She gave a false account of the transaction, and the lie was detected. I do not know that she cared very much. As she was utterly devoid of true tenderness, so also was she devoid of conscience. They went abroad, however; and by the time the winter was half over in Naples, he knew what his wife was;—and before the end of the spring he was dead.

She had so far played her game well, and had won her stakes. What regrets, what remorse she suffered when she knew that he was going from her,—and then knew that he was gone, who can say? As man is never strong enough to take unmixed delight in good, so may we presume also that he cannot be quite so weak as to find perfect satisfaction in evil. There must have been qualms as she looked at his dying face, soured with the disappointment she had brought upon him, and listened to the harsh querulous voice that was no longer eager in the expressions of love. There must have been some pang when she reflected that the cruel wrong which she had inflicted on him had probably hurried him to his grave. As a widow, in the first solemnity of her widowhood, she was wretched and would see no one. Then she returned to England and shut herself up in a small house at Brighton.* Lady Linlithgow offered to go to her, but she begged that she might be left to herself. For a few short months the awe arising from the rapidity with which it had all occurred did afflict her. Twelve months since she had hardly known the man who was to be her husband. Now she was a widow,—a widow very richly endowed,—and she bore beneath her bosom the fruit of her husband's love.

But, even in these early days, friends and enemies did not hesitate to say that Lizzie Greystock had done very well with herself; for it was known by all concerned that in the settlements made she had been treated with unwonted generosity.

CHAPTER 2

LADY EUSTACE

There were circumstances in her position which made it impossible that Lizzie Greystock,—or Lady Eustace, as we must now call her,—should be left altogether to herself in the modest widow's retreat which she had found at Brighton. It was then April, and it was known that if all things went well with her, she would be a mother before the summer was over. On what the Fates might ordain in this matter immense interests were dependent. If a son should be born he would inherit everything, subject, of course, to his mother's settlement. If a daughter, to her would belong the great personal wealth which Sir Florian had owned at the time of his death. Should there be no son, John Eustace, the brother, would inherit the estates in Yorkshire which had been the backbone of the Eustace wealth. Should no child be born, John Eustace would inherit everything that had not been settled upon or left to the widow. Sir Florian had made a settlement immediately before his marriage, and a will immediately afterwards. Of what he had done then, nothing had been altered in those sad Italian days. The settlement had been very generous. The whole property in Scotland was to belong to Lizzie for her life,—and after her death was to go to a second son, if such second son there should be. By the will money was left to her, more than would be needed for any possible temporary emergency. When she knew how it all was arranged,—as far as she did know it,—she was aware that she was a rich woman. For so clever a woman she was infinitely ignorant as to the possession and value of money and land and income,—though, perhaps, not more ignorant than are most young girls under twenty-one. As for the Scotch property,—she thought that it was her own forever, because there could not now be a second son,—and yet was not quite sure whether it would be her own at all if she had no son. Concerning that sum of money left to her, she did not know whether it was to come out of the Scotch property or be given to her separately,—and whether it was to come annually or to come only once. She had received, while still in Naples, a letter from the family lawyer, giving her such details of the will as it was necessary that she should know, and now she longed to ask questions, to have her belongings made plain to her, and to realise her wealth. She had brilliant prospects; and yet, through it all, there was a sense of loneliness that nearly killed her. Would it not have been much better if her husband would have lived, and still worshipped her, and still allowed

her to read poetry to him? But she had read no poetry to him after that affair of Messrs Harter and Benjamin.

The reader has, or will have, but little to do with these days, and may be hurried on through the twelve, or even twenty-four months which followed the death of poor Sir Florian. The question of the heirship, however, was very grave; and early in the month of May Lady Eustace was visited by her husband's uncle, Bishop Eustace, of Bobsborough. The bishop had been the younger brother of Sir Florian's father,—was at this time about fifty, very active and very popular,—and was one who stood high in the world, even among bishops. He suggested to his niece-in-law that it was very expedient that, during her coming hour of trial, she should not absent herself from her husband's family, and at last persuaded her to take up her residence at the palace at Bobsborough till such time as the event should be over. Lady Eustace was taken to the palace, and in due time a son was born. John, who was now the uncle of the heir, came down, and, with the frankest good-humour, declared that he would devote himself to the little head of the family. He had been left as guardian, and the management of the great family estates was to be in his hands. Lizzie had read no poetry to him, and he had never liked her, and the bishop did not like her, and the ladies of the bishop's family disliked her very much, and it was thought by them that the dean's people,—the Dean of Bobsborough was Lizzie's uncle,—were not very fond of Lizzie since Lizzie had so raised herself in the world as to want no assistance from them. But still they were bound to do their duty by her as the widow of the late and the mother of the present baronet. And they did not find much cause of complaining as to Lizzie's conduct in these days. In that matter of the great family diamond necklace,—which certainly should not have been taken to Naples at all, and as to which the jeweller had told the lawyer and the lawyer had told John Eustace that it certainly should not now be detained among the widow's own private property,—the bishop strongly recommended that nothing should be said at present. The mistake, if there was a mistake, could be remedied at any time. And nothing in those very early days was said about the great Eustace necklace, which afterwards became so famous.

Why Lizzie should have been so generally disliked by the Eustaces, it might be hard to explain. While she remained at the palace she was very discreet,—and perhaps demure. It may be said they disliked her expressed determination to cut her aunt, Lady Linlithgow;—for they knew that Lady Linlithgow had been, at any rate, a friend to Lizzie Greystock. There are people who can be wise within a certain margin,

but beyond that commit great imprudences. Lady Eustace submitted herself to the palace people for that period of her prostration, but she could not hold her tongue as to her future intentions. She would, too, now and then ask of Mrs Eustace, and even of her daughter, an eager, anxious question about her own property. 'She is dying to handle her money,' said Mrs Eustace to the bishop. 'She is only like the rest of the world in that,' said the bishop. 'If she would be really open, I wouldn't mind it,' said Mrs Eustace. None of them liked her,—and she did not like them.

She remained at the palace for six months, and at the end of that time she went to her own place in Scotland. Mrs Eustace had strongly advised her to ask her aunt, Lady Linlithgow, to accompany her, but in refusing to do this, Lizzie was quite firm. She had endured Lady Linlithgow for that year between her father's death and her marriage; she was now beginning to dare to hope for the enjoyment of the good things which she had won, and the presence of the dowager countess,—'the vulturess,'—was certainly not one of these good things. In what her enjoyment was to consist, she had not as yet quite formed a definite conclusion. She liked jewels. She liked admiration. She liked the power of being arrogant to those around her. And she liked good things to eat. But there were other matters that were also dear to her. She did like music,—though it may be doubted whether she would ever play it or even listen to it alone. She did like reading, and especially the reading of poetry,—though even in this she was false and pretentious, skipping, pretending to have read, lying about books, and making up her market of literature for outside admiration at the easiest possible cost of trouble. And she had some dream of being in love, and would take delight even in building castles in the air, which she would people with friends and lovers whom she would make happy with the most open-hearted benevolence. She had theoretical ideas of life which were not bad,—but in practice, she had gained her objects, and she was in a hurry to have liberty to enjoy them.

There was considerable anxiety in the palace in reference to the future mode of life of Lady Eustace. Had it not been for that baby-heir, of course there would have been no cause for interference; but the rights of that baby were so serious and important that it was almost impossible not to interfere. The mother, however, gave some little signs that she did not intend to submit to much interference, and there was no real reason why she should not be as free as air. But did she really intend to go down to Portray Castle* all alone;—that is, with her baby and nurses? This was ended by an arrangement, in accordance

with which she was accompanied by her eldest cousin, Ellinor Greystock, a lady who was just ten years her senior. There could hardly be a better woman than Ellinor Greystock,—or a more good-humoured, kindly being. After many debates in the deanery and in the palace,—for there was much friendship between the two ecclesiastical establishments—the offer was made and the advice given. Ellinor had accepted the martyrdom on the understanding that if the advice were accepted she was to remain at Portray Castle for three months. After a long discussion between Lady Eustace and the bishop's wife the offer was accepted, and the two ladies went to Scotland together.

During those three months the widow still bided her time. Of her future ideas of life she said not a word to her companion. Of her infant she said very little. She would talk of books,—choosing such books as her cousin did not read; and she would interlard her conversation with much Italian, because her cousin did not know the language. There was a carriage kept by the widow, and they had themselves driven out together. Of real companionship there was none. Lizzie was biding her time, and at the end of the three months Miss Greystock thankfully, and, indeed, of necessity, returned to Bobsborough. 'I've done no good,' she said to her mother, 'and have been very uncomfortable.' 'My dear,' said her mother, 'we have disposed of three months out of a two years' period of danger. In two years from Sir Florian's death she will be married again.'

When this was said Lizzie had been a widow nearly a year, and had bided her time upon the whole discreetly. Some foolish letters she had written,—chiefly to the lawyer about her money and property; and some foolish things she had said,—as when she told Ellinor Greystock that the Portray property was her own forever, to do what she liked with it. The sum of money left to her by her husband had by that time been paid into her own hands, and she had opened a banker's account. The revenues from the Scotch estate,—some £4,000 a year—were clearly her own for life. The family diamond-necklace was still in her possession, and no answer had been given by her to a postscript to a lawyer's letter in which a little advice had been given respecting it. At the end of another year, when she had just reached the age of twenty-two, and had completed her second year of widowhood, she was still Lady Eustace, thus contradicting the prophecy made by the dean's wife. It was then spring, and she had a house of her own in London. She had broken openly with Lady Linlithgow. She had opposed, though not absolutely refused, all overtures of brotherly care from John Eustace. She had declined a further invitation, both for herself

and for her child, to the palace. And she had positively asserted her intention of keeping the diamonds. Her late husband, she said, had given the diamonds to her. As they were supposed to be worth £10,000,* and were really family diamonds, the matter was felt by all concerned to be one of much importance. And she was oppressed by a heavy load of ignorance, which became serious from the isolation of her position. She had learned to draw cheques, but she had no other correct notion as to business. She knew nothing as to spending money, saving it, or investing it. Though she was clever, sharp, and greedy, she had no idea what her money would do, and what it would not; and there was no one whom she would trust to tell her. She had a young cousin, a barrister,—a son of the dean's, whom she perhaps liked better than any other of her relations,—but she declined advice even from her friend the barrister. She would have no dealings on her own behalf with the old family solicitor of the Eustaces,—the gentleman who had now applied very formally for the restitution of the diamonds; but had appointed other solicitors to act for her. Messrs Mowbray and Mopus* were of opinion that as the diamonds had been given into her hands by her husband without any terms as to their surrender, no one could claim them. Of the manner in which the diamonds had been placed in her hands, no one knew more than she chose to tell.

But when she started with her house in town,—a modest little house in Mount Street, near the park,*—just two years after her husband's death, she had a large circle of acquaintances. The Eustace people, and the Greystock people, and even the Linlithgow people, did not entirely turn their backs on her. The countess, indeed, was very venomous, as she well might be; but then the countess was known for her venom. The dean and his family were still anxious that she should be encouraged to discreet living, and, though they feared many things, thought that they had no ground for open complaint. The Eustace people were forbearing, and hoped the best. 'D—— the necklace!' John Eustace had said, and the bishop unfortunately had heard him say it! 'John,' said the prelate, 'whatever is to become of the bauble, you might express your opinion in more sensible language.' 'I beg your lordship's pardon,' said John, 'I only mean to say that I think we shouldn't trouble ourselves about a few stones.' But the family lawyer, Mr Camperdown, would by no means take this view of the matter. It was, however, generally thought that the young widow opened her campaign more prudently than had been expected.

And now as so much has been said of the character and fortune and special circumstances of Lizzie Greystock, who became Lady Eustace

as a bride, and Lady Eustace as a widow and a mother, all within the space of twelve months, it may be as well to give some description of her person and habits, such as they were at the period in which our story is supposed to have its commencement. It must be understood in the first place that she was very lovely;—much more so, indeed, now than when she had fascinated Sir Florian. She was small, but taller than she looked to be,—for her form was perfectly symmetrical. Her feet and hands might have been taken as models by a sculptor. Her figure was lithe, and soft, and slim, and slender. If it had a fault it was this,—that it had in it too much of movement. There were some who said that she was almost snake-like in her rapid bendings and the almost too easy gestures of her body; for she was much given to action, and to the expression of her thought by the motion of her limbs. She might certainly have made her way as an actress, had fortune called upon her to earn her bread in that fashion. And her voice would have suited the stage. It was powerful when she called upon it for power; but, at the same time, flexible and capable of much pretence at feeling. She could bring it to a whisper that would almost melt your heart with tenderness,—as she had melted Sir Florian's, when she sat near to him reading poetry; and then she could raise it to a pitch of indignant wrath befitting a Lady Macbeth when her husband ventured to rebuke her. And her ear was quite correct in modulating these tones. She knew,—and it must have been by instinct, for her culture in such matters was small,—how to use her voice so that neither its tenderness nor its wrath should be misapplied. There were pieces in verse that she could read,—things not wondrously good in themselves, so that she would ravish you; and she would so look at you as she did it that you would hardly dare either to avert your eyes or to return her gaze. Sir Florian had not known whether to do the one thing or the other, and had therefore seized her in his arms. Her face was oval,—somewhat longer than an oval,—with little in it, perhaps nothing in it, of that brilliancy of colour which we call complexion. And yet the shades of her countenance were ever changing between the softest and most transparent white and the richest, mellowest shades of brown. It was only when she simulated anger,—she was almost incapable of real anger,—that she would succeed in calling the thinnest streak of pink from her heart, to show that there was blood running in her veins. Her hair, which was nearly black,—but in truth with more of softness and of lustre than ever belong to hair that is really black,—she wore bound tight round her perfect forehead, with one long love-lock hanging over her shoulder. The form of her head was so good that she could dare to carry it without

a chignon,* or any adventitious adjuncts from an artist's shop. Very bitter was she in consequence when speaking of the head-gear of other women. Her chin was perfect in its round, not over long,—as is the case with so many such faces, utterly spoiling the symmetry of the countenance. But it lacked a dimple, and therefore lacked feminine tenderness. Her mouth was perhaps faulty in being too small, or, at least, her lips were too thin. There was wanting from the mouth that expression of eager-speaking truthfulness which full lips will often convey. Her teeth were without flaw or blemish, even, small, white, and delicate; but perhaps they were shown too often. Her nose was small, but struck many as the prettiest feature of her face, so exquisite was the moulding of it, and so eloquent and so graceful the slight inflations of the transparent nostrils. Her eyes, in which she herself thought that the lustre of her beauty lay, were blue and clear, bright as cerulean* waters. They were long, large eyes,—but very dangerous. To those who knew how to read a face, there was danger plainly written in them. Poor Sir Florian had not known. But, in truth, the charm of her face did not lie in her eyes. This was felt by many even who could not read the book fluently. They were too expressive, too loud in their demands for attention, and they lacked tenderness. How few there are among women, few perhaps also among men, who know that the sweetest, softest, tenderest, truest eyes which a woman can carry in her head are green in colour! Lizzie's eyes were not tender,—neither were they true. But they were surmounted by the most wonderfully pencilled eyebrows that ever nature unassisted planted on a woman's face.

We have said she was clever. We must add that she had in truth studied much. She spoke French, understood Italian, and read German. She played well on the harp, and moderately well on the piano. She sang, at least in good taste and in tune. Of things to be learned by reading she knew much, having really taken diligent trouble with herself. She had learned much poetry by heart, and could apply it. She forgot nothing, listened to everything, understood quickly, and was desirous to show not only as a beauty but as a wit. There were men at this time who declared that she was simply the cleverest and the handsomest woman in England. As an independent young woman she was perhaps one of the richest.

CHAPTER 3

LUCY MORRIS

ALTHOUGH the first two chapters of this new history have been devoted to the fortunes and personal attributes of Lady Eustace, the historian begs his readers not to believe that that opulent and aristocratic Becky Sharp* is to assume the dignity of heroine in the forthcoming pages. That there shall be any heroine the historian will not take upon himself to assert; but if there be a heroine, that heroine shall not be Lady Eustace. Poor Lizzie Greystock!—as men double her own age, and who had known her as a forward, capricious, spoiled child in her father's lifetime, would still call her. She did so many things, made so many efforts, caused so much suffering to others, and suffered so much herself throughout the scenes with which we are about to deal, that the story can hardly be told without giving her that prominence of place which has been assigned to her in the last two chapters.

Nor does the chronicler dare to put forward Lucy Morris as a heroine. The real heroine, if it be found possible to arrange her drapery for her becomingly, and to put that part which she enacted into properly heroic words, shall stalk in among us at some considerably later period in the narrative, when the writer shall have accustomed himself to the flow of words, and have worked himself up to a state of mind fit for the reception of noble acting and noble speaking. In the meantime, let it be understood that poor little Lucy Morris was a governess in the house of old Lady Fawn, when our beautiful young widow established herself in Mount Street.

Lady Eustace and Lucy Morris had known each other for many years,—had indeed been children together,—there having been some old family friendship between the Greystocks and the Morrises. When the admiral's wife was living, Lucy had, as a little girl of eight or nine, been her guest. She had often been a guest at the deanery. When Lady Eustace had gone down to the bishop's palace at Bobsborough, in order that an heir to the Eustaces might be born under an auspicious roof, Lucy Morris was with the Greystocks. Lucy, who was a year younger than Lizzie, had at that time been an orphan for the last four years. She too had been left penniless, but no such brilliant future awaited her as that which Lizzie had earned for herself. There was no countess-aunt to take her into her London house. The dean and the dean's wife and the dean's daughters had been her best friends, but they were not friends on whom she could be dependent. They were in no way

connected with her by blood. Therefore, at the age of eighteen, she had gone out to be a child's governess. Then old Lady Fawn had heard of her virtues,—Lady Fawn, who had seven unmarried daughters running down from seven-and-twenty to thirteen, and Lucy Morris had been hired to teach English, French, German, and something of music to the two youngest Miss Fawns.

During that visit at the deanery, when the heir of the Eustaces was being born, Lucy was undergoing a sort of probation for the Fawn establishment. The proposed engagement with Lady Fawn was thought to be a great thing for her. Lady Fawn was known as a miracle of Virtue, Benevolence, and Persistency. Every good quality she possessed was so marked as to be worthy of being expressed with a capital. But her virtues were of that extraordinary high character that there was no weakness in them,—no getting over them, no perverting them with follies or even exaggerations. When she heard of the excellencies of Miss Morris from the dean's wife, and then, after minutest investigation, learned the exact qualities of the young lady, she expressed herself willing to take Lucy into her house on special conditions. She must be able to teach music up to a certain point. 'Then it's all over,' said Lucy to the dean with her pretty smile,—that smile which caused all the old and middle-aged men to fall in love with her. 'It's not over at all,' said the dean. 'You've got four months. Our organist is about as good a teacher as there is in England. You are clever and quick, and he shall teach you.' So Lucy went to Bobsborough, and was afterwards accepted by Lady Fawn.

While she was at the deanery there sprung up a renewed friendship between her and Lizzie. It was, indeed, chiefly a one-sided friendship; for Lucy, who was quick and unconsciously capable of reading that book to which we alluded in a previous chapter, was somewhat afraid of the rich widow. And when Lizzie talked to her of their old childish days, and quoted poetry, and spoke of things romantic,—as she was much given to do,—Lucy felt that the metal did not ring true. And then Lizzie had an ugly habit of abusing all her other friends behind their backs. Now Lucy did not like to hear the Greystocks abused, and would say so. 'That's all very well, you little minx,' Lizzie would say playfully, 'but you know that they are all asses!' Lucy by no means thought that the Greystocks were asses, and was very strongly of opinion that one of them was as far removed from being an ass as any human being she had ever known. This one was Frank Greystock, the barrister. Of Frank Greystock some special but, let it be hoped, very short description must be given by-and-by. For the present it will be

sufficient to declare that, during that short Easter holiday which he spent at his father's house in Bobsborough, he found Lucy Morris to be a most agreeable companion.

'Remember her position,' said Mrs Dean to her son.

'Her position! Well, and what is her position, mother?'

'You know what I mean, Frank. She is as sweet a girl as ever lived, and a perfect lady. But with a governess, unless you mean to marry her, you should be more careful than with another girl, because you may do her such a world of mischief.'

'I don't see that at all.'

'If Lady Fawn knew that she had an admirer, Lady Fawn would not let her come into her house.'

'Then Lady Fawn is an idiot. If a girl be admirable, of course she will be admired. Who can hinder it?'

'You know what I mean, Frank.'

'Yes—I do; well. I don't suppose I can afford to marry Lucy Morris. At any rate, mother, I will never say a word to raise a hope in her,—if it would be a hope——'

'Of course it would be a hope.'

'I don't know that at all. But I will never say any such word to her,—unless I make up my mind that I can afford to marry her.'

'Oh, Frank, it would be impossible,' said Mrs Dean.

Mrs Dean was a very good woman, but she had aspirations in the direction of filthy lucre* on behalf of her children, or at least on behalf of this special child, and she did think it would be very nice if Frank would marry an heiress. This, however, was a long time ago, nearly two years ago; and many grave things had got themselves transacted since Lucy's visit to the deanery. She had become quite an old and an accustomed member of Lady Fawn's family. The youngest Fawn girl was not yet fifteen, and it was understood that Lucy was to remain with the Fawns for some quite indefinite time to come. Lady Fawn's eldest daughter, Mrs Hittaway, had a family of her own, having been married ten or twelve years, and it was quite probable that Lucy might be transferred. Lady Fawn fully appreciated her treasure, and was, and ever had been, conscientiously anxious to make Lucy's life happy. But she thought that a governess should not be desirous of marrying, at any rate till a somewhat advanced period of life. A governess, if she were given to falling in love, could hardly perform her duties in life. No doubt, not to be a governess, but a young lady free from the embarrassing necessity of earning bread, free to have a lover and a husband, would be upon the whole nicer. So it is nicer to be born to £10,000 a year*

than to have to wish for £500. Lady Fawn could talk excellent sense on this subject by the hour, and always admitted that much was due to a governess who knew her place and did her duty. She was very fond of Lucy Morris, and treated her dependant with affectionate consideration;—but she did not approve of visits from Mr Frank Greystock. Lucy, blushing up to the eyes, had once declared that she desired to have no personal visitors at Lady Fawn's house; but that, as regarded her own friendships, the matter was one for her own bosom. 'Dear Miss Morris,' Lady Fawn had said, 'we understand each other so perfectly, and you are so good, that I am quite sure everything will be as it ought to be.' Lady Fawn lived down at Richmond, all the year through, in a large old-fashioned house with a large old-fashioned garden, called Fawn Court. After that speech of hers to Lucy, Frank Greystock did not call again at Fawn Court for many months, and it is possible that her ladyship had said a word also to him. But Lady Eustace, with her pretty little pair of grey ponies, would sometimes drive down to Richmond to see her 'dear little old friend' Lucy, and her visits were allowed. Lady Fawn had expressed an opinion among her daughters that she did not see any harm in Lady Eustace. She thought that she rather liked Lady Eustace. But then Lady Fawn hated Lady Linlithgow as only two old women can hate each other;—and she had not heard the story of the diamond necklace.

Lucy Morris certainly was a treasure,—a treasure though no heroine. She was a sweetly social, genial little human being whose presence in the house was ever felt to be like sunshine. She was never forward, but never bashful. She was always open to familiar intercourse without ever putting herself forward. There was no man or woman with whom she would not so talk as to make the man or woman feel that the conversation was remarkably pleasant,—and she could do the same with any child. She was an active, mindful, bright, energetic little thing to whom no work ever came amiss. She had catalogued the library,—which had been collected by the late Lord Fawn with peculiar reference to the Christian theology of the third and fourth centuries. She had planned the new flower-garden,—though Lady Fawn thought that she had done that herself. She had been invaluable during Clara Fawn's long illness.* She knew every rule at croquet, and could play piquet.* When the girls got up charades they had to acknowledge that everything depended on Miss Morris. They were good-natured, plain, unattractive girls, who spoke of her to her face as one who could easily do anything to which she might put her hand. Lady Fawn did really love her. Lord Fawn, the eldest son, a young man of about thirty-five, a

peer of Parliament and an Under-Secretary of State,*—very prudent and very diligent,—of whom his mother and sisters stood in great awe, consulted her frequently and made no secret of his friendship. The mother knew her awful son well, and was afraid of nothing wrong in that direction. Lord Fawn had suffered a disappointment in love, but he had consoled himself with blue-books,* and mastered his passion by incessant attendance at the India Board.* The lady he had loved had been rich, and Lord Fawn was poor; but nevertheless he had mastered his passion. There was no fear that his feelings towards the governess would become too warm;—nor was it likely that Miss Morris should encounter danger in regard to him. It was quite an understood thing in the family that Lord Fawn must marry money.

Lucy Morris was indeed a treasure. No brighter face ever looked into another to seek sympathy there, either in mirth or woe. There was a gleam in her eyes that was almost magnetic,* so sure was she to obtain by it that community of interest which she desired,—though it were but for a moment. Lord Fawn was pompous, slow, dull, and careful; but even he had given way to it at once. Lady Fawn too was very careful, but she had owned to herself long since that she could not bear to look forward to any permanent severance. Of course Lucy would be made over to the Hittaways, whose mother lived in Warwick Square,* and whose father was Chairman of the Board of Civil Appeals.* The Hittaways were the only grandchildren with whom Lady Fawn had as yet been blessed, and of course Lucy must go the Hittaways.

She was but a little thing;—and it cannot be said of her, as of Lady Eustace, that she was a beauty. The charm of her face consisted in the peculiar, watery brightness of her eyes,—in the corners of which it would always seem that a diamond of a tear was lurking whenever any matter of excitement was afoot. Her light-brown hair was soft and smooth and pretty. As hair it was very well, but it had no speciality. Her mouth was somewhat large, but full of ever-varying expression. Her forehead was low and broad, with prominent temples, on which it was her habit to clasp tightly her little outstretched fingers as she sat listening to you. Of listeners she was the very best, for she would always be saying a word or two, just to help you,—the best word that could be spoken, and then again she would be hanging on your lips. There are listeners who show by their mode of listening that they listen as a duty,—not because they are interested. Lucy Morris was not such a one. She would take up your subject, whatever it was, and make it her own. There was forward just then a question as to whether the Sawab of Mygawb* should have twenty millions of rupees paid to him and

placed upon a throne, or whether he should be kept in prison all his life. The British world generally could not be made to interest itself about the Sawab, but Lucy positively mastered the subject, and almost got Lord Fawn into a difficulty by persuading him to stand up against his chief on behalf of the injured Prince.

What else can be said of her face or personal appearance that will interest a reader? When she smiled, there was the daintiest little dimple on her cheek. And when she laughed, that little nose, which was not as well-shaped a nose as it might have been, would almost change its shape and cock itself up in its mirth. Her hands were very thin and long, and so were her feet,—by no means models as were those of her friend Lady Eustace. She was a little, thin, quick, graceful creature, whom it was impossible that you should see without wishing to have near you. A most unselfish little creature she was, but one who had a well-formed idea of her own identity. She was quite resolved to be somebody among her fellow-creatures,—not somebody in the way of marrying a lord or a rich man, or somebody in the way of being a beauty, or somebody as a wit; but somebody as having a purpose and a use in life. She was the humblest little thing in the world in regard to any possible putting of herself forward or needful putting of herself back; and yet, to herself, nobody was her superior. What she had was her own, whether it was the old grey silk dress which she had bought with the money she had earned, or the wit which nature had given her. And Lord Fawn's title was his own, and Lady Fawn's rank her own. She coveted no man's possessions,—and no woman's; but she was minded to hold by her own. Of present advantages or disadvantages,—whether she had the one or suffered from the other,—she thought not at all. It was her fault that she had nothing of feminine vanity. But no man or woman was ever more anxious to be effective, to persuade, to obtain belief, sympathy, and co-operation;—not for any result personal to herself, but because by obtaining these things, she could be effective in the object then before her, be what it might.

One other thing may be told of her. She had given her heart,—for good and all, as she owned to herself, to Frank Greystock. She had owned to herself that it was so, and had owned to herself that nothing could come of it. Frank was becoming a man of mark,—but was becoming a man of mark without much money. Of all men he was the last who could afford to marry a governess. And then, moreover, he had never said a word to make her think that he loved her. He had called on her once or twice at Fawn Court,—as why should he not? Seeing that there had been friendship between the families for so many years, who could

complain of that? Lady Fawn, however, had,—not complained, but just said a word. A word in season, how good is it? Lucy did not much regard the word spoken to herself; but when she reflected that a word must also have been spoken to Mr Greystock,—otherwise how should it have been that he never came again?—that she did not like.

In herself she regarded this passion of hers as a healthy man regards the loss of a leg or an arm. It is a great nuisance, a loss that maims the whole life,—a misfortune to be much regretted. But because a leg is gone, everything is not gone. A man with a wooden leg may stump about through much action, and may enjoy the keenest pleasures of humanity. He has his eyes left to him, and his ears, and his intellect. He will not break his heart for the loss of that leg. And so it was with Lucy Morris. She would still stump about and be very active. Eyes, ears, and intellect were left to her. Looking at her position, she told herself that a happy love could hardly have been her lot in life. Lady Fawn, she thought, was right. A governess should make up her mind to do without a lover. She had given away her heart, and yet she would do without a lover. When, on one dull, dark afternoon, as she was thinking of all this, Lord Fawn suddenly put into her hands a cruelly long printed document respecting the Sawab, she went to work upon it immediately. As she read it, she could not refrain from thinking how wonderfully Frank Greystock would plead the cause of the Indian prince, if the privilege of pleading it could be given to him.

The spring had come round, with May and the London butterflies, at the time at which our story begins, and during six months Frank Greystock had not been at Fawn Court. Then one day Lady Eustace came down with her ponies, and her footman, and a new dear friend of hers, Miss Macnulty. While Miss Macnulty was being honoured by Lady Fawn, Lizzie had retreated to a corner with her old dear friend Lucy Morris. It was pretty to see how so wealthy and fashionable a woman as Lady Eustace could show so much friendship to a governess. 'Have you seen Frank lately?' said Lady Eustace, referring to her cousin the barrister.

'Not for ever so long,' said Lucy with her cheeriest smile.

'He is not going to prove a false knight?' asked Lady Eustace, in her lowest whisper.

'I don't know that Mr Greystock is much given to knighthood at all,' said Lucy,—'unless it is to being made Sir Francis by his party.'

'Nonsense, my dear; as if I didn't know. I suppose Lady Fawn has been interfering—like an old cat as she is.'

'She is not an old cat, Lizzie! and I won't hear her called so. If you think so, you shouldn't come here. And she hasn't interfered. That is, she has done nothing that she ought not to have done.'

'Then she has interfered,' said Lady Eustace, as she got up and walked across the room with a sweet smile to the old cat.

CHAPTER 4

FRANK GREYSTOCK

Frank Greystock the barrister was the only son of the Dean of Bobsborough. Now the dean had a family of daughters,—not quite so numerous indeed as that of Lady Fawn, for there were only three of them,—and was by no means a rich man. Unless a dean have a private fortune, or has chanced to draw the happy lot of Durham* in the lottery of deans, he can hardly be wealthy. At Bobsborough the dean was endowed with a large, rambling, picturesque, uncomfortable house, and with £1,500 a year. In regard to personal property it may be asserted of all the Greystocks that they never had any. They were a family of which the males would surely come to be deans and admirals, and the females would certainly find husbands. And they lived on the good things of the world, and mixed with wealthy people. But they never had any money. The Eustaces always had money, and the Bishop of Bobsborough was wealthy. The dean was a man very different from his brother the admiral, who had never paid anybody anything. The dean did pay; but he was a little slow in his payments, and money with him was never plentiful. In these circumstances it became very expedient that Frank Greystock should earn his bread early in life.

Nevertheless, he had chosen a profession which is not often lucrative at first. He had been called to the Bar, and had gone,—and was still going,—the circuit in which lies the cathedral city of Bobsborough. Bobsborough is not much of a town, and was honoured with the judges' visits only every other circuit. Frank began pretty well, getting some little work in London, and perhaps nearly enough to pay the cost of his circuit out of the county in which the cathedral was situated. But he began life after that impecunious fashion for which the Greystocks have been noted. Tailors, robemakers, and booksellers gave him trust, and did believe that they would get their money. And any persistent tradesman did get it. He did not actually hoist the black flag of impecuniosity, and proclaim his intention of preying generally upon the retail

dealers, as his uncle the admiral had done. But he became known as a young man with whom money was 'tight.' All this had been going on for three or four years before he had met Lucy Morris at the deanery. He was then eight-and-twenty, and had been four years called. He was thirty when old Lady Fawn hinted to him that he had better not pay any more visits at Fawn Court.

But things had much altered with him of late. At the time of that visit to the deanery he had made a sudden start in his profession. The Corporation of the City of London* had brought an action against the Bank of England with reference to certain alleged encroachments, of which action, considerable as it was in all its interests, no further notice need be taken here than is given by the statement that a great deal of money in this cause had found its way among the lawyers. Some of it penetrated into the pocket of Frank Greystock; but he earned more than money, better than money, out of that affair. It was attributed to him by the attorneys that the Bank of England was saved from the necessity of reconstructing all its bullion cellars, and he had made his character for industry. In the year after that, the Bobsborough people were rather driven into a corner in search of a clever young Conservative candidate for the borough, and Frank Greystock was invited to stand. It was not thought that there was much chance of success, and the dean was against it. But Frank liked the honour and glory of the contest, and so did Frank's mother. Frank Greystock stood, and at the time in which he was warned away from Fawn Court had been nearly a year in Parliament. 'Of course it does interfere with one's business,' he had said to his father, 'but then it brings one business also. A man with a seat in Parliament who shows that he means work will always get nearly as much work as he can do.' Such was Frank's exposition to his father. It may perhaps not be found to hold water in all cases. Mrs Dean was of course delighted with her son's success, and so were the girls. Women like to feel that the young men belonging to them are doing something in the world, so that a reflected glory may be theirs. It was pleasant to talk of Frank as member for the City. Brothers do not always care much for a brother's success, but a sister is generally sympathetic. If Frank would only marry money, there was nothing he might not achieve. That he would live to sit on the woolsack* was now almost a certainty to the dear old lady. But in order that he might sit there comfortably it was necessary that he should at least abstain from marrying a poor wife. For there was fear at the deanery also in regard to Lucy Morris.

'That notion, of marrying money as you call it,' Frank said to his second sister, Margaret, 'is the most disgusting idea in the world.'

'It is as easy to love a girl who has something as one who has nothing,' said Margaret.

'No,—it is not; because the girls with money are scarce, and those without it are plentiful,—an argument of which I don't suppose you see the force.' Then Margaret for the moment was snubbed and retired.

'Indeed, Frank, I think Lady Fawn was right,' said the mother.

'And I think she was quite wrong. If there be anything in it, it won't be expelled by Lady Fawn's interference. Do you think I should allow Lady Fawn to tell me not to choose such or such a woman for my wife?'

'It's the habit of seeing her, my dear. Nobody loves Lucy Morris better than I do. We all like her. But, dear Frank, would it do for you to make her your wife?'

Frank Greystock was silent for a moment, and then he answered his mother's question. 'I am not quite sure whether it would or would not. But I do think this—that if I were bold enough to marry now, and to trust all to the future, and could get Lucy to be my wife, I should be doing a great thing. I doubt, however, whether I have the courage.' All of which made the dean's wife uneasy.

The reader, who has read so far, will perhaps think that Frank Greystock was in love with Lucy as Lucy was in love with him. But such was not exactly the case. To be in love, as an absolute, well-marked, acknowledged fact, is the condition of a woman more frequently and more readily than of a man. Such is not the common theory on the matter, as it is the man's business to speak, and the woman's business to be reticent. And the woman is presumed to have kept her heart free from any load of love, till she may accept the burthen with an assurance that it shall become a joy and a comfort to her. But such presumptions, though they may be very useful for the regulation of conduct, may not always be true. It comes more within the scope of a woman's mind, than that of a man's, to think closely and decide sharply on such a matter. With a man it is often chance that settles the question for him. He resolves to propose to a woman, or proposes without resolving, because she is close to him. Frank Greystock ridiculed the idea of Lady Fawn's interference in so high a matter as his love,—or abstinence from love. Nevertheless, had he been made a welcome guest at Fawn Court, he would undoubtedly have told his love to Lucy Morris. He was not a welcome guest, but had been banished; and, as a consequence of that banishment, he had formed no resolution in regard to Lucy, and did not absolutely know whether she was necessary to him or not. But Lucy Morris knew all about it.

Moreover, it frequently happens with men that they fail to analyse these things, and do not make out for themselves any clear definition of what their feelings are or what they mean. We hear that a man has behaved badly to a girl, when the behaviour of which he has been guilty has resulted simply from want of thought. He has found a certain companionship to be agreeable to him, and he has accepted the pleasure without inquiry. Some vague idea has floated across his brain that the world is wrong in supposing that such friendship cannot exist without marriage, or question of marriage. It is simply friendship. And yet were his friend to tell him that she intended to give herself in marriage elsewhere, he would suffer all the pangs of jealousy, and would imagine himself to be horribly ill-treated! To have such a friend,—a friend whom he cannot or will not make his wife,—is no injury to him. To him it is simply a delight, an excitement in life, a thing to be known to himself only and not talked of to others, a source of pride and inward exultation. It is a joy to think of when he wakes, and a consolation in his little troubles. It dispels the weariness of life, and makes a green spot of holiday within his daily work. It is, indeed, death to her;—but he does not know it. Frank Greystock did think that he could not marry Lucy Morris without making an imprudent plunge into deep water, and yet he felt that Lady Fawn was an ill-natured old woman for hinting to him that he had better not, for the present, continue his visits to Fawn Court. 'Of course you understand me, Mr Greystock,' she had said, meaning to be civil. 'When Miss Morris has left us,—should she ever leave us,—I should be most happy to see you.' 'What on earth would take me to Fawn Court if Lucy were not there?' he said to himself,—not choosing to appreciate Lady Fawn's civility.

Frank Greystock was at this time nearly thirty years old. He was a good-looking, but not a strikingly handsome man; thin, of moderate height, with sharp grey eyes, a face clean shorn, with the exception of a small whisker, with wiry, strong dark hair, which was already beginning to show a tinge of grey;—the very opposite in appearance to his late friend, Sir Florian Eustace. He was quick, ready-witted, self-reliant, and not over scrupulous in the outward things of the world. He was desirous of doing his duty to others,* but he was specially desirous that others should do their duty to him. He intended to get on in the world, and believed that happiness was to be achieved by success. He was certainly made for the profession which he had adopted. His father, looking to certain morsels of Church patronage which occasionally came in his way, and to the fact that he and the bishop were on

most friendly terms, had wished his son to take orders. But Frank had known himself and his own qualities too well to follow his father's advice. He had chosen to be a barrister, and now, at thirty, he was in Parliament.

He had been asked to stand for Bobsborough in the conservative interest, and as a Conservative he had been returned. Those who invited him knew probably but little of his own political beliefs or feelings,—did not probably know whether he had any. His father was a fine old Tory of the ancient school, who thought that things were going from bad to worse, but was able to live happily in spite of his anticipations. The dean was one of those old-world politicians,—we meet them every day, and they are generally very pleasant people,—who enjoy the politics of the side to which they belong without any special belief in them. If pressed hard they will almost own that their so-called convictions are prejudices. But not for worlds would they be rid of them. When two or three of them meet together, they are as freemasons,* who are bound by a pleasant bond which separates them from the outer world. They feel among themselves that everything that is being done is bad,—even though that everything is done by their own party. It was bad to interfere with Charles, bad to endure Cromwell, bad to banish James, bad to put up with William. The House of Hanover was bad. All interference with prerogative has been bad. The Reform bill was very bad. Encroachment on the estates of the bishops was bad. Emancipation of Roman Catholics was the worst of all. Abolition of corn-laws, church-rates, and oaths and tests were all bad.* The meddling with the Universities has been grievous. The treatment of the Irish Church has been Satanic. The overhauling of schools is most injurious to English education. Education bills and Irish land bills were all bad. Every step taken has been bad. And yet to them old England is of all countries in the world the best to live in, and is not at all the less comfortable because of the changes that have been made. These people are ready to grumble at every boon conferred on them, and yet to enjoy every boon. They know too their privileges, and, after a fashion, understand their position. It is picturesque, and it pleases them. To have been always in the right and yet always on the losing side; always being ruined, always under persecution from a wild spirit of republican-demagogism,—and yet never to lose anything, not even position or public esteem, is pleasant enough. A huge, living, daily increasing grievance that does one no palpable harm, is the happiest possession that a man can have. There is a large body of such men in England, and, personally, they are the very salt of the nation. He who said that all Conservatives are stupid* did not

know them. Stupid Conservatives there may be,—and there certainly are very stupid Radicals. The well-educated, widely-read Conservative, who is well assured that all good things are gradually being brought to an end by the voice of the people, is generally the pleasantest man to be met. But he is a Buddhist, possessing a religious creed which is altogether dark and mysterious to the outer world. Those who watch the ways of the advanced Buddhist hardly know whether the man does believe himself in his hidden god, but men perceive that he is respectable, self-satisfied, and a man of note. It is of course from the society of such that Conservative candidates are to be sought; but, alas, it is hard to indoctrinate young minds with the old belief, since new theories of life have become so rife!

Nevertheless Frank Greystock, when he was invited to stand for Bobsborough in the Conservative interest, had not for a moment allowed any political heterodoxy on his own part to stand in the way of his advancement. It may, perhaps, be the case that a barrister is less likely to be influenced by personal convictions in taking his side in politics than any other man who devotes himself to public affairs. No slur on the profession is intended by this suggestion. A busy, clever, useful man, who has been at work all his life, finds that his own progress towards success demands from him that he shall become a politician. The highest work of a lawyer can be reached only through political struggle. As a large-minded man of the world, peculiarly conversant with the fact that every question has two sides, and that as much may often be said on one side as on the other, he has probably not become violent in his feelings as a political partisan. Thus he sees that there is an opening here or an opening there, and the offence in either case is not great to him. With Frank Greystock the matter was very easy. There certainly was no apostasy. He had now and again attacked his father's ultra-Toryism, and rebuked his mother and sisters when they spoke of Gladstone as Apollyon,* and called John Bright the Abomination of Desolation.* But it was easy for him to fancy himself a Conservative, and as such he took his seat in the House without any feeling of discomfort.

During the first four months of his first session he had not spoken,—but he had made himself useful. He had sat on one or two committees, though as a barrister he might have excused himself, and had done his best to learn the forms of the House. But he had already begun to find that the time which he devoted to Parliament was much wanted for his profession. Money was very necessary to him. Then a new idea was presented to him.

John Eustace and Greystock were very intimate,—as also had been Sir Florian and Greystock. 'I tell you what I wish you'd do, Greystock,' Eustace said to him one day, as they were standing idly together in the lobby of the House. For John Eustace was also in Parliament.

'Anything to oblige you, my friend.'

'It's only a trifle,' said Eustace. 'Just to marry your cousin, my brother's widow.'

'By Jove,—I wish I had the chance!'

'I don't see why you shouldn't. She is sure to marry somebody, and at her age so she ought. She's not twenty-three yet. We could trust you,—with the child and all the rest of it. As it is, she is giving us a deal of trouble.'

'But, my dear fellow——'

'I know she's fond of you. You were dining there last Sunday.'

'And so was Fawn. Lord Fawn is the man to marry Lizzie. You see if he doesn't. He was uncommonly sweet on her the other night, and really interested her about the Sawab.'

'She'll never be Lady Fawn,' said John Eustace. 'And to tell the truth, I shouldn't care to have to deal with Lord Fawn. He would be infinitely troublesome; and I can hardly wash my hands of her affairs. She's worth nearly £5,000 a year as long as she lives, and I really don't think that she's much amiss.'

'Much amiss! I don't know whether she's not the prettiest woman I ever saw,' said Greystock.

'Yes;—but I mean in conduct, and all that. She is making herself queer;* and Camperdown, our lawyer, means to jump upon her; but it's only because she doesn't know what she ought to be at, and what she ought not. You could tell her.'

'It wouldn't suit me at all to have to quarrel with Camperdown,' said the barrister, laughing.

'You and he would settle everything in five minutes, and it would save me a world of trouble,' said Eustace.

'Fawn is your man;—take my word for it,' said Greystock, as he walked back into the House.

. . . .

Dramatists, when they write their plays, have a delightful privilege of prefixing a list of their personages;—and the dramatists of old used to tell us who was in love with whom, and what were the blood relationships of all the persons. In such a narrative as this, any proceeding of that kind would be unusual,—and therefore the poor narrator has been driven to expend his four first chapters in the mere task of